EINAL REPORT

QUALITY GRAINS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

February 1990

AUTHORIZATION AND APPOINTMENT

The Quality Grains Advisory Committee was established pursuant to House File 59 as enacted in 1989 by the Seventy-Third General Assembly. The Committee is an advisory committee to develop recommendations to assure that Iowa agricutural producers receive the actual market value of above quality grain when sold to buyers and to establish a market which encourages the development of markets for above standard quality or special quality grains. The Committee is established by the Secretary of Agriculture.

The Legislative Council accepted members selected to the Advisory Committee, including three members each from the Senate and the House of Representatives, and seven members representing associations interested in grain quality. Members of the Advisory Committee are:

Senator Leonard Boswell, Co-chairperson Representative Robert Fuller, Co-chairperson Senator Jack Hester Senator Kenneth Scott Representative Bradly Banks

Representative Jan Svoboda

Mr. Tim Ennis, National Farm Organization

Mr. Tom Feldman, Iowa Institute of Cooperation

Mr. Patrick Delanty, Iowa Soybean Association

Ms. Denise O'Brien, National Farmers Union Ms. Emily Waund, Iowa Farm Bureau Federation

Ms. Betty Westmoreland, Iowa Corn Growers Association

STUDY COMMITTEE CHARGE

The original charge of the Study Committee provides the following:

The Advisory Committee is established by the Secretary of Agriculture to develop recommendations for legislation to assure that Iowa agricultural producers receive the actual market value of above standard quality or special quality grain when sold to buyers and to encourage the development of

markets for above standard quality or special quality grains. The Advisory Committee shall investigate the feasibility of expanding the special quality grains electronic bulletin board, various methods of assuring the fair market price is paid for grain delivered to buyers in above standard condition, and the impact a quality grain program can have upon lowa's competitiveness in the marketplace. In its research of grain marketing, the Advisory Committee shall conduct a public hearing in each of Iowa's Congressional districts (6 public hearings). Staffing is provided by the Legislative Service Bureau. Recommendations are to be reported by January 15, 1990.

The legislative Council amended the charge by granting the Committee one additional public hearing day.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE PROCEEDINGS

The Committee held seven public hearings around the state. The hearings were conducted on October 16, 1989, in Stuart and Mitchellville, on November 3, 1989, in Iowa Falls and Tama, on November 10, 1989, in New Hampton and Mount Vernon, and on December 15, 1989, in Ottumwa. The Committee held its final meeting on December 15, 1989, in Ottumwa.

The Advisory Committee received copies of research and study projects, including the following:

Grain Quality: Positioning Ourselves for the Future. The report is dated November 16, 1987, and was prepared by Mr. Cooper Evans and Ms. Krisi Livingston.

Information regarding the Canadian grain system prepared by the Legislative Service Bureau.

Information regarding the Nebraska Wheat Marketing System prepared by the Legislative Service Bureau.

Enhancing the Quality of U.S. Grain for International Trade (Summary). The report, published in 1989, was prepared by the Congress of the United States Office of Technology Assessment.

Excerpts from a U.S. government report entitled Grain Quality in International Trade (A Comparison of Major U.S. Competitors). The report, published in 1989, was prepared by the Congress of the United States Office of Technology Assessment.

Commitment to Grain Quality prepared under the aegis of the North American Export Grain Association.

Where Quality Counts produced by the Commodity Marketing Division, Cargill, Incorporated.

Nutrient Content and Feeding Value of Towa Corn. The report was prepared in 1989 by the Iowa Corn Growers Association, the Iowa Corn Promotion Board, and Iowa State University Agricultural and Home Economics Experiment Station.

Grain Quality: The State's Role. The report was prepared by Dr. Charles R. Hurburgh, Jr., Agricultural Engineering Department, Iowa State University.

Aflatoxin: Strategies for the Future, prepared by the lowa Aflatoxin Task Force in 1989.

The Advisory Committee also received testimony from a number of interested persons and discussed issues relating to grain quality at locations in each of Iowa's six Congressional districts.

October 16 Hearing: Stuart

At its first hearing, the Advisory Committee heard testimony relating to problems in mandating that a premium be paid to producers delivering superior quality grains. The Committee heard from several persons stating that farmers who produce number I grade quality corn should be given a premium. Members expressed confidence that markets do exist for quality grain and that markets must be further developed.

October 16 Hearing: Mitchellville

At its second hearing the Advisory Committee heard testimony from grain warehouse operators objecting to stated mandated premiums. Mr. Steve Pederson, Director of Marketing, Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship, described departmental efforts to expand the market for quality grains. He described progress in developing an electronic bulletin board to link buyers and sellers of premium grains. The Department is authorized to establish a bulletin board pursuant to House File 59 as enacted in 1989 by the General Assembly.

The Committee heard testimony that the United States is losing its market share to other grain exporting countries because the U.S. is not a dependable trader of quality grains.

Mr. Roy Bardole, representing the Iowa Soybean Association, stated support for international level efforts to encourage higher quality standards, but stated that the Association does not support legislation which would make Iowa a marketing island.

November 3 Hearing: Iowa Falls

At the third public hearing, the Advisory Committee heard testimony from Mr. Ron Swanson representing the Iowa Corn Growers Association. Mr. Swanson recommended that any premium structure that is developed should focus upon intrinsic values of grain rather than physical or sanitary characteristics. He expressed reluctance to support a specific premium mandated by law. Mr. Swanson listed several specific recommendations for consideration, including increasing funding for the "Iowa Certificate Program" and for research and development of testing equipment. He also recommended increased promotion of Iowa agriculture and quality Iowa agriculture products to domestic and foreign customers.

The Advisory Committee also heard from persons representing cooperatives and grain elevators. The persons expressed concern about the cost of changing current practices. They expressed doubt that the market size for premium grain is extensive. Mr. Michael Abildtrup, Farmers Cooperative Elevator, related information regarding the high price of shipping identity preserved grain.

Mr. Peter Reed, Agri-Grain Marketing, expressed confidence in the current grain marketing system. He stated that the market for quality grain is growing, but that the market is not developed to a point where a premium is generally paid for No. 1 grade yellow corn. In response to a question by Co-chairperson Boswell, Mr. Reed stated that a controlling interest in Agri-Grain Marketing is held by Cargill.

The Committee heard from several producers stating that the grain grading system should be used as an incentive and as a reward to producers who preserve grain quality. Mr. Steven Lettow stated oppostion to using No. 1 grade yellow corn for purposes of blending.

November 3 Hearing: Tama

At the fourth public hearing, the Advisory Committee heard from several persons who stated that the grain marketing system should be reformed to reward production of quality grain. Several persons representing country elevators expressed opposition to the payment of state mandated premiums. Mr. Jerald Kunce, Sully Cooperative Exchange, expressed concern that grain dealers required to purchase grain at a premium would not have markets to resell the grain at premium prices. He encouraged the development of an ethanol industry which would consume off-grade corn.

Mr. Warren Shildroth, Iowa Farm Bureau Federation, supported reform of the grading and pricing system for feed grains, cereal grains, and oilseeds to reflect premiums for quality and to reward producers for high quality grain to the same extent that the

producer is discounted for lower quality grade grains. He stated that problems within the marketing system lie primarily in the pricing system and the trading practices of the grain industry.

November 10 Hearing: New Hampton

At the fifth public hearing, the Committee listened to a number of persons. Mr. Charles Pyatt, Iowa Board Member, American Corn Growers, stated a concern that large grain marketing corporations have a dominant role in farm policy made at the federal level. Mr. Pyatt recommended that Iowa establish a state grades of corn for premium quality grain and for off-grade, damaged, broken, or moldy corn.

Mr. Lanny Jass, representing Harvest States Cooperatives, St. Paul, Minnesota, testified about initiatives sponsored by his association to certify producers and warehouse operators who are under contract to grow and store premium quality corn. Mr. Jass stated that the most important factor in preserving high quality corn is proper drying.

The Committee listened to testimony from Mr. Frank Weiner, Cartersville Elevator. Mr. Weiner stated that blending is often practiced in order to save producers from suffering financial hardship. Mr. Weiner stated that corn deteriorates because of bad management practices by producers. Mr. Weiner recommended that all corn be officially graded at the point of first sale. Several persons representing country elevators voiced concern that the market could not support a mandated premium paid on quality grade corn.

November 10 Hearing: Mount Vernon

At the sixth hearing the Committee heard from persons representing grain or rural elevators expressing caution about state restriction of free market forces. Members of the Committee discussed the idea of establishing an Iowa quality grain logo to identify quality grain produced in the state. The Committee listened to testimony from a producer stating that foreign customers cannot understand why grain produced in Iowa is high quality and grain that they receive is poor quality.

The Committee briefly discussed a statement by Dr. Charles R. Hurburgh, Jr., Professor at Iowa State University. The statement listed several suggestions for legislative action, including support for innovative marketing strategies to bring growers and ultimate end-users in closer contact and to make grading and testing procedures more accurate. The statement expressed the view that periodic inspection of grain testing equipment is an excellent concept to ensure that testing at grain or rural elevators is accurate and fair.

December 13 Hearing: Ottumwa

At the seventh hearing the Committee heard testimony from a number of persons. Mr. Wayne Ryan, President of the Iowa Corn Growers Association, stated that the Association is concerned about the grain handling system. He stated that the most appropriate place for change is at the federal level. He supported incentives developed to reward producers delivering grain determined to be of premium quality and in demand by the marketplace. He stated that Association endorses the concept of developing an Iowa certificate program to more accurately describe the grain being He stated that the Association supports research and delivered. delivered. He stated that the Association supports research and testing of corn varieties to determine their ability to produce specific quality characteristics and the development of new varieties with improved quality characteristics. He also encouraged the certification and standardization of testing equipment and testing laboratories for nongrade factors of corn.

The Committee heard from Mr. Ken Ludlow representing the lowa Grain and Feed Association. Mr. Ludlow stated that it is difficult to install a premium priced system into grain merchandising unless the domestic and export buyers define the premium. He noted that the goal of the state should be total equity between domestic and export buyers of grain. He also stated the need for better harvesting practices, developing gentler methods of farm and elevator drying, and promoting structural changes at the elevator level to separately bin and preserve high quality grain.

Other persons testifying before the Committee stated that current federal standards are inadequate, that the state should encourage education of grain management practices, and that there is a need to support public research into improving grain quality. One person commented that it should never be profitable to adulterate grain in the marketing process. According to the person, adulteration takes place because of the current grading system, and the practice of blending. The person stated that grain blended from a variety of grain qualities should not be sold for more than the amount that the separate lots would have commanded if sold separately.

Several person commented that markets for grain cannot be made to exist by legislation. It was noted that improving quality is a never ending process.

December 15 Meeting: Ottumwa

The Committee met at 1:00 p.m. in Ottumwa, following the last public hearing, to discuss Committee action. Committee members spent time reviewing a summary of information including reports and testimony prepared by the Legislative Service Bureau. The Committee discussed a number of proposals and voted upon

recommendations to submit to the Legislative Council and the General Assembly. The Committee approved the drafting of a proposed Committee resolution to petition the federal government to enact and implement legislation to assure that the United States is the world's preeminent supplier of quality grains. The Committee directed the Legislative Service Bureau in consultation with Cochairpersons Boswell and Fuller to draft recommendations and the resolution.

The Advisory Committee's findings and recommendations are listed are listed in this report.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE FINDINGS

The Advisory Committee makes the following findings:

1. Iowa's grain marketing system is permanently integrated within the United States' marketing system. Iowa must take action to ensure that its grains are produced and transported with the highest possible quality. However, the state is not well positioned geographically to independently ensure that its products are delivered free of defects.

Iowa must assume a leadership role to improve the current system which is an interdependent structure composed of producers, local grain dealers and warehouse operators, cooperatives, terminal operators, associations, shippers, export companies, Iowa state agencies, other state governments, the United States government, domestic and foreign buyers, and foreign governments.

- 2. Three basic perspectives are expressed regarding the issue of providing increased prices to producers delivering quality grains, including the following:
- a. Producers expect a premium price to be paid for delivering grain of a higher quality than the market standard.
- b. Local grain merchants, including grain dealers and warehouse operators expect that a market for quality grain must be readily available before the merchant may pay a premium for quality grains.
- c. Large grain marketing companies assume that the current system is responsive and fair within current grain marketing conditions.
- 3. Iowa must avoid favoring any one group. This state depends upon warehouse operators and grain merchants just as it depends upon producers. State action should assist persons in improving the current system rather than mandating action which isolates and alienates a necessary component of the state's grain marketing system.

- 4. The blending of grain performed at the local level is an institutionalized part of business which benefits local grain warehouse operators and dealers. Blending practices also often benefit producers. However, blending reduces quality and the practice, as often performed at terminal ports, increases the risk of adulteration. Adulteration of grain through blending should never be profitable.
- 5. Grain handling practices cause deterioration in quality. Many handling practices can be improved during production, storage, and transportation.
- 6. Most complaints relating to quality are received from foreign buyers reporting an unacceptable level of broken grain, foreign material in grain, or grain damage.
- 7. There are indications that markets exist for grain above standard quality. However, the size of the market is unknown. Delivering high quality or special quality grain is sometimes not cost effective due to handling or transportation costs.
- 8. Producers are capable of delivering quality grain. However, producers may be reluctant to improve production and storage practices until economic benefits are received from improved on-farm practices.
- 9. Technology is rapidly evolving to permit measurement of many quality characteristics (e.g., protein content, oil content, starch content, hardness of kernels), and grain users are increasingly demanding special characteristics in the grains they purchase. Substantial premiums can be expected for varieties of grains that contain special characteristics. There is a need to change the existing marketing system to provide producers and small grain dealers and warehouse operators with economic incentives for segregating food grain and preserving quality.
- 10. Iowa is in an excellent position to produce special quality and superior quality grain for which substantial premiums can be expected.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Quality Grain Advisory Committee makes the following recommendations for consideration by the Legisltive Council and the 1990 General Assembly:

l. The state should actively support public research and education programs. The programs should promote practices and strategies designed to improve the production, handling, storage, marketing, and transportation of quality grains. The support should represent a public-private partnership to accomplish the following:

- a. Increase production and consumption of grain-based products, including alternative fuels, livestock and poultry feeds, blodegradable products, and human foods.
- b. Improve grain quality, by developing and measuring better seed varieties, special quality characteristics, and intrinsic value.
- c. Determine the extent and location of market demand for premium grains, including world market demand for grain having specific characteristics or superior quality.
- 2. Iowa should be identified as a state producing premium quality grains. The Committee has reviewed methods to achieve a high level of identification by state, including providing for shipments of identity preserved grain, establishing an organization to facilitate grain marketing (similar to the Nebraska Wheat Board), or providing for state certification of Iowa produced grains. The Committee recognizes that obstacles exist. Iowa should not be placed in a position of being identified with grains which are delivered in poor quality. The shipment of identity preserved grain is often not cost effective. Organizations created to facilitate the marketing of grains may become politicized or dominated by well organized interests.

The Committee expresses support in principle for the implementation of an "Iowa Certified Quality Grain" program in which the Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship certifies that the grain sold satisfies quality standards. The standards could include factors not presently contained within fedral grain standards, including aflatoxin levels, protein, oil or starch levels, and stress crack levels.

- 3. The state should encourage increased production and marketing of value-added products, including livestock, alternative fuels, biodegradable products, and foods and fibers designed for direct human consumption.
- 4. Marketing of grains should be based on practices which ensure quality. These practices include standards and procedures used to judge the quality of grains. The Committee stresses the importance of the federal government's immediate reform of grain standards. Changes in practices include the following:
- a. Standards should incorporate factors not presently included in grade standards, including aflatoxin levels, protein, oil or starch levels, and stress crack levels.
- b. Grain should be purchased on a zero defect basis excluding moisture.

- c. Foreign objects and broken grain should be measured separately. There should be a low colerance for the acceptable level of foreign objects.
- d. Blending of grain should be restricted where adulteration of the grain is threatened or the blended grain is sold for a price in excess of the price that the same grain unblended would command.
- 5. The state should commit more financial resources to its agricultural sector. The Committee notes that agriculture provides the foundation for the state's economic well-being, but has traditionally been underfunded. To illustrate this point, the Committee notes that in 1989, total revenue produced from agriculture in this state equaled more than nine billion dollars while the state appropriated less than twenty million dollars to support the Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship.
- 6. The state should establish an ethanol commission to cooperate with the private sector in developing and promoting ethanol as an alternative fuel source.
- 7. The state should work to harmonize efforts by organizations which facilitate trade between foreign grain buyers and Iowa sellers. The Committee stresses the need to develop a simple line of transmission in which buyers may communicate with a single or unified "voice" representing the state.
- 8. The state should support a delegation in Washington D.C. representing the state's interest to ensure that federal farm legislation better achieves the objectives contained in this report.
- 9. The state should encourage risk management strategies among small grain merchants engaged in marketing grains of special qualities or superior quality, including the formation of marketing pools. The state should provide seed capital to assist cooperatives in organizing in order to establish trading relationships with foreign customers interested in purchasing premium quality grains.
- 10. The Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship should act with all deliberate speed to implement the electronic bulletin board established in House File 59 enacted last session to ensure installation of a computerized network capable of linking sellers of high quality grains to potential customers.
- ll. The state should require that grain warehouse and terminal operators complete continuing education classes each year and periodically pass an oral exam related to handling and storage practices designed to maximize the maintenance of grain quality.

- 12. The state should require that all grain testing and grading equipment meet established standards, and that equipment in operation be inspected and certified periodically.
- 13. The state should sponsor a convention of grain producing states in order to discuss grain quality issues and to reach a consensus with the goal of initiating coordinated action directed toward improving grain marketing.
- 14. The state should support Iowa's participation in the Interstate Compact on Agricultural Grain Marketing, including support of efforts by the interstate agricultural grain marketing commission to improve the marketing of grain, preserve the integrity of grain shipments, and to increase the marketing of premium quality grains.
- 15. The state should support in principle reforms contained in federal legislation introduced on November 11, 1989, by Senator Daschile and Representative Bond to amend the United States Grain Standards Act to improve the competitive position of the United States grain in international and domestic markets, to facilitate the communication of quality characteristics that final buyers of grain desire, to provide certain incentives to producers of high quality grain, and to improve the quality of farmer-owned and federally-owned reserve grains stocks. A copy of the bill is included in the appendix.
- 16. The 1990 General Assembly should pass a concurrent resolution petitioning the United States government to improve practices, including federal grain standards and procedures for monitoring the handling of grains to ensure their integrity. A copy of the resolution is included in the appendix.

APPENDIX

Exhibit "A" -

Public Hearing Attendees

Exhibit "B" -

Senate File 1977 as introduced in the United States Senate on November 11, 1989.

Exhibit "C" -

Proposed Resolution by the Quality Grains Advisory Committee. cw,2158ic da/jj/15

Exhibit A

STUART PUBLIC HEARING

NAME

Kenneth Ludlow Dawn Carlson Betty Westmoreland Emily Waund Tom Feldmann Neil Dierkä Fred Tomlinson Roger Garloch Darren Weems Tim Eanis Joe Weisshaar Patrick Delanty Dennis and Pat Eddy Morris A. Smith Jim Riordan George Naylor

TOWN

Des Moines, Iowa
Ames, Iowa
Albert City, Iowa
Sanborn, Iowa
Ralston, Iowa
West Des Moines, Iowa
Alleman, Iowa
Guthrie Center, Iowa
West Des Moines, Iowa
Corning, Iowa
Corning, Iowa
Charter Oak, Iowa
Stuart, Iowa
Creston, Iowa
Creston, Iowa
Churdan, Iowa
Churdan, Iowa

BUSINESS

Iowa Grain & Feed Association Iowa Institute of Cooperation Iowa Corn Growers

West Central Co-op

Iowa Corn Growers

Avon Grain Company

Farm Bureau Legislature

Farm Bureau

National Farmers Organization

Iowa Farm Unity

Iowa Soybean Association

National Farmers Organization State Senator

mode 54 rid 145c

MITCHELLVILLE PUBLIC HEARING

NAME	TOWN	BUSINESS
Harold DeVries		Jasper Co. Farm Bureau Commodity Committee
Russell Lyon Norm Harel Steve Pederson	Toledo, Iowa Bondurant, Iowa Des Moines, Iowa	Farmer Bondurant Elevator Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship
Paul Damen Roy Bardole	Ankeny, Iowa	International Business Consultant Lax Soybean Assc.
Craig Hetland Jerry Johnson	Mitchellville, Iowa	Otley Monroe Co-op Farmer

IOWA FALLS PUBLIC HEARING

NAME

Bob Determan

Leonard E. Hoffman Corny Boersma Dean R. Ohrt Ray Kracht Jim Meek Tom Kimberly Darrell Bleeker Larry Abberma Jim Power Roger Jiesman Gordon Kolterman Gene Mitchell Chris Burtnett Jim Johnson Steve Nail John L. Peterson Marv Nygeard Ernest H. Kruse Ron Gates Vals Peter Rod Williamson Patrick H. Vog

TOWN

Mason City, Iowa

Iowa Falls, Iowa W. Des Moines, Iowa

Collins, Iowa Steamboat Rock, Iowa Wellsburg, Iowa

Eldora, Iowa
Iowa Falls, Iowa
Steamboat Rock, Iowa
Iowa Falls, Iowa
Eldora, Iowa
Buckeye, Iowa
Woodstock, Iowa
Hubbard, Iowa
Ackley, Iowa
Roland, Iowa
Roland, Iowa
W. Des Moines
Iowa Falls, Iowa

BUSINESS

Dist. Rep.
Congressman Grandy
Hoffman Seed Farm
Cargill Corn Milling
Lawn Hill
Dows Co-op
Dows Co-op
Sesco Grain

Farm Bureau
Owner Co-op
Greenbelt Elevator
Farm Bureau
Extension ISU
Buckeye Co-op
Woodstock Co-op
Farmers Co-op Co.
Greenbelt Elevator
Heart of Iowa
Heart of Iowa
IA Corn Growers Assn.
Farmer

TAMA PUBLIC HEARING

NAME

Rick Petersen Larry L. Meyer Nels Wehner Chris Herbold Rick Foons Orville Boonken Jerald Kunce Jerry Swanson Ron DeChristopher Richard Martin Dale Richardson Donna Wenbreen Chuck Svendsen Warren Schildroth Leroy Hayes Todd Sage Leonard W. Dostal Maryan Dostai Barbara J. Cibulor Richard Itala Lyle Lorensen Darrell Weems

TOWN

Elberon, Iowa Keystone, Iowa Independence, Iowa Mingo, Iowa Sully, Iowa Buckingham, Iowa Sully, Iowa Cedar Rapids, Iowa Cedar Rapids, Iowa Traer, Iowa Hudson, Iowa Malcom, Iowa Lincoln, Iowa Reinbeck, Iowa Reinbeck, Iowa Gladbrook, Iowa Traer, Iowa Traer, Iowa Chelsea, Iowa Tama, Iowa Garvin, Iowa W. Des Moines, Iowa

BUSINESS

Franings Western Grain
Meyer Feed Service
Nels Agri-grain
Sully Co-op
Sully Co-op
Buckingham Co-op
Sully Co-op
V.P. sales IANR
Towa Farmer Today
Farmers Elevator
Vorrlin Grain

Lincoln Co-op Farmer Farmers Co-op Tabor Grain Farmer Farmer's Wife Farmer's Wife Wernus Inc. Lorensen & Beale IFBF

MOUNT VERNON PUBLIC HEARING

MAME

David Osterberg Don Rowe Jary Schultz Ben Westmoreland Jary Lukins Verlyn Rucenbech Verne Folkman

TOWN

Mount Vernon, Iowa Fredericksburg, Iowa Fredericksburg, Iowa Albert City, Iowa Arlington, Iowa Lost Nation, Iowa

BUSINESS

State Representative Fredericksburg Co-op Fredericksburg Co-op

Arlington Co-op Farm Folkman Feed & Grain, Inc

NEW HAMPTON PUBLIC HEARING

EMAK

Larry Kallem Robert Petersen James 1. Syhlman Keith Cuvelier Davion Sittig Cal Whewell Bob Syvet Glann Biggo Boo Sauhop Bob Ballantine Dennis Straube Bruce Popken Richard Houge Lanny D. Jass Jean Caspers-Simmet Mark Meirick Frank Weiner

TOWN

Ames, Iowa
Colwell, Iowa
West Union, Iowa
Aplington, Iowa
New Hampton, Iowa
New Hampton, Iowa
New Hampton, Iowa
Rockford, Iowa
New Hampton, Iowa
Rockford, Iowa
Rudd, Iowa
Elma, Iowa
Rudd, Iowa
Elma, Iowa
Mason City, Iowa
St. Paul, MN
Rochester, MN
Protivin, Iowa
Rockwell, Iowa

BUSINESS

IA Inst. of Co-op Mgr. Colwell Co-op West Union Co-op Super Gro of IA Mgr. New Hampton Co-op New Hampton Co-op Asst. Mgr. Farmers Co-op Exchange Cong. Nagle's Off. Howard Co. Equity Mgr. Farmers Co-op Corn Growers Mgr. N. Iowa Co-op Harvest States Co-op Agri News Farmers Mill Cartersville Elevator

OTTUMWA PUBLIC HEARING

VAME

Ken Ludlow Larry Clement Loren Luppes John R. Whitaker Steven Hickenbottom William Baughman Ralph Johnson

Bill Waund
Dale Mueller
Richard Siegle
Wayne Ryan
William C. Lester

TOWN

Des Moines, Iowa Des Moines, Iowa Eddyville, Iowa Hillsboro, Iowa Fairfield, Iowa Pulaski, Iowa Bloomfield, Iowa

Sanborn, Iowa Eddyville, Iowa Oakville, Iowa Wapello, Iowa Omaha, Nebraska

BUSINESS

Towa Grain & Feed Asso.
Towa Grain & Feed Asso.
Cargill, Inc.
V.P. Towa Farmers Union
Farmer
Farmer
Farm Bureau President,
Davis County
Farmer
Cargill
Farmer
Corn Growers Asso. & Farmer
V.P. Co-op Relation
Ag Processing Inc.

EXHIBIT 3

.01st CONGRESS IST SESSION

Introduced 11/22/89

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr Kerre, Mr Lunhy Mr. DASCHLE (for himself and Mr. BOND) introduced the following bill: which was read twice and referred to the Committee on ...

A BILL

To amend the United States Grain Standards Act to improthe competitive position of United States grain in international and domestic markets, to facilitate the communication of quality characteristics that final buyers of grain desire, to provide certain incentives to producers of high quality grain, and to improve the quality of farmer-owned and Federally-owned reserve grain stocks, and for other purposes.

- Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa:
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assem-
- 3 bled.
- **→** SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE: TABLE OF CONTENTS.
- 5 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
- 6 "Grain Quality Incentives Act of 1989".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents is as

2 follows:

Sec . Short title: table of contents.

Sec. 2 Findings.

Sec. 3 References.

TITLE I—COORDINATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF GRAIN QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS

Sec. 101. Coordination of Federal activities and programs.

Sec. 102. Benefits and costs associated with improved grain quality.

Sec. 103. Standardizing unofficial inspections.

Sec. 104. Report on international harmonization of standards and tests

Sec. 105. Sense of Congress cooperative enforcement of Federal grain purity requirements.

TITLE !I-IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS

Sec. 201. Improving the cleanliness of grain exports.

TITLE III—IMPROVING THE PHYSICAL SOUNDNESS AND PURITY OF EXPORT GRAIN

Sec. 301. Improving the physical soundness and purity of export grain.

TITLE IV-EXPORT REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS

Sec. 401. Cargo loading requirements.

TITLE V—CLASSIFICATION, GRADES AND STANDARDS DESIGN CRITERIA

Sec. 501. Classification, grades and standards design criteria.

TITLE VI-GRAIN QUALITY RESEARCH AND TESTING

Sec. 601. Sense of Congress concerning end-use performance research.

Sec. 602. Sense of Congress concerning cooperation on objective testing.

Sec. 603. Sense of Congress concerning tests for purity.

TITLE VII—VARIETY PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Sec. 701. Seed variety registration.

Sec. 702. Use of variety information.

Sec. 703. Survey of grain vaneues.

TITLE VIII-PRICE SUPPORT LOAN INCENTIVES FOR CLEAN GRAIN

Sec. 801. Quality goals for Commodity Credit Corporation programs.

Sec. 802. Price support loan incentives for clean grain.

TITLE IX—FARMER-OWNED RESERVE QUALITY REQUIREMENTS

Sec. 901. Commodity Credit Corporation receival standards for reserve grain.

Sec. 902. Entry quality standards for all grains.

TITLE X-QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION-OWNED GRAIN

Sec (30): Quality requirements for Commodity Credit Corporation-owned grain

TITLE XI-EXPORT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

Sec. 1101. Export enhancement program.

TITLE XII-CROP ENSURANCE COVERAGE FOR LOSS OF QUALITY

Sec. 1201. Crop insurance coverage for loss of quality.

TITLE XIII-DISASTER PAYMENTS FOR LOSS OF QUALITY

Sec. 1301. Disaster payments for loss of quality.

TITLE XIV-ASSISTANCE FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN GRAIN GUALITY

Sec. 1401. Authority to assist farmers and elevator operators.

Sec. 1402. Report concerning assistance for improvements in grain.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

2	Congress	finds	that—

- (1) the United States grain production and marketing industry is fundamentally sound and strong, making the United States a dominant competitor in world export markets;
 - (2) increasing demand for higher quality grain on the part of major importers, and greater attention to quality on the part of major competing exporters necessitates that the United States improve the quality of its grain exports in order to maintain a strong competitive position in world grain markets;
 - (3) buyers want cleaner grain because—
 - (A) ocean freight transportation costs accrue on nongrain material;

1	(B) duties must often be paid on nongrain
2	material just as such duties are paid on grain
3	imports:
4	(C) there may not be markets for screen-
5	ings;
6	(D) environmental restrictions can compli-
7	cate and increase the cost of unloading activities
8	and disposal of screenings;
9	(E) some buyers lack cleaning capabilities;
0	and
1	(F) cleaner grain maintains its condition
12	better during storage and shipping;
13	(4) increased automation and continuous flow
14	processing and manufacturing technology necessitate
15	greater consistency of performance;
6	(5) increased knowledge and awareness of the
7	composition and intrinsic characteristics of grain that
18	have value to end-users are making buyers more
19	alert to quality differences among suppliers:
20	(6) competing major grain exporters are recog-
21	nized as providing high quality grain as a result of a
22	combination of rigid controls on variety release or
23	mandatory handling and storage requirements. or
24	centralized control over exports:

•	(7) neither commercial markets nor Federal
2	price support programs effectively communicate and
3	reward end-use quality characteristics of grain:
÷	(8) the key to improving grain quality in the
5	United States depends on—
6	(A) the increased knowledge of end-user
7	performance characteristics by plant breeders.
3	producers, and marketers;
9	(B) the modification of grain grades and
0	standards to properly reflect characteristics im-
11	portant to intermediate and end-users;
12	(C) improvements in the cleanliness and
13	sanitary condition of United States grain; and
4	(D) quality based incentives through Feder-
15	al government programs and the marketplace:
16	and
7	(9) the grain industry has worked diligently
18	through the advisory council to the Federal Grain In-
9	spection Service and through a long series of unoffi-
20	cial grain quality workshop meetings to address
21	grain quality issues, and such efforts are applauded
7	and encouraged to continue as a method of assisting
23	the Secretary of Agriculture in implementing legisla-
24	tion that will improve the quality of United States

ţ	grain and unbrove the competitiveness of the offited
2	States in international trade.
3	SEC. 3. REFERENCES.
4	Whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is ex-
5	pressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a sec-
6	tion or other provision, the reference shall be considered to
7	be made to a section or other provision of the United
8	States Grain Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 71 et seq.).
9	TITLE I—COORDINATION AND IM-
10	PLEMENTATION OF GRAIN QUAL-
11	ITY IMPROVEMENTS
12	SEC. 101. COORDINATION OF FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AND PRO-
13	GRAMS.
14	The Act is amended by adding at the end thereof the
15	following new section:
16	"SEC. 22. GRAIN QUALITY COORDINATOR.
17	"(a) APPOINTMENT.—The Secretary shall designate an
18	individual to serve as the Grain Quality Coordinator (here-
19	inafter referred to as the 'Coordinator') to carry out the
20	duties described in subsection (b).
21	"(b) Duties.—The Coordinator shall be responsible
22	for—
23	"(1) monitoring the activities of the Department
24	of Agriculture with respect to domestic grain to
25	insure that such activities are consistent with the pro-

121911 815 54.0

į	visions of this Act, (and other agricultural Acts) as
2	such Acts relate to improving grain quality.
3	"(2) serving as the Federal government coordi-
4	nator with respect to activities effecting domestic
5	grain, to insure that such activities are consistent
6	with the goal of improving grain quality;
7	"(3) preparing and submitting, to the Commit-
8	tee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives
9	and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and
01	Forestry of the Senate, reports concerning—
11	"(A) actions undertaken by the Department
12	of Agriculture—
13	"(i) to improve the quality of domes-
14	tic grain: and
15	"(ii) that are inconsistent with the
16	goal of improving grain quality:
:7	"(B) conditions in the production and mar-
18	keting sectors that discourage the making of im-
19	provements in grain quality; and
20	"(C) recommendations for legislative or
21	regulatory changes that may be made to assis
22	in the correction of problems with domestic
23	grain.".

1	SEC. 102. BENEFITS AND COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPROVED
2	GRAIN QUALITY.
3	Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of
4	this Act, the Secretary of Agriculture shall prepare and
5	submit, to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of
6	Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutri-
7	tion, and Forestry of the Senate, a report that shall con-
8	tain—
9	(1) the results of an economic analysis concern-
10	ing the costs and benefits, including the anticipated
11	distribution of such costs and benefits, associated
12	with the improvement in the quality of United States
13	grain; and
14	(2) an analysis of the extent to which the im-
15	provement in the quality of grain exported from the
16	United States can be expected to affect the competi-
17	tive position of the United States in world grain mar-
18	kets.
19	SEC. 103. STANDARDIZING UNOFFICIAL INSPECTIONS.
20	(a) In General.—The Act is amended by inserting
21	after section 7B (7 U.S.C. 79b) the following new section:
22	"SEC. 7C. STANDARDIZING UNOFFICIAL INSPECTIONS.
23	"(a) GENERAL MONITORING PROCEDURES.—To insure
24	that producers are treated uniformly in delivering grain.
25	and to facilitate the grain price supports program under the
26	Agricultural Act of 1949 and grain quality marketing in-

- centives under this or other Acts, the Administrator shall
- 2 develop procedures to be used in the conducting of unoffi-
- 3 stal inspections of grain by handlers where such inspec-
- 4 tions result in price adjustments for such grain, and shall
- 5 develop national guidelines with respect to such inspec-
- 6 tions.
- 7 "(b) TESTING PROCEDURES.—To insure the uniformity
- 3 of tests and testing equipment used in unofficial inspec-
- 9 tions for the application of grain standards under subsec-
- 10 tion (a), the Administrator shall develop procedures for ap-
- 11 proving the testing instruments, techniques, calibration of
- 12 equipment, and procedures used in such unofficial inspec-
- 13 tions.".
- 14 (b) UNITED STATES WAREHOUSE ACT.—Section 15 of
- 15 the United States Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 256) is amend-
- 16 ed-
- 17 (1) by inserting "(a) In GENERAL.—" before
- 18 "Any fungible"; and
- 19 (2) by adding at the end thereof the following
- 20 new subsection:
- 21 "(b) Unofficial Inspections.—Notwithstanding any
- 22 other provision of law, in conducting unofficial inspec-
- 23 tions, a licensed warehouse shall use grain sampling proce-
- 24 dures, testing techniques, and standards approved by the
- 25 Federal Grain Inspection Service.".

	SEC. 104. REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL HARMONIZATION OF
2	STANDARDS AND TESTS.
3	(a) In GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 1992,
4	the Secretary of Agriculture shall prepare and submit to
5	the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representa-
6	tives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and
7	Forestry of the Senate, a report, in accordance with subsec-
8	tion (b), concerning the harmonization of United States
9	grain standards, tests, and testing equipment with those of
10	foreign nations.
11	(b) CONTENTS.—The report submitted under subsec-
12	tion (a) shall contain—
13	(1) an evaluation of the grain standards, tests.
14	and testing equipment of major grain trading nations;
15	(2) recommendations concerning the practicality
16	and desirability, considering benefits and costs to the
17	United States, of achieving a harmonization of grain
18	standards, tests, and equipment among nations in
19	order to facilitate trade and improve the competitive
20	position of the United States; and
21	(3) any other information that the Secretary of
22	Agriculture determines necessary.
23	SEC. 105. SENSE OF CONGRESS COOPERATIVE ENFORCEMENT OF
24	FEDERAL GRAIN PURITY REQUIREMENTS.
25	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that the laws and regu-
26	lations related to the purity and safety of grain that are

;	administered by the Food and Drug Administration and the
2	Environmental Protection Agency, serve to insure the in-
3	tegrity of the United States as a supplier of wholesome
1	grain.
5	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress
6	that Federal agencies that are responsible for enforcing the
7	laws and regulations relating to the quality, purity, and
8	safety of grain marketed both domestically and in foreign
9	nations, should seek assistance from and cooperate with
10	the Federal Grain Inspection Service in enforcing such
11	laws and regulations.
12	TITLE II—IMPROVING THE
13	CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS
13 14	CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS.
14	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS.
14 15	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. The Act is amended by inserting after section 4 (7)
14 15 16	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. The Act is amended by inserting after section 4 (7 U.S.C. 76) the following new section:
14 15 16 17	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. The Act is amended by inserting after section 4 (7 U.S.C. 76) the following new section: "SEC. 4A. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS.
14 15 16 17 18	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. The Act is amended by inserting after section 4 (7 U.S.C. 76) the following new section: "SEC. 4A. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. "(a) CLEANLINESS.—
14 15 16 17 18	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. The Act is amended by inserting after section 4 (7 U.S.C. 76) the following new section: "SEC. 4A. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. "(a) CLEANLINESS.— "(1) IN GENERAL.—Exporters of high quality
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. The Act is amended by inserting after section 4 (7 U.S.C. 76) the following new section: "SEC. 4A. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. "(a) CLEANLINESS.— "(1) IN GENERAL.—Exporters of high quality grain from the United States shall meet the standards
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SEC. 201. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. The Act is amended by inserting after section 4 (7 U.S.C. 76) the following new section: "SEC. 4A. IMPROVING THE CLEANLINESS OF GRAIN EXPORTS. "(a) CLEANLINESS.— "(1) In GENERAL.—Exporters of high quality grain from the United States shall meet the standards of cleanliness commonly achieved by competing ex-

1	tary, acting through the Administrator, shall promul-
2	gate regulations to carry out paragraph (1).
3	"(b) REMOVAL OF FOREIGN MATERIAL.—Not later
-	than 5 years after the date of enactment of this section, the
5	Administrator shall enforce limits on easily removable
6	nongrain material in grain for grades number 1, 2, and 3
7	that shall be comparable to levels of cleanliness met by
8	major competing grain exporting nations as determined by
9	the Administrator.
10	"(c) Phase In Period.—The Secretary, acting
11	through the Administrator, shall phase in the requirements
12	of this section by—
13	"(1) in the case of subsection (a), by incremen-
14	tally increasing the level of cleanliness required
15	compared to foreign nations; and
16	"(2) in the case of subsection (b), by incremen-
17	tally decreasing the limit on nongrain material per-
18	mitted in shipments of grades number 1, 2, and 3
9	grain.''.

;	TITLE III—IMPROVING THE PHYSI-
2	CAL SOUNDNESS AND PURITY OF
3	EXPORT GRAIN
4	SEC. 301. IMPROVING THE PHYSICAL SOUNDNESS AND PURITY OF
5	EXPORT GRAIN.
6	The Act (as amended by section 101) is further
7	amended by adding at the end thereof the following new
8	section:
9	"SEC. 23. IMPROVING THE PHYSICAL SOUNDNESS AND PURITY OF
10	EXPORT GRAIN.
11	"(a) QUALITY OF EXPORTED GRAIN.—The Administra-
12	tor shall establish procedures that ensure the receipt, by a
13	foreign purchaser that specifies a certain grade of grain in
14	a grain purchase contract, of grain under such contract that
15	is suitably sound and pure considering the contract grade
16	specification, the end-use performance goals of the pur-
17	chaser, and the condition of comparable grain being sup-
18	plied by other grain exporting nations.
19	"(b) Procedures.—
20	"(1) DETERMINATION.—In carrying out subsec-
21	tion (a), the Administrator shall make a determina-
22	tion establishing the adverse conditions that shall
23	make grain unacceptable for its normal intended uses
24	in foreign markets or for its classification in a cer-
25	tain grade.

for making the determination under paragraph (1), the Administrator shall evaluate the grade determining factors and factor limits in existence on the date of enactment of this section, to determine the suitability of the use of such factors as contract specifications for end-users. Such evaluation shall include an assessment of whether changes in factors and factor limits by themselves, or in combination with a prohibition of blending, will achieve the requirements of subsection (a). Such evaluation shall be completed not later than December 31, 1991.

"(3) ESTABLISHMENT OF FACTORS.—After the completion of the evaluation conducted under paragraph (2), the Administrator shall specify grade-determining factors and limits that shall be applicable under this section to grain that is exported. Such factors shall permit grain that is exported to be classified in a manner that is comparable to grains of other countries that is of similar quality and performance standards. The Administrator may phase in the factor limits applicable under this section but such limits shall be fully implemented not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this section.

"(4) BLENDING.-

l	(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator may
2	prohibit blending of low quality grain with
3	grain of other qualities to market such low qual-
÷	ity grain as that of a higher quality if the Ad-
5	ministrator determines that such action is neces-
6	sary or practical to ensure that grains marketed
7	as grades 1, 2, or 3 meet the factor limits es-
8	tablished under this subsection. High quality
9	grain may be blended with a lower quality grain
0	if such grain will be marketed as such a lower
1	quality. No prohibition on blending shall be
2	construed to restrict the marketing of such low
13	quality grain.
4	"(B) IMPLEMENTATION.—If the Administra-
15	tor prohibits blending under this subsection, the
16	Administrator shall promulgate such regulations
17	as are necessary to carry out this section.".
8	TITLE IV—EXPORT REQUIREMENTS
19	AND STANDARDS
20	SEC. 401. CARGO LOADING REQUIREMENTS.
21	Section 7 (7 U.S.C. 79) is amended by adding at the
22	end thereof the following new subsection:
23	"(k) Except as otherwise authorized by the Adminis-
24	trator, on the request of a purchaser, all grain that is offi-
25	cially inspected and officially weighed for export shall be

S.L.C 16

- loaded aboard the final carrier according to a plan that sta-
- tistically assures fairness by favoring neither the buver nor
- the seller in meeting the requirements specified by the de-
- livery contract.".

TITLE V—CLASSIFICATION, GRADES

AND STANDARDS DESIGN CRITERIA 6

- SEC. 501. CLASSIFICATION, GRADES AND STANDARDS DESIGN CRI-
- 8 TERIA.
- Section 4 (7 U.S.C. 76) is amended by adding at the 9
- 10 end thereof the following new subsection:
- 11 "(d)(1)(A) The Administrator shall develop and im-
- plement a classification, grades and standards design
- framework, to structure grain standards established under
- this section. Such framework shall be designed to make it
- 15 possible to modify standards to accommodate scientific ad-
- 16 vances in testing and new knowledge concerning the fac-
- tors influencing storability and end-use performance of
- 18 grain.
- "(B) In developing the framework under paragraph 19
- (1), the Administrator shall develop a grain classification
- and grading system and establish factor limits for each
- grain, within the constraints of existing testing technology.
- known factors influencing end-use characteristics and stor-
- 24 ability, and practical handling and transportation technolo-
- 25 gy.

l	"(C) Not later than December 31, 1991, the Adminis-
2	trator shall develop a schedule for implementing the classi-
3	fication, grades, and standards under subparagraph (B),
<i>;</i>	and such schedule shall be submitted to the Committee on
5	Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Com-
6	mittee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the
7	Senate.
3	"(D) Implementation of the classes, grades and stand-
9	ards proposed under this paragraph shall begin immediate-
0	ly on completion of the report required under subparagraph
11	(C).
12	"(2) In establishing standards under paragraph (1).
13	the Administrator shall adopt an economic value based
4	system of class-determining factors, grade-determining
15	factors, nongrade-determining factors, and optional factors
6	that—
7	"(A) define uniform and descriptive terms to
8	facilitate trade:
9	"(B) provide final and intermediate users with
20	sufficient information to enable such users to deter-
21	mine the quality of grain for marketing and end use:
22	and
23	"(C) enables the market to establish quality im
24	provement incentives for grain.
25	"(3) Within the system referred to in paragraph (2)—

I	"(A) class-determining factors shall separate
2	grain into categories based on differing major end-
3	uses of such grain;
4	"(B) grade-determining factors shall—
5	"(i) relate to the physical soundness and
6	purity of the grain (that affect the storability
7	and end-use propensities of the grain) and the
8	effect of such on the economic value of such
9	grain to the end user, and
10	"(ii) be separated into three grade catego-
11	ries that differentiate between descending levels
12	of the physical soundness and purity of the
13	grain, that are generally acceptable to a majority
14	of major intermediate and end-uers, as deter-
15	mined by the Secretary;
16	"(C) nongrade-determining factors shall relate
17	to the physical condition, composition and intrinsic
18	characteristics of the grain which are important to
19	the major end and intermediate users;
2 0	"(D) optional factors shall relate to characteris-
21	tics or conditions of the grain that are important to a
22	limited number of final and intermediate users, or
23	that relate to adverse conditions that arise only occa-
24	sionally or in isolated locations; and

l	"(E) grain shall only be tested and the results
2	reported for conditions relating to grade-determining
3	and nongrade-determining factors in official inspec-
÷	tions, and other factors determined in inspections
5	shall not be reported except when requested or when
6	the Administrator determines the conditions exists
7	that warrant the routine testing of optional factors.
8	"(4) The Administrator shall establish a separate
9	grade designation for grain that includes damage levels.
10	impurities, or other conditions that make such grain gener-
11	ally unsuitable for the normal intended uses of such
12	grain.".
13	TITLE VI—GRAIN QUALITY
• •	
14	RESEARCH AND TESTING
	-
[4	RESEARCH AND TESTING
14	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING END-USE PERFORM-
14 15 16	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING END-USE PERFORM- ANCE RESEARCH.
14 15 16 17	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING END-USE PERFORM- ANCE RESEARCH. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
14 15 16 17 18	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING END-USE PERFORM- ANCE RESEARCH. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) research concerning the end-use perform-
14 15 16 17 18	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING END-USE PERFORM- ANCE RESEARCH. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) research concerning the end-use performance of grain conducted by the Agricultural Research
14 15 16 17 18 19	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING END-USE PERFORM- ANCE RESEARCH. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) research concerning the end-use performance of grain conducted by the Agricultural Research Service and land-grant universities is critical to im-
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERMING END-USE PERFORM- ANCE RESEARCH. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) research concerning the end-use performance of grain conducted by the Agricultural Research Service and land-grant universities is critical to improving the quality and competitiveness of United
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	RESEARCH AND TESTING SEC. 601. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING END-USE PERFORM- ANCE RESEARCH. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) research concerning the end-use performance of grain conducted by the Agricultural Research Service and land-grant universities is critical to improving the quality and competitiveness of United States grains in domestic and world markets:

1	concerning the relationships between the physical
2	and chemical properties of wheat and the perform-
3	ance of such in milling and baking; and
4	(3) research conducted by the Agricultural Re-
5	search Service and at land-grant universities concern-
6	ing the composition of com and soybean varieties
7	has proven valuable to feed and food users.
8	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress
9	that the Secretary of Agriculture, and in particular the Ag-
10	ncultural Research Service and land-grant universities,
11	should examine their financial priorities and place in-
12	creased emphasis on grain variety evaluation and the de-
13	velopment of objective tests for the end-use properties of
14	grains.
15	SEC. 602. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING COOPERATION IN OB-
16	JECTIVE TESTING.
17	(a) FINDING.—Congress finds that the close coopera-
18	tive relationship that exists between the Federal Grain In-
19	spection Service, the Agricultural Research Service, and
20	land grant universities has proven highly beneficial in
21	identifying grain quality-related characteristics, developing
22	tests, and designing grain standards.
23	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress
24	that the cooperative efforts described in subsection (a), in-
25	cluding the sharing of funds and personnel, should be

SIC 21

1 3	trongly	encouraged.	and	that	the	Federal	Grain	Inspection
-----	---------	-------------	-----	------	-----	---------	-------	------------

- 2 Service should continue to utilize the research capabilities
- 3 of the Agricultural Research Service and the land-grant
- 4 universities in such efforts.
- SEC. 603. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING TESTS FOR PURITY.
- (a) FINDING.—Congress finds that consumers, both 6
- internationally and domestically, are aware of, and con-
- 8 cerned with, the purity of their food supply.
- 9 (b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress
- 10 that in order to assure buyers of the purity of United States
- 11 grain, the Federal Grain Inspection Service should, as soon
- 12 as practical, implement tests for mycotoxins and pesticide
- 13 residues and incorporate the limits on the presence of such
- 14 impurities in grain, as established by the Food and Drug
- 15 Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency.
- 16 into the official grain grade classification system estab-
- lished under the United States Grain Standards Act (7
- 18 U.S.C. 71 et seq.).

TITLE VII—VARIETY PERFORMANCE

INFORMATION 20

- 21 SEC. 701. SEED VARIETY REGISTRATION.
- Section 201(a) of the Federal Seed Act (7 U.S.C. 22
- 23 1571(a)) is amended—
- 24 (1) in paragraph (10), by striking out the period
- and inserting in lieu thereof "; and"; and 25

ă.	(2) by adding at the end thereof the following
2	new paragraph:
3	"(11) Specifications of certain variety and qual-
4	ity performance characteristics of the seeds, as ap-
5	proved by the Secretary, including those that are—
6	"(A) agronomic in nature and most impor-
7	tant to producers; and
8	"(B) physical, compositional, and intrinsic
9	in nature and most important to the end-users.
10	SEC. 702. USE OF VARIETY INFORMATION
11	Section 7 (7 U.S.C. 79) (as amended by section 601)
12	is further amended by adding at the end thereof the follow-
13	ing new subsection:
14	"(I) If the variety name of a grain is required by a
15	warehouse for proper classification or separation of grain
16	by a warehouse, the warehouse receipt for delivenes of
17	such grain from producers shall state the name of the grain
18	variety. If producers do not know or provide the variety of
19	the grain being delivered the designation for classification
20	purposes may be stated as 'unknown'. If several varieties
21	of grain are interplanted or harvested together the designa-
22	tion for classification purposes may be stated as 'mixed'."
23	SEC. 703. SURVEY OF GRAIN VARIETIES.
24	The National Agricultural Statistics Service shall pen-
25	odically compile, prepare and submit, to the Secretary of

24

1	Agriculture and to the Committee on Agriculture of the
2	House of Representatives and the Committee on Agricul-
3	ture. Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate, a report that
‡	contains data concerning the varieties of major grains pro-
5	duced in the United States. Such report shall provide data
6	relating plantings of grains to agronomic performance and
7	end-use properties of the varieties of such grain.
8	TITLE VIII—PRICE SUPPORT LOAN
9	INCENTIVES FOR CLEAN GRAIN
0	SEC. 801. QUALITY GOALS FOR COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORA-
1	TION PROGRAMS.
2	The Secretary of Agriculture, in administering the
13	programs under the Agricultural Act of 1949, or in admin-
4	istering any other agricultural programs through the Com-
15	modity Credit Corporation, shall give consideration to the
6	direct and indirect impact that such programs have on the
7	quality of crops and livestock produced in the United
8	States.
9	SEC. 802. PRICE SUPPORT LOAN INCENTIVES FOR CLEAN GRAIN.
20	It is the sense of Congress that as part of the price
21	support and production adjustment programs established
22	for 1991 and subsequent crop years—
23	(1) grain price support loans should reflect qual-

ity characteristics through a schedule of premiums;

•	(2) a special toat premium should be estab-
2	fished for clean grain at a level that will sufficiently
3	reward producers to encourage the marketing of
:	cleaner grain;
5	(3)(A) grain pledged as collateral for a price
6	support loan from the Commodity Credit Corpora-
7	tion should be inspected to determine the condition
8	and quality of the grain relative to the schedule of
9	premiums that apply to such loans; and
10	(B) grain pledged as collateral for a price sup-
11	port loan that has not been inspected for quality
12	should be ineligible for quality premiums; and
13	(4)(A) any grain forfeited to the Commodity
14	Credit Corporation under a nonrecourse loan should
15	be inspected according to approved procedures to de-
16	termine the condition and quality of the grain; and
17	(B) an adjustment should be made to the loan
18	proceeds for changes in condition and quality of the
19	grain.
20	TITLE IX—FARMER-OWNED RE-
21	SERVE QUALITY REQUIREMENTS
22	SEC. 901. COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION RECEIVAL STAND
23	ARDS FOR RESERVE GRAIN.
24	Section 110(j) of the Agricultural Act of 1949 (7
25	U.S.C. 1445e(i)) is amended—

L	(1) by disening (1) after the subsection des-
2	ignation: and
3	(2) by adding at the end thereof the following
‡	new paragraph:
5	"(2) Any grain stored under the producer storage pro-
6	gram that is forfeited by the producer to the Commodity
7	Credit Corporation shall be equal to or exceed the quality
8	of the grain that was pledged by such producer as collater-
9	al in order to fully satisfy the original storage contract. The
10	Secretary shall impose discounts for any deterioration in
11	such quality. Such discounts shall be substantial enough to
12	discourage the forfeiture of low quality grain.".
13	SEC. 902. ENTRY QUALITY STANDARDS FOR ALL GRAINS.
14	Section 110 of the Agricultural Act of 1949 (7 U.S.C.
15	1445e) is amended by adding at the end thereof the follow-
16	ing new subsection:
17	"(k) In announcing the terms and conditions of the
18	producer storage program under subsection (c)(1), the Sec-
19	retary shall set standards concerning the quality of grain
20	that shall be allowed to be stored under the program, and
21	such standards shall ensure that only high quality clean
22	grain shall be stored under such program. The Secretary
23	shall establish grain maintenance and stock rotation re-
24	quirements to insure that quality is maintained for the du-
25	ration of the storage period.".

TITLE X—QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMODITY CREDIT COR-PORATION OWNED GRAIN 4 SEC. 1001. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMODITY CREDIT 5 CORPORATION OWNED GRAIN. 6 The Act (7 U.S.C. 71 et seq) (as amended by sections 101, and 301) is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section: "SEC. 24. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMODITY CREDIT 10 CORPORATION OWNED GRAIN. 11 "(a) Establishment of Minimum Standards.—Not-12 withstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary. acting through the Administrator, shall establish minimum quality standards that shall apply to grain that is to be 15 stored by the Commodity Credit Corporation for long peri-16 ods of time. In establishing such standards, the Secretary shall take into consideration factors related to the storabi-18 lity of grain. "(b) Inspection of Grain Acquisitions.—The Com-19 modity Credit Corporation shall establish and implement a procedure to monitor the condition of grain stored by such Corporation to insure that the quality of such grain is 23 maintained. 24 "(c) DISPOSAL OF OFF-QUALITY GRAIN.—Grain that is

25 acquired by the Commodity Credit Corporation that does

I not meet the minimum quality grade standards established

2	under subsection (a), or grain stored by such Corporation
3	that fails to meet such standards at any time during stor-
‡	age, shall be marketed in such a manner as to insure that it
5	is not blended into other grain intended to be marketed as
6	meeting number 1, 2, or 3 grade standards.".
7	TITLE XI—EXPORT ENHANCEMENT
8	PROGRAM
9	SEC. 1101. EXPORT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM.
10	Section 1127 of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7
11	U.S.C. 1736v) is amended—
12	(1) in subsection (b)—
13	(A) by striking out "and" at the end of
14	paragraph (4);
15	(B) by striking out the period at the end of
16	paragraph (5) and inserting in lieu thereof ";
17	and"; and
18	(C) by adding at the end thereof the fol-
19	lowing new paragraph:
20	"(6) shall authorize the drafting of export con-
21	tracts in a manner that would facilitate the export of
22	high quality grain, as determined under standards es-
23	tablished by the Administrator of the Federal Grain
24	Inspection Service under the United States Grain
25	Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 71 et seq.), that may contain

•	requirements for the payment of bonuses under this
2	section to exporters.
3	(2) in subsection (h), by striking out "1990"
4	and inserting in lieu thereof "1995".
5	TITLE XII—CROP INSURANCE
6	COVERAGE FOR LOSS OF QUALITY
7	SEC. 1201. CROP INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR LOSS OF QUALITY.
8	Section 508(a) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7
9	U.S.C. 1508(a)) is amended—
10	(1) in the second sentence, by inserting ", or in
11	the case of grains the loss of the quality of such
12	commodity," after "insured commodity"; and
13	(2) by inserting after the eight sentence the fol-
14	lowing new sentence: "Any insurance offered to
15	grain producers shall make available protection
16	against loss in the quality of the grain, as determined
17	by the Corporation in consultation with the Adminis-
18	trator of the Federal Grain Inspection Service, if
19	such grain is of sufficiently poor quality, as a result
20	of any unavoidable causes, to be classified by the
21	Administrator as unacceptable as grade 3 grain.

TITLE XIII—DISASTER PAYMENTS FOR LOSS OF QUALITY

3	SEC. 1301. DISASTER PAYMENTS FOR LOSS OF QUALITY.
<u>:</u>	Section 105(a) of the Disaster Assistance Act of 1989
5	(Public Law 101-82; 103 Stat. 572) is amended by adding

- 6 at the end thereof the following new sentence: "Such addi-
- 7 : ional payments shall include payment for the loss of the
- 8 quality of grain if such crop of grain is damaged or con-
- 9 taminated in excess of factor limitations established for
- 10 grade 3 grain under the United States Grain Standards
- 11 Act.''.

XIV—ASSISTANCE FOR TITLE

PROVEMENTS IN GRAIN QUALITY 13

- 14 SEC. 1401. AUTHORITY TO ASSIST FARMERS AND ELEVATOR OP-
- 15 ERATORS.
- The Secretary of Agriculture may provide financial 16
- 17 assistance (including cost sharing, loans and grants) and
- 18 technical assistance to grain producers and elevator opera-
- 19 tors to assist such producers and operators in installing or
- 20 improving grain cleaning, drying or storage equipment. Fi-
- 21 nancial assistance may be provided by or through the
- 22 Commodity Credit Corporation or other agency adminis-
- 23 tered by the Department of Agriculture that provides cost
- 24 sharing, loans, or grants.

ì	SEC.	1402.	REPORT	CONCERNING	ASSISTANCE	FOR	IMPROVE

- MENTS IN GRAIN.
- Not later than June 30, 1991, the Secretary of Agn-
- 4 culture shall prepare and submit, to the Committee on Ag-
- 5 inculture of the House of Representatives and the Commit-
- 6 tee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate, a
- 7 report, together with recommendations on program imple-
- 8 mentation, that shall contain the results of an investigation
- 9 conducted by such Secretary concerning the alternative
- 10 forms of financial assistance (including cost sharing, loans,
- 11 and grants) and technical assistance that may be provided
- 12 to grain producers and operators of grain elevators to assist
- 13 such producers and operators in installing or improving
- 14 grain cleaning, drying, or storage equipment to improve
- 15 the cleanliness and quality of grain produced in the United
- 16 States.

÷	HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 104
2	BY COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE
3	(SUCCESSOR TO ASB 615)
4	A Concurrent Resolution to urge the Congress of the
5	United States, the President of the United States,
5	and the United States Secretary of Agriculture, to
7	enact and implement legislation to ensure that the
8	United States is the world's preeminent supplier of
9	grains.
10	WHEREAS, the state of lowa is one of the major
11	agricultural producing regions in the world, having
12	33,500,000 acres of farmland, generating more than
13	\$9,000,000,000 annually from the production of
14	agricultural commodities, and exporting annually
15	\$2,000,000,000 worth of agricultural products to
16	foreign markets; and
	foreign markets; and WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally
17	•
17 18	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally
17 18 19	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of
17 18 19 20	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland
17 18 19 20	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and
17 18 19 20 21 22	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and WHEREAS, foreign customers at times express
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and WHEREAS, foreign customers at times express dissatisfaction with the quality of grain received
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and WHEREAS, foreign customers at times express dissatisfaction with the quality of grain received from the United States; and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and WHEREAS, foreign customers at times express dissatisfaction with the quality of grain received from the United States; and WHEREAS, premium quality grains deteriorate during
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and WHEREAS, foreign customers at times express dissatisfaction with the quality of grain received from the United States; and WHEREAS, premium quality grains deteriorate during shipment, which can be caused by mishandling, poor
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	whereas, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally suited for consistent, cost-effective production of high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and whereas, foreign customers at times express dissatisfaction with the quality of grain received from the United States; and whereas, premium quality grains deteriorate during shipment, which can be caused by mishandling, poor storage, and adulteration through blending of inferior

- 1 and competitor nations are becoming increasingly
- 2 capable of delivering scheduled shipments of superior
- 3 quality grains having specified characteristics
- 4 demanded by foreign customers; and
- 5 WHEREAS, federal grain standards and procedures do
- 5 not measure use factors, other than protein or oil
- 7 content, economically important to customers, which
- 8 result in foreign customers purchasing grains meeting
- 9 only minimum grade requirements under their contracts;
- 10 NOW THEREFORE,
- 11 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, THE
- 12 SENATE CONCURRING, That the Congress of the United
- 13 States, the President of the United States, and the
- 14 United States Secretary of Agriculture enact and
- 15 implement legislation to assure the United States'
- 16 position as the world's preeminent supplier of quality
- 17 grain; and
- 18 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That federal reforms of
- 19 current grain standards provide opportunities for
- 20 measurement of as many quality characteristics of
- 21 grain as practical and economical, which may include
- 22 protein content, oil content, starch content, and
- 23 kernel hardness; and
- 34 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That technical and
- 25 financial support be extended to producers through
- 26 land grant colleges to assist in developing seeds for
- 27 the production of grain to meet end-use demands of
- 28 domestic and foreign customers of grains, to
- 29 facilitate bulk merchandising of grains, and to
- 30 minimize deterioration in grain quality, including

```
I breakage and foreign material adulteration caused by
 2 mechanical factors; and
      BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That Congress and the
 4 United States Federal Grain Inspection Service
 5 continue to review the current federal grain standards
 5 and procedures, to better maintain grain integrity and
 7 efficiency within the system which in turn will reward
 8 producers and handlers delivering quality grain,
 9 facilitate commercial transactions between marketers
10 and foreign customers purchasing quality grains, and
Il ensure the scheduled delivery of grain of a quality
12 contracted for as a normal part of conducting business
13 with United States suppliers; and
      BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the United States
15 support uniform procedures and standards for grading
16 grain quality among all grain exporting nations of the
17 world: and
      BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That copies of this
19 resolution be sent by the Chief Clerk of the House of
20 Representatives, to the President of the United States
21 Senate, to the Speaker of the United States House of
22 Representatives, to Iowa's congressional delegation,
23 to the President of the United States, and to the
24 United States Secretary of Agriculture.
25
26
27
28
29
```

30

```
SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. /// .
                  COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE
                   (SUCCESSOR TO SSB 2020)
 4 A Conductent Resolution to urge the Congress of the
      United States, the President of the United States,
      and the United States Secretary of Agriculture,
      to enact and implement legislation to ensure that
      the United States is the world's preeminent sup-
 9
      plier of grains.
      WHEREAS, the state of Iowa is one of the major
10
Il agricultural producing regions in the world, having
12 33,500,000 acres of farmland, generating more than
13 $9,000,000,000 annually from the production of
14 agricultural commodities, and exporting annually
15 52,000,000,000 worth of agricultural products to
16 foreign markets; and
      WHEREAS, Iowa and the upper midwest are naturally
18 suited for consistent, cost-effective production of
19 high quality grain, with Iowa having more cropland
20 than 19 other states combined, and ranking first among
21 all states in the production of corn and soybeans; and
      WHEREAS, foreign customers at times express
23 dissatisfaction with the quality of grain received
24 from the United States; and
      WHEREAS, premium quality grains deteriorate during
25
26 shipment, which can be caused by mishandling, poor
27 storage, and adulteration through blending of inferior
28 quality grains; and
     WHEREAS, grain users are increasingly demanding
30 special characteristics in the grains they purchase,
```

- I and competitor nations are becoming increasingly
- 2 dapable of delivering scheduled shipments of superior
- 3 quality grains having specified characteristics
- 4 demanded by foreign customers; and
- 5 WHEREAS, federal grain standards and procedures do
- 5 not measure use factors, other than protein or oil
- 7 content, economically important to customers, which
- 3 result in foreign customers purchasing grains meeting
- 9 only minimum grade requirements under their contracts;
- 10 NOW THEREFORE,
- 11 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE, THE HOUSE CONCURRING,
- 12 That the Congress of the United States, the President
- 13 of the United States, and the United States Secretary
- 14 of Agriculture enact and implement legislation to
- 15 assure the United States' position as the world's
- 16 preeminent supplier of quality grain; and
- 17 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That federal reforms of
- 18 current grain standards provide opportunities for
- 19 measurement of as many quality characteristics of
- 20 grain as practical and economical, which may include
- 21 protein content, oil content, starch content, and
- 22 Kernel hardness: and
- 33 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That technical and
- 24 financial support be extended to producers through
- 25 land grant colleges to assist in developing seeds for
- 26 the production of grain to meet end-use demands of
- 27 domestic and foreign customers of grains, to
- 23 facilitate bulk merchandising of grains, and to
- 29 minimize deterioration in grain quality, including
- 30 preakage and foreign material adulteration caused by

```
1 mechanical factors; and
      BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That Congress and the
 3 United States Federal Grain Inspection Service
 4 continue to review the current federal grain standards
 5 and procedures, to better maintain grain integrity and
 6 efficiency within the system which in turn will reward
 7 producers and handlers delivering quality grain,
 3 facilitate commercial transactions between marketers
 9 and foreign customers purchasing quality grains, and
10 ensure the scheduled delivery of grain of a quality
Il contracted for as a normal part of conducting business
12 with United States suppliers; and
      BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That copies of this
14 resolution be sent by the Secretary of the Senate, to
15 the President of the United States Senate, to the
16 Speaker of the United States House of Representatives,
17 to Iowa's congressional delegation, to the President
18 of the United States, and to the United States
19 Secretary of Agriculture.
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
27
23
29
30
```