FINAL REPORT

CATTLE INDUSTRIES STUDY COMMITTEE

February, 1985

The Cattle Industries Study Committee was established in June, 1984 by the Legislative Council to review reports prepared by the Legislative Extended Assistance Group concerning the decline in cattle production in Iowa. The membership was chosen without regard to standing committee membership.

Members serving on the Study Committee were:

Senator Norman Rodgers, Co-chairperson Representative David Tabor, Co-chairperson Senator Berl Priebe Senator Jack Hester Representative Deo Koenigs Representative Wendell Pellett

The Study Committee was initially granted one meeting, and was granted an additional meeting upon petition of the Committee to the Legislative Council.

At the first meeting, held November 19, 1984, Dr. Marvin Hayenga, Professor of Economics at Iowa State University, provided background on the dramatic decrease in cattle production in Iowa which has occurred in recent years; Dr. Daniel Otto, Assistant Professor and Extension Economist in the Department of Economics at Iowa State University provided information on the economic impact of the decline in cattle production. In conjunction with their presentations, these provided the Committee with two Legislative Extended speakers Assistance Group reports entitled "The Causes and Policy Implications of the Recent Decline in the Iowa Livestock Production and Meat Processing Industries" and "Economic Contributions and Impacts of Iowa's Livestock and Meat Processing Industries", Changes in respectively.

Also at the first meeting, Mr. Malcolm McGregor, legislative committee chair of the Iowa Cattlemen's Association, and Mr. Russell Christensen, President of the Iowa Cattlemen's Association, who reported on a two-part study authorized by the Association; Mr. Walt Hackney, representative of the National Farmers Organization, who discussed the cash flow requirements of cattle feeding; Mr. Phil Lehman, Vice President of the Iowa Farmers Union, who stressed the importance of the marketing abilities of cattle producers; Mr. Bob Page 2

Joslin, Vice President of the Iowa Farm Bureau Federation, who noted that general economic recovery and stimulation of demand would be necessary to reverse the decline in cattle production; and Mr. Earl Hanselman, who spoke on the damaging effect the allowance of tax-loss farming has on those who attempt to farm for profit.

At the second meeting, the Study Committee heard presentations by Mr. Harold Swanson, Coordinator of Agriculture Programs at Iowa Western Community College, who stressed farm management eduction; Dr. Bivan Jennings, Associate Director of the Cooperative Extension at Iowa State University, who reported on existing and proposed financial management programs; and Mr. Gene Eich of the Iowa Department of Revenue, who addressed the area of valuation of agricultural land and structures.

The Study Committee discussed, amended, had redrafted, and approved the final language of a number of proposed resolutions. The Study Committee adopted as Committee Resolutions six resolutions directed to various persons including standing legislative committees, urging those committees to support certain measures. The titles of the Study Committee Resolutions are as follows:

1. A Committee Resolution recommending a state income tax credit for cattle fed in Iowa.

2. A Committee Resolution recommending property tax abatements for the construction of real estate improvements for cattle production.

3. A Committee Resolution recommending the study of a review of environmental regulations.

4. A Committee Resolution recommending a property tax incentive for the protection of fragile and hilly lands.

5. A Committee Resolution recommending a sales tax exemption for farm machinery and equipment.

6. A Committee Resolution recommending continued funding for extension service farm management programs, and encouraging the extension service to continue their educational efforts.

The Study Committee recommended for passage three concurrent resolutions. The titles of the recommended drafts of concurrent resolutions are as follows:

1. A Concurrent Resolution to request Congress to discourage taxloss farming.

2. A Concurrent Resolution to take necessary action to aid the cattle industry, making a request to testify before Congressional

Page 3

ť,

ł

Committees on the farm bill, requesting Congress to examine the impact of high interest rates and federal tax policies on the cattle industry, and requesting Congress to examine alternate uses for land currently held in crops.

3. A Concurrent Resolution to direct the Department of Revenue to encourage local assessors to utilize certain procedures.

Copies of these resolutions are attached.

• - - -

1 COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO. BY CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY COMMITTEE 2 3 A Committee Resolution recommending a state income 4 tax credit for cattle fed in Iowa. WHEREAS, the cattle industry in Iowa has suffered 5 6 significant declines in recent years; and 7 WHEREAS, the costs of cattle production are 8 substantially greater in Iowa due to climate and other 9 factors; and 10 WHEREAS, sustained cattle production is essential 11 for Iowa's economic survival; and 12 WHEREAS, an income tax credit for each head of 13 cattle would create strong incentive for increasing 14 beef cattle production in Iowa; NOW THEREFORE, 15 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY 16 COMMITTEE OF THE IOWA GENERAL ASSEMBLY, That the ways 17 and means committees of the Iowa legislature be urged 18 to give serious consideration to enacting a state 19 income tax credit for each head of cattle fed in Iowa. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

> LSB 6027s 71 ld/am/6.1

1COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO.2BY CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY COMMITTEE3A Committee Resolution recommending property tax4 abatements for the construction or acquisition of real5 estate improvements for cattle production.

6 WHEREAS, Iowa law currently permits local
7 governments to grant temporary property tax abatement
8 to qualifying properties in urban revitalization areas;
9 and

WHEREAS, farmers are discouraged from making the real estate improvements necessary for beef cattle production, such as silos, feedlots, feed bunks, confinement facilities, and animal waste treatment and storage facilities such as waste lagoons, by the is immediate impact they have in increasing the farmer's for property tax bill; NOW THEREFORE,

17 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY 18 COMMITTEE OF THE IOWA GENERAL ASSEMBLY, That the ways 19 and means committees of the Iowa legislature be urged 20 to give serious consideration to permitting local 21 governments to grant property tax abatement for the 22 construction or acquisition of real estate improvements 23 including animal waste treatment and storage facilities 24 such as waste lagoons necessary for beef cattle 25 production, as an incentive for beef cattle 26 revitalization in this state. 27 28 29

30

LSB 60285 71 rr/am/6.1

COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO. \mathcal{Z} 1 2 BY CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY COMMITTEE A Committee Resolution recommending the study of a 3 4 review of environmental regulations. WHEREAS, environmental limitations on feeding 5 6 activities can be a significant limiting factor for the 7 beef cattle industry in Iowa; and WHEREAS, in recognition of this fact, the department 8 9 of water, air and waste management is cooperating with 10 the Iowa cattlemen's association in a review of ll environmental regulations and the state water plan as 12 they affect Iowa beef cattle producers: NOW THEREFORE, 13 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY 14 COMMITTEE OF THE IOWA GENERAL ASSEMBLY, That the 15 appropriate standing committees of the Iowa legislature 16 be urged to give serious consideration to the results 17 of this review, with a view toward minimizing the 18 unnecessarily adverse impact of Iowa's environmental 19 regulations on the beef cattle industry. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

> LSB 60295 71 rr/am/6.1

1 COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO. <u>4</u> 2 BY CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY COMMITTEE 3 A Committee Resolution recommending a property tax 4 incentive for the protection of fragile and hilly 5 lands.

WHEREAS, recent years have seen a significant 6 7 decline in the number of beef cattle herds in Iowa, 8 with a proportionate increase in the number of acres of 9 fragile and hilly lands converted from pasture land to 10 row crop production, especially in southern Iowa; and WHEREAS, the resulting loss to the state of the 11 12 economic benefit of the beef cattle industry has been 13 accompanied by an increase in one of the state's most 14 serious problems, soil erosion; NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY 15 16 COMMITTEE OF THE IOWA GENERAL ASSEMBLY, That the ways 17 and means committees of the Iowa legislature be urged 18 to give serious consideration to exploring methods to 19 provide a property tax incentive for the maintenance of 20 fragile and hilly lands best kept in cover crops, and 21 discouraging their conversion into row crop production. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- 29
- 30

LSB 6030s 71 rr/am/6.1

COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO. 5 1 2 BY CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY COMMITTEE RESOLUTION A Committee Resolution recommending a sales tax 3 4 exemption for farm machinery and equipment. 5 WHEREAS, efficient beef cattle production requires 6 substantial capital equipment such as scales, feeding 7 and watering equipment, feed mills, and waste handling 8 equipment; and WHEREAS, much of this equipment is personal property 9 10 when sold, but assessed as real property when later ll attached to the real estate; and 12 WHEREAS, such equipment is necessary for the 13 production of beef cattle; and 14 WHEREAS, the production of beef cattle adds value to 15 Iowa's raw agricultural commodities of feed grains and 16 forages and benefits the Iowa economy; and 17 WHEREAS, many other states have exempted 18 agricultural machinery and equipment, and their repairs 19 from the state sales tax; NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CATTLE INDUSTRY STUDY 20 21 COMMITTEE OF THE IOWA GENERAL ASSEMBLY, That the Senate 22 and House Ways and Means Committees are urged to give 23 serious consideration to granting a sales tax exemption 24 for machinery and equipment, such as livestock-specific 25 machinery and equipment, that adds value to Iowa's raw 26 agricultural commodities. 27 28 29 30

> LSB 6031H 71 am/rr/6.1

COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO.

2 BY CATTLE INDUSTRIES STUDY COMMITTEE 3 A Committee resolution recommending continued 4 funding for extension service farm management 5 programs, and encouraging the extension service to 6 continue their educational efforts.

1

7 WHEREAS, Iowa's beef cattle producers benefit from 8 good records and that proper livestock enterprise 9 records help to support profitable decision making, 10 aid in obtaining financing, and increase the potential 11 for custom feeding; and

WHEREAS, past Iowa legislatures have supported l3 livestock management research and educational efforts l4 undertaken by Iowa State University and the Iowa State l5 University Extension Service; NOW THEREFORE,

16 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CATTLE INDUSTRIES STUDY 17 COMMITTEE OF THE IOWA GENERAL ASSEMBLY, That the 18 educational and research efforts undertaken by Iowa 19 State University and the Iowa State University 20 Extension Service to further livestock management 21 require the continued support of the Iowa General 22 Assembly to fund these programs utilizing present 23 staff; and

That Iowa State University and the Iowa State University Extension Service are encouraged to continue their work to inform both the beef cattle producers and lenders of the need for proper records and educating both groups on the proper maintenance and utilization of the records.

> LSB 6032H 71 rr/dg/20

HOUSE/SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO.
 BY (PROPOSED COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE CONCURRENT
 RESOLUTION Recommended by Cattle Industry Study Committee)
 A Concurrent Resolution to request congress to
 d iscourage tax-loss farming.

7 WHEREAS, There has been a dramatic growth over the 8 past decade in the amount of tax sheltering activity in 9 farming; and

10 WHEREAS, This growth in tax-loss farming has caused 11 considerable difficulty for individuals who are 12 regularly engaged in farming because it distorts the 13 financing and other aspects of livestock production; 14 NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE/SENATE, SENATE/HOUSE CONCURRING, That while the United States Congress is proceeding to debate tax reform that specific attention should be given to scrutinize the adverse effects of tax-loss farming and enact legislation which limits or prohibits the use of agricultural losses by those not actively engaged in agricultural production in offset income produced from nonagricultural activities; and BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That Congress should enact legislation disallowing the deduction of prepaid agricultural expenses by those not actively engaged in agricultural production to offset income produced from nonagricultural activities.

28 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Secretary of State 29 of Iowa is directed to send copies of this resolution 30 to the Secretary and President of the United States

1	Senate, the Speaker and the Clerk of the United States
2	House of Representatives, and each member of the Iowa
3	Congressional Delegation.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
25	
26	
20	
28	
29	
30	
50	

LSB 6025S 71 ld/am/6 HOUSE/SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO.
 BY (PROPOSED COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE CONCURRENT
 RESOLUTION-

4 Recommended by Cattle Industry Study Committee) 5 A Concurrent Resolution to take necessary action to 6 aid the cattle industry, making a request to testify 7 before Congressional Committees on the farm bill, 8 requesting Congress to examine the impact of high 9 interest rates and federal tax policies on the cattle 10 industry, and requesting Congress to examine alternate 11 uses for land currently held in crops.

12 WHEREAS, the cattle industry plays a large role in 13 the state's economy to the extent that in 1982 cattle 14 raisers received two billion four hundred thousand 15 dollars in cash receipts which accounted for twenty-16 three percent of all Iowa agricultural production and 17 seven percent of the total gross state products with 18 forty thousand jobs and five hundred fifty million 19 dollars in personal income dependent either directly 20 or indirectly on the cattle industries; and

WHEREAS, there has been a significant decline in the cattle industry in the state. Total cattle numbers in Iowa have declined more than twenty percent since 1968. This decline represents a loss of two billion dollars in economic activity and a loss of seventeen thousand jobs. Continued low profitability r in cattle feeding in Iowa has contributed to the shift of cattle feeding to states in the southwest and the elimination in Iowa of many small diversified cattle feeders in favor of a few large commercial feedlots;

1 and

2 WHEREAS, the reasons for low profitability are the 3 same conditions that created the current financial 4 crisis in agriculture. Access to financial credit has 5 become increasingly difficult to find for Iowa's small 6 cattle feeders; and

WHEREAS, there have been adverse economic and 7 8 environmental effects including the destruction of 9 fragile pasture lands as traditional cow-calf 10 operations are liquidated. Also, there has been a 11 loss of meatpacking plants with the resulting loss of 12 jobs and economic activity in local communities and 13 the loss of competition for fed cattle; and 14 WHEREAS, the development of alfalfa hay as a cash 15 crop would aid soil conservation efforts on fragile 16 land while also providing an alternative source of 17 feed and income to cattle producers. NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE/SENATE, THE 18 19 SENATE/HOUSE CONCURRING, That the problems require 20 actions by both the state and federal governments and 21 we pledge to take all necessary actions possible on 22 the state level: and 23 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the General Assembly 24 requests an opportunity to appear before the United 25 States Senate and House agriculture committees either 26 in Washington, D.C. or in Iowa to discuss the 1985 27 farm bill components such as soil conservation, crop

28 price supports, and tax incentives that will have 29 major impacts on the cattle industry's future in Iowa; 30 and

1 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Congress examine 2 the factors relating to the continued high interest 3 rates and tax credits and investments that distort the 4 cattle industry and the availability of credit for 5 producers.

6 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That Congress examine the 7 possibility of enacting conservation incentives for 8 setting aside land for pasture, and the establishment 9 of a project to develop alfalfa hay as a cash crop. 10 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Secretary of State 11 is directed to send copies of this resolution to the 12 Speaker and the Clerk of the United States House of 13 Representatives, the President and the Secretary of 14 the United States Senate, the Chairperson of the 15 United States Senate and House of Representatives 16 agriculture committees, the Secretary of the United 17 States Department of Agriculture, and each member of 18 the Iowa congressional delegation.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24

25

26

- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30

LSB 6033s 71 rr/dg/20 HOUSE/SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO.
 BY (PROPOSED COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE CONCURRENT
 RESOLUTION Recommended by Cattle Industry Study Committee)
 A concurrent resolution to direct the Department of
 Revenue to encourage local assessors to utilize
 certain procedures.

8 WHEREAS, beef cattle production requires 9 agricultural buildings and other real estate 10 improvements; and

11 WHEREAS, although current Iowa law provides that 12 agricultural buildings except dwellings are to be 13 assessed at one hundred percent productivity along 14 with the underlying land, there may in fact be a lack 15 of uniformity among assessors in the manner in which 16 agricultural buildings are assessed; and

WHEREAS, many of Iowa's agricultural buildings are outdated and less than fully functional. Assessing these buildings on the basis of replacement costs, original cost,or any other method which ignores a building's functional obsolescence encourages farmers to destroy buildings which may have some lesser value afor livestock production; and

24 WHEREAS, the existence of differing assessment 25 techniques from county to county results in inequities 26 which send the wrong signal to beef cattle producers; 27 NOW THEREFORE,

28 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE/SENATE, THE SENATE/HOUSE 29 CONCURRING, That the Department of Revenue is urged to 30 encourage local assessors to adopt the Louisa County

1 method of property assessment which is designed to 2 increase uniformity in the valuation of agricultural 3 building's among counties, and BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Department of 5 Revenue is urged to encourage local assessors to 6 consider the functional absolescence of agricultural 7 buildings in their assessment of agricultural 8 buildings.

> LSB 6026h 71 rr/dg/20