The House met pursuant to adjournment at 8:53 a.m., Speaker Upmeyer in the chair.

Prayer was offered by Pastor Ryan Jorgenson, Harvest Bible Chapel, Grimes. He was the guest of Highfill of Polk.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Blessed Maria Middle School students from Waterloo. They were the guests of Brown-Powers of Black Hawk.

The Journal of Wednesday, February 15, 2017, was approved.

ADOPTION OF HOUSE RESOLUTION 6

Hagenow of Polk called up for consideration House Resolution 6, a resolution recognizing February 17, 2017, as the 175th anniversary of Iowa Wesleyan University.

Heaton of Henry moved the adoption of House Resolution 6.

The motion prevailed and the resolution was adopted.

RULE 67 INVOKED
(Time Certain)

Hagenow of Polk moved that a time certain be set for the close of debate and that the House act on all amendments filed to House File 291 no later than 12:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 16, 2017 and then immediately proceed to a substitution, if available, and closing remarks, then final passage on the bill under consideration.

Hunter of Polk rose on a point of order.
Hunter of Polk moved to amend the motion for time certain at 12:00 p.m. on February 23, 2017 and final remarks on all amendments.

Hunter of Polk moved to amend the amendment to the motion for time certain at 12:01 p.m. on February 23, 2017 and final remarks on all amendments.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

On the question "Shall the amendment to the amendment to the motion for time certain at 12:01 p.m. on February 23, 2017 and final remarks on all amendments be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 40:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Dolecheck
Finkenauer  Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill
Hall  Hanson  Heddens  Hunter
Isenhart  Jacoby  Kacena  Kears
Kressig  Kurth  Lensing  Mascher
McConkey  Meyer  Miller  Nielsen
Oldson  Olson  Orth  Prichard
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Wolfe

The nays were, 54:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Fisher
Fry  Gassman  Grassley  Gustafson
Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Taylor, R.  Vander Linden  Watts
Wheeler  Wills  Windschitl  Worthan
Zumbach  Speaker  Upmeyer

Absent or not voting, 6:

Forristall  Heartsill  Heaton  Running-Marquardt
Sieck  Winckler

The motion lost.
Hunter of Polk moved to amend the motion for time certain at 12:00 p.m. on February 23, 2017 and final remarks on all amendments.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

On the question "Shall the amendment to the motion for time certain at 12:00 p.m. on February 23, 2017 and final remarks on all amendments. be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 39:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ayes</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Outth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 56:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nays</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Baudler</td>
<td>Baxter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommson</td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windschitl</td>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent or not voting, 5:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winckler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The motion lost.

T. Taylor of Linn rose on a point of order.
T. Taylor of Linn moved to amend the motion for time certain at 12:02 a.m. on February 18, 2017.

Roll call was requested by T. Taylor of Linn and M. Smith of Marshall.

On the question "Shall the amendment to the motion for time certain at 12:02 a.m. on February 18, 2017 be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 39:

| Abdul-Samad | Anderson | Bearinger | Bennett |
| Breckenridge | Brown-Powers | Cohoon | Finkenauer |
| Forbes | Gaines | Gaskill | Hall |
| Hanson | Heddens | Hunter | Isenhart |
| Jacoby | Kacena | Kearns | Kessig |
| Kurth | Lensing | Mascher | McConkey |
| Meyer | Miller | Nielsen | Oldson |
| Olson | Ourth | Prichard | Smith, M. |
| Smith, R. | Staed | Steckman | Taylor, T. |
| Thede | Wessel-Kroeschell | Wolfe |

The nays were, 56:

| Bacon | Baltimore | Baudler | Baxter |
| Bergan | Best | Bloomingdale | Carlin |
| Carlson | Cownie | Deyoe | Dolecheck |
| Fisher | Fry | Gassman | Grassey |
| Gustafson | Hagenow | Hager | Hanusa |
| Heaton | Hein | Highfill | Hinson |
| Holt | Holz | Huseman | Jones |
| Kaufmann | Kerr | Klein | Koester |
| Landon | Lundgren | Maxwell | McKeen |
| Mohr | Mommassen | Moore | Nunn |
| Paustian | Pettengill | Rizer | Salmon |
| Sexton | Sheets | Sieck | Taylor, R. |
| Vander Linden | Watts | Wheeler | Wills |
| Windschitl | Worthan | Zumbach | Speaker |
| | | | Upmeyer |

Absent or not voting, 5:

| Forristall | Heartsill | Rogers | Running-Marquardt |
| Winckler | |

The motion lost.

Hagenow of Polk moved that a time certain be set for the close of debate and that the House act on all amendments filed to House File 291 no later than 12:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 16, 2017 and then
immediately proceed to a substitution, if available, and closing remarks, then final passage on the bill under consideration.

Roll call was requested by T. Taylor of Linn and Hunter of Polk.

On the question "Shall time certain be set at no later than 12:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 16, 2017?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 58:

Bacon         Baltimore         Baudler         Baxter
Bergan        Best             Beyer           Carlin
Carlson       Cownie          Deyoe           Dolecheck
Fisher        Fry             Gaines          Gassman
Grassley      Gustafson       Hagenow         Hager
Hanusa        Heaton          Hein            Highfill
Hinson        Holt            Holz            Huseman
Jones         Kaufmann        Kerr            Klein
Koeester      Landon          Lundgren        Maxwell
McKeen        Mohr            Mommesen       Moore
Nunn          Outh            Paustian        Pettengill
Rizer         Salmon          Sexton          Sheets
Sieck         Taylor, R.      Vander Linden    Watts
Wheeler       Wills           Windschitl     Worthan
Zumbach       Speaker         Upmeyer

The nays were, 37:

Abdul-Samad   Anderson        Bearinger       Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers   Cohoon          Finkenauer
Forbes        Gaskill         Hall            Hanson
Heddens       Hunter          Isehkart        Jacoby
Kacena        Kears           Kressig         Kurth
Lensing       Mascher         McConkey       Meyer
Miller        Nielsen         Oldson          Olson
Prichard      Smith, M.      Smith, R.       Staed
Steckman      Taylor, T.     Thede           Wessel-Kroeschell
Wolfe

Absent or not voting, 5:

Ferristall    Heartsill       Rogers          Running-Marquardt
Winckeler

The motion prevailed.

Hagenow of Polk asked and received unanimous consent to resume consideration of House File 291.
CONSIDERATION OF BILL
Regular Calendar

The House resumed consideration of House File 291, a bill for an act relating to employment matters involving public employees including collective bargaining, educator employment matters, personnel records and settlement agreements, city civil service requirements, and health insurance matters, making penalties applicable, and including effective date, applicability, and transition provisions, previously deferred.

Hunter of Polk offered amendment H–1031 filed by him.

Windschitl of Harrison in the chair at 9:52 a.m.

Kressig of Black Hawk offered amendment H–1099, to amendment H–1031, filed by him.

Mascher of Johnson rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker ruled the point not well taken.

Kressig of Black Hawk moved the adoption of amendment H–1099 to amendment H–1031.

Roll call was requested by Kressig of Black Hawk and Abdul-Samad of Polk.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1099, to amendment H–1031, be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 40:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
The nays were, 57:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heaton  Hein  Highfill  Hinson
Holt  Holz  Huseman  Jones
Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein  Koester
Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell  McKeen
Mohr  Mommesen  Moore  Nunn
Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer  Rogers
Salmon  Sexton  Sheets  Sieck
Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden  Watts
Wheeler  Wills  Worthan  Zumbach
Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 3:

Forristall  Heartsill  Running-Marquardt

Amendment H–1099, to amendment H–1031, lost.

Kaufmann of Cedar rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker ruled the point well taken.

Kressig of Black Hawk rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker ruled the point not well taken.

Kaufmann of Cedar rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker ruled the point well taken.

Wills of Dickinson in the chair at 11:26 a.m.

Windschitl of Harrison in the chair at 11:56 a.m.
The Speaker announced that time certain having come to pass, all amendments will now be put to the question.

Hunter of Polk moved the adoption of amendment \( H-1031 \).

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment \( H-1031 \) be adopted?" (H.P. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kears</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
<td>Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td>Hanusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
<td>Highfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
<td>Huseman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
<td>Maxwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommesen</td>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
<td>Rizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
<td>Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
<td>Worthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment \( H-1031 \) lost.
Finkenauer of Dubuque offered amendment **H–1091** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment **H–1091** be adopted?" (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ayes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaskill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heddens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kacena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kearns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nielsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ourth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prichard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baudler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cownie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deyoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagenow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mommersen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paustian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windschitl,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment **H–1091** lost.
Finkenauer of Dubuque offered amendment **H–1094** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment **H–1094** be adopted?" (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
<td>Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td>Hanusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
<td>Highfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
<td>Huseman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
<td>Maxwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
<td>Rizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
<td>Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
<td>Worthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Ferristall

Amendment **H–1094** lost.
T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment H–1095 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1095 be adopted?" *(H.F. 291)*

The ayes were, 42:

- Abdul-Samad
- Anderson
- Bearinger
- Bennett
- Breckenridge
- Brown-Powers
- Cohoon
- Finkenauer
- Forbes
- Gaines
- Gaskill
- Hall
- Hanson
- Heddens
- Hunter
- Isenhart
- Jacoby
- Kacena
- Kearns
- Kressig
- Kurth
- Lensing
- Mascher
- McConkey
- McKeen
- Meyer
- Miller
- Nielsen
- Oldson
- Olson
- Ourth
- Prichard
- Running-Marquardt
- Smith, M.
- Smith, R.
- Staed
- Steckman
- Taylor, T.
- Thede
- Wessel-Kroeschell
- Winckler
- Wolfe

The nays were, 57:

- Bacon
- Baltimore
- Baudler
- Baxter
- Bergan
- Best
- Bloomingdale
- Carlin
- Carlson
- Cownie
- Deyoe
- Dolecheck
- Fisher
- Fry
- Gassman
- Grassey
- Gustafson
- Hagenow
- Hager
- Hanusa
- Heartsill
- Heaton
- Hein
- Highfill
- Hinson
- Holt
- Holz
- Huseman
- Jones
- Kaufmann
- Kerr
- Klein
- Koester
- Landon
- Lundgren
- Maxwell
- Mohr
- Mommersen
- Moore
- Nunn
- Paustian
- Pettengill
- Rizer
- Rogers
- Salmon
- Sexton
- Sheets
- Sieck
- Taylor, R.
- Upmeyer, Spkr.
- Vander Linden
- Watts
- Wheeler
- Wills
- Worthan
- Zumbach

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1095 lost.
Wessel-Kroeschell of Story offered amendment H–1024 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1024 be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bearinger Bennett
Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon Finkenauer
Forbes Gaines Gaskill Hall
Hanson Heddens Hunter Isenhart
Jacoby Kacena Kearns Kressig
Kurth Lensing Mascher McConkey
Meyer Miller Nielsen Oldson
Olson Ourth Prichard Running-Marquardt
Smith, M. Smith, R. Staed Steckman
Taylor, T. Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon Baltimore Baudler Baxter
Bergan Best Bloomingdale Carlin
Carlson Cownie Deyoe Dolecheck
Fisher Fry Gassman Grassley
Gustafson Hagenow Hager Hanusa
Heartsill Heaton Hein Highfill
Hinson Holt Holz Huseman
Jones Kaufmann Kerr Klein
Koester Landon Lundgren Maxwell
McKean Mohr Mommesen Moore
Nunn Paustian Pettengill Rizer
Rogers Salmon Sexton Sheets
Sieck Taylor, R. Upmeyer, Spkr. Vander Linden
Watts Wheeler Wills Worthan
Zumbach Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1024 lost.
Wessel-Kroeschell of Story offered amendment H–1025 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1025 be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1025 lost.
T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment H–1027 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1027 be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 43:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heaton  Heidens  Hunter
Isenhart  Jacoby  Kacena  Kears
Kressig  Kurth  Lensing  Mascher
McConkey  McKeen  Meyer  Miller
Nielsen  Oldson  Olson  Ourt
Prichard  Running-Marquardt  Smith, M.  Smith, R.
Staed  Steckman  Taylor, T.  Thede
Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler  Wolfe

The nays were, 56:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Hein  Highfill  Hinson
Holt  Holz  Huseman  Jones
Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein  Koester
Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell  Mohr
Mommsen  Moore  Nunn  Paustian
Pettengill  Rizer  Rogers  Salmon
Sexton  Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.
Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler
Wills  Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1027 lost.

Brown-Powers of Black Hawk offered amendment H–1028 filed by her and moved its adoption.
Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1028 be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

| Abdul-Samad | Anderson | Bearinger | Bennett |
| Breckenridge | Brown-Powers | Cohoon | Finkenauer |
| Forbes | Gaines | Gaskill | Hall |
| Hanson | Heddens | Hunter | Isenhart |
| Jacoby | Kacena | Kearns | Kressig |
| Kurth | Lensing | Mascher | McConkey |
| Meyer | Miller | Nielsen | Oldson |
| Olson | Ourth | Prichard | Running-Marquardt |
| Smith, M. | Smith, R. | Staed | Steckman |
| Taylor, T. | Thede | Wessel-Kroeschell | Winckler |
| Wolfe |  |  |  |

The nays were, 58:

| Bacon | Baltimore | Baudler | Baxter |
| Bergan | Best | Bloomingdale | Carlin |
| Carlson | Cownie | Deyoe | Dolecheck |
| Fisher | Fry | Gassman | Grassley |
| Gustafson | Hagenow | Hager | Hanusa |
| Heartsill | Heaton | Hein | Highfill |
| Hinson | Holt | Holz | Huseman |
| Jones | Kaufmann | Kerr | Klein |
| Koester | Landon | Lundgren | Maxwell |
| McKeen | Mohr | Mommsen | Moore |
| Nunn | Paustian | Pettengill | Rizer |
| Rogers | Salmon | Sexton | Sheets |
| Sieck | Taylor, R. | Upmeyer, Spkr. | Vander Linden |
| Watts | Wheeler | Wills | Worthan |
| Zumbach | Windschitl, Presiding |  |  |

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1028 lost.

Kacena of Woodbury offered amendment H–1032 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.
Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1032 be adopted?" (H. F. 291)

The ayes were, 42:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Best  Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon
Finkenauer  Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill
Hall  Hanson  Heddens  Hunter
Isenhart  Jacoby  Kacena  Kears
Kressig  Kurth  Lensing  Mascher
McConkey  Meyer  Miller  Nielsen
Oldson  Olson  Ourth  Prichard
Running-Marquard  Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed
Steckman  Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell
Winckler  Wolfe

The nays were, 57:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Bloomingdale  Carlin  Carlson
Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck  Fisher
Fry  Gassman  Grasley  Gustafson
Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa  Heartsill
Heaton  Hein  Highfill  Hinson
Holt  Holz  Huseman  Jones
Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein  Koester
Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell  McKean
Mohr  Mommsen  Moore  Nunn
Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer  Rogers
Salmon  Sexton  Sheets  Sieck
Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden  Watts
Taylor, R.  Wills  Worthan  Zumbach

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1032 lost.

Nielsen of Johnson offered amendment H–1033 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.
On the question “Shall amendment H–1033 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cowrie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassey
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKeen  Mohr  Mommisen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, T.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1033 lost.

T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment H–1034 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1034 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourt  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1034 lost.

Kearns of Lee offered amendment H–1035 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1035 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1035 lost.

McConkey of Pottawattamie offered amendment H–1036 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1036 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes   Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson   Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth   Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer   Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson   Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan   Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher   Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson   Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Hufill
Hinson   Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones   Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean   Mohr  Momsen  Moore
Nunn   Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers   Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck   Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts   Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment **H–1036** lost.

Forbes of Polk offered amendment **H–1037** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1037** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1037 lost.

Wolfe of Clinton offered amendment H–1043 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1043 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kears  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler

Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1043 lost.

Bearinger of Fayette offered amendment H–1055 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1055 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Hightill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment **H–1055** lost.

Nielsen of Johnson offered amendment **H–1064** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1064** be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad, Anderson, Bearinger, Bennett
Breckenridge, Brown-Powers, Cohoon, Finkenauer
Forbes, Gaines, Gaskill, Hall
Hanson, Heddens, Hunter, Isenhart
Jacoby, Kacena, Kearns, Kressig
Kurth, Lensing, Mascher, McConkey
Meyer, Miller, Nielsen, Oldson
Olson, Ourth, Prichard, Running-Marquardt
Smith, M., Smith, R., Staed, Steckman
Taylor, T., Thede, Wessel-Kroeschell, Winckler

The nays were, 58:

Bacon, Baltimore, Baudler, Baxter
Bergan, Best, Bloomingdale, Carlin
Carlson, Cownie, Deyoe, Dolecheck
Fisher, Fry, Gassman, Grassley
Gustafson, Hagenow, Hager, Hanusa
Heartsill, Heaton, Hein, Highfill
Hinson, Holt, Holz, Huseman
Jones, Kaufmann, Kerr, Klein
Koester, Landon, Lundgren, Maxwell
McKeen, Mohr, Mommsen, Moore
Nunn, Paustian, Pettengill, Rizer
Rogers, Salmon, Sexton, Sheets
Sieck, Taylor, R., Upmeyer, Spkr., Vander Linden
Watts, Wheeler, Wills, Worthan
Zumbach, Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1064 lost.

Kearns of Lee offered amendment H–1065 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1065 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1065 lost.

Hall of Woodbury offered amendment H–1066 filed by him.

Hall of Woodbury offered amendment H–1097, to amendment H–1066, filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1097, to amendment H–1066, be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Hansan</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Ourch</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowrie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
<td>Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td>Hanusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
<td>Highfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
<td>Huseman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosteer</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
<td>Maxwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKean</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
<td>Rizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
<td>Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
<td>Worthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Ferristall

Amendment H–1097, to amendment H–1066, lost.

Hall of Woodbury moved the adoption of amendment H–1066.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1066 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Baudler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowrie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment **H–1066** lost.

Lensing of Johnson offered amendment **H–1079** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1079** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment **H–1079** lost.

Staed of Linn offered amendment **H–1080** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1080** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)
The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Baudler</td>
<td>Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
<td>Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td>Hanusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
<td>Highfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
<td>Huseman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
<td>Maxwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommensen</td>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
<td>Rizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
<td>Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
<td>Worthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Ferristall

Amendment **H–1080** lost.

T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment **H–1086** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1086** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)
The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ayes</th>
<th></th>
<th>Ayes</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kressig</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maccher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>McConkey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oldson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Running-Marquette</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Steckman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winckler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nays</th>
<th></th>
<th>Nays</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baudler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Best</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gassman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td></td>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Holz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td>Klein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sexton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vanders -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td></td>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1086 lost.

Hunter of Polk offered amendment H–1023 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1023 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ayes</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 58:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nays</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Baudler</td>
<td>Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
<td>Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td>Hanusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
<td>Highfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
<td>Huseman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
<td>Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
<td>Maxwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
<td>Rizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
<td>Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
<td>Worthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presiding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment **H–1023** lost.

T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment **H–1021** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1021** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)
The ayes were, 41:
Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler

The nays were, 58:
Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:
Forristall

Amendment **H–1021** lost.

Kacena of Woodbury offered amendment **H–1093** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1093** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad
Brekenridge
Forbes
Hanson
Jacob
Kurth
Meyer
Olson
Smith, M.
Taylor, T.
Wolfe
Anderson
Brown-Powers
Gaines
Heddens
Kacena
Lensing
Miller
Ourth
Smith, R.
Theode
Bearinger
Cohoon
Gaskill
Hunter
Kearns
Mascher
Nielsen
Prichard
Staed
Wessel-Kroeschell
Bennett
Finkenauer
Hall
Isenhart
Kressig
McConkey
Oldson
Running-Marquardt
Steckman
Winckler

The nays were, 58:

Bacon
Bergan
Carlson
Fisher
Gustafson
Heartsill
Hinson
Jones
Koester
McKeen
Nunn
Rogers
Sieck
Watts
Zumbach
Baltimore
Best
Cowrie
Fry
Hagenow
Heaton
Holt
Kaufmann
Landon
Mohr
Paustian
Salmon
Taylor, R.
Wheeler
Windschitl,
Baudler
Bloomingdale
Deyoe
Gassman
Hager
Hein
Holz
Kerr
Lundgren
Mommsen
Pettengill
Sexton
Wills
Presiding
Baxter
Carlin
Dolecheck
Grassley
Hanusa
Highfill
Huseman
Klein
Maxwell
Moore
Rizer
Sheets
Vander Linden
Worthan

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1093 lost.

Running-Marquardt of Linn offered amendment H–1044 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1044 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lansing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommesen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1044 lost.

Bennett of Linn offered amendment H–1051 filed by her.

Finkenauer of Dubuque offered amendment H–1104, to amendment H–1051, filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.
On the question "Shall amendment H–1104, to amendment H–1051, be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 42:

Abdul-Samad
Brekenridge
Finkenauer
Hall
Isenhart
Kressig
McConkey
Oldson
Running-Marquardt
Steckman
Winckler
Anderson
Brown-Powers
Forbes
Hanson
Jacoby
Kurth
Meyer
Olson
Smith, M.
Taylor, T.
Bearinger
Cohoon
Dolecheck
Gaines
Heddens
Kacena
Lensing
Mascher
Miller
Ourth
Prichard
Theede
Wessel-Kroeschell
Bennett
Dolecheck
Gaskill
Hunter
Kearns
Mascher
Nielson
Prichard
Staed
Wessel-Kroeschell

The nays were, 57:

Bacon
Bergan
Carlson
Fry
Gassman
Hagenow
Heaton
Holt
Kaufmann
Landon
Mohr
Paustian
Salmon
Taylor, R.
Wheeler
Windschitl
Baltimore
Best
Cownie
Gassman
Hager
Hein
Holz
Kerr
Lundgren
Mommesen
Pettengill
Sexton
Upmeyer, Spkr.
Wills
Absenti or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1104, to amendment H–1051, lost.

Bennett of Linn moved the adoption of amendment H–1051.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1051 be adopted?" (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 42:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Jones  Kacena  Kears
Kressig  Kurth  Lensing  Mascher
McConkey  Meyer  Miller  Nielsen
Oldson  Olson  Ourth  Prichard
Running-Marquardt  Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed
Steckman  Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell
Winckler  Wolfe

The nays were, 57:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein  Koester
Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell  McKeen
Mohr  Mommsen  Moore  Nunn
Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer  Rogers
Salmon  Sexton  Sheets  Sieck
Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden  Watts
Wheeler  Wills  Worthan  Zumbach
Windschitl,  Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1051 lost.

Running-Marquardt of Linn offered amendment H–1075 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1075 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes      Gaines   Gaskell   Hall
Hanson      Heddens  Hunter   Isenhart
Jacoby      Kacena   Kearns   Kressig
Kurth       Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer       Miller   Nielsen  Oldson
Olson       Ourth    Prichard Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.   Smith, R. Staed   Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede    Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon      Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan     Best       Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson    Cowrie    Deyoe    Dolecheck
Fisher     Fry       Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager    Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton   Hein     Highfill
Hinson     Holt      Holz     Huseman
Jones      Kaufmann  Kerr     Klein
Koester    Landon    Lundgren  Maxwell
McKeen     Mohr      Mommsen  Moore
Nunn       Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers     Salmon    Sexton   Sheets
Sieck      Taylor, R. Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts      Wheeler   Wills    Worthan
Zumbach    Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment **H–1075** lost.

Kacena of Woodbury offered amendment **H–1085** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1085** be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cowrie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach

Absent or not voting, 1:

Forristall

Amendment H–1085 lost.

Kearns of Lee offered amendment H–1039 filed by him.

Kearns of Lee offered amendment H–1100, to amendment H–1039, filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.
On the question "Shall amendment H–1100, to amendment H–1039, be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 42:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Dolecheck
Finkenauer  Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill
Hall  Hanson  Heddens  Hunter
Isernhart  Jacoby  Kacena  Kears
Kressig  Kurth  Lensing  Mascher
McConkey  Meyer  Miller  Nielsen
Oldson  Olson  Ourth  Prichard
Running-Marquardt  Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed
Steckman  Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell
Winckler  Wolfe

The nays were, 58:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Fisher
Forristall  Fry  Gassman  Grassley
Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  Hanusa
Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  Highfill
Hinson  Holt  Holz  Huseman
Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  Klein
Koester  Landon  Lundgren  Maxwell
McKean  Mohr  Mommsen  Moore
Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  Rizer
Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  Sheets
Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden
Watts  Wheeler  Wills  Worthan
Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1100, to amendment H–1039, lost.

Kears of Lee moved the adoption of amendment H–1039.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1039 be adopted?" (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Baudler</td>
<td>Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1039** lost.

Brown-Powers of Black Hawk offered amendment **H–1041** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1041** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cowne  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Husmann  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1041 lost.

Brown-Powers of Black Hawk offered amendment H–1042 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1042 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Outch</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKean</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1042 lost.

Winckler of Scott offered amendment H–1049 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1049 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

| Bacon | Baltimore | Baudler | Baxter |
| Bergan | Best | Bloomingdale | Carlin |
| Carlson | Cownie | Deyoe | Dolecheck |
| Fisher | Forristall | Fry | Gassman |
| Grassley | Gustafson | Hagenow | Hager |
| Hanusa | Heartsill | Heaton | Hein |
| Highfill | Hinson | Holt | Holz |
| Huseman | Jones | Kaufmann | Kerr |
| Klein | Koester | Landon | Lundgren |
| Maxwell | McKeen | Mohr | Mommesen |
| Moore | Nunn | Paustian | Pettengill |
| Rizer | Rogers | Salmon | Sexton |
| Sheets | Sieck | Taylor, R. | Upmeyer, Spkr. |
| Vander Linden | Watts | Wheeler | Wills |
| Worthan | Zumbach | Windschitl, | |
| | | Presiding | |

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1049** lost.

T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment **H–1070** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1070** be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Husman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1070** lost.

Breckenridge of Jasper asked and received unanimous consent to withdraw amendment **H–1071** filed by him on February 13, 2017.

Mascher of Johnson offered amendment **H–1074** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1074** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad    Anderson    Bearinger    Bennett
Brekenridge   Brown-Powers  Cohoon    Finkenauer
Forbes        Gaines     Gaskill     Hall
Hanson        Heddens    Hunter     Isenhart
Jacob        Kacena     Kearns     Kressig
Kurth        Lensing     Mascher    McConkey
Meyer         Miller     Nielsen    Oldson
Olson          Ourth       Prichard    Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.   Smith, R.     Staed     Steckman
Taylor, T.   Thede       Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 59:

Bacon          Baltimore  Baudler    Baxter
Bergan        Best       Bloomingdale    Carlin
Carlson       Cownie     Deyoe      Dolecheck
Fisher        Forristall  Fry       Gasman
Grassley     Gustafson  Hagenow    Hager
Hanusa       Heartsill  Heaton     Hein
Highfill      Hinson     Holt       Holz
Huseman      Jones       Kaufmann    Kerr
Klein         Koester    Landon     Lundgren
Maxwell       McKean     Mohr       Mommisen
Moore         Nunn       Paustian    Pettengill
Rizer         Rogers     Salmon    Sexton
Sheets        Sieck     Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts     Wheeler    Wills
Worthan       Zumbach   Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1074** lost.

Steckman of Cerro Gordo offered amendment **H–1078** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1078** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad    Anderson    Bearinger    Bennett
Brekenridge   Brown-Powers  Cohoon    Finkenauer
Amendment H–1078 lost.

Kacena of Woodbury offered amendment H–1081 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1081 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:
Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1081** lost.

Hunter of Polk offered amendment **H–1087** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1087** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Sted</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassey  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1087 lost.

Nielsen of Johnson asked and received unanimous consent to withdraw amendment H–1030 filed by her on February 13, 2017.

Running-Marquardt of Linn offered amendment H–1040 filed by her and Hall of Woodbury and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1040 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacob  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grasley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Momsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl,  Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1040 lost.

Lensing of Johnson offered amendment H–1045 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1045 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler  Wolfe

The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Baudler</td>
<td>Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowrie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasseley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKean</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl,</td>
<td>Presiding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1045 lost.

Forbes of Polk offered amendment H–1046 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1046 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

Bacon       Baltimore       Baudler       Baxter
Bergan      Best            Bloomingdale   Carlin
Carlson     Cowrie          Deyoe          Dolecheck
Fisher      Forristall     Fry             Gassman
Grassley    Gustafson      Hagenow        Hager
Hanusa      Heartsill      Heaton          Hein
Highfill    Hinson         Holt            Holz
Huseman     Jones           Kaufmann       Kerr
Klein       Koester        Landon          Lundgren
Maxwell     McKeen         Mohr            Moommsen
Moore       Nunn            Paustian        Pettengill
Rizer       Rogers          Salmon          Sexton
Sheets      Sieck          Taylor, R.     Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden Watts         Wheeler         Wills
Worthan     Zumbach

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1046 lost.

Running-Marquardt of Linn offered amendment H–1047 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1047 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad       Anderson       Bearinger       Bennett
Breckenridge     Brown-Powers   Cohoon          Finkenauer
Forbes            Gaines         Gaskill         Hall
Hanson            Heddens        Hunter          Isenhart
Jacoby            Kacena         Kearns          Kressig
Kurth             Lensing         Mascher         McConkey
Meyer             Miller          Nielsen         Oldson
Olson             Ourth           Prichard        Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.         Smith, R.      Staed           Steckman
Taylor, T.        Thede           Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

- Bacon
- Bergan
- Carlson
- Fisher
- Grassley
- Hanusa
- Highfill
- Huseman
- Klein
- Maxwell
- Moore
- Rizer
- Sheets
- Vander Linden
- Worthan
- Baltimore
- Best
- Cowanie
- Forristall
- Gustafson
- Heartsill
- Hinson
- Jones
- Koester
- McKeen
- Nunn
- Rogers
- Sieck
- Watts
- Zumbach
- Baudler
- Bloomingdale
- Deyoe
- Fry
- Hagenow
- Heaton
- Holt
- Kaufmann
- Landon
- Mohr
- Paustian
- Salmon
- Taylor, R.
- Wills
- Baxter
- Carlin
- Dolecheck
- Gassman
- Hager
- Hein
- Holz
- Kerr
- Lundgren
- Mommsen
- Pettengill
- Sexton
- Upmeyer, Spkr.
- Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1047 lost.

Miller of Webster offered amendment H–1048 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1048 be adopted?” (H.P. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

- Abdul-Samad
- Breckenridge
- Forbes
- Hanson
- Jacoby
- Kurth
- Meyer
- Olson
- Smith, M.
- Taylor, T.
- Wolfe
- Anderson
- Brown-Powers
- Gaines
- Heddens
- Kacena
- Lensing
- Miller
- Ourth
- Smith, R.
- Thede
- Bearinger
- Cohoon
- Gaskill
- Hunter
- Kears
- Mascher
- Nielsen
- Prichard
- Staed
- Wessel-Kroeschell
- Bennett
- Finkenauer
- Hall
- Isenhart
- Kressig
- McConkey
- Oldson
- Running-Marquardt
- Steckman
- Winckler
The nays were, 59:

Bacon    Baltimore    Baudler    Baxter
Bergan   Best         Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie       Deyoe       Dolecheck
Fisher   Forristall   Fry         Gassman
Grassley Gustafson   Hagenow     Hager
Hanusa   Heartsill   Heaton      Hein
Highfill Hinson      Holt        Holz
Huseman  Jones       Kaufmann    Kerr
Klein    Koester     Landon      Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean      Mohr        Mommsen
Moore    Nunn        Paustian    Pettengill
Rizer    Rogers      Salmon      Sexton
Sheets   Sieck       Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden Watts   Wheeler    Wills
Worthan  Zumbach    Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1048 lost.

Heddens of Story offered amendment H–1052 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1052 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon    Finkenauer
Forbes    Gaines      Gaskill     Hall
Hanson    Heddens    Hunter      Isenhart
Jacoby    Kacena      Kears       Kressig
Kurth     Lensing     Mascher     McConkey
Meyer     Miller      Nielsen     Oldson
Olson     Ourth       Prichard    Running-Marquardt
Smith, M. Smith, R.  Staed       Steckman
Taylor, T. Thede     Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1052 lost.

Gaines of Polk offered amendment H–1054 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1054 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl,</td>
<td>Presiding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1054 lost.

Kearns of Lee offered amendment H–1057 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1057 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

Bacon       Baltimore       Baudler       Baxter
Bergan      Best           Bloomingdale   Carlin
Carlson     Cowrie         Deyoe         Dolecheck
Fisher      Forristall    Fry            Gassman
Grassley    Gustafson     Hagenow       Hager
Hanusa      Heartsill     Heaton         Hein
Highfill    Hinson        Holt           Holz
Huseman     Jones          Kaufmann      Kerr
Klein       Koester       Landon         Lundgren
Maxwell     McKean        Mohr           Mommsen
Moore       Nunn           Paustian       Pettengill
Rizer       Rogers         Salmon         Sexton
Sheets      Sieck         Taylor, R.     Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden Watts         Wheeler       Wills
Worthan     Zumbach       Windschitl,   Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment \textit{H–1057} lost.

Breckenridge of Jasper offered amendment \textit{H–1058} filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment \textit{H–1058} be adopted?” (\textit{H.F. 291})

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad   Anderson        Bearinger      Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers   Cohoon         Finkenauer
Forbes        Gaines          Gaskill        Hall
Hanson        Heddens         Hunter         Isenhart
Jacoby        Kacena          Kearns         Kressig
Kurth         Lensing         Mescher        McConkey
Meyer         Miller          Nielsen         Oldson
Olson         Ourth           Prichard       Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.     Smith, R.      Staed           Steckman
Taylor, T.    Thede           Wessel-Kroeschell Winckler
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowrie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl</td>
<td>Presiding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1058** lost.

Finkenauer of Dubuque offered amendment **H–1059** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1059** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kears</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Siefke</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeier, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1059 lost.

Oldson of Polk offered amendment H–1060 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1060 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kears</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

Bacon   Baltimore  Baudler   Baxter
Bergan  Best       Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson Cowinie   Deyoe     Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall Fry       Gassman
Grassley Gustafson Hagenow   Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill Heaton    Hein
Highfill Hinson     Holt      Holz
Huseman Jones      Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein   Koester    Landon    Lundgren
Maxwell McKean     Mohr      Mommesen
Moore   Nunn       Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer   Rogers     Salmon    Sexton
Sheets  Sieck     Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden Watts    Wheeler  Wills
Worthan Zumbach   Windschitl Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1060 lost.

Finkenauer of Dubuque offered amendment H–1061 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1061 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon Finkenauer
Forbes      Gaines    Gaskill  Hall
Hanson      Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby      Kacena    Kearns  Kressig
Kurth       Lensing   Mascher  McConkey
Meyer       Miller    Nielsen  Oldson
Olson       Ourth     Prichard Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.   Smith, R. Staed   Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede     Wessel-Kroeschell Winckler
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowenie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Preising</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1061 lost.

Bennett of Linn offered amendment H–1062 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1062 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kears</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl,  Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1062 lost.

Forbes of Polk offered amendment H–1063 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1063 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

Bacon Baltimore Baudler Baxter
Bergan Best Bloomingdale Carlin
Carlson Cownie Deyoe Dolecheck
Fisher Forristall Fry Gassman
Grassley Gustafson Hagenow Hager
Hanusa Heartsill Heaton Hein
Highfill Hinson Holt Holz
Husman Jones Kaufmann Kerr
Klein Koester Landon Lundgren
Maxwell McKeen Mohr Mommsen
Moore Nunn Paustian Pettengill
Rizer Rogers Salmon Sexton
Sheets Sieck Taylor, R. Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden Watts Wheeler Wills
Worthan Zumbach Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1063 lost.

Forbes of Polk offered amendment H–1067 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1067 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bearinger Bennett
Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon Finkenauer
Forbes Gaines Gaskill Hall
Hanson Heddens Hunter Isenhart
Jacoby Kacena Kears Kressig
Kurth Lansing Mascher McConkey
Meyer Miller Nielsen Oldson
Olson Ourth Prichard Running-Marquardt
Smith, M. Smith, R. Staed Steckman
Taylor, T. Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Winckler
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Bacon  Baudler  Baxter  
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin  
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck  
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman  
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz  
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren  
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommssen  
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills  
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl,  Presiding  

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1067 lost.

Steckman of Cerro Gordo offered amendment H–1068 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1068 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett  
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer  
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall  
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart  
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig  
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey  
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson  
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt  
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman  
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler  
Wolfe
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Baudler</td>
<td>Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowrie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKean</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl, Presiding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1068 lost.

T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment H–1084 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1084 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Bearinger</td>
<td>Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1084** lost.

Steckman of Cerro Gordo offered amendment **H–1038** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1038** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Barlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowrie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolechek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Presiding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1038 lost.

Bearinger of Fayette offered amendment H–1073 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1073 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kears</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKean</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl,</td>
<td>Presiding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1073** lost.

T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment **H–1069** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1069** be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

| Abdul-Samad | Anderson | Bearinger | Bennett |
| Breckenridge | Brown-Powers | Cohoon | Finkenauer |
| Forbes | Gaines | Gaskill | Hall |
| Hanson | Heddens | Hunter | Isenhart |
| Jacoby | Kacena | Kears | Kressig |
| Kurth | Lensing | Mascher | McConkey |
| Meyer | Miller | Nielsen | Oldson |
| Olson | Ourth | Prichard | Running-Marquardt |
| Smith, M. | Smith, R. | Staed | Steckman |
| Taylor, T. | Thede | Wessel-Kroeschell | Winckler |
| Wolfe | | | |
The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1069 lost.

Kearns of Lee offered amendment H–1072 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1072 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 40:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kears  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman  Taylor, T.
Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler  Wolfe

The nays were, 60:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1072** lost.

Bennett of Linn offered amendment **H–1077** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1077** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1077** lost.

T. Taylor of Linn offered amendment **H–1083** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1083** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cowrie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1083** lost.

Nielsen of Johnson offered amendment **H–1090** filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1090** be adopted?” (**H.F. 291**)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasseley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKeen</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment \textit{H–1090} lost.

Gaskill of Wapello offered amendment \textit{H–1092} filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment \textit{H–1092} be adopted?” \textit{(H.F. 291)}

The ayes were, 41:

\begin{tabular}{llll}
Abdul-Samad & Anderson & Bearinger & Bennett \\
Breckenridge & Brown-Powers & Cohoon & Finkenauer \\
Forbes & Gaines & Gaskill & Hall \\
Hanson & Heddens & Hunter & Isenhart \\
Jacoby & Kacena & Kears & Kressig \\
Kurth & Lansing & Mascher & McConkey \\
Meyer & Miller & Nielsen & Oldson \\
Olson & Ourth & Prichard & Running-Marquardt \\
Smith, M. & Smith, R. & Staed & Steckman \\
Taylor, T. & Thede & Wessel-Kroeschell & Winckler \\
Wolfe & & & \\
\end{tabular}

The nays were, 59:

\begin{tabular}{llll}
Bacon & Baltimore & Baudler & Baxter \\
Bergan & Best & Bloomingdale & Carlin \\
Carlson & Cownie & Deyoe & Dolecheck \\
Fisher & Forristall & Fry & Gassman \\
Grasaley & Gustafson & Hagenow & Hager \\
Hanusa & Heartsill & Heaton & Hein \\
Highfill & Hinson & Holt & Holz \\
Huseman & Jones & Kaufmann & Kerr \\
Klein & Koester & Landon & Lundgren \\
Maxwell & McKeen & Mohr & Mommsen \\
Moore & Nunn & Paustian & Pettengill \\
Rizer & Rogers & Salmon & Sexton \\
Sheets & Sieck & Taylor, R. & Upmeyer, Spkr. \\
\end{tabular}
Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1092 lost.

Hunter of Polk offered amendment H–1022 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1022 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ayes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdul-Samad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heddens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kacena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ourth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaskill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nielsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prichard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cownie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forristall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustafson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartsill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zumbach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baudler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deyoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagenow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paustian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windschitl,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.
Amendment H–1022 lost.

Wolfe of Clinton offered amendment H–1088 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1088 be adopted?” (H.P. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul-Samad</th>
<th>Anderson</th>
<th>Bearinger</th>
<th>Bennett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breckenridge</td>
<td>Brown-Powers</td>
<td>Cohoon</td>
<td>Finkenauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Gaskill</td>
<td>Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson</td>
<td>Heddens</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Isenhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacoby</td>
<td>Kacena</td>
<td>Kearns</td>
<td>Kressig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurth</td>
<td>Lensing</td>
<td>Mascher</td>
<td>McConkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Oldson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson</td>
<td>Ourth</td>
<td>Prichard</td>
<td>Running-Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, M.</td>
<td>Smith, R.</td>
<td>Staed</td>
<td>Steckman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, T.</td>
<td>Thede</td>
<td>Wessel-Kroeschell</td>
<td>Winckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nays were, 59:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacon</th>
<th>Baltimore</th>
<th>Baudler</th>
<th>Baxter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergan</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Bloomingdale</td>
<td>Carlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlson</td>
<td>Cownie</td>
<td>Deyoe</td>
<td>Dolecheck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Forristall</td>
<td>Fry</td>
<td>Gassman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassley</td>
<td>Gustafson</td>
<td>Hagenow</td>
<td>Hager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanusa</td>
<td>Heartsill</td>
<td>Heaton</td>
<td>Hein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highfill</td>
<td>Hinson</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Holz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huseman</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Kaufmann</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein</td>
<td>Koester</td>
<td>Landon</td>
<td>Lundgren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell</td>
<td>McKean</td>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>Mommsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Nunn</td>
<td>Paustian</td>
<td>Pettengill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizer</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets</td>
<td>Sieck</td>
<td>Taylor, R.</td>
<td>Upmeyer, Spkr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vander Linden</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthan</td>
<td>Zumbach</td>
<td>Windschitl,</td>
<td>Presiding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1088 lost.
Nielsen of Johnson offered amendment H–1029 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1029 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1029 lost.

Kacena of Woodbury offered amendment H–1089 filed by him and moved its adoption.
Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1089 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

- Abdul-Samad
- Breckenridge
- Forbes
- Hanson
- Jacoby
- Kurth
- Meyer
- Olson
- Smith, M.
- Taylor, T.
- Wolfe
- Anderson
- Brown-Powers
- Gaines
- Heddens
- Kacena
- Lensing
- Miller
- Ourth
- Smith, R.
- Theede
- Bearinger
- Cohoon
- Gaskill
- Hunter
- Kearns
- Mascher
- Nielsen
- Prichard
- Staed
- Wessel-Kroeschell
- Bennett
- Finkenauer
- Hall
- Isenhart
- Kressig
- McConkey
- Oldson
- Running-Marquardt
- Steckman
- Winckler

The nays were, 59:

- Bacon
- Bergan
- Carlson
- Fisher
- Grassley
- Hanusa
- Highfill
- Huseman
- Klein
- Maxwell
- Moore
- Rizer
- Sheets
- Vander Linden
- Worthan
- Baltimore
- Best
- Cownie
- Forristall
- Gustafson
- Heartsill
- Hinson
- Jones
- Koester
- McKean
- Nunn
- Rogers
- Sieck
- Watts
- Zumbach
- Baudler
- Bloomingdale
- Deyoe
- Fry
- Hagenow
- Heaton
- Holt
- Kaufmann
- Landon
- Mohr
- Paustian
- Salmon
- Taylor, R.
- Wheeler
- Windschitl, Presiding
- Baxter
- Carlin
- Dolecheck
- Gassman
- Hager
- Hein
- Holz
- Kerr
- Lundgren
- Mommsen
- Pettengill
- Sexton
- Upmeyer, Spkr.
- Wills
- Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1089 lost.

Deyoe of Story offered amendment H–1096 filed by him.

Holt of Crawford offered amendment H–1101, to amendment H–1096, filed by him and moved its adoption.
Roll call was requested by Holt of Crawford and Deyoe of Story.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question "Shall amendment H–1101, to amendment H–1096, be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 100:

Abdul-Samad    Anderson    Bacon    Baltimore
Baudler        Baxter      Bearinger  Bennett
Bergan         Best        Bloomingdale  Breckenridge
Brown-Powers   Carlin      Carlson    Cohoon
Cownie         Deyoe       Dolecheck  Finkenauer
Fisher         Forbes      Forristall  Fry
Gaines         Gaskill     Gassman    Grassley
Gustafson      Hagenow     Hager      Hall
Hanson         Hanusa      Heartissil  Heaton
Heddens        Hein        Highfill   Hinson
Holt           Holz        Hunter     Huseman
Ishenart       Jacoby      Jones      Kacena
Kaufmann       Kearns      Kerr       Klein
Koester        Kressig     Kurth      Landon
Lensing        Lundgren    Mascher    Maxwell
McConkey       McKeen      Meyer      Miller
Mohr           Mommsen     Moore      Nielsen
Nunn           Oldson      Olson      Ourth
Paustian       Pettengill  Prichard   Rizer
Rogers         Running-Marquardt  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets         Sieck       Smith, M.  Smith, R.
Staed          Steckman   Taylor, R.  Taylor, T.
Theede         Upmeyer, Spkr.  Vander Linden Watts
Wessel-Kroschell  Wheeler  Wills  Winckler
Wolfe          Worthan    Zumbach    Windschitl,

The nays were, none.

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1101, to amendment H–1096, was adopted.

Deyoe of Story moved the adoption of amendment H–1096, as amended.

Roll call was requested by Deyoe of Story and Holt of Crawford.

Rule 75 was invoked.
On the question "Shall amendment H–1096, as amended, be adopted?" (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 100:

Abdul-Samad                  Anderson                  Bacon                  Baltimore
Baudler                      Baxter                    Bearinger              Bennett
Bergan                       Best                      Bloomingdale           Breckenridge
Brown-Powers                 Carlin                    Carlson                Cohoon
Cowrie                       Deyoe                     Dolecheck              Finkenauer
Fisher                       Forbes                    Forristall             Fry
Gaines                       Gaskill                   Gassman                Grassley
Gustafson                    Hagenow                   Hager                  Hall
Hanson                       Hanusa                   Heartsill              Heaton
Heddens                      Hein                     Highfill               Hinson
Holt                         Holz                     Hunter                 Huseman
Isenhart                     Jacoby                   Jones                  Kacena
Kaufmann                     Kearns                   Kerr                   Klein
Koester                      Kressig                   Kurth                  Landon
Lensing                      Lundgren                  Mascher                Maxwell
McConkey                     McKean                   Meyer                  Miller
Mohr                         Mommsen                  Moore                  Nielsen
Nunn                         Oldsm                    Olson                  Ourth
Paustian                     Pettengill               Prichard               Rizer
Rogers                       Running-Marquardt       Salmon                 Sexton
Sheets                       Sieck                    Smith, M.              Smith, R.
Staed                        Steckman                 Taylor, R.             Taylor, T.
Theede                       Upmeyer, Spkr.            Vander Linden           Watts
Wessel-Kroeschell             Wheeler                  Wills                  Winckler
Wolfe                        Worthan                  Zambach                Windschitl, Presiding

The nays were, none.

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1096, as amended, was adopted, placing out of order amendment H–1020 filed by Kacena of Woodbury on February 13, 2017.

Steckman of Cerro Gordo offered amendment H–1026 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1026 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskell  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourt  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommesen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl,  Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1026 lost.

Gaines of Polk offered amendment H–1050 filed by her and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1050 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett  
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer  
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall  
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart  
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig  
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey  
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson  
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt  
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman  
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler  
Wolfe

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter  
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin  
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck  
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman  
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager  
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein  
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz  
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr  
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren  
Maxwell  McKeen  Mohr  Mommsen  
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill  
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton  
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.  
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills  
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1050** lost.

Kearns of Lee offered amendment **H–1053** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1053** be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommensen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl,  Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1053 lost.

Prichard of Floyd offered amendment H–1056 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1056 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kearns  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ourth  Prichard  Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsill  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment **H–1056** lost.

Kacena of Woodbury offered amendment **H–1076** filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment **H–1076** be adopted?” (H.F. 291)
The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
Forbes  Gaines  Gaskill  Hall
Hanson  Heddens  Hunter  Isenhart
Jacoby  Kacena  Kears  Kressig
Kurth  Lensing  Mascher  McConkey
Meyer  Miller  Nielsen  Oldson
Olson  Ours  Prichard  Running-Marquad
Smith, M.  Smith, R.  Staed  Steckman
Taylor, T.  Thede  Wessel-Kroeschell  Winckler
Wolfe

The nays were, 59:

Bacon  Baltimore  Baudler  Baxter
Bergan  Best  Bloomingdale  Carlin
Carlson  Cownie  Deyoe  Dolecheck
Fisher  Forristall  Fry  Gassman
Grassley  Gustafson  Hagenow  Hager
Hanusa  Heartsil  Heaton  Hein
Highfill  Hinson  Holt  Holz
Huseman  Jones  Kaufmann  Kerr
Klein  Koester  Landon  Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean  Mohr  Mommsen
Moore  Nunn  Paustian  Pettengill
Rizer  Rogers  Salmon  Sexton
Sheets  Sieck  Taylor, R.  Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden  Watts  Wheeler  Wills
Worthan  Zumbach  Windschitl,  Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H–1076 lost.

Prichard of Floyd offered amendment H–1082 filed by him and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and M. Smith of Marshall.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall amendment H–1082 be adopted?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 41:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Brekenridge  Brown-Powers  Cohoon  Finkenauer
The nays were, 59:

Bacon    Baltimore    Baudler    Baxter
Bergan    Best         Bloomingdale    Carlin
Carlson  Cownie       Deyoe      Dolecheck
Fisher   Forristall   Fry        Gassman
Grassley Gustafson   Hagenow    Hager
Hanusa   Heartsill   Heaton     Hein
Highfill Hinson      Holt       Holz
Huseman  Jones       Kaufmann   Kerr
Klein    Koester     Landon     Lundgren
Maxwell  McKean      Mohr       Mommersen
Moore    Nunn        Paustian   Pettengill
Rizer    Rogers      Salmon     Sexton
Sheets   Sieck       Taylor, R. Upmeyer, Spkr.
Vander Linden Watts     Wheeler    Wills
Worthan  Zumbach    Windschitl, Presiding

Absent or not voting, none.

Amendment H-1082 lost.

Speaker Upmeyer in the chair at 1:27 p.m.

Holt of Crawford moved that the bill be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

Rule 75 was invoked.

On the question “Shall the bill pass?” (H.F. 291)

The ayes were, 53:

Bacon    Baltimore    Baxter    Bergan
Best     Bloomingdale    Carlin    Carlson
Cownie  Deyoe        Dolecheck    Fisher
Forristall  Fry        Gassman    Grassley
The nays were, 47:

Abdul-Samad    Anderson    Baudler    Bearinger
Bennett        Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon
Finkenauer     Forbes       Gaines     Gaskill
Hall           Hanson       Hanusa     Heaton
Heddens        Hunter       Isenhart   Jacoby
Kacena         Kearns       Kressig    Kurth
Lensing        Lundgren     Mascher    McConkey
McKean         Meyer        Miller     Moore
Nielsen        Oldson       Olson      Ourth
Prichard       Running-Marquardt Smith, M.  Smith, R.
Staed          Steckman     Taylor, T. Thede
Wessel-Kroeschell Winckler    Wolfe

Absent or not voting, none.

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title was agreed to.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Leave of absence was granted during voting as follows:

Forristall of Pottawattamie         Running-Marquardt of Linn

IMMEDIATE MESSAGE

Hagenow of Polk asked and received unanimous consent that House File 291 be immediately messaged to the Senate.

Hagenow of Polk moved that the House recess until the conclusion of the committee on State Government.

Objection was raised.
Roll call was requested by Hunter of Polk and T. Taylor of Linn.

On the question "Shall the House recess?"

The ayes were, 60:

Bacon       Bacon   Baudler   Baxter
Bergan      Best     Bloomingdale     Carlin
Carlson     Cownie   Deyoe     Dolecheck
Fisher      Forristall Fry      Gassman
Grassey     Gustafson Hagenow   Hager
Hanusa      Heartsill Heaton    Hein
Highfill    Hinson    Holt      Holz
Husman      Jones     Kaufmann   Kerr
Klein       Koester   Landon    Lundgren
Maxwell     McKean   Mohr      Mommsen
Moore       Nunn      Paustian   Pettengill
Rizer       Rogers    Salmon    Sexton
Sheets      Sieck     Steckman   Taylor, R.
Vander Linden Watts    Wheeler    Wills
Windschitl  Worthan   Zumbach    Speaker
                         Upmeyer

The nays were, 40:

Abdul-Samad  Anderson  Bearinger  Bennett
Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon    Finkenauer
Forbes       Gaines    Gaskill    Hall
Hanson       Heddens   Hunter     Isenhart
Jacoby       Kacena    Kears     Kressig
Kurth        Lensing   Mascher    McConkey
Meyer        Miller    Nielsen   Oldson
Olson        Ourth     Prichard   Running-Marquardt
Smith, M.    Smith, R. Staed     Taylor, T.
Thede        Wessel-Kroeschell Winckler Wolfe

Absent or not voting, none.

The motion prevailed and the House was recessed at 1:47 p.m.,
until the conclusion of committee on State Government.

AFTERNOON SESSION

The House reconvened at 3:47 p.m., Speaker Upmeyer in the chair.
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

The following message was received from the Senate:

Madam Speaker: I am directed to inform your honorable body that the Senate has on February 16, 2017, passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the Senate was asked:

House File 291, a bill for an act relating to employment matters involving public employees including collective bargaining, educator employment matters, personnel records and settlement agreements, city civil service requirements, and health insurance matters, making penalties applicable, and including effective date, applicability, and transition provisions.

W. CHARLES SMITHSON, Secretary

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

House File 340, by Kaufmann, a bill for an act relating to public education funding by extending the period of time for collecting sales tax for deposit in the secure an advanced vision for education fund, authorizing uses for revenues received from the fund, and authorizing school districts to adopt a district cost per pupil budget adjustment.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education.

House File 341, by Oldson and Anderson, a bill for an act providing for additional weighting for eligible students identified as limited English proficient who are enrolled in the statewide preschool program for four-year-old children.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education.

House File 342, by Heddens, Bearinger, Kressig, Winckler, Kearns, Hanson, Steckman, Wolfe, Isenhart, Gaskill, T. Taylor, Hunter, Oldson, Breckenridge, and Jacoby, a bill for an act relating to county funding of mental health and disability services and the mental health and disability services property tax levy and including effective date provisions.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Resources.

House File 343, by Kaufmann, a bill for an act relating to county funding of mental health and disability services and the mental
health and disability services property tax levy and including effective date provisions.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Resources.

**House File 344**, by Kaufmann, a bill for an act relating to child care assistance provider reimbursement rates and the care of children in licensed get well centers.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Resources.

**House File 345**, by Miller, Thede, Hunter, Hanson, Kacena, Staed, Gaskill, Kearns, Bearinger, Ourth, M. Smith, T. Taylor, Steckman, Wolfe, Mascher, Winckler, Lensing, Nielsen, R. Smith, and Breckenridge, a bill for an act relating to elderly persons with aggressive or psychiatric behaviors in long-term care facilities.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Resources.

**House File 346**, by Sexton, a bill for an act requiring the department of natural resources to include additional water quality criteria in the master matrix used to evaluate applications for permits to construct confinement feeding operation structures.

Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture.

**House File 347**, by R. Taylor, a bill for an act providing for the display of information regarding the content of biofuel in renewable fuels sold by retail dealers of motor fuel, including advertising and decals affixed to motor fuel pumps, making penalties applicable, and including effective date provisions.

Read first time and referred to committee on Commerce.

**House File 348**, by Heaton, a bill for an act relating to health insurance coverage for telehealth.

Read first time and referred to committee on Commerce.
House File 349, by Mommsen, a bill for an act giving weight to school district reorganization petitions filed with an area education agency and signed by eligible electors.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education.

House File 350, by Fry, a bill for an act requiring college or university medical programs in the state to give priority to applicants who are residents of Iowa.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education.

House File 351, by Oldson, Anderson, and Olson, a bill for an act modifying the supplementary weighting for limited English proficient students and including effective date and applicability provisions.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education.

House File 352, by Highfill, a bill for an act requiring school employee training and protocols relating to suicide prevention and trauma-informed care.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education.

House File 353, by Gustafson, a bill for an act requiring school districts to establish security plans for school buildings and providing for a school security task force and report.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education.

House File 354, by Highfill, a bill for an act requiring the payment of certain out-of-state education expenses for students requiring medical treatment and including effective date provisions.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Resources.

House File 355, by Anderson, a bill for an act relating to adoption, including access to adoption records for adult adoptees.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Resources.
House File 356, by Olson, a bill for an act relating to the expungement of convictions of state criminal offenses for alcohol consumption in public, public intoxication, simulated public intoxication, open container, or similar local ordinance offenses.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary.

House File 357, by Highfill, a bill for an act relating to asset forfeiture due to public offense convictions.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary.

House File 358, by Nunn, a bill for an act relating to the offense of attempt to commit murder against a peace officer, and providing penalties.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary.

House File 359, by Mommsen, a bill for an act requiring the governing body of a county or city to approve certain gifts and purchases of real property by entities of the county or city.

Read first time and referred to committee on Local Government.

House File 360, by McKeen, a bill for an act relating to the open season for hunting squirrels.

Read first time and referred to committee on Natural Resources.

House File 361, by Miller, Thede, Hunter, Hanson, Kacena, Isenhart, Gaskill, Kearns, Bearinger, Ourth, M. Smith, T. Taylor, Steckman, Wolfe, Mascher, Winckler, Lansing, Nielsen, R. Smith, Breckenridge, McConkey, Wessel-Kroeschell, Olson, Brown-Powers, Forbes, Jacoby, Heddens, Kurth, Gaines, Cohoon, Prichard, Oldson, and Running-Marquardt, a bill for an act providing for a study regarding the possible establishment of a jail diversion program for offenders with a mental illness.

Read first time and referred to committee on Public Safety.
House File 362, by Salmon, a bill for an act relating to operating while intoxicated offenders and persons involved in the sale of alcoholic beverages, including the establishment of a statewide sobriety and drug monitoring program, establishing fees, and providing penalties.

Read first time and referred to committee on Public Safety.

House File 363, by McKean, a bill for an act changing the date of the primary election.

Read first time and referred to committee on State Government.

House File 364, by Miller, Thede, Hunter, Hanson, Kacena, Gaskill, Kearns, Bearinger, Ourth, T. Taylor, Steckman, Wolfe, Mascher, Winckler, Lensing, Nielsen, R. Smith, Breckenridge, McConkey, Olson, Wessel-Kroeschell, Brown-Powers, Heddens, Kurth, Gaines, and Cohoon, a bill for an act requiring executive branch administrative units that regulate a profession to provide a waiver process to allow persons barred due to a criminal record to apply for a license or other authorization.

Read first time and referred to committee on State Government.

House File 365, by Miller, Thede, Hunter, Hanson, Kacena, Staed, Isenhart, Gaskill, Kearns, Bearinger, Ourth, Steckman, Mascher, Winckler, Lensing, Nielsen, R. Smith, Breckenridge, McConkey, and Wessel-Kroeschell, a bill for an act providing for the restoration of the right to register to vote and to vote and hold elective office for certain persons and including effective date provisions.

Read first time and referred to committee on State Government.

House File 366, by Nunn, a bill for an act providing for state employee cyber security briefings for certain travel outside the country.

Read first time and referred to committee on State Government.
EXPLANATION OF VOTE

On February 16, 2017, I inadvertently voted “aye” on time certain (H.F. 291), I meant to vote “nay”.

Owrth of Warren

BILL ENROLLED, SIGNED AND SENT TO GOVERNOR

The Chief Clerk of the House submitted the following report:

Madam Speaker: The Chief Clerk of the House respectfully reports that the following bill has been examined and found correctly enrolled, signed by the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate, and presented to the Governor for his approval on this 16th day of February, 2017: House File 291.

CARMINE BOAL
Chief Clerk of the House

HOUSE STUDY BILL COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT

H.S.B. 120 Agriculture

Providing for the department of agriculture and land stewardship's administration of certain functions, relating to forest and fruit tree reservation requirements, the name of the state soil conservation committee, financing of soil conservation and water quality practices, the health of agricultural animals, issuance of two-year licenses and the collection of related fees imposed upon persons engaged in the marketing of agricultural animals and mining operations, license fees imposed upon pesticide dealers, tickets for delivering commodities in bulk, labeling of motor fuel pumps dispensing certain ethanol blended gasoline, the use of scales, providing for penalties, making penalties applicable, and including effective date provisions.

SUBCOMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT

House File 317

Education: Hager, Chair; Moore and Steckman.
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

MADAM SPEAKER: The Chief Clerk of the House respectfully reports that the following committee recommendations have been received and are on file in the office of the Chief Clerk:

CARMINE BOAL
Chief Clerk of the House

COMMITTEE ON HUMAN RESOURCES

Committee Bill (Formerly House File 143), relating to the regulation of tanning facilities and making penalties applicable.

Fiscal Note: No


Committee Bill (Formerly House Study Bill 25), relating to programs and activities under the purview of the department of public health, and including effective date provisions.

Fiscal Note: No


Committee Bill (Formerly House Study Bill 81), relating to the definition of child foster care for purposes of child care provided by a relative of a child.

Fiscal Note: No


Committee Bill (Formerly House Study Bill 98), relating to the nurse licensure compact, including provisions for assessments against party states, and including effective date provisions.

Fiscal Note: No


On motion by Klein of Washington, the House adjourned at 3:56 p.m., until 1:00 p.m., Monday, February 20, 2017.