	FILED MAR 6'03
	SENATE FILE 305
	BY DVORSKY
Passed Senate, Date	Passed House, Date
Vote: Ayes Nays	Vote: Ayes Nays
Approved	

A BILL FOR

•

1	An	Act	: re	lati	ng t	to ti	he app	port	cionme	ent d	or t	rans	sfer	of a	juđợ	geshi	p	
2		amo	ong	judi	cia	l el	ection	n di	istric	ts.								
3	BE	IT	ENA	CTED	BY	THE	GENE	RAL	ASSEM	BLY	OF	THE	STAT	re of	IOWA	A:		
4																		
5																		
6																		4
7																		CN
8																		R
9																		ANDIGINE SOE \$
10																		וסומי
11																		ARA
12 13																		
14																		
15																		
16																		
17																		
18																		
19																		
20																		
21																		
22																		
23																		
24																		
25																		

JUDICIARY

S.F. **305** H.F.

1 Section 1. Section 602.6201, subsections 4, 5, and 6, Code
2 2003, are amended to read as follows:

4. For purposes of this section, a vacancy means the
4 death, resignation, retirement, or removal of a district
5 judge, or the failure of a district judge to be retained in
6 office at the judicial election, or an increase in judgeships
7 under this section, or a judicial election district with fewer
8 district judges than the number of judgeships specified under
9 the formula in subsection 3.

10 In-those-judicial-election-districts-having-more 5. 11 district-judges-than-the-number-of-judgeships-specified-by-the 12 formula-in-subsection-37-vacancies-shall-not-be-filled If a 13 vacancy in a judgeship occurs, the judgeship shall be 14 apportioned to the judicial election district having the 15 greatest numerical disparity between authorized judgeships and 16 judgeships specified by the formula in subsection 3, as 17 calculated by the state court administrator. If two or more 18 judicial election districts have an equal numerical disparity 19 between authorized judgeships and judgeships specified by the 20 formula, the judgeship shall be apportioned to the judicial 21 election district with greatest percentage of need in terms of 22 authorized judgeships filled and judgeships specified by the 23 formula as calculated by the state court administrator. In-those-judicial-election-districts-having-fewer-or 24 6. 25 the-same-number-of-district-judges-as-the-number-of-judgeships 26 specified-by-the-formula-in-subsection-37-vacancies-in-the 27 number-of-district-judges-shall-be-filled-as-they-occur-28 Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code to the 29 contrary, if the chief justice of the supreme court determines 30 an inequity exists in the allocation of judgeships and 31 judicial workload between judicial election districts, the 32 chief justice may authorize a voluntary permanent transfer of 33 a district judge from one judicial election district to 34 another. The chief justice shall notify all eligible district 35 judges of the intent to authorize a voluntary permanent

-1-

s.f. 355 H.F.

1 transfer and the terms of such a transfer. The chief justice 2 may transfer a district judge who consents to the transfer 3 within six months of the notification. The transfer of a 4 district judge shall take effect within sixty days of the 5 official announcement of the transfer by the chief justice. A 6 district judge transferred pursuant to this subsection shall 7 have six months from the date of the announcement of the 8 transfer to establish residency in the judicial election 9 district where the district judge is transferred. A district 10 judge who has been transferred shall stand for retention in 11 the judicial election district to which the district judge has 12 been transferred as provided in chapter 46. For purposes of 13 subsection 3, the judgeship shall be apportioned to the 14 judicial election district where the judge is transferred. A 15 voluntary transfer pursuant to this subsection shall not cause 16 a vacancy of a judgeship in the judicial election district 17 from which the district judge was transferred. 18 Sec. 2. Section 602.6201, subsection 7, Code 2003, is 19 amended by striking the subsection. 20 Section 602.6201, subsection 8, Code 2003, is Sec. 3. 21 amended to read as follows: 22 8. Vacancies-shall-not-be-filled-in-a-judicial-election 23 district-which-becomes-entitled-to-fewer-judgeships-under 24 subsection-37-but-an An incumbent district judge shall not be 25 removed from office because of a reduction in the number of 26 authorized judgeships. 27 EXPLANATION 28 This bill relates to the apportionment or transfer of a 29 judgeship among judicial election districts. 30 The bill provides that if the chief justice of the supreme 31 court determines an inequity exists in the allocation of 32 judgeships and judicial workload between judicial election 33 districts, the chief justice may authorize a voluntary 34 permanent transfer of a district judge from one judicial 35 election district to another. The chief justice shall notify

-2-

s.f. **305** н.f.

1 all eligible district judges of the intent to authorize a 2 voluntary transfer. The chief justice may permanently 3 transfer a district judge who consents to the transfer within 4 six months of the notification to authorize such a transfer. 5 The bill provides that the transfer of a district judge shall 6 take effect within 60 days of the official announcement of the 7 transfer. Under the bill a district judge who transfers shall 8 establish a residency in the new judicial election district 9 within six months of the official announcement of the 10 transfer. A district judge who is transferred shall stand for ll retention in the new judicial election district. The bill requires a vacant judgeship to be apportioned to 12 13 the judicial election district having the greatest numerical 14 disparity between authorized judgeships and judgeships 15 specified by the formula in Code section 602.6201, subsection The bill provides that if two or more judicial election 16 3. 17 districts have an equal numerical disparity between authorized 18 judgeships and judgeships specified by the formula, the 19 judgeship shall be apportioned to the judicial election 20 district with the greatest percentage of need in terms of 21 authorized judgeships filled and judgeships specified by the 22 formula as calculated by the state court administrator. 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35