CURRENT MAP: 8 JUDICIAL DISTRICTS & 14 JUDICIAL ELECTION DISTRICTS

Note:
According to a study conducted by the National Center for State Courts in 2000, some judicial election districts (white on this map) have enough (or more than enough) judicial officers to meet workload demands, while others have fewer than needed (shaded on this map). The NCSC's report and other materials related to the Redistricting Advisory Committee proposals are available on the judicial branch website: **www.judicial.state.ia.us**



8 Judicial Districts: These are administrative regions established by the legislature in 1972. Each has a Chief Judge, a District Court Administrator, and administration staff who manage financial and personnel matters and coordinate judicial assignments and case scheduling.
14 Judicial Election Districts: Also established by the legislature in 1972, these are the geographic areas from which judges are nominated and appointed and in which they stand for retention election. Judges typically work primarily in their election districts, but some judicial districts with multiple election districts assign their judges to work part of the year in the other election district(s) within the judicial district – as needed.

Attachment I

Comments on the National Center for State Courts' Judicial Workload Assessment Methodology & Analysis of Proposed Redistricting Maps

Background: The NCSC is widely known and respected in the areas of research and consulting in the field of court administration. It has conducted many weighted caseload studies in state court systems throughout the U.S., including Minnesota, Wisconsin, Nebraska, South Dakota, New Mexico, Washington, and others.

Research Strategy: The NCSC met with a steering committee of judges to develop the forms used to collect the data during the study. More than half of all district and district associate judges and magistrates from each district participated in the study. The NCSC indicated that this is a very high participation rate compared to other similar studies they have done in state courts, so they are confident that the sample is representative of all judges in the state.

Calculating "Judgeship Demand"

Over a two-month period from October to December, 2000, each participating judge kept a record of the time they spent on cases, by case type, each day. The NCSC entered and analyzed all the data received from the judges. They calculated the total amount of time (in minutes) that judges and magistrates spent on each case type during the the study period, then divided the time by the number of cases disposed for each respective case type during the 2 months. This calculation produces the case weight (the average amount of time spent per case of the given case type).

The NCSC determined the total number of cases filed for each case type during year 2000 and multiplied the number of filings by the respective case weights in each judicial election district to estimate the total number of minutes of judge time that would be required to handle that number of filings in the election district. (This is the "Workload in Minutes" in the tables below.) With the assistance of the steering committee, the NCSC determined that judges typically work 212 days per year, after subtracting time for holidays, vacation, sick leave, and conferences/education programs. This translates into 95,400 minutes of work by judges per year (assuming 8 hours per day). The NCSC divided the Workload in Minutes by 95,400 minutes (the typical work time for a full-time judge) to determine the "Predicted FTE Judgeship Demand" (see the tables below).

Calculating "Judgeship Supply"

The NCSC determined the total number of district court judges and all associate judges (district, juvenile, and probate) in each election district. Each is a full-time judge. In addition, the NCSC identified the number of judicial magistrates, who are part-time judicial officers. The NCSC examined the work-time data received from the participating magistrates and determined that the total number of minutes they reported on judicial activity amounted to 25 percent of the available work time during the study period. Therefore, magistrates are treated as .25 of an FTE judicial officer for for purposes of determining the "FTE Judgeship Supply." (See tables below.) The "Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)" equals the number of district and associate judges -- plus -- the number of magistrates multiplied by .25. After determining the total actual number of FTE judicial officers, the NCSC subtracted the amount of time (calculated as FTE judicial positions) that judges and magistrates in each election district spent on travel and other non-case-specific activities (e.g., meetings, reading appellate court opinions, general administration and management time, public outreach activities with community groups). Subtracting time spent on these matters results in the "Net FTE Judgeship Supply." (See tables below.)

Difference Between Judgeship Supply and Demand: See the last two rows on the tables below.

The tables below examine the proposed redistricting maps using the same data and analysis technique used by the NCSC; the data have simply been reorganized into the proposed new districts.

NOTE: The entire NCSC report is available on the judicial branch web site at: www.judicial.state.ia.us

MAP 1: 2 Judicial Districts - 16 Judicial Election Districts (Same for Maps 1 – 3)*

West Judicial District
56 Counties
1,485,842 Pop.
260,927 Filings
61 Dist Ct Judges
112.75 FTE judicial officers

East Judicial District
43 Counties
1,434,581 Pop.
235,248 Filings
55 Dist Ct Judges
103.75 FTE judici officers



An Important Note on Redistricting: Whether judicial districts are changed or remain the same, the Supreme Court intends to keep the clerks' offices open and will continue to provide court services in all 99 counties.

■ County seat; site of district court clerk's office and court service days.

Judicial District boundary line.

Judicial Election District boundary line.

* Each of the **shaded** judicial election districts has **fewer FTE judicial officers than needed to meet the workload demand,** according to research data compiled by the National Center for State Courts. The judicial election districts shown in white have enough or more than enough FTE judicial officers to meet the workload demand. Judges in the election districts with more than enough FTE judicial officers will have to assist with the workload in adjacent election districts that do not have enough FTE judicial officers.

MAP 1: 2 Judicial Districts & 16 Judicial Election Districts

	Western Judicial Election Districts									Total
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	West
Number of Counties	5	10	5	10	7	3	1	6	9	56
Population	182,098	136,346	92,503	193,971	86,278	140,955	374,601	164,405	114,685	1,485,842
Total Filings - Yr 2000	32,611	21,558	14,672	44,414	12,359	21,449	75,596	23,895	14,373	260,927
Workload in Minutes[1]	994,092	659,133	525,557	1,269,055	383,737	582,684	2,887,568	865,241	526,757	8,693,824
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	12.25	11.00	5.75	13.00	9.50	13.00	29.25	9.75	9.25	112.75
Minus (FTEs):										
---Travel	0.60	0.91	0.53	1.26	0.87	0.37	0.30	0.80	0.90	6.54
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.01	0.74	0.57	0.87	0.70	1.09	3.24	0.86	0.45	9.53
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	10.64	9.35	4.65	10.87	7.93	11.54	25.71	8.09	7.90	96.68
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	10.42	6.91	5.51	13.30	4.02	6.11	30.27	9.07	5.52	91.13
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	0.22	2.44	-0.86	-2.43	3.91	5.43	-4.56	-0.98	2.38	5.55
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	2.1%	35.3%	-15.6%	-18.3%	97.3%	88.9%	-15.1%	-10.8%	43.1%	6.1%

	Eastern Judicial Election Districts							Total
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	East
Number of Counties	7	5	8	5	5	8	5	43
Population	116,657	200,104	207,245	363,907	289,022	124,054	133,592	1,434,581
Total Filings - Yr 2000	15,031	40,949	26,522	59,550	49,989	18,729	24,478	235,248
Workload in Minutes[1]	561,848	1,186,960	898,621	2,024,398	1,787,739	663,534	776,516	7,899,616
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	9.50	17.25	13.75	21.75	20.25	10.25	11.00	103.75
Minus (FTEs):								
---Travel	0.74	0.87	1.25	0.82	1.05	0.88	0.80	6.41
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.00	0.75	1.12	2.94	2.45	0.63	1.49	10.38
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	7.76	15.63	11.38	17.99	16.75	8.74	8.71	86.96
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	5.89	12.44	9.42	21.22	18.74	6.96	8.14	82.81
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	1.87	3.19	1.96	-3.23	-1.99	1.78	0.57	4.15
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	31.7%	25.6%	20.8%	-15.2%	-10.6%	25.6%	7.0%	5.0%

MAP 2: 3 Judicial Districts -- Same 16 Judicial Election Districts as Map 1



West Judicial District
37 Counties
691,196 Pop.
125,614 Filings
27 Dist Ct Judges
51.5 Total FTE
Judicial Officers

East Judicial District
35 Counties
1,310,527 Pop.
216,519 Filings
50 Dist Ct Judges
93.5 FTE judicial officers

Central Judicial District
27 Counties
918,700 Pop.
154,042 Filings
39 Dist Ct Judges
71.5 Total FTE Judicial Ofcrs

NOTE: Each of the **shaded** judicial election districts has **fewer FTE judicial officers than needed to meet the workload demand,** according to research data compiled by the National Center for State Courts. The judicial election districts shown in white have enough or more than enough FTE judicial officers to meet the workload dem[illegible] Judges in the election districts with more than enough FTE ju[illegible]al officers will have to assist with the wo[illegible] in adjacent election districts that do not have enough FTE ju[illegible] officers.

MAP 2: 3 Judicial Districts & the same 16 Judicial Election Districts as Map 1

	West Judicial District					
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Number of Counties	5	10	5	10	7	37
Population	**182,098**	**136,346**	**92,503**	**193,971**	**86,278**	**691,196**
Total Filings - Yr 2000	32,611	21,558	14,672	44,414	12,359	125,614
Workload in Minutes[1]	**994,092**	**659,133**	**525,557**	**1,269,055**	**383,737**	**3,831,574**
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	12.25	11.00	5.75	13.00	9.50	51.50
Minus (FTEs):						
---Travel	0.60	0.91	0.53	1.26	0.87	4.17
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.01	0.74	0.57	0.87	0.70	3.89
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	10.64	9.35	4.65	10.87	7.93	43.44
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	10.42	6.91	5.51	13.30	4.02	40.16
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	**0.22**	**2.44**	-0.86	-2.43	**3.91**	3.28
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	**2.1%**	**35.3%**	**-15.6%**	**-18.3%**	**97.3%**	8.2%

	Central Judicial District					
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	5	**Total**
Number of Counties	3	1	6	9	8	27
Population	**140,955**	**374,601**	**164,405**	**114,685**	**124,054**	**918,700**
Total Filings - Yr 2000	21,449	75,596	23,895	14,373	18,729	154,042
Workload in Minutes[1]	**582,684**	**2,887,568**	**865,241**	**526,757**	**663,534**	**5,525,784**
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	13.00	29.25	9.75	9.25	10.25	71.50
Minus (FTEs):						
---Travel	0.37	0.30	0.80	0.90	0.88	3.25
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.09	3.24	0.86	0.45	0.63	6.27
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	11.54	25.71	8.09	7.90	8.74	61.98
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	6.11	30.27	9.07	5.52	6.96	57.93
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	**5.43**	-4.56	-0.98	**2.38**	**1.78**	4.05
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	**88.9%**	**-15.1%**	**-10.8%**	**43.1%**	**25.6%**	7.0%

Continued on next page

Map 2 (Continued)

	East Judicial District						
Proposed Election Districts -->	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**Total**
Number of Counties	7	5	8	5	5	5	35
Population	**116,657**	**200,104**	**207,245**	**363,907**	**289,022**	**133,592**	**1,310,527**
Total Filings - Yr 2000	15,031	40,949	26,522	59,550	49,989	24,478	216,519
Workload in Minutes[1]	**561,848**	**1,186,960**	**898,621**	**2,024,398**	**1,787,739**	**776,516**	**7,236,082**
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	9.50	17.25	13.75	21.75	20.25	11.00	93.50
Minus (FTEs):							
---Travel	0.74	0.87	1.25	0.82	1.05	0.80	5.53
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.00	0.75	1.12	2.94	2.45	1.49	9.75
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	7.76	15.63	11.38	17.99	16.75	8.71	78.22
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	5.89	12.44	9.42	21.22	18.74	8.14	75.85
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	**1.87**	**3.19**	**1.96**	**-3.23**	**-1.99**	**0.57**	2.37
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	**31.7%**	**25.6%**	**20.8%**	**-15.2%**	**-10.6%**	**7.0%**	3.1%

MAP 3: 4 Judicial Districts -- Same 16 Judicial Election Districts as Maps 1 & 2



West Jud. Dist.
37 Counties
691,196 Pop.
125,614 filings
27 Dist Ct Judges
52.5 Total
FTE Jud. Ofcrs

N.E. Jud. Dist.
25 Counties
881,717 Pop.
142,052 Filings
32 Dist Ct Judges
62.25 Total FTE
Judicial Officers

S.E. Jud. Dist.
18 Counties
529,432 Pop.
93,196 filings
23 Dist Ct Judges
41.5 Total
FTE Jud. Ofcrs

Central Judicial District
19 Counties; 794,646 Pop.
135,313 filings; 34 Dist Ct Judges
62.25 Total FTE Jud. Officers

■ County seat; site of district court clerk's office and court service days..

Judicial District boundary line.

Judicial Election District boundary line.

NOTE: Each of the **shaded** judicial election districts has **fewer FTE judicial officers than needed to meet the workload demand,** according to research data compiled by the National Center for State Courts. The judicial election districts shown in white have enough or more than enough FTE judicial officers to meet the workload demand. Judges in the election districts with more than enough FTE judicial officers will have to assist with the workload in adjacent election districts that do not have enough FTE judicial officers.

MAP 3: 4 Judicial Districts & the same 16 Judicial Election Districts as Maps 1 & 2

	West Judicial District						S.E. Judicial District			
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	5	Total	1	2	3	Total
Number of Counties	5	10	5	10	7	37	8	5	5	18
Population	**182,098**	**136,346**	**92,503**	**193,971**	**86,278**	691,196	**106,818**	**133,592**	**289,022**	**529,432**
Total Filings - Yr 2000	32,611	21,558	14,672	44,414	12,359	125,614	18,729	24,478	49,989	**93,196**
Workload in Minutes[1]	**994,092**	**659,133**	**525,557**	**1,269,055**	**383,737**	3,831,574	**663,534**	**776,516**	**1,787,739**	**3,227,789**
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	12.25	11.00	5.75	13.00	9.50	51.50	10.25	11.00	20.25	**41.5**
Minus (FTEs):										
---Travel	0.60	0.91	0.53	1.26	0.87	4.17	0.88	0.80	1.05	**2.73**
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.01	0.74	0.57	0.87	0.70	3.89	0.63	1.49	2.45	**4.57**
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	10.64	9.35	4.65	10.87	7.93	43.44	8.74	8.71	16.75	**34.2**
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	10.42	6.91	5.51	13.30	4.02	40.16	6.96	8.14	18.74	**33.84**
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	**0.22**	**2.44**	-0.86	-2.43	**3.91**	3.28	**1.78**	**0.57**	-1.99	0.36
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	**2.1%**	**35.3%**	**-15.6%**	**-18.3%**	**97.3%**	8.2%	**25.6%**	**7.0%**	**-10.6%**	1.1%

	N.E. Judicial District					Central Judicial District				
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	Total	1	2	3	4	Total
Number of Counties	7	5	8	5	25	3	1	6	9	19
Population	**110,461**	**200,104**	**207,245**	**363,907**	881,717	**140,955**	**374,601**	**164,405**	**114,685**	794,646
Total Filings - Yr 2000	15,031	40,949	26,522	59,550	142,052	21,449	75,596	23,895	14,373	135,313
Workload in Minutes[1]	**561,848**	**1,186,960**	**898,621**	**2,024,398**	4,671,827	**582,684**	**2,887,568**	**865,241**	**526,757**	4,862,250
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	9.50	17.25	13.75	21.75	62.25	13.00	29.25	9.75	9.25	61.25
Minus (FTEs):										
---Travel	0.74	0.87	1.25	0.82	3.68	0.37	0.30	0.80	0.90	2.37
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.00	0.75	1.12	2.94	5.81	1.09	3.24	0.86	0.45	5.64
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	7.76	15.63	11.38	17.99	52.76	11.54	25.71	8.09	7.90	53.24
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	5.89	12.44	9.42	21.22	48.97	6.11	30.27	9.07	5.52	50.97
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	**1.87**	**3.19**	**1.96**	-3.23	3.79	**5.43**	-4.56	-0.98	**2.38**	2.27
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	**31.7%**	**25.6%**	**20.8%**	**-15.2%**	7.7%	**88.9%**	**-15.1%**	**-10.8%**	**43.1%**	4.5%

MAP 4: 5 Judicial Districts -- 16 Judicial Election Districts (Some Revised)



N.W Judicial District
22 Counties
430,313 Pop.
71,427 filings
13 Dist Ct Jdgs
30.75 Total FTE Jud.Ofcrs

S.W Judicial District
18 Counties
286,904 Pop.
58,405 filings
13 Dist Ct Judges
22.5 Total FTE Jud. Ofcrs

Central Judicial District
16 Counties; 768,625 Pop.
131,995 filings; 34 Dist Ct Judges
59.5 Total FTE Jud. Officers

N.E. Judicial District
25 Counties
887,913 Pop.
142,052 Filings
33 Dist Ct Judges
62.25 Total FTE Judicial Officers

S.E Judicial District
18 Counties
529,432 Pop.
93,196 filings
23 Dist Ct Judges
41.5 Total FTE Jud. Ofcrs

NOTE: Each of the **shaded** judicial election districts has fewer **FTE judicial officers than needed to meet the workload demand,** according to research data compiled by the National Center for State Courts. The judicial election districts shown in white have enough or more than enough FTE judicial officers to meet the workload demand. Judges in the election districts with more than enough FTE judicial officers will have to assist with the workload in adjacent election districts that do not have enough FTE judicial officers.

MAP 4: 5 Judicial Districts & 16 Election Districts (Some Revised)

	N.E. Judicial District				
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	Total
Number of Counties	7	5	8	5	25
Population	116,657	200,104	207,245	363,907	887,913
Total Filings - Yr 2000	15,031	40,949	26,522	59,550	142,052
Workload in Minutes[1]	561,848	1,186,960	898,621	2,024,398	4,671,827
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	9.50	17.25	13.75	21.75	62.25
Minus (FTEs):					
---Travel	0.74	0.87	1.25	0.82	3.68
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.00	0.75	1.12	2.94	5.81
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	7.76	15.63	11.38	17.99	52.76
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	5.89	12.44	9.42	21.22	48.97
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	1.87	3.19	1.96	-3.23	3.79
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	31.7%	25.6%	20.8%	-15.2%	7.7%

	S.E. Judicial District			
	1	2	3	Total
	8	5	5	18
	124,054	133,592	289,022	546,668
	18,729	24,478	49,989	93,196
	663,534	776,516	1,787,739	3,227,789
	10.25	11.00	20.25	41.50
	0.88	0.80	1.05	2.73
	0.63	1.49	2.45	4.57
	8.74	8.71	16.75	34.20
	6.96	8.14	18.74	33.84
	1.78	0.57	-1.99	0.36
	25.6%	7.0%	-10.6%	1.1%

	N.W. Judicial District			
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	Total
Number of Counties	6	10	6	22
Population	189,935	136,346	104,032	430,313
Total Filings - Yr 2000	33,298	21,558	16,571	71,427
Workload in Minutes[1]	1,022,611	659,133	572,284	2,254,028
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	13.50	11.00	6.25	30.75
Minus (FTEs):				
---Travel	0.73	0.91	0.64	2.28
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.14	0.74	0.69	2.57
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	11.63	9.35	4.92	25.90
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	10.72	6.91	6.00	23.63
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	0.91	2.44	-1.08	2.27
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	8.5%	35.3%	-18.0%	9.6%

	S.W. Judicial District		
	1	2	Total
	9	9	18
	118,678	168,226	286,904
	18,978	39,427	58,405
	553,706	1,152,248	1,705,954
	9.25	13.25	22.5
	1.13	1.02	2.15
	0.59	0.65	1.24
	7.53	11.58	19.11
	5.80	12.08	17.88
	1.73	-0.50	1.23
	29.8%	-4.1%	6.9%

Map 4 --- Continued

	Central Judicial District				
Proposed Election Districts -->	1	2	3	4	Total
Number of Counties	3	1	6	6	16
Population	**140,955**	**374,601**	**164,405**	**88,664**	768,625
Total Filings - Yr 2000	21,449	75,596	23,895	11,055	131,995
Workload in Minutes[1]	**582,684**	**2,887,568**	**865,241**	**398,349**	4,733,842
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	13.00	29.25	9.75	7.50	59.50
Minus (FTEs):					
---Travel	0.37	0.30	0.80	0.65	2.12
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.09	3.24	0.86	0.19	5.38
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	11.54	25.71	8.09	6.66	52.00
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	6.11	30.27	9.07	4.18	49.63
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	**5.43**	-4.56	-0.98	**2.48**	2.37
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	**88.9%**	**-15.1%**	**-10.8%**	**59.3%**	4.8%

MAP 5: 5 Judicial Districts - 16 Judicial Election Districts (Most Are Revised)



N.W. Judicial District:
29 Counties
546,970 pop
86,458 filings
19 Dist Ct Judges
40.25 Total FTE Judicial Ofcrs

S.W. Judicial District:
22 Counties
320,848 pop
62,281 filings
16 Dist Ct Judges
26.75 Total FTE Judicial Officers

N.E. Judicial District:
18 Counties
761,256 pop
127,021 filings
27 Dist Ct Judges
41.5 Total FTE Judicial Ofcr

Central Judicial District:
12 Counties; 734,651 pop.; 31 Dist Ct Judges;
127,219 filings; 55.25 FTE Jud. Officers

S.E. Judicial District:
18 Counties
546,668 pop
93,196 filings
23 Dist Ct Judges
41.5 Total FTE Judicial Ofcrs

NOTE: Each of the **shaded** judicial election districts has **fewer FTE judicial officers than needed to meet the workload demand,** according to research data compiled by the National Center for State Courts. The judicial election districts shown in white have enough or more than enough FTE judicial officers to meet the workload demand. Judges in the election districts with more than enough FTE judicial officers will have to assist with the workload in adjac ection districts that do not have enough FTE judicial officers

MAP 5: 5 Judicial Districts & 16 Election Districts (Most revised compared to Maps 1 - 3)

	N.E. Judicial District			
Proposed Election Districts -->	**1**	**2**	**3**	**Total**
Number of Counties	5	8	5	18
Population	200,104	207,245	363,907	771,256
Total Filings - Yr 2000	40,949	26,522	59,550	127,021
Workload in Minutes[1]	1,186,960	898,621	2,024,398	4,109,979
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	17.25	13.75	21.75	52.75
Minus (FTEs):				
---Travel	0.87	1.25	0.82	2.94
---Non-case specific time[3]	0.75	1.12	2.94	4.81
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	15.63	11.38	17.99	45
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	12.44	9.42	21.22	43.08
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	3.19	1.96	-3.23	1.92
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	25.6%	20.8%	-15.2%	4.5%

	S.E. Judicial District			
1	**2**	**3**	**Total**
8	5	5	18
124,054	133,592	289,022	546,668
18,729	24,478	49,989	93,196
663,534	776,516	1,787,739	3,227,789
10.25	11.00	20.25	41.50
0.88	0.80	1.05	2.73
0.63	1.49	2.45	4.57
8.74	8.71	16.75	34.20
6.96	8.14	18.74	33.84
1.78	0.57	-1.99	0.36
25.6%	7.0%	-10.6%	1.1%

	N.W. Judicial District				
Proposed Election Districts -->	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**Total**
Number of Counties	5	8	8	8	29
Population	178,172	106,001	131,806	130,991	546,970
Total Filings - Yr 2000	32,646	15,976	21,338	16,498	86,458
Workload in Minutes[1]	994,705	490,861	700,366	629,944	2,815,876
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	13.25	7.50	9.75	9.75	40.25
Minus (FTEs):					
---Travel	0.64	0.71	0.82	0.85	3.02
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.09	0.39	1.13	1.04	3.65
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	11.52	6.40	7.80	7.86	33.58
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	10.43	5.15	7.34	6.60	29.52
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	1.09	1.25	0.46	1.26	4.06
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	10.5%	24.3%	6.3%	19.1%	13.8%

	S.W. Judicial District		
1	**2**	**3**	**Total**
6	8	8	22
154,674	103,012	63,162	320,848
37,748	15,720	8,813	62,281
1,072,837	485,214	327,196	1,885,247
11.75	8.75	6.25	26.75
0.82	0.99	0.67	2.48
0.63	0.59	0.10	1.32
10.30	7.17	5.48	22.95
11.25	5.09	3.43	19.77
-0.95	2.08	2.05	3.18
-8.4%	40.9%	59.8%	16.1%

Map 5 -- Continued

	Central Judicial District			
Proposed Election Districts -->	**1**	**2**	**3**	**Total**
Number of Counties	6	1	5	12
Population	**227,697**	**374,601**	**132,353**	734,651
Total Filings - Yr 2000	31,565	75,596	20,058	127,219
Workload in Minutes[1]	**940,549**	**2,887,568**	**726,432**	4,554,549
Actual Judicial Positions (FTEs)[2]	18.25	29.25	7.75	55.25
Minus (FTEs):				
---Travel	0.85	0.30	0.65	1.8
---Non-case specific time[3]	1.29	3.24	0.69	5.22
Net FTE Judgeship SUPPLY	16.11	25.71	6.41	48.23
Predicted FTE Judgeship DEMAND[4]	9.86	30.27	7.61	47.74
Difference (SUPPLY - DEMAND)	6.25	-4.56	-1.20	0.49
Supply is X% more (+) or less(-) than Demand	**63.4%**	**-15.1%**	**-15.8%**	1.0%