Senate File 2227 - Introduced

		SENA	ATE FILE
			McKINLEY
	Pas	assed Senate, Date Passe	d House, Date
	vot	ote: Ayes Nays Vote: Approved	Ayes Nays
		A BII	LL FOR
		n Act requiring a regulatory analysis	of administrative rules
2		impacting small business. E IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY C	F THE STATE OF IOWA:
		LSB 6039XS 82	
5	Jr./	r/nh/8	
PAG	LIN	IN	
1	1	Section 1. Section 17A.4A, Code	2007 is amonded to read
1 1		Section 1. Section 1/A.4A, Code 2 as follows:	2007, is allended to read
1 1	3		
1		4 1. <u>a.</u>	section 2, paragraph "a",
1	6	5 if, within thirty=two days after the	published notice of
1 1		7 proposed rule adoption, a written re 8 submitted to the agency by the admin	
1	9	9 committee or the administrative rule	s coordinator. An agency
$\frac{1}{1}$	11	O shall issue a regulatory analysis of I complies with subsection 2, paragrap	h "b", if the rule would
-1	12	2 have a substantial impact on small b	usiness and if, within
		3 thirty-two days after the published 4 adoption, a written request for ana l	
-1	15	5 agency by the administrative rules r 5 administrative rules coordinator, at	eview committee, the
-1	17	7 signing that request who each qualif	y as a small business or
		3 by an organization representing at l 9 persons. If a rule has been adopted	
1	20	o an opportunity for public participat	ion in reliance upon
		l section 17A.4, subsection 2, the wri 2 analysis that complies with subsecti	
1	23	3 "b", may be made within seventy days	of publication of the
	24 25	4 rule. 5 <u>b. An agency shall issue a reg</u> ul	atory analysis of a rule
1	26	<u> 5 that complies with subsection 2, par</u>	agraph "b", if the rule is
1	27	<u>7 a proposed rule, or has been adopted</u> 8 an opportunity for public participat	without prior notice and
1	29	9 have an adverse impact on small busi	ness. A regulatory
<u>1</u>		O analysis issued pursuant to this par I as part of the notice of proposed ru	
1	32	2 along with a rule that has been ador	ted without notice.
1	33	3 2. a. Except to the extent that 4 regulatory analysis expressly waives	
1	35	5 following, the regulatory analysis m	
2 2	1 2		of persons who probably
2	3	3 will be affected by the proposed rul	e, including classes that
2		4 will bear the costs of the proposed 5 benefit from the proposed rule.	rule and classes that will
2	6	6 (2) A description of the probabl	
2		7 qualitative impact of the proposed r 8 otherwise, upon affected classes of	
2	9	9 description of the nature and amount	of all of the different
2		O kinds of costs that would be incurre I proposed rule.	ed in complying with the
2	12	2 (3) The probable costs to the ag	
2		3 agency of the implementation and enf	orcement of the proposed
2	15	4 rule and any anticipated effect on s 5 (4) A comparison of the probable	
2	16	6 proposed rule to the probable costs	

2 16 proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of inaction.
2 17 (5) A determination of whether less costly methods or less
2 18 intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the
2 19 proposed rule.
2 20 (6) A description of any alternative methods for achieving

2 21 the purpose of the proposed rule that were seriously 2 22 considered by the agency and the reasons why they were 2 23 rejected in favor of the proposed rule.

2

2

2

3 2

3

3

3 22

3 24

3 28

3

3 33

4 4

4

4 8

4

4 19

26

3.0

b. In the case of a rule that would have a substantial $2\ 25$ impact on small business, the regulatory analysis must contain 2 26 a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable 2 27 to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rule on 2 28 small business:

(1) Establish less stringent compliance or reporting 30 requirements in the rule for small business.

- (2) Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the 2 32 rule for compliance or reporting requirements for small 33 business.
 - 34 (3) Consolidate or simplify the rule's compliance or 35 reporting requirements for small business.
 - (4) Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rule for small business.
 - (5) Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rule.
- c. The agency shall reduce the impact of a proposed rule that would have a substantial an adverse impact on small business by using a method discussed in paragraph "b" if the 8 agency finds that the method is legal and feasible in meeting 9 the statutory objectives which are the basis of the proposed 3 10 rule. For purposes of judicial review, a small business shall be deemed to be aggrieved or adversely affected by an agency 12 determination that it would not be legal and feasible to 13 reduce the impact of a rule.
- 3. Each regulatory analysis must include quantifications 3 15 of the data to the extent practicable and must take account of 3 16 both short=term and long=term consequences.
- 4. Upon receipt by an agency of a timely request for a 3 17 3 18 regulatory analysis, the agency shall extend the period 3 19 specified in this chapter for each of the following until at 3 20 least twenty days after publication in the administrative 3 21 bulletin of a concise summary of the regulatory analysis:
 - a. The end of the period during which persons may make 23 written submissions on the proposed rule.
- b. The end of the period during which an oral proceeding 3 25 may be requested.
 - c. The date of any required oral proceeding on the 27 proposed rule.

In the case of a rule adopted without prior notice and an 3 29 opportunity for public participation in reliance upon section 17A.4, subsection 2, the summary must be published within 3 31 seventy days of the a request made pursuant to subsection 1, <u>paragraph "a"</u>

- 5. The published summary of the regulatory analysis issued 34 pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph "a", must also indicate 3 35 where persons may obtain copies of the full text of the 1 regulatory analysis and where, when, and how persons may 2 present their views on the proposed rule and demand an oral 3 proceeding thereon if one is not already provided. Agencies 4 shall make available to the public, to the maximum extent 5 feasible, the published summary and the full text of the 6 regulatory analysis described in this subsection in an electronic format, including, but not limited to, access to the documents through the internet.
- 6. If the agency has made a good faith effort to comply 4 10 with the requirements of subsections 1 through 3, the rule may 4 11 not be invalidated on the ground that the contents of the 4 12 regulatory analysis are insufficient or inaccurate.
- 7. For the purpose of this section, "small business" means 4 14 any entity including but not limited to an individual, 4 15 partnership, corporation, joint venture, association, or 4 16 cooperative, to which all of the following apply: 4 17
- a. It is not an affiliate or subsidiary of an entity 4 18 dominant in its field of operation.
- b. It has either twenty or fewer full=time equivalent 4 20 positions or less than one million dollars in annual gross 4 21 revenues in the preceding fiscal year.

For purposes of this definition, "dominant in its field of 4 23 operation means having more than twenty full=time equivalent 24 positions and more than one million dollars in annual gross 25 revenues, and "affiliate or subsidiary of an entity dominant 26 in its field of operation" means an entity which is at least 4 27 twenty percent owned by an entity dominant in its field of 4 28 operation, or by partners, officers, directors, majority 4 29 stockholders, or their equivalent, of an entity dominant in 4 30 that field of operation.

By July 1, 2012, and every five years thereafter, each

- agency shall review all existing rules under its purview to 33 determine whether such rules should be continued without 34 change, or should be amended or rescinded, consistent with the 35 stated objectives of the applicable statutes, to minimize 1 economic impact of the rules on small businesses in a manner 2 consistent with the stated objectives of the applicable 3 statutes. If the agency determines that completion of the 4 review of existing rules is not feasible by July 1, 2012, the 5 agency shall publish notice of that finding in the Iowa 6 administrative bulletin. The agency may then extend the 7 completion date by one year at a time for a total of not more 8 than five years. In reviewing rules to minimize the economic 9 impact of the rules on small businesses, the agency shall 10 consider all of the following factors:
 11 a. The continued need for the rule.

 - b. The nature of complaints or comments received concerning the rule from the public.
 - The complexity of the rule.
 - The extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates, or 16 conflicts with other federal, state, or local governmental 17 statutes or rules.
 - e. The length of time since the rule has been evaluated or 5 18 the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other 20 factors have changed in the area affected by the rule. EXPLANATION

Code section 17A.4A sets out a procedure for requesting a 5 23 regulatory analysis for proposed rules or rules adopted 5 24 without notice. This analysis is essentially a cost/benefit 5 25 study identifying the impact of a rule on the affected public 5 26 and, in the case of a rule that would have a substantial 27 impact on small business, the regulatory analysis must contain 28 a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable 29 to reduce the impact of the rule on small business.

This bill requires an analysis on every new rule which has 31 an adverse impact on small business and requires the analysis 32 to be published in the Iowa administrative bulletin along with 33 the new rule. If an agency determines that it would not be 34 legal and feasible to reduce the adverse impact on small 35 business, a small business would have judicial standing to 1 challenge that determination in district court.

The bill also requires a periodic review of all rules to minimize the economic impact of the rules on small businesses 4 The initial review must be completed by July 1, 2012, and will 5 be repeated every five years. The review must analyze all of 6 the following:

- 1. The continued need for the rule.
 - The nature of complaints or comments received.
- The complexity of the rule.
 Duplication of or conflict with other federal, state, 6 1.0 11 or local governmental statutes or rules.
- 5. The length of time since the rule has been evaluated or 13 the degree to which various factors have changed in the area 6 14 affected by the rule.
- 6 15 LSB 6039XS 82
- 6 16 jr/nh/8

5 14

30

6

6 6

6

6

6 6 8

6 9