

HANDOUTS Judicial Dist. & Judicial Resources Study Committee

July 1, 2004

Proposal from Judicial Branch, Justice System General Fund Memoradum prepared by Jennifer Acton, LSA, Fiscal Services

DISCLAIMER

The lowa General Assembly is not responsible for the content of the handouts, nor is any endorsement made of the handouts. These handouts are documents provided to the committee by other parties at meetings and are placed on the General Assembly website as a convenience.

Bayd handout

Proposal for Determining Disparity in the Allocation of District Judgeships And an Equitable Basis for the Transfer of District Judgeships Through Attrition (Aug. 8, 2003)

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
Judicial Election District	Avg of 3 Yrs Filings [FTE DJs from filings]*	Pop. [FTE DJs from Pop.]*	Formula Judges	Actual Judges	# Short of Formula	% short of Formula (Col. E/ Col.C)	EQUITABLE Allocation: # of FTE DJs if each subdist 15.9% short of Formula	EQUITABLE Allocation: # of Whole DJs at 15.9% short of Formula	# to Gain (+) or Lose (-) (vs. Actual) to achieve <u>equitable</u> <u>allocation</u>	% Short of Formula After Exchange
1A	4,749	161,569	6	5	-1	-16.7%	5.05	5	0	-16.7%
	[8.64]	[4.04]							Carlos Carlos	
1B	8,642 [15.71]	204,429 [5.11]	10	9	-1	-10.0%	8.41	8	-1	-20.0%
2A	4,961 [11.02]			6	-1	-14.3%	5.89	6	0	-14.3%
2B	10,109 [18.38]	[7.66]	10 M BOOK & BOOK & PROJECT / 1	12	-1	-7.7%	10.93	11	-1	-15.4%
3A	3,639 [8.09]	137,760 [3.44]	6	5	-1	-16.7%	5.05	5	0	-16.7%
3B	6,845 [12.45]		8	8	0	0.0%	6.73	7	7-1	-12.5%
4	9,309 [16.93]	188,691 [4.72]	11	7	-4	-36.4% High	9.25	9	2	-18.2%
5A	5,998 [13.33]	179,482 [4.49]	9	7	-2	-22.2%	7.57	8	1	-11.1% Low
5B	3,080 [6.84]	70,857 [1.77]	4	4	0	0.0% Low	3.36	3	-1	-25.0% High
5C	17,417 [31.67]	379,029 [9.48]	21	17	-4	-19.0%	17.66	18	1	-14.3%
6	12,310 [22.38]	384,337 [9.61]	16	12	-4	-25.0%	13.46	13	1	-18.8%
7	11,373 [20.68]	288,633 [7.22]	14	12	-2	-14.3%	11.77	12	0	-14.3%
8A	4,976 [11.06]	163,087 {4.08]	7	7	0	0.0% Low	5.89	6	-1	-14.3%
8B	3,541 [7.87]	111,610 [2.79]	6	5	-1	-16.7%	5.05	5	0	-16.7%
State	106,949	2,923,179	138	116	-22	-15.9%	116.06	116	0	-15.9%
						Range: to -36.4%] 6.4 points)	NOTE Imp	ANGE	-	Range: to -25.0%] 3.9 points)

Purpose of this table: To suggest a strategy for determining an **equitable allocation** of district judgeships among the judicial districts when there is a statewide shortage of district judgeships according to the formula in the Iowa Code. Statewide we currently have **15.9%** fewer district judges than the formula indicates we should have. Ideally, each district would share equally in this shortage: each would be approximately 15.9% under the number authorized by the Code. Column H shows the number of "whole" DJs each subdistrict would have if it was approximately 15.9% short of DJs.

*Numbers in brackets are the FTE district judges calculated by the formula based on filings and population. The number of formula judges equals the average of these two numbers (so population and filings are given equal weight in the formula). For more information on the district judgeship formula, see Iowa Code 602.6201.

Justice System General Fund

	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
	FY 1987	FY 1988	FY 1989	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	'(9)
Judicial Branch									A 057 500
ICIS Computer	\$0	\$0	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 0	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 875,000	\$ 857,500	\$ 857,500	\$ 857,500
Judicial Branch	52,959,767	57,590,415	62,072,563	66,804,722	72,976,679	73,200,000	77,245,846	80,979,426	83,596,673
Juvenile Vict, Restitution	115,178	115,000	115,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	98,000	98,000	131,663
Judicial Retirement	,								3,150,915
Jud Child Support Oper				730,379					
Child Support Computer				900,000					
Tort Liability Study	60,000								
Child Custody Pilot Prog.				20,000					
Summer Work Program							75,000		
Total Judicial Branch	\$ 53,134,945	\$ 57,705,415	\$65,587,563	\$ 68,555,101	\$74,576,679	\$74,175,000	\$78,276,346	\$ 81,934,926	\$ 87,736,751
							1		

.

Justice System General Fund

	Actual FY 1996 (10)	Actual FY 1997 (11)	Actual FY 1998 (12)	Actual FY 1999 (13)	Actual FY 2000 (14)	Actual FY 2001 (15)	Actual FY 2002 (16)	Estimated Net FY 2003 (17) ¹
Judicial Branch								
ICIS Computer	\$ 857,500	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Judicial Branch	88,919,478	92,466,788	97,519,356	103,196,690	108,024,912	111,913,805	111,356,002	109,154,603
Juvenile Vict. Restitution	155,396	155,396	155,396	183,471	210,291	210,291		,
Judicial Retirement	3,150,915	3,726,422	3,806,457	3,944,059	4,202,697	4,499,350	3,039,198	2,039,664
Jud Child Support Oper								
Child Support Computer								
Tort Liability Study	•							
Child Custody Pilot Prog.							5	
Summer Work Program						4		
Total Judicial Branch	\$ 93,083,289	\$ 96,348,606	\$ 101,481,209	\$ 107,324,220	\$ 112,437,900	\$ 116,623,446	\$ 114,395,200	\$ 111,194,267

Justice System

	Actual FY 1987 (1)	Actual FY 1988 (2)	Actual FY 1989 (3)	Actual FY 1990 (4)	Actual FY 1991 (5)	Actual FY 1992 (6)	Actual FY 1993 (7)	Actual FY 1994 (8)	Actual FY 1995 (9)	Actual FY 1996 (10)
Judicial Branch										
Judicial Branch Judicial Branch	1,692.02	1,731.05	1,755.58	1,785.82	1,796.24	1,796.52	1,798.01	1,809.83	1,834.72	1,890.30
Nonappropriated Court Tech.& Modern. Fund										1.38
Enhanced Court Coll. Fund										
Total Judicial Branch	1,692.02	1,731.05	1,755.58	1,785.82	1,796.24	1,796.52	1,798.01	1,809.83	1,834.72	1,891.68

١

Justice System

	Actual FY 1997 (11)	Actual FY 1998 (12)	Actual FY 1999 (13)	Actual FY 2000 (14)	Actual FY 2001 (15)	Actual FY 2002 (16)	Estimated FY 2003 (17)
Judicial Branch							
Judicial Branch Judicial Branch	1,912.93	1,959.57	1,999.34	2,047.05	2,041.51	2,104.38	1,919.01
Nonappropriated Court Tech.& Modern. Fund Enhanced Court Coll. Fund	6.77		11.59 7.32	11.45 0.20	11.97		
Total Judicial Branch	1,919.70	1,959.57	2,018.25	2,058.70	2,053.48	2,104.38	1,919.01