# REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE FISCAL COMMITTEE TO THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL FOR THE SIXTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY FIRST SESSION

DECEMBER 1978

# CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Education	2
Human Resources	4
Natural Resources	6
Social Services	8

#### INTRODUCTION

This report is presented to the Legislative Council by the Legislative Fiscal Committee as the result of work accomplished by the Fiscal Committee and the various committees during the interim of the second session of the Sixty-seventh General Assembly. This report contains the concensus of the committees after many hours of detailed meetings and consultations with the various departments of state government involved. Included in the reports orginally made by the committees are recommendations necessary to implement their findings. After this report has been accepted by the Legislative Council, these recommendations will be referred to the appropriate chairpersons of the standing committee.

The Fiscal Committee is proud of the work accomplished by them and the committees this interim, and sincerely hope that their recommendations will be favorably acted upon during the first session of the Sixty-eighth General Assembly.

#### **EDUCATION**

The Education Visitation Committee scheduled visitations with selected state agencies as in the past. Nine days were spent on visitations this year with a total of thirteen agencies involved.

The visitations were as follows:

#### State Agency

Iowa Public Broadcasting Iowa Commission for the Blind Department of Public Instruction Area Community College VI Iowa Braille & Sight Saving Cornell College Area Education Agency 10 Iowa State University Area Community College III Area Education Agency 3 University of Iowa Wartburg College University of Northern Iowa	Des Moines Des Moines Des Moines Marshalltown Vinton Mt. Vernon Cedar Rapids Ames Emmetsburg Cylinder Iowa City Waverly Cedar Falls	September 28 September 29 September 29 September 29 October 19 October 20 October 20 November 27 November 28 November 28 December 8 December 14 December 15
---	---	---

The committee was well received on every visitation. A presentation by the top administrator of each agency usually was made and was followed by a question and answer session. These discussions were very provocative components of the visits as programs were reviewed and current budgets, as well as future appropriation requests were analyzed. Several of the visits provided a first opportunity for some of the members of the committee to view the facilities as guided tours were incorporated in the total program presentation by the agencies where appropriate.

The visits to Cornell College and Wartburg College marked the fifth year that the private college sector has been included in the visitation schedule. Both schools indicated they would prefer to see the \$1,500 maximum increased before they would opt for an increase in the total appropriation if they had to choose between the two options. The private colleges continue to express their concern for the "tuition gap" between the Regents and the private colleges.

The two visits to Area Education Agencies continued to produce the most provocative and stimulating points of view of the visitation schedule. The committee showed an active interest in what lease arrangements each A.E.A. had and what percentage each agency felt they had identified of the total estimated number of students in need of special education in their area.

Two Area Community Colleges were visited this year. Discussion primarily centered on the funding formula, projected enrollments, and participation in secondary vocational education programs.

The three Regents institution visits emphasized review of each university's capital askings in addition to the increases being requested in the operating cost categories. This year the visits were scheduled late in the interim in order for the institutions to address the Regents request as it applied to their

university. In the past, they had to make their presentations as requests to the Board of Regents as opposed to what was being requested of the General Assembly.

The Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School visit emphasized the school's relationship with A.E.A.'s across the state. Officials of the school suggested a decrease in headcount enrollment, but an increase in their own computations of a weighted enrollment due to their now educating essentially only the profoundly handicapped students with the advent of the A.E.A.'s.

The visit with Department of Public Instruction officials included a brief presentation regarding the area school formula changes being considered. The bulk of the visit time was spent on a presentation and discussion regarding a proposal which will be coming to the General Assembly for funds to make operational a state-wide data processing system.

The IPBN visit provided the committee the opportunity to meet and get acquainted with the recently selected director, Rod Thole. It was obvious from the presentation and discussion that the new director was making every effort to straighten out past deficiencies within the agency.

The Commission for the Blind visit also gave the committee the opportunity to visit with a newly selected director in the person of John Taylor. The committee had the opportunity to review recent newspaper articles regarding the Commission with both Mr. Taylor and Mrs. Eyerly, a board member.

Members of the Visitation Committee are grateful for the opportunity to visit the many agencies.

Detailed minutes of each visitation are avialable in the Legislative Fiscal Bureau.

#### **HUMAN RESOURCES**

The Budget Subcommittee on Human Resources approved three resolutions related to interim study projects. These were approved by the Legislative Council and three days were alloted for the task. The resolutions and the topics to be addressed during the interim follow:

- 1) House Concurrent Resolution 135 on the correlation of service among the Department of Health and the Department of Social Services, and the involvement of the Commission on the Aging with respect to delivery of in-home health services, and to review the existing procedures regarding purchases of service agreements; and to determine the appropriate level of state funding for each service.
- 2) House Concurrent Resolution 136 on the procedures for awarding and evaluating grants from the Department of Substance Abuse to local substance abuse programs.
- 3) House Concurrent Resolution 137 on the administrative structure, programming and funding of the Commission on the Aging.

In order to study each area in some detail within the limited time frame, the Budget Subcommittee formed three special study committees. The membership of each special study committee was determined on the stated preferences of the members. Each special study committee met once during the 1978 interim. Minutes of these meetings and materials distributed at this time are available from the Fiscal Bureau. The reports in each area follow.

#### In-Home Health Care in Iowa

See report submitted under separate cover. (On file with Service Bureau.)

# Alternatives in Substance Abuse Funding

The special study committee met on October 4, 1978, with representatives of the Department of Substance Abuse, the State Association of Counties, and the Substance Abuse Association of Iowa. In this way, perspectives regarding the departmental procedures for awarding and evaluating treatment grants and the impact of the drug and alcohol merger were obtained from the state agency, the counties, and the providers of services.

Many changes in funding procedures have been made which has improved the communication between counties, treatment facilities, and the state agency. It was felt by the presenters that the system was much smoother than in the past. There was some comment that the process should be more closely coordinated with the county budgeting process. Other problems mentioned related to the "mandated" cost of certain programs and the impact this has had on the cost of treatment facilities. This increased cost is often absorbed with property tax funds. It was also stated that state funding for treatment facilities was inadequate to meet the expectations for treatment facilities. It was noted that significant

gaps in services still exist in areas of prevention, education, juveniles, mobile crisis intervention, and ex-convict services.

Alternatives for funding substance abuse services were discussed and the department stated that it would propose some options to the General Assembly.

No recommendations were made.

# Structure of the Iowa Aging Network

The special study committee met on November 27, 1978, with representatives of the Commission on Aging and the Area Agencies on Aging. A federal representative was asked to participate, but was unable to attend due to inclement weather. The purpose of the meeting was to review the structure of the aging network in Iowa and examine potential problems with the system.

It was noted that though the Legislature wants a single responsible body for aging programs, the network itself evidences a great deal of variation and diversity. This variation and diversity is both the strength and weakness of the system. It makes "grassroots" planning possible and makes control more difficult. It was noted that some strengthening changes are needed in the state law. Specifics were not discussed. It was also noted that more coordination of federal and state level agencies (DSS, OOT, Health, etc.) would help the area agencies meet their responsibilities. This presents particular problems with audits by these agencies. It was also noted that uniform reporting systems and performance standards are needed.

The special study committee instructed staff to review the possible duplication of audit functions by state agencies regarding the area agencies and report to the Human Resources Budget Subcommittee.

#### NATURAL RESOURCES

#### Introduction

The Natural Resources Visitation Committee was authorized four meeting days for the purpose of accomplishing two specific interim projects. The first of these was to educate the committee regarding federal watershed protection and flood prevention projects under Public Law 566 and to clarify legislative intent regarding the Conservation Commission's responsibility for financing a portion of the projects. The second task was to educate the committee regarding the historical and projected development of selected state lake construction and development projects. The original concept of the study include on-site visits to the project sites. The Natural Resources Visitation Committee met for two days to accomplish these interim projects. No on-site visits were conducted as this was considered an unnecessary expenditure of time and money. The report of the committee's activities follows.

# Federal Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Projects (PL 566 projects)

Chapter 1009, Section 6 of the 67th General Assembly, Second Session Laws requires the Conservation Commission to give priority to PL 566 projects in the allocation of unobligated capital funds when a delay in such funding would delay the project and the Commission has entered into an agreement with other units of government regarding the project. The specific project around which the controversy revolved was the Little River Watershed Project. The local units of government were seeking \$400,000 from the 1978-79 general fund capital appropriation to the Conservation Commission in order to proceed with land acquisition for the project. The controversy itself was with respect to the nature of the "agreements" discussed in Chapter 1009, Section 6. Representative Arlo Hullinger maintained that "agreements" referred to any PL 566 agreements containing a Commission commitment for funding. The Fiscal Bureau staff memorandum stated the belief of the Natural Resources Budget Subcommittee staff that the latter interpretation was correct and that the budget subcommittee was aware of this.

The Natural Resources Budget Subcommittee determined that the Natural Resources Visitation Committee should meet to review the nature of the PL 566 program in Iowa and the specific plans for the Little River Watershed Project. Based on this, they were asked to make a decision regarding the intent of Chapter 1009, Section 6.

The Natural Resources Visitation Committee met on October 2, 1978, with representatives of federal, state, and local agencies. The meeting included briefings of the federal and state purposes and process for initiation and development of PL 566 projects and the substantial local interest in the Little River Watershed Project. It was determined by the three attending members of the budget subcommittee (Representatives Perkins and Evans, and Senator Junkins) that it was the intent of the Natural Resources Budget Subcommittee that Chapter 1009, Section 6 refer to funding agreements only. The Fiscal Bureau staff was directed to prepare and transmit a letter detailing this position to the Director of the Conservation Commission with copies to the other interested parties (including area legislators). This was done on November 27, 1978.

# State Lake Construction and Development Projects

The Natural Resources Budget Subcommittee has repeatedly reviewed and approved capital improvement projects involving the development of artificial lakes in Iowa. The concern of the committee was that this review and approval process was conducted during the appropriation process. As a result, there was not sufficient time to allow full discussion of development plans. The Natural Resources Budget Subcommittee also wished to review the status of certain projects. These were:

- 1) Barnes City Lake
- 2) Pleasant Creek Lake
- Volga River Lake
- 4) Brushy Creek Lake
- 5) Big Creek Lake

The Natural Resources Visitation Committee met on October 3, 1978, with the staff of the Conservation Commission for a briefing and discussion of the above listed projects. In addition, the visitation committee reviewed the Lake Manawa project. A reference file was compiled by the Conservation Commission at the request of the Fiscal Bureau, which details the legislative history, fiscal history, current status, and future action of each project. Copies of this are available from the Legislative Fiscal Bureau. In addition to the discussion of this material, the committee reviewed recent slide photographs of the projects.

The Natural Resources Visitation Committee made no recommendation as none was required.

# SOCIAL SERVICES

The Joint Senate-House Budget Subcommittee conducted eight meetings utilizing six days during the 1978 interim.

### The meetings were:

1) 3-Member subcommittees visiting local nursing homes and residential care facilities.

2)	Mens' Reformatory	Anamosa	Nov. 28
3)	Community Based Corrections	Cedar Rapids	Nov. 28
4)	Community Based Corrections	Cedar Rapids	Nov. 28
5)	Community Based Corrections	Iowa City	Nov. 29
6)	Medium Security Unit	Mt. Pleasant	Nov. 29
7)	State Penitentiary	Ft. Madison	Nov. 30
8)		Ft. Madison	Nov. 30

# Intermediate Care/Residential Care Facilities

Members of the Budget Subcommittee on Social Services brokedown into threeperson subcommittees to visit local ICF's/RDF's in their respective areas. Members collected information on operating costs and staffing patterns.

Recommendations: None at this time.

#### Mens' Reformatory

The Budget Subcommittee on Social Services met with Warden Auger on November 28, 1978. The following areas were discussed:

- Review of Current Programs
- Inmate Population current, future
- Unitization Progress
- Prison Industries
- Other problem areas

Recommendations: The subcommittee does not have any formal recommendations at this time, but suggests that the DSS review the current uses of farm land owned by the department and develop future alternative uses. They should also consider among such alternatives confinement feeding of hogs or cattle and nursery operations.

# Community Based Corrections

The subcommittee visited three community based facilities, two in Cedar Rapids and one in Iowa City. At each facility the subcommittee discussed the following:

- General Review of Programs
- Budget Information FY '78 and FY '79
- Caseload Data
- Problem Areas

Recommendations: The subcommittee feels the community programs reviewed are running smoothly and therefore have no recommend-

ations at this time.

#### Medium Security Unit, Mt. Pleasant

At the Medium Security Unit the subcommittee met with Superintendent John Thalacker and his staff. The following areas were covered:

- 1) Overview of Current Programs
- 2) Caseload Data
- 3) Treatment Unit
- 4) Evaluation System
- 5) Problem Area

Recommendations:

The Budget Subcommittee does not have any formal recommendations at this time, but suggests the DSS work out an arrangement whereby the psychiatric resources at the Mental Health Institution could also be utilized at the Medium Security Unit on a limited basis.

# State Penitentiary

On November 30, 1978, the subcommittee met with Warden Baughman. The following areas were discussed:

1) Overview of Programs

- 2) Inmate Population projections
- 3) Update August 29 disturbance
- 4) John Bennett Center
- 5) Prison Industries
- 6) Legal Assistance Program
- 7) Furlough Program
- 8) County Confinement Reimbursement Program

Recommendations:

The subcommittee does not have any formal recommendations at this time, but suggests that the DSS review the current uses of farm land owned by the department and develop future alternative uses and consider such alternatives such as confinement lots for hogs and cattle, nursery and greenhouse (vegetable) operations.

#### Foster Care

The Budget Subcommittee on Social Services met on December 14, 1978, to consider the Department of Social Services' Foster Care rate structure report. The following areas were covered:

- 1) Foster Care Rate Structure
- 2) Court Ordered Foster Care
- 3) Juvenile Justice Impact

Because the department has not fully completed their rate structure study, the subcommittee does not have any final recommendations at this time. The subcommittee does suggest that the department take into consideration other services received by Foster Care recipients and what effect that might have on Family Foster Care costs and the related rate structure.

# State Eligibility Determination

The subcommittee met on December 15, 1978, to consider the DSS's report on State Eligibility Determination.

Recommendations:

The subcommittee does not have any final recommendations at this time, but feels the practice of transferring property to become eligible for medical assistance should be stopped. The subcommittee suggests that the department's legal counsel develop language (alternatives) that can be placed in the Code of Iowa to make the practice of transferring property, for the sole purpose of becoming eligible for Title XIX, illegal.

Minutes of the visitation meetings are on file in the Legislative Fiscal Bureau.

PHILIP B. HILL
STATE SENATOR

District Thirty-Three
POLK COUNTY
HOME ADDRESS
5403 WATERBURY ROAD

DES MOINES, IOWA 50312
HOME TELEPHONE:
(AREA 515) 274-0767



# The Senate

STATE OF IOWA
Sixty-Seventh General Assembly
Statchouse

Des Moines, Jowa 50319 November 20, 1978

#### COMMITTEES

COUNTY GOVERNMENT
HUMAN RESOURCES
JUDICIARY
BUDGET
SUBCOMMITTEE ON EDUCATION\*

RANKING MEMBER

The Honorable George R. Kinley State Senator Chairman, Iowa Legislative Council 5006 SW 18th Street Des Moines, Iowa 50315

Dear Senator Kinley:

At the November meeting of the Legislative Council, you referred to Representative Doyle and me a letter dated November 6, 1978 from William P. Angrick II, Citizens' Aide/Ombudsman, and asked that Mr. Doyle and I report to you concerning the questions raised in the letter.

Representative Doyle and I have reviewed the letter from Mr. Angrick and the material which accompanied the same, and we also reviewed the applicable Code sections.

In his letter, Mr. Angrick has requested the Legislative Council to clarify his responsibilities with regard to Sections 601G.8 of the Code of Iowa, 1977. Although Representative Doyle and I agree as to the interpretation of Section 601G.8 of the Code, we are not entirely in agreement concerning some of the matters that were contained in a report that accompanied the letter from Mr. Angrick. Also, we are not sure that the Legislative Council has any power to "clarify" a section of the Code although as members of the Council, we have, at your request, given to you herein our views regarding this Code section and the matters raised in the letter from Mr. Angrick.

Section 601G.8 of the Code reads as follows: "The citizens' aide may maintain secrecy in respect to all matters including the identities of the complainants or witnesses coming before him, except that the general assembly, any standing committee of the general assembly or the governor may require disclosure of any matter and shall have complete access to the records and files of the citizens' aide. The citizens' aide may conduct private hearings."

In the joint opinion of Representative Doyle and the undersigned, this section of the Code grants wide discretion to the citizens' aide with respect to matters being investigated by this agency. The citizens' aide may maintain secrecy in respect to all matters including the identities of complainants or witnesses coming before him, however, the citizens' aide may choose to reveal the identity of complainants or witnesses that have come before him. In the event the citizens' aide to chooses not to reveal the identity of complainants and witnesses, it is clear that a standing committee of the general assembly, the governor, or the general assembly may require such disclosure and may have complete access to the records and files of the citizens' aide.

The Legislative Council is not the general assembly and it is not a standing committee of the general assembly; thus, the Legislative Council has no power to compel disclosure of the identities of complainants or witnesses or to require access to files maintained by the citizens' aide.

It is our opinion that no individual legislator can compel the citizens' aide to reveal this information if the citizens' aide chooses not to do so. In our opinion, the citizens' aide has the right to reveal the identities of complainants and witnesses without being compelled to do so. In our opinion, there are instances in which the identities should be revealed, and I can understand that there would be instances in which a contrary view would be reached.

If the citizens' aide permits one individual to know the identity of complainants or witnesses with respect to a matter before the office, the same information should be available to all members of the public.

Representative Doyle and I differ, as noted above, concerning the manner in which the citizens' aide handled the Iowa Public Records Search, Inc. matter, however, our differences are irrevelent and have no bearing on the comments expressed herein with respect to Section 601G.8 of the Code. If a more definitive opinion is required, perhaps the attorney general should be requested to give such an opinion. Also, perhaps the state government committee might be interested in reviewing this section of the Code to see if it believes the present law clarifies the intent of a majority of the members of the legislature.

We hope that this letter fulfills our obligations under the appointment made by you.

Respectfully,

PHILIP B. HILL

PBH: chj

cc: The Hon. Donald V. Doyle and Mr. William P. Angrick II

# MINUTES

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

# December 20, 1978

The 15th meeting of the 1977-78 Legislative Council was called to order at 10:05 a.m., Wednesday, December 20, 1978 in Senate Committee Room 22 of the State House in Des Moines. Council members attending the meeting were:

							i 1	1	í	
Con Consul D Window Obein	a.m.					p.m.				
Sen. George R. Kinley, Chair	(V)					C				
Speaker Dale M. Cochran, V.C.	P				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	P			, , ,	بربوب
Lt. Gov. Arthur A. Neu (ex cfc.						A				
Senator C. Joseph Coleman	$\mathcal{F}$					1				
Senator Lucas J. DeKoster	1					P				
Senator Gene W. Glenn	R					R				
Senator Eugene M. Hill	A					A				
Senator Philip B. Hill	P					P				
Senator Calvin O. Hultman	P					P				
Senator Fred W. Nolting	P					P				
Senator William D. Palmer	P					P				
Senator Richard R. Ramsey	F					P				
Repr. Gregory D. Cusack	P					W				
Æepr. Donald V. Doyle	P					P		<u> </u>		
Repr. Jerome D. Fitzgerald	R					1		<u> </u>		
Repr. James I. Middlesvart	P					P				
Repr. Floyd H. Millen	P			<u> </u>		8				
Repr. W. R. Monroe, Jr.	*			ļ		**				
Repr. Delwyn D. Stromer	P			ļ		0	<u> </u>			
Repr. Andrew P. Varley	14			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1				
Repr. Richard W. Welden Other persons present for the	14	<u> </u>	L	1,1,3,0	-	14	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Other bersons bresent for the	:::i:ee	PTHE	TILC	<b>zuue</b>	u					

\* Nat'l Guard Study Committee

Lens. norman Rodgers, Buss Van Zilst

Repro. Phil Varill, Lyle Scheel hance,

(HI) Senator Nep war recognized as Chairperson of the Sen. 14s. Committee on Agriculture, and premiled the report of the (joint) Subvanni the on of the standing Senate (and/or) House Committee on to present the report of the Subsommille. Is B Recent aralyt Legal laund was recognized for the report of the (point) Subsammittee on \_\_\_\_\_ the clanding Servate (and for) House Committee on Mins. Oayle - OH - all aye

(3)

(2) Repr. Phil Pavett, Co-Chi, Partilion Fine Some. Instim holtey, all age.

(#3) T. J. - Onb. Employee het ment tythun bubeon. Enl. members directed to the Bring a ffootile regarding the Subsonin study and its recommendations Motion Welling, all ayo.

(#2) Le. holting, Co-Che, Orban Revitalization of Cul. mbu directed to An holting of few? in regarding the Subcom's study i ile recise. Instion busach, all age.

(#2) Sen. Norman Rodgers, Cottonia, Whate Subsummitte, Research Splan Sing discourse Out members briefly discussed the signit, directing a few? in to Sen. hodgen. Sen. I I motion, all age

(#1) Den. Lodger, Property Lan Strely Labron's sport.

- Vergneste approval of applied Wm. Palmer lien - (Bernice Lowell Junkins. Twitting motion, all lege then presented the Property Lan Rubeom Report, and responded to a # of ?'s from Carniel rubes. Trotting motion, all lege.

(#2) light linderson, Income in Study Subcommittee.
Cul member directed to bego. andrewn several?'s Jugarding The Subcomin report and recommendations.
P. Hill mation, all alex

Sen. Hinly for his service on Council Chairperson at he the part two years, and presented to Sen. Kinley wrapped from the launcil manhers. Sen. Kinley agent the off, a gard mounted upon a suitably inscribed plague, and expressed his appreciation for the corporation and arrivations of the corporation

(#1) fign. Tyle Scheelhaare, Young Farmers Fran Program Subcom. After some discussion of the Subcomin report & recommendations, Seps. Curch moved that the report he received. all dye.

(#2) figs ( lieg) Cusach, a meinter of mental Health agency dubcom. Enlander divolted the thefire about On Six regarding the first own. upon conclusion of him fishautin preventation, all age.

(#1) Repr. John Connors, Mandatory Retirement, After a hinf discussion of the Subsomin report & rein, Repr. Currel moved -- are ay.

(#1) Sen. Bace Van Silet, Brein Vigarit & Handling Subcom. Cul. mohrs. directed to Sen. Van Silet a # of ?'s regarding the Subcom's report and its recommendations. Haltmon motion, all day.

(#2) Regr. Linda Svoboda, Truelian Power Plant
Operation Subrommittie. All substituted to Deproduced and its recommendations
There was some discussion of the , Lendor Coleman subspicals served on the Luberumille)
offering a number of comments. Inting mores, Alllege.

(#1) begr. John Catchett, School Aid Formula Subcommittee. End mbrs. directed to keps. Palchett a Sew?'s regarding the Subcommittees report and its recommendations. Zolling mover, all age.

Mercu for lanch a 12:00 noon, Kinley noting that the Council war station were promptly at 1:00 pm. at that time, Kinley, Palmi, Ong & Pricellement were present.

The meeting were reconvened at 1:20 p.m. (attendance)
Chairperson Kinley recognized Speaker Committee
who presented the council's allumination Committee
who presented the separt of that Committee pursuant to
its meetings on the afternoon of howember 8 and on
Devember 6. The report and accompanying materials
whatook to the orientation conference for new legislation,
redictated for Garwary 3-4, 1979, and to the allumin
Committee's Concern in regard to five refety in
certain areas of the Capital Bldg.

On motion of hope middleswart the substite for the orientation conf. in and lived in this report in the report in the report

to fix refety, Igh locken moved that the admin low required. We motion was adopted by a unan voice onte.

(12) Den John Serth - Nevance Perovery Subsect. East make structed to Den State Doyle motion, All legs.

(#1) Regn. Middlesward - J. Subsom on Wales Jane the substance of the subsomer attily well recommendation. I from conclusion of his setyon, hepr. Middlesward moved acceptance of the Subsom's report. all lige.

Statistic to town recognized Superintendent of blogs. & brounds, who presented a report on the activation possible means of inafficulting offeneloging underopvenel parking facilities. The plans were leveloped pursuant to the Segin Cul's action of (spring 1978), and are described in a borblet but Ald labeled Parking Structure Tensibility Study prepared by the Housen Lind Treyer architectural firm of Sown lity. A copyliant of the booklet is for on file with LSB, and addit a copies were provided for bronzminion to the appropriate attacky committees.

militari.

(5)

Mr. Me l. explained that the development of a contint cafeterin it had ariginally been continuplated that a new central cafetern be indveled in parting complex. Invan needs updating; can be tied in what, ite.

(#2) Sign. Doyle - 8 & Subsem. Stal under directed)

To Seli. Robinson a Sew ?'a regarding the Subsemine)

Italy and its report. By Doyle moved that this til angel

The report to Adapted, and the notion was adapted by an

(#2) Son Cloyd Johinson - Credit Union Share Vrafte It. Subsum. I Williag motion, all rige.

(#3) Legal but. Jany Burch- Orchute Liability hebom.

Bruch noter received of the choch for the Sound literate of the many for making a study of the many for making a study of the or condition of my controlate a like and clined sugar motion of the land of the day to the land the

blegs. Millen moved that the Council attend the check to the Sown Mondacheron The motion was seconded by Degs-Curach. After some disein, the lat. adopted the motion by a voice vote. Pay to mation receive report. All ago.

(#2) Seps. Wally Harn - Wen School Funding Sukan. Nolling mation, all age.

(#2) Sign kobert anderson- Jun Sous, et Inkom. after brief disein, Poyle motion - all age.

(#2) Repr. Oon avenson, mer. - Neong's f DEa. Cal.
mbro. cliested to Repr. avenum a ?'s regarding the Systemis
report & reio. Rulling motion, all age.

Chairperion Kinly recognized Peper - Cusack, Co-Chairperion of the Lego Fixed Committee, who presented ask Mill Sept AM the Fixed Committee's report covering its activities during the 1978 lego interim. A copy of the report is attached to and by this reference made a part of these minutes.

After a dirección of current medicaid costs, and in particular the current medicaid muring hame reinsbursement formula, Nepr. lurach moned that the launcil accept the Fired Committee's report. The motion was adapted by a renenvoice vote.

Rivley requests Derge to dist-comments.

Angrich - P. Hill - Dayle - ! Hill comments.

Edvil De-Repart - Doyle moner, all æge.

Blize re: Sany Kanfman- Doyle mones, all age.

Lerge re: grievance procedure. Hill moues, allage.

(#3) Bernie K - Ivel Effort the flory- P. Kill

Alfour 3:05 g.m.