



Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

A Pension Trust Fund of the
State of Iowa for the
Year Ended **June 30, 2008**

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
OF THE IOWA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
A PENSION TRUST FUND OF THE STATE OF IOWA
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008**

**PREPARED BY
IOWA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

DONNA M. MUELLER, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

FOR MORE INFORMATION

**By mail**

P.O. Box 9117
Des Moines, IA 50306-9117

**In person**

7401 Register Drive
Des Moines, IA 50321
8 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Central Time
Monday-Friday

**Phone**

515-281-0020 or toll-free 1-800-622-3849
7:30 a.m.-5 p.m. Central Time
Monday-Friday

**E-mail**

info@ipers.org

**Web site**

www.ipers.org

**Fax**

515-281-0053

Fiscal Year 2008 Highlights

Membership:

| | |
|------------------|---------|
| Active Members | 167,850 |
| Retired Members* | 87,490 |

Contributions:

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Employee | \$245,897,672 |
| Employer | 377,416,750 |
| Buy-Backs/Buy-Ins | 10,875,125 |

Distributions:

| | |
|---------------|-----------------|
| Benefits Paid | \$1,096,097,859 |
| Refunds Paid | 36,204,512 |

Investments:

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Net Investment and Securities Lending Loss | \$(338,574,666) |
| Investment Rate of Return | -1.33% |

Funding:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits | \$22,370,594,989 |
| Ratio of Actuarial Assets to Actuarial Liabilities | 89.1% |

*Unless specifically noted, references to retirees throughout this report include beneficiaries.



Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Introduction | Pages 1-26 |
| Professional Awards | 3 |
| Letter of Transmittal..... | 4 |
| Administration..... | 15 |
| Investment Board | 17 |
| Benefits Advisory Committee..... | 20 |
| Professional and Consulting Services..... | 24 |
| Investment Managers..... | 26 |
| | |
| Financial..... | Pages 27-64 |
| Independent Auditor's Report | 29 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis..... | 31 |
| Basic Financial Statements | 36 |
| Statement of Plan Net Assets..... | 36 |
| Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets | 37 |
| Notes to Financial Statements..... | 38 |
| Required Supplementary Information | 60 |
| Schedule 1 – Schedule of Funding Progress | 60 |
| Schedule 2 – Schedule of Employer Contributions | 61 |
| Other Supplementary Information | 62 |
| Schedule 1 – Investment Income by Specific Source | 62 |
| Schedule 2 – Schedule of Administrative Expenses | 63 |
| Schedule 3 – Schedule of Investment Related Expenses..... | 64 |
| | |
| Investments | Pages 65-102 |
| Investment Overview..... | 67 |
| Investment Results | 72 |
| Investment Policy and Goal Statement | 87 |
| | |
| Actuarial..... | Pages 103-120 |
| Actuary's Certification Letter | 105 |
| Principal Valuation Results..... | 112 |
| Actuarial Balance Sheet | 113 |
| Solvency Test..... | 114 |
| Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data | 115 |
| Retirees and Beneficiaries – Changes in Rolls | 115 |
| Actuarial Assumptions and Methods..... | 116 |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Statistical | Pages 121-138 |
| Statistical Overview | 123 |
| Changes in Net Assets | 124 |
| Membership Summary | 126 |
| Special Statistics | 126 |
| IPERS Membership by Status | 126 |
| Membership by Group | 127 |
| Average Benefit Payments for Retirees | 128 |
| New Retirees by Employer Group | 130 |
| Average Benefit Payments by Retirement Date | 131 |
| Schedule of Benefit Payments by Type of Benefit | 132 |
| Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Benefit..... | 132 |
| Retired Members by Benefit Option | 133 |
| Active Membership Statistics..... | 134 |
| Analysis of Change in Active Membership | 134 |
| Principal Participating Employers | 135 |
| Growth of Net Investment Portfolio Assets..... | 136 |
| Investment Returns 1981-2008 | 137 |
| Plan Summary | Pages 139-150 |
| Composition | 141 |
| Membership | 142 |
| Buy-Backs/Buy-Ins | 142 |
| Contributions | 143 |
| Vesting | 143 |
| Refunds | 143 |
| Benefits..... | 144 |
| Distribution of IPERS Benefit Payments in Iowa Counties | 147 |
| Benefit Payments Summary | 149 |
| Distribution of IPERS Benefit Payments by State | 150 |



Introduction

Professional Awards

Letter of Transmittal

Administration

Investment Board

Benefits Advisory Committee

Professional and Consulting Services

Investment Managers

**Certificate of
Achievement
for Excellence
in Financial
Reporting**

Presented to

**Iowa Public Employees'
Retirement System**

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended
June 30, 2007

A Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting is presented by the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada to government units and public employee retirement systems whose comprehensive annual financial reports (CAFRs) achieve the highest standards in government accounting and financial reporting.



Oliver S. Cox

President

Jeffrey R. Egan

Executive Director



Public Pension Coordinating Council

**Public Pension Standards Award
For Funding and Administration
2008**

Presented to

Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System

In recognition of meeting professional standards for
plan funding and administration as
set forth in the Public Pension Standards.

Presented by the Public Pension Coordinating Council, a confederation of

National Association of State Retirement Administrators (NASRA)
National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems
(NCPERS)

National Council on Teacher Retirement (NCTR)

Alan H. Winkle

Alan H. Winkle
Program Administrator

December 15, 2008

To the Governor and the General Assembly of the State of Iowa:

I am pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System (IPERS, System, or plan) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. This report is intended to provide complete and accurate information concerning the activities and results of the System's operations within a single publication. This report also fulfills the requirements set forth in Iowa Code section 97B.4(4)(a). The preparation of this report is the result of the combined effort of the System's staff under the direction of the management and CEO, who are responsible for the contents of the report.

This transmittal letter provides an overview of the status of IPERS. A thorough discussion of IPERS' activities and financial status is presented in the Financial, Investments, Actuarial, Statistical, and Plan Summary sections of the report.

Please note that membership numbers used in the Actuarial section differ from those used in other sections. Because the Actuarial section reflects projections of future costs, member deaths that occur during the last month of the fiscal year are not counted in the active or retired membership numbers. However, because there is a financial obligation for some of these members, they are included in the active or retired membership numbers in the Financial, Statistical, and Plan Summary sections.

This report is provided to the Governor, legislative leadership, IPERS Investment Board members, IPERS Benefits Advisory Committee members, and state fiscal staff in the Executive and Legislative Branches. Employers and IPERS members are advised of its availability online, and they may request a printed copy. Copies are also filed with the State Library of Iowa. All other interested persons may obtain the report through the IPERS Web site or upon request. IPERS also publishes an annual summary of information contained in this report, which is widely distributed to members, employers, policymakers, and others.

Plan History

IPERS was established by the Legislature on July 4, 1953, to replace the Iowa Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance System (IOASI). Effective July 4, 1953, all current public employees who had been IOASI contributors were given the option of transferring their contributions to IPERS for service credit in IPERS (prior service) or taking refunds of their IOASI contributions. At the same time, the Legislature elected to include Iowa's public employees in the federal social security plan retroactive to 1951. Before enactment of the 1953 legislation, public employees were not covered under Social Security.

Initially, IPERS was a money purchase system that calculated benefits based on contributions. Today, IPERS is a defined benefit plan with benefits based on a formula using a member's years of service, high three-year annual average covered wage, and a multiplier. Members must have four years of service to qualify for lifetime benefits using the formula. A vested member with less than four years of service, which occurs when a member turns age 55 while contributing to IPERS, receives benefits computed on a money purchase basis.

Structure and Governance

IPERS and the IPERS Trust Fund are established in Iowa Code chapter 97B. The Iowa Legislature and the Governor, as creators of the plan, are the plan sponsors. The Code establishes IPERS as an independent agency within the Executive Branch of State Government.

The Investment Board is designated the Fund's trustee. It sets investment policy and oversees the actuarial program. There are seven voting members: the Treasurer of State and six gubernatorial appointees confirmed by the Senate. Legislative leadership appoints the four nonvoting legislative members.

The Benefits Advisory Committee was established to advise IPERS and the General Assembly on benefits and services. The Committee selects its own members from constituent groups representing employers and members.

IPERS is administered through the Chief Executive Officer, Donna M. Mueller, and her staff.

Member Services

IPERS ended the fiscal year with 167,850 active members who were working and contributing to IPERS.

The number of IPERS retirees increased by 2,541 during the fiscal year, to 87,490, and 8,547 retirees returned to work for an IPERS-covered employer.

IPERS was created so that Iowa had a cost-effective way to provide a core retirement benefit that would help attract and keep quality employees in public service. IPERS benefits were designed to provide an adequate retirement income when combined with social security benefits and individual savings. Most public employees in Iowa become members of IPERS when they start employment with a covered employer, which includes schools, state agencies, counties, cities, townships, and other public entities. Public employees not covered by IPERS are judges, peace officers in the Iowa Department of Public Safety, police and fire personnel in cities with populations over 8,000, and university and community college personnel who elect other coverage.

IPERS had 319,637 members at the end of fiscal year (FY) 2008, with 167,850 active members employed and contributing to IPERS. There were 8,547 retirees drawing a pension who returned to work with an IPERS-covered employer, making this one of the fastest-growing segments of IPERS' membership.

Services for members include benefit education and preretirement counseling. During the year, IPERS' benefits staff fielded 81,269 phone calls and answered 6,293 e-mails. Staff prepared 69,612 benefit estimates. IPERS provided group and individual benefit education and preretirement counseling to 11,282 members. Members submitted 1,848 applications to buy service credit and 603 members purchased service credit.

The most important service IPERS provides to its 87,490 retirees is the accurate and timely payment of benefits. During the fiscal year, IPERS paid 96 percent of 1,037,462 benefit payments by electronic funds transfer (EFT), which ensures the monthly benefit is in the recipient's account on the day that it is payable. EFTs have been IPERS' preferred payment method since 1992. IPERS charges a small service fee for paper checks.

Members have access to information electronically and in print. The IPERS Web site contains news and announcements, member publications, forms, and a benefit estimator. Users viewed 1,683,885 pages on IPERS' Web site during the fiscal year.

IPERS publishes easy-to-read booklets electronically and in print. The booklets explain benefits at various career stages. A comprehensive IPERS Member Handbook is also available electronically and in print. Twice a year IPERS publishes and directly mails to members newsletters that include information about benefits, plan changes, finances, and performance.

Employer Services

IPERS provides training and technical assistance to 2,259 employers to encourage voluntary compliance with IPERS' requirements. Employers also receive newsletters and a handbook of procedures and reporting requirements. A section of the Web site is dedicated to employers, and IPERS assigns staff to assist employer human resources departments.

Employers enrolled in IPERS' Connection Online (ICON), a system that provides for online wage reporting, also receive messages, publications, and other materials through the system. The 52 percent of employers that use ICON report 65 percent of all members' wages. IPERS is replacing ICON with an improved system called I-Que (IPERS' Quest for Excellence) and has begun training employers on how to use I-Que.

IPERS funds benefit payments with a combination of member and employer contributions and investment earnings, with investment earnings typically providing the largest percentage of income. IPERS' strong historical investment returns are the result of a large and diversified investment portfolio. IPERS is able to take advantage of its role as an enduring public organization by focusing much longer-term than even the youngest investor. This allows IPERS to maximize investments and lower transaction costs on behalf of its members in a way that an individual investor cannot. In fiscal year 2008, IPERS' investment expenses were 0.17 percent of the portfolio's total quarterly average fair value, well below the 0.40 percent allowed by law.

A staff of professional investment officers oversees IPERS' investments. The investment officers, most of whom have earned the respected Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) or Certified Public Accountant (CPA) designation, oversee the six asset classes in which IPERS invests. IPERS' investment officers and investment consultants recommend asset allocation, investment policies, investment strategies, and contractor selection to the IPERS Investment Board, which makes the final decisions. IPERS' investment staff also manages the competitive selection process, negotiates detailed service contracts, and monitors contractors' compliance with their IPERS service agreements. The investment management firms select individual investments in the IPERS portfolio.

Fiscal year 2008 was a difficult year for investments as capital markets were jolted by the housing recession in the U.S. and the deleveraging of the global financial system. IPERS' -1.33 percent rate of return on investments for the fiscal year was well below the actuarial assumed investment return of 7.50 percent, and well below the benchmark of Consumer Price Index (CPI) plus 3 percent, which returned 8.02 percent. IPERS' portfolio performance did exceed its policy benchmark return of -3.03 percent and exceeded the -4.36 percent median return of other large public pension funds.

Investments

Although IPERS earned a -1.33 percent return on investments in FY2008, its return exceeded the -4.36 percent median return of other large public pension funds.

This was mainly due to two factors: 1) IPERS had a significantly higher allocation to bonds and lower allocation to stocks than its peers, at a time when bonds returned substantially more than stocks over the fiscal year; and 2) IPERS had a significantly higher allocation to private equity than many of its peers, and private equity performed well in FY2008.

Fiscal year results were also hurt by the underperformance of several of IPERS' contracted investment managers that execute active management strategies within IPERS' publicly traded equity and bond portfolios. The unprecedented volatility of the market and the lack of liquidity for some securities had a major impact on some strategies. The investment division at IPERS is continuing its work to improve the active investment strategies within these portfolios in order to address these performance issues.

Major Initiatives

Advancing Plan Design and Funding

The contribution rate for regular IPERS members, about 95 percent of all members contributing, increased 0.5 percentage point because of a law change. However, the rate continued to be below what is needed to fully fund benefits.

The first of four 0.5 percentage point increases in the contribution rate previously approved by the legislature was effective in fiscal year 2008. However, the rate continued to be below the actuarial rate needed to fully prefund benefits being promised and reduce the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). During FY2008, IPERS' unfunded actuarial liability increased from \$2.266 billion to \$2.665 billion. Members in public safety positions contributed at rates the actuary identified were necessary to fund benefits. Their benefits are fully funded.

A law change in FY2008 gives IPERS the authority to adjust contribution rates annually for all member groups by no more than 0.5 percentage point each year. This new authority becomes effective July 1, 2011, following the four 0.5 percentage point increases already authorized by law. Other important changes to the IPERS plan addressed in the 2008 legislation, most of which are effective in FY2009, include:

- Crediting the accounts of members who leave IPERS-covered employment for military service and die while serving.
- Removing bonuses and allowances from IPERS-covered wages.
- Raising the amount of a death benefit IPERS can pay to an adult custodian of a minor without court intervention to match Iowa Code chapter 565B, Transfers to Minors. This will raise IPERS' current limit of \$10,000 to the chapter 565B limit of \$25,000.
- Clarifying that regular disability payments end when a member no longer meets eligibility requirements for social security disability benefits.
- Moving county jailers and detention officers working as jailers, emergency medical service providers, county attorney investigators, and National Guard installation security officers from IPERS' regular class to the protection occupation class.
- Allowing IPERS to roll over a deceased member's lump sum death benefit to a traditional or Roth IRA for a beneficiary that is not a spouse.
- Allowing members to buy up to five years of service credit that is not tied to specific employment (also called buy-in of air time).
- Allowing members with mixed service to convert regular service credit to Special Service credit by paying the actuarial cost.

- Providing a credit that will reduce the cost to buy back service to a narrow group of members who received a refund from IPERS before July 1, 1998.
- Allowing use of a statewide referendum of part-time elected officials to decide whether they should have both Social Security coverage and IPERS coverage.
- Removing the investment management expense cap.
- Changing employer fees and reporting requirements.

Facing an increasing number of baby boomer retirements, IPERS is taking advantage of technology to maintain its high-quality, low-cost services. In fiscal year 2008 IPERS continued implementing I-Que. This is the third year of a five-year plan. I-Que provides the means for skilled staff to improve member and employer services, reduce the risk of errors and system failures, and increase efficiency. IPERS conducted training classes across the state, supplemented by Web-based training, to reach about half of all employers. IPERS helped the remainder of employers learn I-Que through telephone assistance from a help desk.

To maintain a focus on effectively administering the plan, IPERS completed voluntary reviews of its performance during fiscal year 2008. CEM Benchmarking Inc., an independent company that reviews pension systems worldwide, completed a benchmarking study on IPERS' benefits administration for the previous year. IPERS was lowest in costs when compared to its peers, while receiving high ratings for its services.

CEM Benchmarking Inc. also conducted a benchmarking study of IPERS' investment program that compared the Trust Fund's cost and return performance to CEM's extensive pension fund database. The analysis concluded that IPERS has a low-cost investment program. However, the investment program's added value over the five-year period ended December 31, 2007, was slightly below zero at -0.1 percent. The main reasons for the negative added value were that IPERS' active management strategies within its U.S. and international stock portfolios have underperformed.

Several of IPERS' contracted investment managers have failed to add value in their active management strategies. The investment division continues to work toward the goal of improving active management returns, although it was difficult in the investment environment of fiscal year 2008.

Total net assets held in trust for pension benefits decreased from \$23.217 billion on June 30, 2007, to \$22.371 billion on June 30, 2008. These assets consist of capital assets owned by IPERS and investment portfolio assets. The decrease in net assets is due primarily to losses on investments related to the recent market conditions. An overview and analysis of IPERS' financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, is in *Management's Discussion and Analysis* in the Financial section of this report.

Improving Performance

Employers will be able to use I-Que's advanced online services in FY2009; member online services will be available in FY2010.

IPERS uses independent, outside evaluators to ensure it continues good business practices and to find ways to improve.

Financial Highlights

Total Net Assets

Investment Portfolio Assets

There was \$22.355 billion in assets in IPERS' investment portfolio on June 30, 2008.

At the close of FY2008, IPERS' net investment portfolio assets had a fair value of \$22.355 billion. The change in fair value represents a decrease of \$827 million from the \$23.182 billion net investment asset fair value as of June 30, 2007. The largest factor contributing to the decrease was the investment portfolio return of -1.33 percent, which is more fully addressed below.

As in previous years, employer and employee contributions to IPERS only partially fund the benefit payments, refunds, and administrative expenses of the System. Funds must be drawn regularly from investment earnings to help meet these obligations. This drawdown of investment assets is typical for a mature pension system, where investment earnings are expected to supplement employer and employee contributions in meeting liabilities. In fiscal year 2008, employer and employee contributions totaled \$634 million, while total member benefits paid equaled \$1.132 billion (regular monthly benefits, refunds, and dividend payments). The resulting \$498 million contribution shortfall was funded with investment portfolio earnings.

Investment Results

The capital markets were severely impacted by the recession in the U.S. housing market and the deleveraging of the global financial system in fiscal year 2008. The impact was felt most in the U.S. equity market, which was down over 12 percent for the fiscal year, as many venerable financial institutions saw their capital disappear from mounting losses relating to subprime loans. The need of these institutions to get these assets off their balance sheets led to a liquidity crisis, where some securities could not be sold.

IPERS' investment portfolio felt the impact of all these events, producing a total return on the System's investment portfolio (net of fees) for the fiscal year of -1.33 percent. The total annualized return on investments for the 10-year period ended June 30, 2008, was 7.09 percent.

Revenues

The System is funded through a combination of employer and employee contributions and investment income. Contributions for regular IPERS members, who make up approximately 95 percent of the active membership, were 9.95 percent of employees' covered wages in fiscal year 2008. Employers contribute at a rate of 6.05 percent and employees at a rate of 3.90 percent, which represents about a 60 percent/40 percent split. Employees engaged in Special Service occupations (for example, law enforcement, fire safety, and similar protection occupations) and their employers contributed at higher actuarially determined rates.

For FY2008, revenues from employer and employee contributions totaled \$634 million, a 10.37 percent increase over the prior fiscal year. Buy-back/buy-in contributions for the year amounted to \$11 million, a 35.50 percent increase from the prior year. Net investment and securities lending income for fiscal year 2008 was -\$339 million, due mainly to losses resulting from poor market conditions. This represents a decrease of \$3.637 billion over the prior year.

Expenses are incurred primarily for the purpose for which IPERS was created: the payment of benefits to retirees. Included in the total expenses for the fiscal year were benefit payments, refunds, and dividend payments totaling \$1.132 billion. This amount increased 7.62 percent from the prior fiscal year.

The total number of annuitants receiving monthly benefits as of June 30, 2008, was 87,490. The net increase of 2,541 annuitants during fiscal year 2008 was similar to the increase during fiscal year 2007. The average monthly retirement benefit, including the November dividend and Favorable Experience Dividend (FED) payments, increased from \$952 to \$996.

All administrative expenses for the System are paid from the IPERS Trust Fund. The administrative budget is submitted to the Legislature annually for authorization of spending. Administrative expenses totaled \$10 million for fiscal year 2008, a 9.10 percent increase from last year. This increase was due largely to professional and computer support services and a new phone system related to the I-Que project.

The System retains two investment consultants and multiple investment managers to assist the Investment Board and administration in carrying out their fiduciary duties by providing capital markets and portfolio advice and investing the System's assets. For the fiscal year, IPERS' investment management expenses were \$39 million, a 32.65 percent decrease from last year.

IPERS utilizes performance-based fee structures for many of its investment managers, as required by state law, and these arrangements generated lower fees in FY2008 when compared to FY2007. The decrease was primarily due to incentive management fees in the real estate portfolio, where performance has declined compared to prior years. Total management fees earned by real estate managers in FY2008 were \$15 million, a decrease of 54.80 percent from the \$34 million charged during FY2007.

In addition to the appropriations process described above for administrative expenses, state law limits the amount that can be expended annually for investment management expenses to 0.40 percent of the Fund's total net investment portfolio fair value.

Expenses

Benefit payments exceeded \$1 billion.

For FY2008, the System expended 0.17 percent of the portfolio's total quarterly average fair value for investment management expenses, well below the 0.40 percent maximum allowed by state law.

For FY2008, the System expended 0.17 percent of the portfolio's total quarterly average fair value for investment management expenses, well below the maximum 0.40 percent allowed. The 0.17 percent expended for investment management expenses in FY2008 represents a decrease from the 0.26 percent expended in FY2007.

| Revenues <i>(Dollar values expressed in millions)</i> | | | | Expenses <i>(Dollar values expressed in millions)</i> | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Source | 2008 | 2007 | Increase (Decrease) | Type | 2008 | 2007 | Increase (Decrease) |
| Contributions | \$623.3 | \$ 566.6 | \$ 56.7 | Benefits | \$1,096.1 | \$1,014.0 | \$82.1 |
| Buy-Backs/Buy-Ins | 10.9 | 8.0 | 2.9 | Refunds | 36.2 | 38.1 | (1.9) |
| Net Invest. & Securities Lending Income | (338.6) | 3,298.8 | (3,637.4) | Administrative | 9.9 | 9.1 | 0.8 |
| Total | \$295.6 | \$3,873.4 | \$(3,577.8) | Total | \$1,142.2 | \$1,061.2 | \$81.0 |

Funding

The ultimate test of whether a system such as IPERS is financially sound is whether it can pay all of its promised benefits as they come due. The achievement of this goal can only be judged over a long period of time. The annual valuation of the System's assets and liabilities by IPERS' actuary provides the best current estimate of the System's funded status. The actuarial valuation for funding purposes at June 30, 2008, reflected an unfunded actuarial liability of \$2.665 billion. This represents the difference between the accrued actuarial liability of \$24.522 billion and the actuarial value of net assets of \$21.857 billion.

During the fiscal year, the unfunded actuarial liability increased by \$398 million from the preceding fiscal year's ending balance. This increase is primarily due to the statutory contribution rate for regular members being below the actuarial contribution rate, as well as a large liability loss predominantly related to demographic experience that was less favorable than anticipated by the actuarial assumptions. This actuarial loss was mainly due to actual salary increases for active members that were higher than expected.

The System's amortization period for the unfunded actuarial liability remained at an infinite number of years, meaning the level of periodic payments to reduce this liability that are possible under current statutory contribution rates will not be sufficient to pay off the UAL. IPERS' Funding Policy and Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25 require the amortization period not to exceed 30 years. This situation does not jeopardize the security of IPERS members' benefit payments for the next several years. However, it does signal a need to carefully monitor the sufficiency of the System's scheduled contribution rate increases approved by the 2006 Legislature.

Based on the FY2008 actuarial valuation, the contribution rates necessary and sufficient for members and employers to fully fund the benefits and retirement allowances being credited are as follows for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 (FY2010): Special Service Group 1 at 15.24 percent, Special Service Group 2 at 15.34 percent, and regular membership at 12.34 percent.

The actuarially required contribution is the statutory contribution rate for both groups of Special Service members. However, the contribution rate for regular members for FY2010 is set by statute at 10.95 percent. FY2008 was the sixth year the contribution rate for regular members was below the amount certified as actuarially required.

Future Prospects

IPERS has an 89.1 percent funded ratio (the ratio of actuarial assets to actuarial liabilities). The funded ratio has stabilized in the last six years after a significant decline in the early 2000s. Despite the fact IPERS is a well-funded system overall, IPERS' actuary projects employer contributions are 87.2 percent and member contributions are 87.3 percent of what they should be. Because the majority of contributions go toward paying normal costs, only a small percentage is available to pay down the unfunded actuarial liability, and the amortization period is infinite. The scheduled contribution rate increases approved by the 2006 Legislature may allow more of the contribution rate to be applied to paying down the UAL. However, given the negative return on investments in FY2008 and the unprecedented volatility of the capital markets since June 30, 2008, it is likely the UAL will continue to grow beyond the application of the legislated incremental increases in contribution rates. If current negative market conditions persist, additional contribution rate increases may be necessary to prevent a further decline in the funded ratio.

IPERS' board members and employees are dedicated to prudent investment and safeguarding the System's assets. Along with providing the highest possible level of service to members and retirees, these are and will remain IPERS' top priorities.

Accounting System and Internal Control

The financial statements included in this report are the responsibility of IPERS' management. The statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for governmental accounting and reporting as pronounced or adopted by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and the Financial Accounting Standards Board.

IPERS' administration is also responsible for maintaining an internal accounting control system, designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are executed in accordance with the administration's general or specific authorization and are recorded as necessary to maintain accountability for assets and to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Independent Audit

The Auditor of State is required by Iowa Code chapter 11 (2007) to audit all departments of the State annually. The accompanying financial statements of the System have been audited by the Auditor of State in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards, state law, and Government Auditing Standards. The Auditor's report is contained in the Financial section of this report.

Professional Awards

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to IPERS for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a governmental entity must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. IPERS believes its current Comprehensive Annual Financial Report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements, and IPERS is submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

In addition, the Public Pension Coordinating Council (PPCC), a confederation of the National Association of State Retirement Administrators (NASRA), the National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems (NCPERS), and the National Council on Teacher Retirement (NCTR), awarded IPERS the Public Pension Standards Award for Funding and Administration for 2008. This award recognizes IPERS for meeting professional standards for plan funding and administration as set forth in the Public Pension Standards.

Sincerely,



Donna M. Mueller
Chief Executive Officer

Administration

IPERS' primary purpose is to provide a strong and secure retirement income for Iowa's former and current public employees. The activities of the administration are designed to accomplish this purpose and include:

- Providing counseling services and retirement information to active and retired members.
- Providing retirement, disability, and death benefits to members and beneficiaries.
- Providing refunds to members, which may be rolled over to other IRS-qualified retirement plans.
- Administering the benefits of the Iowa Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance System.
- Collecting employer and employee contributions in accordance with state law and IPERS' administrative rules.
- Providing recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly on plan design adjustments.
- Overseeing the investment of the System's funds in accordance with the Investment Policy and Goal Statement adopted by the Investment Board.

Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System
Donna M. Mueller, Chief Executive Officer


Investment Policy and Administration
Karl C. Koch, Chief Investment Officer

Membership and Benefit Administration
David Martin, Chief Benefits Officer

Operations
Leon J. Schwartz, Chief Operations Officer

Legal
Gregg A. Schochenmaier, General Counsel
Kelly Lovell, General Counsel

IPERS Table of Organization



Investment Board

The Investment Board of IPERS was created by state statute to establish policies and hire professional service contractors for the investment and actuarial programs of the System. The Investment Board holds public meetings regularly to review actuarial findings and investment performance, and to formalize policies with the administration.

Eleven members serve on the Investment Board, seven voting members and four nonvoting members. The voting members include:

- Three public members, appointed by the Governor, who are not members of IPERS and who each have substantial institutional investment experience or substantial institutional financial experience.
- Three members, appointed by the Governor, who are members of IPERS: one must be an active member who is an employee of a school district, area education agency, or merged area; one must be an active member who is not an employee of a school; and one must be a retired member of IPERS.
- The Treasurer of State.

The nonvoting members include two state representatives (one appointed by the speaker of the Iowa House of Representatives and one by the minority leader of the Iowa House) and two state senators (one appointed by the majority leader of the Iowa Senate and one by the minority leader of the Iowa Senate).

The term for an Investment Board member appointed by the Governor is six years. Gubernatorial appointees are subject to confirmation by the Iowa Senate.

Joanne L. Stockdale*
Chair

Term expires 2011

Ms. Stockdale is the president and owner of Northern Iowa Die Casting. She is a certified public accountant with a degree from Iowa State University and accounting courses from Drake University. She was chosen Iowa's Outstanding CPA in Business and Industry for 2004.



David O. Creighton*
Vice Chair

Term expires 2009

Mr. Creighton is the chair and chief executive officer of The Bryton Companies in West Des Moines and works in the property/casualty insurance business with the Asset Protection Agency. He has his chartered property casualty underwriter (CPCU) and certified insurance counselor (CIC) designations. Mr. Creighton studied business at Arizona State University and has nearly 30 years of business finance experience. He has served as a board member and former interim executive vice president of the National Association of Professional Insurance Agents.



*The Investment Board is
the IPERS Fund's trustee.*

*Voting member

Investment Board Members



Michael Beary*

Term expires 2013

Mr. Beary is serving his fifth term as a member of the Monroe County Board of Supervisors, first taking office in 1989. As a supervisor, he has policymaking and administrative responsibilities, including setting budgets and tax levies. He also represents the county on other boards and commissions. An Iowa native who has lived in Iowa his whole life, Mr. Beary farms near Lovilia.



Representative Carmine Boal

Representative Boal is from Ankeny. She is the ranking member of the House State Government Committee and serves on the Education and Judiciary Committees. She is also a member of the Education Appropriations Subcommittee. Representative Boal is active in community groups and is the former vice president of the Ankeny Community School Board. She is serving her fifth term in the House.



Senator Michael Connolly

Senator Connolly is from Dubuque. He is an assistant majority leader and chair of the Senate State Government Committee. He is vice chair of the Ethics and Government Oversight Committees, and also serves on the Appropriations, Education, and Ways and Means Committees. Senator Connolly is a retired school administrator and former teacher who has served six terms in the House and is serving his fifth term in the Senate.



Lana J. Dettbarn*

Term expires 2011

The executive director for administrative services for the Eastern Iowa Community College District since 1979, Ms. Dettbarn is also the district's board treasurer. She has a master's degree in business administration from St. Ambrose University, and serves on the Advanced Technology Environmental Education Center Board.



James (Jay) Ingram*

Term expires 2013

Mr. Ingram is the managing director of private wealth management at R.W. Baird, Inc., where he focuses on retail, high net worth, and institutional accounts. A licensed financial adviser for almost 40 years, his institutional investment experience includes retirement plans. Mr. Ingram was named one of America's 50 best financial advisers by *Research* magazine in 2005 and admitted to their Winner's Circle of Excellence.

*Voting member

Investment Board Members

State Treasurer Michael L. Fitzgerald*

Appointed by statute

Treasurer Fitzgerald was first elected to office in 1982, and in 1989 was named the most valuable public official working in state government by *City and State* magazine. He has been the president of four associations, including the National Association of State Treasurers, and is currently a member of numerous organizations dedicated to government finance and administration.



Representative Mary Mascher

Representative Mascher, a teacher born and raised in Johnson County, lives in Iowa City. She received a B.A. in education and M.S. in counseling education from the University of Iowa. She is chair of the State Government Committee and vice-chair of the Education Committee. She also serves on the Human Resources and Labor Committees and the Education Appropriations Subcommittee. Representative Mascher is active in community groups and was past president of the Iowa City Education Association. She is serving her seventh term in the House.



Phyllis S. Peterson*

Term expires 2010

Dr. Peterson worked in Iowa public education for over 30 years before retiring in 2004 as the registrar of Kirkwood Community College. She began her career as a math teacher and later became involved in telecommunications usage and instructional research. Dr. Peterson received her doctorate from the University of Iowa.



Senator Mark Zieman

Senator Zieman is a Postville farmer and trucking company owner. He is the ranking member of the State Government Committee and serves on the Education, Judiciary, Rules and Administration, Transportation, and Ways and Means Committees. Senator Zieman also serves on the Education Appropriations Subcommittee.



*Voting member

Benefits Advisory Committee

The Benefits Advisory Committee includes representatives of major employer groups and major active and retired member associations.

The IPERS Benefits Advisory Committee (BAC) was created by state statute to make benefit and service recommendations to IPERS and the General Assembly. The Committee holds regular public meetings.

The Committee is composed of representatives of constituent groups concerned with the System, and includes representatives of all major employer groups and major active and retired member associations. While the constituent groups are generically named by statute, each association designates its representative to the Committee.

The Committee has nine voting members; seven are elected by the Committee membership. The director of the Iowa Department of Administrative Services is named a voting member in the Iowa Code. The voting members also elect a public member, who cannot be a member of the System. Of the nine voting members of the Committee, four must represent covered employers, four must represent IPERS membership, and one must be a public member. Voting members serve three-year terms.



Len Cockman*
Chair

Voting term expires 2009

Mr. Cockman, representing the Iowa Association of School Boards, is the human resource services director at that association. He is a certified professional in human resources and held administrative positions in Iowa public school districts for over ten years.



Bradley Hudson*
Vice Chair

Voting term expires 2011

Mr. Hudson is a lobbyist for the Iowa State Education Association. He is a former fiscal analyst for the Legislative Services Agency and assistant director of business and finance for the Iowa Board of Regents. As the school budget supervisor in the state budget office, Mr. Hudson was instrumental in revising the Iowa School Foundation Formula. He holds a bachelor's degree in business from Iowa State University.

*Voting member

Member Association Representatives

Susanna Brown

Ms. Brown is the executive director and chief legal counsel for the State Police Officers Council, a union representing public employees working in public safety occupations. Ms. Brown holds a bachelor's degree in business from the University of San Francisco, a master's degree in business administration from Drake University, and a Juris Doctorate from Drake University.



Walter L. Galvin

Mr. Galvin represents retired IPERS members on the BAC. He is retired and currently holds positions in the Iowa Retired School Personnel Association and the Des Moines Teachers Retirement System. He was the executive director of the Des Moines Education Association for over fifteen years during his career.



Janie Garr

Ms. Garr represents the IPERS Improvement Association, a private nonprofit group, on the Committee. She has two graduate degrees, one in English education and one in counseling. A teacher and counselor at public schools in Ottumwa for 26 years, and once a secretary in the FBI, she is currently a realtor.



Patrick Lynch*

Voting term expires 2010

Mr. Lynch is the national political director for the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. A Teamster for 25 years, he was formerly their state political director in Iowa, Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Mr. Lynch also is active in cooperative efforts with other unions in Iowa. A Dubuque native, Mr. Lynch is a board member of Iowa Jobs for America's Graduates and the Iowa Memorial Scholarship Fund.




*Voting member

Member Association Representatives



Bill Sage

Sheriff Sage, who represents the Iowa State Sheriffs and Deputies Association, has been with the Cass County Sheriff's Office for 26 years. In 1991, the Association named him Deputy Sheriff of the Year. He studied law enforcement at Iowa Western Community College and has served in the Atlantic Fire Department for 25 years.



Gaylord Tryon*

Voting term expires 2010

Dr. Tryon represents the School Administrators of Iowa on the Committee. He began his career as a teacher and elementary school principal, and he earned his doctorate in educational administration from Iowa State University. He served as executive director for the School Administrators of Iowa for 28 years before retiring in 2000. Since 2001, he has served as president of his own company, G. Tryon and Associates.



Lewis Washington*

Voting term expires 2010

Currently a probation and parole officer in Davenport, Mr. Washington represents the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) on the Committee. He studied business and public administration at Augustana College in Rock Island, Illinois, and is a member of the Iowa Corrections Association (ICA).

Employer Association Representatives



Mollie K. Anderson*

Appointed by statute

Ms. Anderson is the director of the Iowa Department of Administrative Services. She is a graduate of Midland Lutheran College in Fremont, Nebraska, and the Council of State Government's Henry Toll Fellowship program. She also holds professional certifications from the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University and the National Society for Human Resources Management.

*Voting member

Employer Association Representatives

M.J. Dolan

Ms. Dolan is executive director of the Iowa Association of Community College Trustees. She has almost 25 years of fiscal, policy, and management experience in business and government. Ms. Dolan is a former director of Legal and Policy Services and associate executive director of the Iowa Association of School Boards. She received her Juris Doctorate with honors from the University of Iowa, College of Law.



James Maloney*

Voting term expires 2009

Mr. Maloney is the Polk County Assessor and represents the Iowa State Association of Counties on the Committee. He graduated from Drake University Law School and has held the positions of City Assessor for Des Moines and County Auditor of Polk County.



Alan Kemp*

Voting term expires 2011

Mr. Kemp joined the Iowa League of Cities in July 1999 and now is its executive director. He graduated from the University of Iowa with a degree in political science and journalism and is finishing his master's in public administration at Iowa State University.



Public Member Representative

Diane Reid*

Voting term expires 2011

Ms. Reid retired as the executive director of the State Police Officers Council after 27 years of service. A veteran on public policy issues, she served as a member of the committee that preceded the Benefits Advisory Committee. Ms. Reid is a graduate of Katharine Gibbs School, holds an associate of science degree from Des Moines Area Community College, and has completed numerous seminars and training sessions on pension plans.



*Voting member

Professional and Consulting Services

The following organizations assist the IPERS Investment Board and administration in carrying out their fiduciary duties. Contracts are awarded following competitive procurement processes in accordance with state law.

Actuary

Milliman, Inc. — Omaha, NE

The actuarial consulting firm chosen by the Investment Board is responsible for:

- Certifying the adequacy of the contribution rates used by the System.
- Measuring and reporting the assets and liabilities of the System.
- Reviewing and analyzing trends in the System's membership and actuarial assumptions.

Plan Legal Counsel

Ice Miller Legal & Business Advisors — Indianapolis, IN

The external plan legal counsel chosen by the System is responsible for:

- Assisting periodically in the review and analysis of tax- and benefit-related matters.
- Providing advice to the staff on state and federal legislation and regulations.

Securities Litigation Monitoring Counsels

Barrack, Rodos & Bacine — Philadelphia, PA

Bernstein, Liebhard & Lifshitz — New York, NY

Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll — Washington, DC

The external securities litigation monitoring firms chosen by the System are responsible for:

- Monitoring security class-action litigation globally.
- Filing claims against class-action settlements.
- Serving as counsel for IPERS when IPERS seeks to serve as lead plaintiff in federal or state court.

Investment Consultants

Wilshire Associates Inc. — Santa Monica, CA (General)

The Townsend Group — Cleveland, OH (Real Estate)

The investment consulting firms chosen by the Investment Board are responsible for:

- Preparing asset allocation studies for the System.
- Periodically reviewing investment performance.
- Assisting in the screening and selection of investment managers.
- Providing support in the development and implementation of the System's investment goals, objectives, and policies.

Master Custodian and Securities Lending Agent

The Bank of New York Mellon – New York, NY

The Treasurer of the State of Iowa is the custodian of the Fund and has hired a master custodian bank to assist in the custody and record-keeping of the System's assets. The System also utilizes the custodian bank as its securities lending agent. The custodian is responsible for:

- Maintaining safe custody of the assets owned by the System.
- Settling trades in accordance with instructions from the System.
- Collecting in a timely manner the income due to the System.
- Administering a securities lending program for the System's assets and investing cash collateral received from such loans.
- Providing periodic reports summarizing the investment activity of the System's assets.

Operational/Information Technology Consultants

L.R. Wechsler, Ltd. – Fairfax, VA

Vitech Systems Group, Inc. – New York, NY

The most significant operational/information technology consultants chosen and utilized by the System are responsible for:

- Implementing a new benefits administration system.
- Assisting in the review and improvement of all benefits administration business processes.
- Providing independent verification and validation and quality assurance services.

Benchmarking Consultant

CEM Benchmarking Inc. – Toronto, Ontario, Canada

The independent benchmarking consultant firm is responsible for:

- Providing standardized comparative information for IPERS' investment management.
- Providing standardized benefit administration cost information.
- Providing standardized service levels and activity information.

Investment Managers

The Investment Board has selected a variety of investment management firms to execute the investment strategies of the System. Managers are given full discretion to direct and manage the investment of the assets allocated to their accounts in accordance with applicable federal and state regulations and their executed contracts.

The investment managers chosen by the System are responsible for:

- Investing the funds allocated to them in accordance with their contracts, the Investment Policy and Goal Statement, and applicable statutes.
- Reporting periodically to the Investment staff and Investment Board on matters such as performance, market conditions, and compliance with their contracts.

As of June 30, 2008

Domestic Equity

Barclays Global Investors, NA
San Francisco, CA

Mellon Capital Management Corp.
San Francisco, CA

RCM
San Francisco, CA

Smith Breeden Associates, Inc.
Chapel Hill, NC

Wellington Management Company, LLP
Boston, MA

Western Asset Management Co.
Pasadena, CA

Westridge Capital Management, Inc.
Santa Barbara, CA

International Equity

Barclays Global Investors, NA
San Francisco, CA

Emerging Markets Management, LLC
Arlington, VA

GAM USA Inc.
New York, NY

Oechsle International Advisors, LLC
Boston, MA

Quantitative Management Associates, LLC
Newark, NJ

Core Plus Fixed Income

BlackRock Financial Management, Inc.
New York, NY

Mellon Capital Management Corp.
San Francisco, CA

Principal Global Investors, LLC
Des Moines, IA

Western Asset Management Co.
Pasadena, CA

High Yield Bonds

Oaktree Capital Management, LLC
Los Angeles, CA

Post Advisory Group, LLC
Los Angeles, CA

Private Equity/Debt

Pathway Capital Management, LLC
Irvine, CA

Real Estate

ING Clarion Partners
New York, NY

INVESCO Realty Advisors
Dallas, TX

RREEF America, LLC
Chicago, IL

TA Realty, LLC
Boston, MA

UBS Realty Investors, LLC
Hartford, CT



Financial

Independent Auditor's Report

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Basic Financial Statements

Statement of Plan Net Assets

Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets

Notes to Financial Statements

Required Supplementary Information

Other Supplementary Information

**OFFICE OF AUDITOR OF STATE**

STATE OF IOWA

State Capitol Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0004

Telephone (515) 281-5834 Facsimile (515) 242-6134

David A. Vaudt, CPA
Auditor of StateIndependent Auditor's Report

To the Members of the
Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System Investment Board:

We have audited the accompanying statement of plan net assets of the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System (IPERS) as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related statement of changes in plan net assets for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of IPERS' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in note 1, the financial statements present the financial position and the changes in financial position of only that portion of the financial reporting entity of the State of Iowa that is attributable to the transactions of IPERS. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the State of Iowa as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the changes in its financial position and its cash flows, where applicable, for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the plan net assets of IPERS at June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the changes in plan net assets for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 11, since June 30, 2008 IPERS' investment portfolio has incurred significant declines in the values reported in the accompanying financial statements due to a global financial crisis that persists as of the date of this report. The amount and extent of recovery, if any, the period over which recovery may occur and the amount of losses, if any, IPERS will recognize in future financial statements are indeterminable.

Management's Discussion and Analysis and the schedules of funding progress and employer contributions on pages 31 through 35 and 60 through 61 are not required parts of the financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, consisting principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. Other supplementary information included in Schedules 1 through 3 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audits of the aforementioned financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

We did not audit the data included in the introduction, investments, actuarial, statistical and plan summary sections and, accordingly, express no opinion on it.

Our report on IPERS' internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations and contracts and other matters required by Government Auditing Standards will be issued under separate cover. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audits.



DAVID A. VAUDT, CPA
Auditor of State



WARREN G. JENKINS, CPA
Chief Deputy Auditor of State

December 8, 2008

Management's Discussion and Analysis

This discussion and analysis of the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System provides an overview of the retirement system's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. It is intended to be used in conjunction with the transmittal letter and IPERS' financial statements and notes, which begin on page 36 of this report.

Using This Financial Report

This Comprehensive Annual Financial Report reflects the activities of the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System as reported in the Statement of Plan Net Assets (see page 36) and the Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets (see page 37). These statements are presented on an accrual basis and reflect all Trust Fund activities as incurred. The Notes to Financial Statements are an integral part of the financial statements and include additional information not readily evident in the statements themselves. The Required Supplementary Information and Other Supplementary Information following the Notes to Financial Statements provide historical and additional detailed information considered useful in evaluating the condition of the plan. Investment data in the Financial section are presented at fair value. See the Actuarial section of this report for a detailed discussion of the actuarial value of assets and liabilities and the funded status.

Financial Highlights

- IPERS' plan net assets held in trust for pension benefits decreased \$846.6 million during fiscal year 2008. On June 30, 2008, total plan assets (including capital assets of \$12.5 million) were \$26.2 billion, exceeding total liabilities of \$3.8 billion, resulting in net assets held in trust for pension benefits of \$22.4 billion.
- Capital assets increased from \$9.5 million at the end of fiscal year 2007 to \$12.5 million at the end of fiscal year 2008. This was primarily due to the capitalization of \$3.0 million in equipment and other expenses directly related to the I-Que project, which was discussed on page 9 of the Introduction.
- Covered wages, upon which both employee and employer contributions are calculated, increased \$349.7 million, or 6 percent, over the last fiscal year and totaled \$6.1 billion. Employer and employee contributions increased, in total, by 10 percent. In comparison, fiscal year 2007 saw a 5 percent increase in covered wages and a 5 percent increase in contributions. The larger increase in contributions for fiscal year 2008 is due, in part, to the increase in the contribution rates for regular employees.

Contributions, investments, and other income added \$295.6 million to the Fund.

- Net investment and securities lending income, after all investment-related expenses, was -\$338.6 million in fiscal year 2008, due primarily to losses resulting from poor market conditions. In comparison, FY2007 produced a gain of \$3.3 billion and FY2006 produced a gain of \$2.1 billion. Investment management expenses were \$39.3 million for FY2008. In comparison, investment management expenses were \$58.3 million for FY2007 and \$46.1 million for FY2006.
- Total contributions, investments, and other income resulted in a positive impact to the Fund of \$295.6 million in fiscal year 2008, compared to \$3.9 billion in FY2007 and \$2.6 billion in FY2006.
- Pension benefit payments to members increased \$82.1 million, continuing the trend experienced in the previous six fiscal years. Refunds decreased from \$38.1 million to \$36.2 million. Payments to members totaled \$1.1 billion in fiscal year 2008, compared to \$1.1 billion in FY2007 and \$966.0 million in FY2006.
- Administrative expenses totaled \$9.9 million, compared to \$9.1 million for fiscal year 2007 and \$9.3 million for fiscal year 2006. Administrative expenses were 0.04 percent of the value of plan net assets in fiscal year 2008. In comparison, administrative expenses were 0.04 percent of the value of plan net assets in FY2007 and 0.05 percent of the value of plan net assets in FY2006.

Analysis of Plan Net Assets

Tables 1 and 2 present condensed summaries of plan net assets and a breakdown of the changes to the plan net assets with comparison to the previous two fiscal years.

IPERS' plan net assets at June 30, 2008, were \$22.4 billion, having decreased \$846.6 million from the previous fiscal year-end balance.

A large percentage of total assets, 93 percent, is made up of investments held to provide retirement, survivor, and disability benefits to qualified members and their beneficiaries. Other assets, including cash and cash equivalents, receivables from member and employer contributions, receivables from investment-related transactions, and capital assets, make up 7 percent of total assets. Total plan net assets decreased 4 percent in fiscal year 2008, due largely to the negative impact poor market conditions had on the fair value of investments.

Total liabilities in Table 1 represent current liabilities and do not reflect the actuarial liabilities discussed in the Actuarial section of this report. These current liabilities consist primarily of amounts owed for investment-related transactions, including the value of rebates and collateral due back to borrowers of securities at the conclusion of securities lending transactions, amounts owed to members or their beneficiaries, and amounts owed to contractors and vendors. Total liabilities decreased \$954.1 million from fiscal year 2007 to 2008, as compared to an increase of \$2.0 billion between fiscal years 2006 and 2007. The majority of the decrease in liabilities for fiscal year 2008 was due to decreased payables for investments purchased and securities lending rebates and collateral payable.

Total plan net assets decreased in FY2008 largely because of market declines.

Table 1**Plan Net Assets***(Dollar values expressed in thousands)*

Fiscal years ended June 30

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008/2007 Inc/(Dec) Percent | 2006 | 2007/2006 Inc/(Dec) Percent |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Cash and investments at fair value | \$24,636,972 | \$26,727,594 | (7.8) | \$22,725,569 | 17.6 |
| Receivables | 1,558,465 | 1,271,506 | 22.6 | 477,498 | 166.3 |
| Capital assets | 12,474 | 9,471 | 31.7 | 4,424 | 114.1 |
| Total assets | 26,207,911 | 28,008,571 | (6.4) | 23,207,491 | 20.7 |
| Total liabilities | 3,837,316 | 4,791,403 | (19.9) | 2,802,620 | 71.0 |
| Total plan net assets | \$22,370,595 | \$23,217,168 | (3.6) | \$20,404,871 | 13.8 |

Table 2**Changes in Plan Net Assets***(Dollar values expressed in thousands)*

Fiscal years ended June 30

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008/2007 Inc/(Dec) Percent | 2006 | 2007/2006 Inc/(Dec) Percent |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Additions | | | | | |
| Contributions & buy-backs/buy-ins | \$ 634,190 | \$ 574,604 | 10.4 | \$ 547,488 | 5.0 |
| Net investment and securities lending income* | (338,575) | 3,298,843 | (110.3) | 2,065,521 | 59.7 |
| Total additions | 295,615 | 3,873,447 | (92.4) | 2,613,009 | 48.2 |
| Deductions | | | | | |
| Benefits and refunds | 1,132,302 | 1,052,089 | 7.6 | 966,046 | 8.9 |
| Administrative expenses | 9,886 | 9,061 | 9.1 | 9,321 | (2.8) |
| Total deductions | 1,142,188 | 1,061,150 | 7.6 | 975,367 | 8.8 |
| Increase/(decrease) in plan net assets | \$(846,573) | \$2,812,297 | (130.1) | \$1,637,642 | 71.7 |

*IPERS determined it was more appropriate to classify Qualified Benefits Arrangement (QBA) income as interest income rather than miscellaneous income. Prior years have been restated.

The decrease in plan net assets experienced from the end of fiscal year 2007 to the end of fiscal year 2008 and the increase in plan net assets experienced from the end of fiscal year 2006 to the end of fiscal year 2007 were primarily due to investment market conditions. Benefits paid out continued to exceed contributions received by \$498.1 million, \$477.5 million, and \$418.6 million for fiscal years 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. This excess of benefits paid relative to contributions received is characteristic of a mature pension plan such as IPERS.

Total administrative expenses for fiscal years 2008, 2007, and 2006 were \$9.9 million, \$9.1 million, and \$9.3 million, respectively. (See Schedule of Administrative Expenses, page 63.)

The investment rates of return for the current and preceding two fiscal years were -1.33 percent, 16.29 percent, and 11.11 percent, respectively. (See also the Investments section of this report beginning on page 65 for further information on rates of return.)

The following table contains the fiscal year performance of each asset class, benchmark, and the Fund's actual asset allocation as of June 30, 2008.

Table 3

| Asset Class | Return | Benchmark | Allocation |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Domestic equity | -15.12% | -12.53% | 24.83% |
| International equity | -7.57% | -6.20% | 14.40% |
| Core plus fixed income | 5.19% | 6.24% | 34.97% |
| High yield bonds | 1.29% | -0.53% | 4.60% |
| Private equity/debt | 20.50% | -9.84% | 11.20% |
| Real estate | 6.12% | 5.60% | 9.20% |
| Short-term cash | 4.56% | 3.64% | 0.80% |
| Total Fund | -1.33% | -3.03% | 100.00% |

Market Conditions Subsequent to June 30, 2008

Subsequent to June 30, 2008, capital markets have experienced unprecedented volatility and selling pressure due to a global financial crisis that persists as of the date of this report. Credit is contracting, unemployment is rising, and economic activity is rapidly declining in the United States and across the world. As investor tolerance for risk declines in the face of these conditions, more and more asset prices come under selling pressure, resulting in large declines in market values and negative investment returns in practically every asset class. Under these conditions, even a well-diversified portfolio such as IPERS will incur losses. IPERS estimates the fair value of its investment portfolio has declined to approximately \$18.547 billion as of October 31, 2008, which would represent a decline of \$3.808 billion from the value reported in the accompanying financial statements.

While the developments in the economy and the capital markets are certainly worrisome, it is important to understand IPERS is a long-term investor with a long-term horizon—many of IPERS' liabilities are not due for 20 to 30 years. Furthermore, IPERS has adequate liquidity in its portfolio to meet its cash obligations for many years. Finally, IPERS' portfolio is well-diversified and should recover its value over the long-run. However, if the current conditions persist for many months or conditions become more severe, it is likely that IPERS' funding ratio will decline.

IPERS management will closely monitor the situation and work with the plan sponsor to restore the funding ratio. Higher contribution rates, a reduction in future benefit accruals, or a combination of both could be necessary in the future in order to fund all promised benefits.

Contacting System Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide the plan sponsors, the Investment Board, the Benefits Advisory Committee, the System's membership, contributors, taxpayers, and creditors with a general overview of the System's finances and to demonstrate the System's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, you may contact the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System by e-mail at info@ipers.org, by phone at 515-281-0020 or 1-800-622-3849, or by mail at P.O. Box 9117, Des Moines, IA 50306-9117.

Basic Financial Statements

Statement of Plan Net Assets

June 30, 2008 and 2007

| | 2008 Pension Trust Fund | 2008 QBA Fund* | 2008 Total | 2007 Total |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 182,643,040 | \$1,341 | \$ 182,644,381 | \$ 122,251,188 |
| Receivables | | | | |
| Contributions receivable | 52,065,502 | --- | 52,065,502 | 48,661,283 |
| Accrued interest and dividends | 74,727,537 | 3 | 74,727,540 | 79,432,033 |
| Receivable for investments sold | 1,428,910,938 | --- | 1,428,910,938 | 1,136,905,073 |
| Foreign exchange contracts receivable | 2,760,935 | --- | 2,760,935 | 6,507,551 |
| Total receivables | 1,558,464,912 | 3 | 1,558,464,915 | 1,271,505,940 |
| Investments at fair value | | | | |
| Fixed income | 9,635,811,984 | --- | 9,635,811,984 | 9,798,063,496 |
| Domestic equity | 5,855,728,596 | --- | 5,855,728,596 | 7,209,791,382 |
| International equity | 3,183,863,454 | --- | 3,183,863,454 | 3,606,256,940 |
| Real estate | 2,073,415,621 | --- | 2,073,415,621 | 2,075,286,962 |
| Private equity/debt | 2,504,525,557 | --- | 2,504,525,557 | 1,946,547,302 |
| Securities lending collateral pool | 1,200,983,150 | --- | 1,200,983,150 | 1,969,396,403 |
| Total investments | 24,454,328,362 | --- | 24,454,328,362 | 26,605,342,485 |
| Capital assets | | | | |
| Depreciable assets – net of accumulated depreciation | 11,973,791 | --- | 11,973,791 | 8,971,430 |
| Nondepreciable assets – land | 500,000 | --- | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Total capital assets | 12,473,791 | --- | 12,473,791 | 9,471,430 |
| Total assets | 26,207,910,105 | 1,344 | 26,207,911,449 | 28,008,571,043 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 34,029,755 | --- | 34,029,755 | 55,176,787 |
| Payable for investments purchased | 2,579,741,045 | --- | 2,579,741,045 | 2,760,787,187 |
| Rebates and collateral payable | 1,220,763,027 | --- | 1,220,763,027 | 1,968,922,517 |
| Foreign exchange contracts payable | 2,782,633 | --- | 2,782,633 | 6,516,606 |
| Total liabilities | 3,837,316,460 | --- | 3,837,316,460 | 4,791,403,097 |
| Net assets held in trust for pension benefits (Note 9) | \$22,370,593,645 | \$1,344 | \$22,370,594,989 | \$23,217,167,946 |

See Schedule of Funding Progress on page 60.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

*See Note 10 on page 59.

Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets

Years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

| | 2008 Pension Trust Fund | 2008 QBA Fund* | 2008 Total | 2007 Total |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Additions | | | | |
| Contributions | | | | |
| Employer contributions | \$ 377,396,750 | \$20,000 | \$ 377,416,750 | \$ 343,063,330 |
| Employee contributions | 245,897,672 | --- | 245,897,672 | 223,515,250 |
| Buy-back/buy-in contributions | 10,875,125 | --- | 10,875,125 | 8,025,639 |
| Total contributions | 634,169,547 | 20,000 | 634,189,547 | 574,604,219 |
| Investments | | | | |
| Interest | 418,236,872 | 260† | 418,237,132 | 363,483,363 |
| Dividends | 87,681,256 | --- | 87,681,256 | 80,004,527 |
| Real estate and private equity/debt | 53,758,151 | --- | 53,758,151 | 87,133,068 |
| Net appreciation/(depreciation) in fair value of investments | (848,779,814) | --- | (848,779,814) | 2,821,866,951 |
| Other | 298,911 | --- | 298,911 | 190,263 |
| Investment management expenses | (39,275,497) | --- | (39,275,497) | (58,315,192) |
| Net investment income/(loss) | (328,080,121) | 260 | (328,079,861) | 3,294,362,980 |
| Securities lending income | | | | |
| Securities lending income | 72,136,756 | --- | 72,136,756 | 97,688,056 |
| Securities lending net depreciation in fair value of collateral pool | (22,066,300) | --- | (22,066,300) | --- |
| Securities lending expenses | (60,565,261) | --- | (60,565,261) | (93,208,129) |
| Net securities lending income/(loss) | (10,494,805) | --- | (10,494,805) | 4,479,927 |
| Total additions | 295,594,621 | 20,260 | 295,614,881 | 3,873,447,126 |
| Deductions | | | | |
| Benefit payments | 1,096,078,230 | 19,629 | 1,096,097,859 | 1,013,972,987 |
| Member and employer refunds | 36,204,512 | --- | 36,204,512 | 38,116,271 |
| Administrative expenses | 9,884,897 | 570 | 9,885,467 | 9,060,968 |
| Total deductions | 1,142,167,639 | 20,199 | 1,142,187,838 | 1,061,150,226 |
| Net increase/(decrease) | (846,573,018) | 61 | (846,572,957) | 2,812,296,900 |
| Net assets held in trust for pension benefits beginning of year | 23,217,166,663 | 1,283 | 23,217,167,946 | 20,404,871,046 |
| Net assets held in trust for pension benefits (Note 9) | \$22,370,593,645 | \$ 1,344 | \$22,370,594,989 | \$23,217,167,946 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

*See Note 10 on page 59.

†IPERS determined that it was more appropriate to classify QBA income as interest income rather than miscellaneous income. FY2007 has been restated.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2008 and 2007

(1) REPORTING ENTITY

IPERS, a public employee retirement system, was created by the Iowa Legislature. IPERS is an integral part of the primary government of the State of Iowa and, accordingly, has been included as a pension trust fund in the *Iowa Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)* as well as having its own stand-alone comprehensive annual financial report. The State's CAFR may be viewed from the Iowa Department of Administrative Services' Web site at das.sae.iowa.gov/financial_reports/index.html.

For financial reporting purposes, IPERS considered all potential component units for which it is financially accountable and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with IPERS is such that exclusion would cause IPERS' financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability. These criteria include appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body and: (1) the ability of IPERS to impose its will on that organization, or (2) the potential for the organization to provide specific benefits to or impose specific financial burdens on IPERS. IPERS has no component units that meet the Governmental Accounting Standards Board criteria.

(2) PLAN DESCRIPTION

A. Administration

IPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit public employee retirement system. Administrative expenses are appropriated each year by the Iowa Legislature and paid from the Trust Fund.

B. Plan Membership

IPERS membership is mandatory for most state, county, and local public employees, employees of school districts, and certain elected officials. Membership is optional for some individuals, including the members of the Iowa Legislature. Members of other retirement systems supported by Iowa public funds are excluded from membership.

| | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2007 |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Employers | | |
| City | 1,184 | 1,198 |
| County | 412 | 423 |
| School | 395 | 399 |
| State | 25 | 25 |
| 28E agencies | 66 | 68 |
| Utilities | 133 | 134 |
| Other | 44 | 46 |
| Total | 2,259 | 2,293 |
| Members | | |
| Retirees and beneficiaries | 87,490 | 84,949 |
| Inactive vested | 31,246 | 30,047 |
| Active vested | 123,068 | 123,281 |
| Active nonvested | 44,782 | 41,960 |
| Inactive nonvested | 33,051 | 32,195 |
| Total | 319,637 | 312,432 |

In FY2008 IPERS had 319,637 members and 2,259 participating employers.

C. Plan Benefits

IPERS benefits are established under Iowa Code chapter 97B and the administrative rules thereunder. Chapter 97B and the administrative rules are the official plan documents. The following brief description of IPERS benefits is provided for general informational purposes only. Members should refer to the plan documents for more comprehensive information.

D. Pension Benefits

A member may retire at age 65 (or at any time after reaching age 62 with 20 or more years of covered employment) and receive monthly benefits without an early retirement adjustment. A member is also entitled to benefits without an early retirement adjustment if the member’s years of service plus the member’s age at the last birthday equals or exceeds 88. (These qualifications must be met on the member’s first month of entitlement to benefits.)

A member’s monthly retirement allowance will be reduced by 0.25 percent for each month that the member’s first month of entitlement precedes the date the member would have first retired with a normal retirement allowance. The date at which the member would first receive a normal retirement allowance is based on the member’s actual age and years of service at the first month of entitlement.

E. Disability and Death Benefits

A vested member who is awarded federal social security or federal railroad retirement disability benefits because of a disability is eligible to claim IPERS benefits regardless of age. Disability benefits are unreduced for age. In lieu of the foregoing, a vested Special Service member may apply for in-service or ordinary disability retirement benefits under the Special Service member disability program. Eligibility for the Special Service member disability program benefits is determined by IPERS and also may begin at any age.

If a member dies before retirement, the beneficiary will receive a lifetime annuity or a lump-sum cash payment which is equal to the present actuarial value of the member's accrued benefit or a calculated formula, whichever is greater. When a member dies after retirement, the availability of death benefits depends on the benefit option selected by the member at the time of retirement.

F. Refunds

If a member leaves covered employment and applies for a refund, a lump-sum cash payment will be made based upon the member's accumulated contributions. Vested members requesting a refund also receive a portion of their accumulated employer contributions.

G. Vested Membership

A member who leaves covered employment after completing at least 4 years of covered service or has attained the age of 55 while making contributions to the plan has vested rights to IPERS benefits.

H. Funding Requirements

Member and employer contribution rates are established by statute for the regular membership. The contributions are remitted by participating employers. Certain members and employers engaged in law enforcement, fire safety, and other protection occupations contribute at actuarially determined rates as shown in the following table. Wages are covered up to the federal limit of \$230,000 for calendar year 2008.

Contribution Rates in Effect July 1, 2007–June 30, 2008

| | Employee | Employer | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Regular membership | 3.90% | 6.05% | 9.95% |
| Special Service Group 1* | 7.70% | 7.70% | 15.40% |
| Special Service Group 2† | 5.64% | 8.47% | 14.11% |

*Sheriffs and deputies.

†All other protection occupation members.

State law set the FY2008 contribution rate for regular members. Special Service members paid an actuarial rate.

(3) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Basis of Accounting

IPERS' financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles as applied to government units. Revenues are recognized when they are earned and become measurable.

Expenses are recognized when the liability is incurred. As such, plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan.

B. New Accounting Pronouncements

In FY2008, IPERS implemented GASB Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. In addition to pensions, many states and local governmental employers provide other postemployment benefits (OPEB) as part of the total compensation offered to attract and retain qualified employees. GASB Statement No. 45 establishes standards for reporting OPEB consistently, using accrual basis accounting. IPERS, as a part of the State of Iowa, participates in the State of Iowa postretirement medical plan by providing an implicit rate subsidy as discussed in Note G of this footnote.

In FY2008, IPERS also implemented GASB Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures*, an amendment of GASB Statements No. 25 and No. 27. This statement is effective for financial reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2007. It is intended to more closely align the financial reporting requirements for pensions with those for other postemployment benefits, enhancing information disclosed in notes to the financial statements. See Notes 4 and 5 on pages 54-56.

C. Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the purpose of financial reporting, cash and cash equivalents represent cash and money market investments with an original maturity of 3 months or less, held by the System's administration, and cash allocated to the System's investment managers for investment.

D. Foreign Exchange Contracts

The System enters into forward foreign exchange contracts to minimize the short-term impact of foreign currency fluctuations on the asset and liability positions of foreign investments. The gains or losses on these contracts are included in income in the period in which the exchange rates change.

E. Investments

IPERS is authorized to execute the investment of moneys to meet the requirements of the Investment Policy and Goal Statement established by the Investment Board, in accordance with the "Prudent Person" mandate of Iowa Code section 97B.7A.

All investments are reported at fair value. The determination of fair value is generally based on published market prices and quotations from major investment brokers. Investments not having quoted market prices have been valued based on yields and maturities currently available on comparable securities of similar issue. Fair values for real estate investments are based on periodic assessments or appraisals of the underlying investments. Futures contracts are valued daily with the resulting adjustment recorded as realized gains/losses arising from the daily settlement of the variation margin. Private equities are valued based on March 31 net asset values plus or minus purchases, sales, and cash flows from April 1 through June 30 of the reporting year.

Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a failure of the counterparty, the System will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the System, and are held by either the counterparty or the counterparty's trust department or agent but not in the System's name.

The Treasurer of State is the statutory custodian of the funds of the System, and in this capacity, has arranged to have the custodial bank account collateralized or covered by the State Sinking Fund.

IPERS investments are held by the custodian, the Treasurer of State, and registered to IPERS.

Consistent with the System's investment policy, the investments are held by the System's custodian and registered in the System's name. All of the System's securities are held by the System's custodial bank in the System's name, except for securities on loan with brokers for cash collateral, investments in mutual and commingled funds, real estate properties, and limited partnerships, which, by their nature, are not required to be categorized. Investments in the Short-Term Investment Funds (STIF) are held in a Securities and Exchange Commission-registered pooled fund that is managed by the master custodian bank. The fair value of the position in the pool equals the value of the pool shares. A summary of investments as of June 30, 2008, follows.

Invested Assets*

(Dollar values expressed in thousands)

June 30, 2008

| Investment Type | Total Fair Value | Fair Value on Loan |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Cash | \$ (1,131,824) | --- |
| Cash collateral at broker | 158,275 | --- |
| Forward contracts | (290) | --- |
| Short-term investments | 1,219,653 | \$ 36,033 |
| Pooled funds and mutual funds | 1,101,315 | --- |
| Certificates of deposit | 16,012 | --- |
| Government agencies | 102,326 | 36,033 |
| Equity investments | 2,873,333 | 526,802 |
| Common stocks | 2,797,295 | 508,748 |
| Real estate investment trusts | 33,675 | 11,159 |
| Preferred stock | 41,303 | 6,895 |
| Other equities | 1,060 | --- |
| Fixed income investments | 7,632,032 | 624,965 |
| Government fixed income | 833,619 | 210,377 |
| <i>Government agencies</i> | 395,467 | --- |
| <i>Government bonds</i> | 282,674 | 142,968 |
| <i>Government inflation indexed</i> | 68,161 | 55,580 |
| <i>Other government fixed income</i> | 87,317 | 11,829 |
| Government assets and mortgage-backed | 2,552,901 | 104,199 |
| <i>Government National Mortgage Association</i> | 52,514 | 4,561 |
| <i>Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation</i> | 153,021 | 4,666 |
| <i>Federal National Mortgage Association</i> | 1,700,856 | 65,056 |
| <i>Collateralized mortgage obligations</i> | 110,906 | 27,357 |
| <i>Other government mortgage-backed</i> | 535,604 | 2,559 |
| Corporate fixed income | 3,466,568 | 267,006 |
| <i>Asset-backed securities</i> | 1,172,238 | 4,280 |
| <i>Corporate bonds</i> | 2,294,330 | 262,726 |
| Other fixed income | 778,944 | 43,383 |
| <i>Private placements</i> | 769,063 | 43,383 |
| <i>Miscellaneous other fixed income</i> | 9,881 | --- |
| Convertible investments | 1,326 | --- |
| Convertible preferred stock | 1,326 | --- |
| Derivative instruments | (49,938) | --- |
| Real estate | 2,055,924 | --- |
| Commingled funds | 7,091,642 | --- |
| Equity | 4,342,126 | --- |
| Fixed income | 2,749,516 | --- |
| Private equity | 2,504,526 | --- |
| Venture capital | 475,308 | --- |
| Special equity | 2,029,218 | --- |
| Total | \$22,354,659 | \$1,187,800 |

*Investment portfolio assets are based on fair value of the total investment portfolio at June 30, 2008, gross of investment receivables and cash in investment manager accounts and net of payables and securities lending collateral. Although these values are the appropriate industry standard basis for calculation of investment returns, they differ from the "Investments at fair value" shown elsewhere in the Financial section, which are reported using GASB Statement No. 25 financial statement standards.

GASB Statement No. 40, *Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosures*, an amendment to GASB Statement No. 3, addresses common deposit and investment risks related to credit risk, concentration of credit risk, custodial credit risk, interest rate, and foreign currency risk. Each risk identified in Statement No. 40, as it relates to the System, is discussed in the remainder of this note.

No system-wide formal policy exists relating to specific investment-related risks identified in GASB Statement No. 40. Each portfolio is managed in accordance with an investment contract that identifies and seeks to control the various types of investment-related risks.

Prior-year disclosures for investment type, credit risk quality ratings, and effective duration have not been included because the information is not believed to be of continuing significance.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations to IPERS. Credit risk associated with all fixed income holdings, including collateral for repurchase agreements and securities lending collateral, has been included in this report. The following tables summarize IPERS' fixed income portfolio exposure levels and credit quality ratings. The table includes \$347.8 million of U.S. Treasury obligations and \$52.5 million of GNMA obligations. These securities are explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government and represent 3.5 percent of the total Fund's fixed income exposure. Therefore, as of June 30, 2008, IPERS' fixed income assets that are not explicitly government-guaranteed represented 96.5 percent of the fixed income portfolio.

Credit Risk – S&P Quality Ratings

(Dollar values expressed in thousands)
June 30, 2008

| | Total | TSY | AGY | AAA | AA | A | BBB | BB | B | CCC & Below | NR |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Short-term investments | \$ 1,219,653 | --- | \$ 102,326 | \$ 743,806 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | \$373,521 |
| Pooled funds & mutual funds | 1,101,315 | --- | --- | 743,806 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 357,509 |
| Certificates of deposit | 16,012 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 16,012 |
| Government agencies | 102,326 | --- | 102,326 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Fixed income investments | 7,632,032 | \$347,795 | 2,255,614 | 1,689,040 | \$327,805 | \$388,759 | \$588,506 | \$596,759 | \$752,519 | \$117,511 | 567,724 |
| Government fixed income | 833,619 | 316,845 | 318,149 | 121,100 | 15,150 | 19,856 | 14,246 | 26,810 | 548 | --- | 915 |
| Government agencies | 395,467 | --- | 285,608 | 101,013 | --- | --- | --- | 8,846 | --- | --- | --- |
| Government bonds | 282,674 | 207,011 | 28,209 | 20,087 | --- | 18,939 | --- | 6,965 | 548 | --- | 915 |
| Government inflation indexed | 68,161 | 68,161 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Other government fixed income | 87,317 | 41,673 | 4,332 | --- | 15,150 | 917 | 14,246 | 10,999 | --- | --- | --- |
| Gov't assets & mortgage-backed | 2,552,901 | 30,950 | 1,937,465 | 481,742 | 39,310 | 6,513 | 26,735 | 3,554 | 2,774 | 2,648 | 21,210 |
| Gov't National Mortgage Assoc. | 52,514 | --- | 45,776 | 6,738 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Federal Home Loan Mort. Corp. | 153,021 | --- | 132,963 | 20,058 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Federal National Mortgage Assoc. | 1,700,856 | --- | 1,697,073 | 3,783 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Collateralized mort. obligations | 110,906 | 30,950 | 50,902 | 25,814 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 3,240 |
| Other gov't mortgage-backed | 535,604 | --- | 10,751 | 425,349 | 39,310 | 6,513 | 26,735 | 3,554 | 2,774 | 2,648 | 17,970 |
| Corporate fixed income | 3,466,568 | --- | --- | 1,055,816 | 244,336 | 328,539 | 450,895 | 474,385 | 676,771 | 93,176 | 142,650 |
| Asset-backed securities | 1,172,238 | --- | --- | 943,989 | 73,207 | 19,651 | 22,127 | 8,810 | 1,457 | 6,108 | 96,889 |
| Corporate bonds | 2,294,330 | --- | --- | 111,827 | 171,129 | 308,888 | 428,768 | 465,575 | 675,314 | 87,068 | 45,761 |
| Other fixed income | 778,944 | --- | --- | 30,382 | 29,009 | 33,851 | 96,630 | 92,010 | 72,426 | 21,687 | 402,949 |
| Private placements | 769,063 | --- | --- | 30,382 | 29,009 | 33,851 | 91,185 | 90,499 | 72,426 | 21,687 | 400,024 |
| Misc. other fixed income | 9,881 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 5,445 | 1,511 | --- | --- | 2,925 |
| Convertible investments | 1,326 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1,326 | --- | --- |
| Convertible preferred stock | 1,326 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1,326 | --- | --- |
| Commungled bond funds | 2,749,516 | --- | --- | 2,168,268 | 150,124 | 227,660 | 203,464 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | \$11,602,527 | \$347,795 | \$2,357,940 | \$4,601,114 | \$477,929 | \$616,419 | \$791,970 | \$596,759 | \$753,845 | \$117,511 | \$941,245 |

Each portfolio is managed in accordance with an investment contract that is specific as to permissible credit quality ranges and the average credit quality of the overall portfolios. In circumstances where downgrades occurred subsequent to purchase, investment managers are permitted to hold a downgraded security if the manager believes it is prudent to do so. Credit risk for derivative instruments held by the System results from counterparty risk assumed by IPERS. This is essentially the risk the borrower will be unable to meet its obligation. Information regarding IPERS' credit risk related to derivatives is found under the derivatives disclosures on pages 49-51 of these notes. Policies related to credit risk pertaining to IPERS' securities lending program are found under the securities lending disclosures on pages 52-53 of these notes.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss that may be attributed to the magnitude of investment in a single issuer. IPERS' guidelines for each investment manager establish limits on investments in any corporate entity. The System has no separate account investment in any specific stock or bond issues of any commercial or industrial organization other than the U.S. government and its instrumentalities whose fair value exceeds 5 percent of the plan net assets available for benefits.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. This risk is managed within the portfolio using the effective duration (or option-adjusted) methodology. The methodology takes into account optionality on bonds and scales the risk of price changes on bonds depending upon the degree of change in rates and the slope of the yield curve. All of the System's fixed income investment contracts require the effective duration of the manager's portfolio remain between 80 percent and 120 percent of the effective duration measure of a specific fixed income index. It is believed the reporting of effective duration found in the following table quantifies to the fullest extent possible the interest rate risk of the System's fixed income assets. Interest rate risks associated with swaps and other derivative instruments are found in the derivatives disclosures on pages 49-51 of these notes. No interest rate futures or options positions will be established which affect the duration or weighted average maturity of the managed account by more than one year.

Effective Duration
(Dollar values expressed in thousands)
June 30, 2008

| Investment Type | Fair Value | Effective Duration (Years) |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Short-term investments | \$ 1,219,653 | 0.26 |
| Pooled funds and mutual funds | 1,101,315 | --- |
| Certificates of deposit | 16,012 | 0.02 |
| Government agencies | 102,326 | 0.30 |
| Fixed income investments | 7,632,032 | 3.53 |
| Government fixed income | 833,619 | 4.69 |
| <i>Government agencies</i> | 395,467 | 4.07 |
| <i>Government bonds</i> | 282,674 | 5.59 |
| <i>Government inflation indexed</i> | 68,161 | 7.22 |
| <i>Other government fixed income</i> | 87,317 | 2.61 |
| Government assets and mortgage-backed | 2,552,901 | 3.74 |
| <i>Government National Mortgage Association</i> | 52,514 | 3.86 |
| <i>Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation</i> | 153,021 | 3.52 |
| <i>Federal National Mortgage Association</i> | 1,700,856 | 3.96 |
| <i>Collateralized mortgage obligations</i> | 110,906 | 5.12 |
| <i>Other government mortgage-backed</i> | 535,604 | 3.20 |
| Corporate fixed income | 3,466,568 | 4.37 |
| <i>Asset-backed securities</i> | 1,172,238 | 3.68 |
| <i>Corporate bonds</i> | 2,294,330 | 4.73 |
| Other fixed income | 778,944 | 5.30 |
| <i>Private placements</i> | 769,063 | 5.29 |
| <i>Miscellaneous other fixed income</i> | 9,881 | 5.79 |
| Convertible investments | 1,326 | 1.83 |
| Convertible preferred stock | 1,326 | 1.83 |
| Commingled bond funds | 2,749,516 | 4.65 |
| Total | \$11,602,527 | 4.71 |

Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in exchange rates will adversely impact the fair value of an investment. IPERS' currency policy is to allow its investment managers the discretion to hedge their foreign currency exposures with currency forward contracts, depending upon their views on a specific foreign currency relative to the U.S. dollar. IPERS does not manage currency as a separate asset class or enter into speculative currency positions (that is, currency positions greater than 100 percent or less than 0 percent of the underlying asset exposure) in its portfolio, except as it relates to specific cross-hedging activity, which may be permitted in certain investment manager contracts.

Foreign Currency Risk by Investment Type*(Dollar values expressed in thousands)*

June 30, 2008

| | Total | Cash | Cash Equivalents | Forward Contracts | Equity | Fixed Income | Derivatives |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Argentine Peso | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Australian Dollar | 108,473 | 7,042 | \$(3,281) | \$(16,948) | \$ 121,660 | --- | --- |
| Brazilian Real | 11,108 | (707) | --- | --- | 11,815 | --- | --- |
| British Pound | 167,707 | 331 | 5,220 | 366 | 161,790 | --- | --- |
| Canadian Dollar | (51,459) | 771 | (2,329) | (49,901) | --- | --- | --- |
| Chilean Peso | 1,048 | 3 | --- | --- | 1,045 | --- | --- |
| Chinese Renminbi | 609 | --- | --- | --- | 609 | --- | --- |
| Colombian Peso | 1,817 | 11 | --- | --- | 1,806 | --- | --- |
| Czech Koruna | 732 | --- | --- | --- | 732 | --- | --- |
| Danish Krone | 14,063 | --- | --- | --- | 14,063 | --- | --- |
| Euro | 963,019 | (1,655) | 1,469 | 75,412 | 868,437 | \$20,691 | \$(1,335) |
| Hong Kong Dollar | 89,924 | 1,852 | (583) | --- | 88,655 | --- | --- |
| Hungarian Forint | 579 | --- | --- | --- | 579 | --- | --- |
| Indonesian Rupiah | 8,078 | 325 | --- | --- | 7,753 | --- | --- |
| Israeli New Shekel | 3,865 | --- | --- | --- | 3,865 | --- | --- |
| Japanese Yen | 418,802 | 16,275 | 5,389 | 9,597 | 381,461 | 6,080 | --- |
| Malaysian Ringgit | 10,818 | 27 | --- | --- | 10,791 | --- | --- |
| Mexican Peso | 10,698 | --- | --- | --- | 10,698 | --- | --- |
| New Taiwan Dollar | 47,181 | 47 | --- | --- | 47,134 | --- | --- |
| New Zealand Dollar | 20,787 | 5 | --- | 19,816 | 966 | --- | --- |
| Norwegian Krone | (27,793) | --- | --- | (36,986) | 9,193 | --- | --- |
| Philippine Peso | 2,672 | --- | --- | --- | 2,672 | --- | --- |
| Polish Zloty | 2,278 | --- | --- | (17,889) | 1,228 | 18,939 | --- |
| Singapore Dollar | 17,018 | 532 | --- | --- | 16,486 | --- | --- |
| South African Rand | 20,392 | --- | --- | --- | 20,392 | --- | --- |
| South Korean Won | 57,097 | --- | --- | --- | 57,097 | --- | --- |
| Swedish Krona | 47,804 | --- | --- | 34,327 | 13,477 | --- | --- |
| Swiss Franc | 7,525 | 189 | --- | (41,127) | 48,169 | --- | 294 |
| Thai Baht | 10,074 | (3) | --- | --- | 10,077 | --- | --- |
| Turkish New Lira | 3,809 | --- | --- | --- | 3,809 | --- | --- |
| Total | \$1,968,726 | \$25,046 | \$5,885 | \$(23,333) | \$1,916,459 | \$45,710 | \$(1,041) |

Note: American Depositary Receipts (ADRs) are non-U.S. equity that are issued in U.S. dollars and have no foreign currency risk, and therefore, are not included in this schedule.

Derivatives

Certain of the System's external investment managers may be permitted through their individual investment contracts to use derivative instruments, subject to the System's derivative policy contained in its Investment Policy and Goal Statement. (The investment management policy can be viewed beginning on page 87 of this document.) Derivatives are contracts or securities whose returns are derived from the returns of other securities, indices, or derivatives. While this definition includes the most common type of derivative, collateralized mortgage obligations (which typically make up a portion of the System's fixed income portfolio), it is also intended to include (but not be limited to) futures, forwards, options, options on futures, swaps, and swaptions. The System's managers are not permitted to utilize derivatives for speculative purposes, but may use them to efficiently access desired markets and to control and manage portfolio risk. Examples of appropriate applications of derivative strategies include hedging interest rate and currency risk, maintaining exposure to a desired asset class while effecting asset allocation changes, duration risk management, augmenting index fund performance through index arbitrage, and portable alpha strategies.

The various derivatives utilized by the System's investment managers are described below. The notional values associated with these derivative instruments are not recorded in the financial statements; however, the fair value amounts of exposure (unrealized gains/losses) are reported in the Statement of Plan Net Assets. IPERS holds investments in limited partnerships and commingled investment funds, which may utilize derivatives from time to time for hedging purposes, and any derivatives held by these types of investment vehicles are not included in the information describing the System's derivatives on pages 49-51.

Futures Contracts

The System had investments in various futures during the year. These contracts are reported at their fair value in the Statement of Plan Net Assets. A listing of futures contracts outstanding at June 30, 2008, is provided on the next page. Futures can offer potentially lower-cost and more efficient alternatives to buying the underlying securities or currency. The market, currency, and credit risk of the futures were the same as if the System had owned the underlying securities or currency.

IPERS investment managers cannot use derivatives for speculative purposes. They may use derivatives to access desired markets efficiently, and to control and manage portfolio risk.

Futures Exposure Summary

(Dollar values expressed in thousands)

June 30, 2008

| | Expiration Date | Long/Short | Notional Value | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Amsterdam Index | July 2008 | Long | \$ 22,840 | \$ (1,492) |
| CAC 40 10 Euro | July 2008 | Long | 11,154 | (511) |
| Hang Seng Index | July 2008 | Long | 10,740 | (244) |
| IBEX 35 Index | July 2008 | Long | 29,084 | (1,774) |
| 2-year U.S. Treasury notes | September 2008 | Long | 93,161 | 249 |
| 10-year Australia bond | September 2008 | Long | 176,775 | 441 |
| 10-year Canada bond | September 2008 | Short | (25,014) | 120 |
| 10-year CBT swap | September 2008 | Short | (82,582) | 8 |
| DAX Index | September 2008 | Short | (11,531) | 555 |
| Euro Bund | September 2008 | Long | 19,860 | (348) |
| Eurodollar | September 2008 | Long | 349,977 | (525) |
| FTSE 100 Index | September 2008 | Long | 21,670 | (425) |
| 10-year Japan bond | September 2008 | Short | (43,937) | (785) |
| 3-month EURIBOR | September 2008 | Long | 103,638 | (1,564) |
| 90-day LIBOR | September 2008 | Long | 108,665 | (978) |
| Long Gilt | September 2008 | Short | (93,194) | 1,576 |
| S&P 500 Index | September 2008 | Long | 1,496,331 | (103,135) |
| EMINI – S&P 500 | September 2008 | Long | 46,351 | (2,922) |
| S&P/MIB Index | September 2008 | Long | 27,430 | (779) |
| S&P/TSE 60 Index | September 2008 | Short | (20,287) | 650 |
| SPI 200 Index | September 2008 | Long | 2,048 | (54) |
| TOPIX Index | September 2008 | Long | 3,135 | (150) |
| 10-year U.S. Treasury notes | September 2008 | Short | (403,987) | 475 |
| 5-year U.S. Treasury notes | September 2008 | Long | 223,889 | 1,090 |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | September 2008 | Long | 82,353 | 759 |
| Eurodollar | December 2008 | Long | 124,655 | 1 |
| Eurodollar | March 2009 | Long | 656,149 | (175) |
| 3-month EURIBOR | March 2009 | Long | 35,561 | (89) |
| Eurodollar | June 2009 | Long | 299,552 | (736) |
| Eurodollar | September 2009 | Long | 42,377 | 438 |
| Eurodollar | December 2009 | Short | (3,329) | (29) |
| Eurodollar | March 2010 | Short | (3,328) | (23) |
| Eurodollar | June 2010 | Short | (49,183) | (277) |
| Eurodollar | September 2010 | Short | (3,325) | (16) |
| Eurodollar | December 2010 | Short | (3,323) | (13) |
| Eurodollar | March 2011 | Short | (3,322) | (13) |
| Eurodollar | June 2011 | Short | (37,083) | (55) |
| Eurodollar | September 2011 | Short | (3,084) | (9) |
| Eurodollar | December 2011 | Short | (5,217) | (15) |
| Eurodollar | March 2012 | Short | (5,216) | (15) |
| Eurodollar | June 2012 | Long | 1,896 | 6 |
| Eurodollar | September 2012 | Long | 1,895 | 6 |
| Total | | | \$3,194,244 | \$(110,777) |

Credit Default Swaps

The System had investments in credit default swaps during the year. The credit default swaps held by the System are derivative instruments that are constructed to replicate the investment in debt obligations of corporate bond issuers. The risk of the credit default swap is comparable to the credit risk of the reference security.

At June 30, 2008, the notional value of the credit default swaps held in the System's fixed income portfolio was \$706.7 million at par. All credit default swaps held by the System are reported at a fair value of \$61.7 million in the Statement of Plan Net Assets.

Interest Rate Swaps

Interest rate swaps are transactions between two parties in which interest payments from different indexes are swapped. Interest rate swaps are often used to alter the portfolios' exposure to interest rate fluctuations, by swapping fixed-rate obligations for floating-rate obligations, or swapping floating-rate obligations for fixed-rate obligations. By utilizing interest rate swaps, the System's investment managers are able to synthetically alter their interest rate exposure and bring it in line with their strategic objectives for interest rate risk. At June 30, 2008, the notional value of the interest rate swaps held in the System's fixed income portfolio was \$908.2 million at par. All interest rate swaps held by the System are reported at a fair value of \$5.6 million in the Statement of Plan Net Assets.

Total Return Swaps

A total return swap is a contract in which two parties swap payments based on the total return of a reference asset. The reference asset may be any asset, index, or basket of assets. At June 30, 2008, the notional value of the total return swaps held in the System's fixed income portfolio was \$290.0 million. All total return swaps held by the System are reported at a fair value of -\$2.3 million in the Statement of Plan Net Assets.

Mortgage-Backed Securities

The System invests in mortgage-backed securities, which are reported in the Statement of Plan Net Assets at fair value based on estimated future cash flows from the interest and principal payments of the underlying mortgages. As a result, mortgage-backed securities prices are sensitive to prepayments by mortgagees, which is more likely in declining interest rate environments. The System invests in mortgage-backed securities to diversify the portfolio and earn the return premium associated with prepayment risk. Details regarding interest rate risks for these investments are included under the interest rate disclosures on pages 46-47.

IPERS could be exposed to risk if the counterparties to the contracts are unable to meet the terms of the contracts. IPERS' investment managers seek to control this risk through counterparty credit evaluations and approvals, counterparty credit limits, and exposure monitoring procedures. IPERS anticipates that the counterparties will be able to satisfy their obligations under the contracts. Limited partnerships and commingled investment vehicles in which IPERS invests may also have exposure to counterparty risk from the use of derivatives for hedging purposes.

IPERS diversifies its portfolio by investing in mortgage-backed securities, which earn a return premium associated with prepayment risk.

IPERS participates in a securities lending program administered by the Treasurer of State through the custodial bank.

Securities Lending

IPERS participates in the securities lending program administered by the Treasurer of State. The Treasurer of State has selected The Bank of New York Mellon, a AA-rated bank, to serve as the custodian bank for IPERS as well as the lending agent for the securities lending program. In its capacity as lending agent, The Bank of New York Mellon is responsible for operating the program and is permitted to lend any of the securities it holds in custody to broker-dealers and other entities in exchange for collateral.

The Bank of New York Mellon is permitted to accept collateral in the form of cash in U.S. dollars, U.S. government securities, or irrevocable letters of credit. A borrower is required to initially deliver collateral in an amount equal to 102 percent of the fair value of any U.S. securities lent and 105 percent of the fair value of any non-U.S. securities lent. Borrowers are required to provide additional collateral anytime the value of the collateral drops below 100 percent of the value of the security lent plus accrued interest income.

At fiscal year-end, IPERS had \$125,355 in credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amounts they owed to IPERS exceeded the amount IPERS owed the borrowers on 24 separate loans. Additional collateral was provided the next business day, eliminating this exposure. The contract with The Bank of New York Mellon requires it to indemnify IPERS if a borrower fails to return the securities on loan, or fails to return all of the income attributable to securities on loan. The securities lending contract does not allow IPERS to pledge or sell any collateral securities unless the borrower defaults. As of June 30, 2008, IPERS had securities on loan, including accrued interest income, of \$1,187,800 against collateral, including borrower rebate, with a total value of \$1,220,763,027.

The majority of securities loans are open loans, that is, one-day maturity, where the rebate rate due the borrower is renegotiated daily. Either IPERS or the borrower can terminate all securities loans on demand. Cash collateral received from borrowers is invested in a cash collateral investment account, which is managed by The Bank of New York Mellon in accordance with investment guidelines established by the Treasurer of State and reviewed by IPERS. The investment guidelines do not require a matching of investment maturities with loan maturities, but do establish minimum levels of liquidity and other investment restrictions designed to minimize the interest rate risk associated with not matching the maturity of the investments with the maturity of the loans. The effective duration of the cash collateral account at June 30, 2008, was 24 days. Credit Quality and Years to Maturity statistics for the cash collateral account at June 30, 2008, are shown in the following separate charts.

Securities Lending Cash Collateral Account

Credit Risk – S&P Quality Ratings

(Dollar values expressed in thousands)

June 30, 2008

| Investment Type | Total | AAA | AA | BBB | BB | A-1 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Corporate | \$ 480,526 | \$305,963 | \$119,040 | \$9,767 | \$30,796 | \$ 14,960 |
| <i>Corporate bonds</i> | 199,455 | 65,455 | 119,040 | --- | --- | 14,960 |
| <i>Corporate asset-backed</i> | 281,071 | 240,508 | --- | 9,767 | 30,796 | --- |
| Certificates of deposit | 377,422 | --- | 24,974 | --- | --- | 352,448 |
| Bank notes | 179,822 | --- | 14,967 | --- | --- | 164,855 |
| Mutual funds | 160,368 | 160,368 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | \$1,198,138 | \$466,331 | \$158,981 | \$9,767 | \$30,796 | \$532,263 |

Securities Lending Cash Collateral Account

Years to Maturity

(Dollar values expressed in thousands)

June 30, 2008

| Investment Type | Fair Value | Investment Maturities (Years) | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | | Less Than 1 | 1-5 |
| Corporate | \$ 480,526 | \$261,568 | \$218,958 |
| <i>Corporate bonds</i> | 199,455 | 14,960 | 184,495 |
| <i>Corporate asset-backed</i> | 281,071 | 246,608 | 34,463 |
| Certificates of deposit | 377,422 | 352,448 | 24,974 |
| Bank notes | 179,822 | 164,855 | 14,967 |
| Mutual funds | 160,368 | 160,368 | --- |
| Total | \$1,198,138 | \$939,239 | \$258,899 |

F. Capital Assets

The IPERS headquarters at 7401 Register Drive is held as a capital asset. Building and land are recorded at cost, including the costs of acquisition and remodeling. The building is being depreciated using the straight-line method and a useful life of 39 years. Land, a nonexhaustible asset, is not depreciated. Other capital assets consist primarily of office equipment and data processing equipment. All purchased equipment is recorded at cost. Depreciation on equipment is computed using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 10 years.

A summary of capital assets as of June 30 is as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Building and improvements | \$ 4,615,114 | \$4,355,012 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (878,255) | (756,051) |
| Furniture, equipment and software | 8,862,579 | 5,748,982 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (625,647) | (376,513) |
| Land (nondepreciable) | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Total capital assets | \$12,473,791 | \$9,471,430 |

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2008, was \$239,543. Capitalization of equipment and other expenses directly related to I-Que resulted in a \$2,956,210 increase in capital assets.

G. Compensated Absences and Other Postemployment Benefits

IPERS staff, as State of Iowa employees, participates in the benefits available to all State of Iowa employees. Expenses related to accumulated vacation and sick leave earned by IPERS employees are recorded when earned.

For the first time, IPERS has recorded liabilities of \$470,919 related to the Sick Leave Insurance Program (SLIP). The SLIP program calculates a dollar value based on the unused sick leave balance to be credited to a retiree's account and used to pay the employer's share of the selected state group health insurance premium.

IPERS has also recorded for the first time liabilities for other postemployment benefits. The OPEB consists of an implicit rate subsidy, which is the difference between the blended premiums paid by all participants in the State's health care plans and the expected claims for the retiree group. This liability, as determined by the State GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles) Team, was \$565 per full-time employee for a total liability for IPERS of \$44,070.

These accrued liabilities are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Statement of Plan Net Assets on page 36. Details of these plans are provided on a statewide basis in the State of Iowa's CAFR.

(4) CONTRIBUTIONS REQUIRED AND CONTRIBUTIONS MADE

On an annual basis, a valuation of the liabilities and reserves of the IPERS Trust Fund is performed by IPERS' actuarial consultant in accordance with Iowa Code section 97B.4(4)(d), in order to determine the amount of contributions required. In addition, based on the IPERS Investment Board's adoption of the actuarial methods and assumptions of the valuation, IPERS certifies the contribution rate determined thereby as the rate necessary and sufficient for members and employers to fully fund the benefits and retirement allowances being credited for service under Iowa Code chapter 97B.

The Iowa statute provides that IPERS regular members contribute 3.90 percent of pay and their employers contribute 6.05 percent of pay for a total rate of 9.95 percent for fiscal year 2008. Certain employers and their employees in Special Service occupations contribute at actuarially determined

contribution rates. The annual actuarial valuation is performed to determine whether the statutory rate will be sufficient to fund the future benefits expected to be paid by the System within the guidelines established in the IPERS Funding Policy. The statutory rate is first applied to fund the normal cost. The remaining contribution rate is used to amortize the unfunded actuarial liability as a level percentage of payroll. The System's Funding Policy provides for a maximum amortization period of 30 years in order for the System to be considered "fully funded," and further establishes guidelines indicating when the System should consider requesting statutory contribution rate increases.

The actuarially required contributions for IPERS' active members and their employers and the actual contributions made for the years ended June 30, 2006, 2007, and 2008, are as follows.

| Fiscal Year | Actuarially Required Contributions | Total Contributions | Percentage Contributed |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 2006 | \$639,529,824 | \$536,212,740 | 83.8 |
| 2007 | 679,671,198 | 566,578,580 | 83.4 |
| 2008 | 714,455,687 | 623,314,422 | 87.2 |

The difference between the actuarially required contributions and actual contributions made is due entirely to statutory contribution requirements that differ from the actuarially required contribution rate. To address IPERS' long-term funding needs, in 2006 the Iowa Legislature passed a bill increasing the contribution rate for regular members, the first rate increase since 1979. Regular members make up about 95 percent of IPERS' active membership.

The increase of two percentage points from 9.45 percent to 11.45 percent, with a maximum increase of 0.50 percentage point each year, is being phased in over four years, beginning July 1, 2007. The increase does not affect members in protection occupation positions, who contribute at actuarially determined rates that may change every year.

A more detailed schedule of employer contributions encompassing six years can be found on page 61 of the Required Supplementary Information (RSI) following these notes.

(5) FUNDED STATUS

During fiscal year 2008, IPERS' unfunded actuarial liability increased to \$2.665 billion and the amortization period continued to exceed the 30-year maximum. The funded status of the plan as of June 30, 2008, the most recent actuarial valuation date, is as follows:

| Net Actuarial Value of Assets | Actuarial Liability | Percentage Funded | Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) | Annual Covered Payroll* | UAL as a % of Covered Payroll |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| \$21,857,423,183 | \$24,522,216,589 | 89.13 | \$(2,664,793,406) | \$6,131,445,367 | (43.46) |

*Annual covered payroll is the amount of wages subject to contributions to IPERS not to exceed the federal covered wage limit in effect at the time the wages are paid. Beginning January 1, 2008, the federal limit is \$230,000.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as RSI on page 60 following the notes to financial statements, presents trend information for six years. This multiyear presentation enables the reader to assess the progress made by IPERS in accumulating sufficient assets to pay pension benefits as they become due.

The primary purpose of an actuarial valuation is to calculate, on the basis of certain assumptions, the present value of benefits that are payable in the future from the Fund to current members and their beneficiaries, as well as the present value of future contributions to be made by these members and their employers. These calculated present values are then used to determine the level of annual contributions to the plan required to pay for these benefits.

The actuarial methods and assumptions used to perform these calculations are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the longer-term perspective of these calculations. The Investment Board has adopted and approved the use of the following assumptions and methods.

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Actuarial cost method | Entry age normal |
| Assets smoothing method | Expected value at the valuation date plus 25% of the difference between the market value and expected value. The actuarial value must fall within a corridor of 80%–120% of market value. |
| Amortization method | Open period, level percent of pay |
| Amortization period | 30 years* (open method) |
| Rate of investment return | 7.50% |
| Projected salary increases | 4%–12% depending upon years of service |
| Mortality tables | RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table with adjustments |
| Inflation rate | 3.25% for prices, 4.00% for wages |

*GASB Statement No. 25 states that, beginning in fiscal year 2006, the maximum acceptable amortization period for the total unfunded actuarial liability is 30 years. IPERS' Funding Policy also provides for a maximum amortization period of 30 years.

(6) LITIGATION AND CONTINGENCIES

IPERS is party to various lawsuits or threatened lawsuits. IPERS is the lead plaintiff in three federal class action lawsuits: *In re Mills Corporation*, *In re Bridgestone/Firestone*, and *In re MF Global*. In these cases IPERS is alleging the defendants violated the Securities Act of 1933 and/or the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 by making false and misleading statements to investors. IPERS is aggressively pursuing its remedies in these lawsuits to maximize recovery. The initial calculation of damages for IPERS for *In re Mills Corporation* is approximately \$2.4 million. The initial calculation of damages for IPERS for *In re Bridgestone/Firestone* is between \$700,000 and \$1.6 million. The initial calculation for damages for IPERS for *In re MF Global* is approximately \$1.6 million.

A settlement was reached in the *In re Bridgestone/Firestone* class action litigation in the amount of \$30.0 million. This settlement recently received approval by the district court. The claims administration process has been initiated. Until all claims are submitted and either accepted or rejected by the district court, it is premature to estimate the value of IPERS' recovery.

A partial settlement has been reached in the *In re Mills Corporation* class action litigation in the amount of \$165.0 million. IPERS will continue to pursue this litigation against two defendants not covered in the partial settlement. IPERS expects a favorable outcome through future settlement negotiations or a trial of the case.

IPERS is also aggressively defending a case filed in Polk County District Court, Iowa. The case is captioned *Robert J. Brunkhorst v. Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System*, CV No. 104520. Mr. Brunkhorst filed a claim under the Iowa Tort Claims Act alleging IPERS failed to implement the actuarial cost method for service purchase buy-backs in a timely fashion, causing a loss to the IPERS Trust Fund. IPERS denies the allegation. The State Appeal Board denied the claim, prompting the filing of this action in Polk County District Court. IPERS filed a Motion to Dismiss that was granted by the Polk County District Court. Mr. Brunkhorst appealed the dismissal of his case to the Iowa Supreme Court. The Iowa Supreme Court transferred the appeal to the Iowa Court of Appeals. The Iowa Court of Appeals ruled in favor of the Plaintiff. This decision is being appealed to the Iowa Supreme Court. While it is possible the Iowa Supreme Court may affirm this decision, it is important to note that an adverse ruling is not on the merits of the claim, rather on a procedural issue relating to whether the claim can proceed. If this case is returned to the District Court, IPERS will defend this case on the merits.

IPERS is also aggressively participating in the defense of a class action lawsuit against most agencies of Iowa state government. This case was filed in Polk County District Court. The complaint alleges a pattern of racial discrimination in the hiring and promotion practices in Iowa state government. The complaint makes one specific allegation against IPERS. IPERS has thoroughly examined this allegation and has concluded there is no merit to this claim. IPERS will continue to aggressively defend its position through providing assistance to the Iowa Attorney General.

It is the opinion of the administration that the ultimate outcome of these cases and all other litigation and threats of litigation will not have a material effect on the financial statements.

(7) COMMITMENTS

At June 30, 2008, IPERS had commitments to fund an additional \$1.564 billion to various private equity/debt partnerships and real estate investment managers.

(8) PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The System is a participating employer in IPERS, which is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit public employee retirement system designed to provide retirement, disability, and death benefits to members and beneficiaries. Iowa Code section 97B.11 establishes the contribution provisions of the plan that apply to IPERS.

The State statute required contributions of 3.90 percent by regular members and 6.05 percent by their employers for FY2008. Required contributions by regular members and employers were 3.70 percent and 5.75 percent respectively for fiscal years 2007 and 2006. The System's contributions to IPERS for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006, were \$316,524, \$294,471, and \$287,173, respectively, equal to the statutorily required contributions for each year.

(9) NET ASSETS HELD IN TRUST FOR PENSION BENEFITS

There is only one IPERS Trust Fund where all member contributions, employer contributions, and investment income is pooled. However, within IPERS there are three distinct groups, each characterized by different benefit levels and different membership demographics. Due to these unique characteristics, each group has its own separate contribution rate. In order to calculate that contribution rate, it is necessary to separately identify the liabilities and assets associated with each group.

Due to continued data improvements and preparations necessary to implement the new I-Que system, IPERS is now able to provide more complete data to the actuary. As a result, the actuaries are able to provide a more refined measurement of the actuarial liabilities. This refinement of accounting and actuarial processes makes an incremental improvement in how liabilities are determined and assets are classified. Assets of members with service in more than one membership group are aggregated in a single group, as noted on the "transfers between groups" line on the following table. The end result is a closer match of liabilities with assets and therefore a more refined contribution rate.

Following is a summary of the net assets held in trust for each of the designated groups:

| | Regular Membership* | Special Service Group 1† | Special Service Group 2‡ | FED Reserve§ | Total |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Total as of June 30, 2007 | \$21,477,807,633 | \$380,178,660 | \$766,400,722 | \$592,780,931 | \$23,217,167,946 |
| Additions | | | | | |
| Contributions | 581,317,693 | 12,602,342 | 29,394,387 | --- | 623,314,422 |
| Buy-backs/buy-ins | 10,467,989 | 95,210 | 311,926 | --- | 10,875,125 |
| Investment and misc. income | (276,966,725) | (4,946,570) | (10,047,481) | (7,338,393) | (299,299,169) |
| Total additions | 314,818,957 | 7,750,982 | 19,658,832 | (7,338,393) | 334,890,378 |
| Deductions | | | | | |
| Benefit payments | 1,006,341,874 | 12,522,063 | 17,721,047 | 59,512,875 | 1,096,097,859 |
| Member and employer refunds | 31,990,798 | 1,438,454 | 2,775,260 | --- | 36,204,512 |
| Administrative expenses | 9,560,612 | 61,757 | 263,098 | --- | 9,885,467 |
| Investment expenses | 36,344,925 | 649,113 | 1,318,479 | 962,980 | 39,275,497 |
| Total deductions | 1,084,238,209 | 14,671,387 | 22,077,884 | 60,475,855 | 1,181,463,335 |
| Preliminary net assets | 20,708,388,381 | 373,258,255 | 763,981,670 | 524,966,683 | 22,370,594,989 |
| Transfers between groups | (99,096,273) | 6,668,387 | 92,427,886 | --- | --- |
| Adjusted net assets as of June 30, 2008 | \$20,609,292,108 | \$379,926,642 | \$856,409,556 | \$524,966,683 | \$22,370,594,989 |

*Includes QBA Fund income and benefit payments.

†Sheriffs and deputies.

‡All other protection occupation members.

§Favorable Experience Dividend.

(10) QUALIFIED BENEFITS ARRANGEMENT

In FY2006, IPERS implemented the Qualified Benefits Arrangement authorized in 1998 legislation now codified as Iowa Code section 97B.49I. The purpose of the QBA is to permit IPERS retirees to receive the amount of their calculated monthly benefit that exceeds the IRS limits under IRC section 415(b). The QBA is maintained as a separate trust arrangement and no commingling with the IPERS Trust Fund is permitted. The QBA is funded by employer contributions on an as-needed basis and is therefore fully funded.

(11) SUBSEQUENT EVENT

Capital markets have experienced unprecedented volatility and selling pressure since June 30, 2008, due to a global financial crisis that persists as of the date of this report. As a result, IPERS' investment portfolio has incurred significant declines in values reported in the accompanying financial statements. IPERS estimates the fair value of its investment portfolio has declined to approximately \$18.547 billion as of October 31, 2008, which would represent a decline of \$3.808 billion from the value reported in the financial statements.

Required Supplementary Information

Schedule 1

Schedule of Funding Progress

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30 | Net Actuarial Value of Assets | Actuarial Liability | Percentage Funded | Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) | Annual Covered Payroll* | UAL as a % of Covered Payroll |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2003 | \$16,120,476,011 | \$17,987,374,960 | 89.62 | \$(1,866,898,949) | \$4,881,100,238 | (38.25) |
| 2004 | 16,951,942,539 | 19,128,410,606 | 88.62 | (2,176,468,067) | 5,072,027,906 | (42.91) |
| 2005 | 17,951,490,071 | 20,240,098,667 | 88.69 | (2,288,608,596) | 5,236,860,886 | (43.70) |
| 2006 | 19,144,036,519 | 21,651,122,419 | 88.42 | (2,507,085,900) | 5,523,863,321 | (45.39) |
| 2007 | 20,759,628,415 | 23,026,113,782 | 90.16 | (2,266,485,367) | 5,781,706,199 | (39.20) |
| 2008 | 21,857,423,183 | 24,522,216,589 | 89.13 | (2,664,793,406) | 6,131,445,367 | (43.46) |

*Annual covered payroll is the amount of wages subject to contributions to IPERS not to exceed the federal covered wage limit in effect at the time the wages are paid. Beginning January 1, 2008, the federal limit is \$230,000.

See Note 5 on page 55 for additional information on IPERS' funded status and the actuarial assumptions and methods used to perform these calculations.

Schedule 2
Schedule of Employer Contributions
 Last 6 fiscal years

| Fiscal Year | Actuarially Required Contributions | Total Employer Contributions | Percentage Contributed |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 2003 | | | |
| Regular Membership | \$270,363,337 | \$268,108,914 | 99.2 |
| Special Service Group 1 | 5,670,239 | 5,669,294 | 100.0 |
| Special Service Group 2 | 13,738,478 | 13,745,347 | 100.0 |
| 2003 Total | 289,772,054 | 287,523,555 | 99.2 |
| 2004 | | | |
| Regular Membership | 309,006,609 | 279,167,801 | 90.3 |
| Special Service Group 1 | 5,489,797 | 5,488,239 | 100.0 |
| Special Service Group 2 | 14,263,836 | 14,267,627 | 100.0 |
| 2004 Total | 328,760,242 | 298,923,667 | 90.9 |
| 2005 | | | |
| Regular Membership | 341,552,685 | 289,220,242 | 84.7 |
| Special Service Group 1 | 6,236,611 | 6,236,770 | 100.0 |
| Special Service Group 2 | 15,391,729 | 15,385,375 | 100.0 |
| 2005 Total | 363,181,025 | 310,842,387 | 85.6 |
| 2006 | | | |
| Regular Membership | 364,424,911 | 301,566,112 | 82.7 |
| Special Service Group 1 | 6,228,675 | 6,228,836 | 100.0 |
| Special Service Group 2 | 16,888,833 | 16,881,866 | 100.0 |
| 2006 Total | 387,542,419 | 324,676,814 | 83.8 |
| 2007 | | | |
| Regular Membership | 387,578,925 | 318,762,838 | 82.2 |
| Special Service Group 1 | 6,577,652 | 6,577,652 | 100.0 |
| Special Service Group 2 | 17,723,013 | 17,722,840 | 100.0 |
| 2007 Total | 411,879,590 | 343,063,330 | 83.3 |
| 2008 | | | |
| Regular Membership | 408,882,080 | 353,470,318 | 86.4 |
| Special Service Group 1 | 6,301,171 | 6,301,171 | 100.0 |
| Special Service Group 2 | 17,644,966 | 17,645,261 | 100.0 |
| 2008 Total | 432,828,217 | 377,416,750 | 87.2 |

The difference between the actuarially required contributions and actual contributions made is due entirely to statutory contribution requirements that differ from the actuarially required contribution rate.

See Note 4 on page 54 for additional information on actuarial valuation.

Other Supplementary Information

Schedule 1

Investment Income by Specific Source

Years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Interest income – short term | \$ 4,744,357 | \$ 5,535,483 |
| Interest income on bonds | 413,492,775 | 357,947,357 |
| Dividend income | 87,681,256 | 80,004,527 |
| Real estate funds | 73,429,312 | 93,652,970 |
| Private equity/debt funds | (19,671,161) | (6,519,902) |
| Other income | 298,911 | 190,263 |
| Investment income | 559,975,450 | 530,810,698 |
| Gain/(loss) on investments | (1,035,432,106) | 2,790,717,890 |
| Currency gain | 186,652,292 | 31,149,061 |
| Net appreciation/(depreciation) in fair value of investments | (848,779,814) | 2,821,866,951 |
| Securities lending income | 72,136,756 | 97,688,056 |
| Securities lending net depreciation in fair value of collateral pool | (22,066,300) | --- |
| Securities lending income | 50,070,456 | 97,688,056 |
| Total investment income | \$(238,733,908) | \$3,450,365,705 |

Schedule 2
Schedule of Administrative Expenses*
 Years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Personnel | | |
| Salaries & wages | \$5,619,394 | \$5,583,820 |
| Travel | 107,495 | 107,434 |
| Professional and technical services | | |
| Professional | 1,307,628 | 1,036,994 |
| Actuary | 112,125 | 159,400 |
| Computer support services | 1,185,295 | 739,079 |
| Auditing | 70,570 | 95,936 |
| Communications | | |
| Telephone | 366,739 | 131,693 |
| Printing | 175,931 | 206,842 |
| Other expenses | | |
| Supplies | 518,563 | 515,939 |
| Utilities | 72,284 | 66,767 |
| Depreciation | 239,543 | 247,207 |
| Loss on disposal of fixed assets | 500 | --- |
| Repairs | 7,439 | 12,378 |
| Rent | 4,971 | 5,283 |
| Miscellaneous | 96,990 | 152,196 |
| Total administrative expenses | \$9,885,467 | \$9,060,968 |

*Administrative expenses related to investments do not appear here but are included in the investment expenses reported on the Schedule of Investment Related Expenses on the following page.

Schedule 3
Schedule of Investment Related Expenses
 Years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Mellon Capital Management | \$ 589,909 | \$ 171,894 |
| Barclays Global Investors | 954,567 | 3,625,893 |
| RCM | 2,001,016 | 1,292,973 |
| Wellington Management Company LLP | 1,111,547 | 1,012,231 |
| Western Asset Management Company | 281,968 | 88,951 |
| Smith Breeden Associates | 396,253 | 110,439 |
| Westridge Capital Management | <u>1,282,985</u> | <u>62,790</u> |
| Total domestic equity | <u>6,618,245</u> | <u>6,365,171</u> |
| BlackRock Financial Management, Inc. | 1,064,874 | 1,273,850 |
| Mellon Capital Management | 40,860 | 306,242 |
| Oaktree Capital Management | 2,200,241 | 1,290,696 |
| Western Asset Management Company | 1,353,340 | 2,385,769 |
| Post Advisory | 1,247,245 | 922,922 |
| Principal Global Investors | <u>626,141</u> | <u>1,079,073</u> |
| Total fixed income | <u>6,532,701</u> | <u>7,258,552</u> |
| Barclays Global Investors | 1,243,856 | 3,154,206 |
| Schroder Investment Management North America, Inc. | 578,765 | 997,573 |
| Emerging Markets Management LLC | 2,161,278 | 1,675,450 |
| Oechsle International Advisors LLC | 2,068,319 | 1,141,918 |
| Quantitative Management Associates, Inc. | 161,803 | --- |
| GAM USA, Inc. | <u>68,859</u> | <u>---</u> |
| Total international equity | <u>6,282,880</u> | <u>6,969,147</u> |
| RREEF REIT | 1,372,822 | 1,785,708 |
| RREEF | 3,027,758 | 6,958,466 |
| INVESCO | 794,278 | 5,936,302 |
| TA Associates | 8,625,043 | 9,433,322 |
| UBS Realty | (787,639) | 7,909,804 |
| ING Clarion | <u>2,201,140</u> | <u>1,680,720</u> |
| Total real estate | <u>15,233,402</u> | <u>33,704,322</u> |
| Pathway Capital Management | <u>2,896,486</u> | <u>2,633,169</u> |
| Total private equity/debt | <u>2,896,486</u> | <u>2,633,169</u> |
| The Townsend Group | 132,000 | 132,000 |
| Wilshire Associates | <u>239,000</u> | <u>239,000</u> |
| Total investment consultant fees | <u>371,000</u> | <u>371,000</u> |
| Master custodian bank fees | 176,034 | 168,857 |
| Treasurer of State | <u>86,993</u> | <u>39,120</u> |
| Total custody expenses | <u>263,027</u> | <u>207,977</u> |
| Investment staff and board expenses | 896,173 | 709,300 |
| Miscellaneous expenses | <u>181,583</u> | <u>96,554</u> |
| Total other investment expenses | <u>1,077,756</u> | <u>805,854</u> |
| Total investment related expenses | <u>\$39,275,497</u> | <u>\$58,315,192</u> |



Investments

Investment Overview

Investment Results

Investment Policy and Goal Statement


Investment Overview

This section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report was compiled by IPERS investment staff using information provided by consultants Wilshire Associates and The Townsend Group, and by the System’s custodian bank, The Bank of New York Mellon. Except where noted otherwise, investment returns are based on investment asset fair values and calculated using time-weighted return calculation methodologies.

Investment returns play an important role in the funded status of the IPERS Trust Fund. The IPERS Investment Board has adopted an Investment Policy and Goal Statement, the objective of which is to benefit the members of the System by maximizing the total rate of return on investments within prudent risk parameters. The System’s overall investment performance goal is to achieve an annualized rate of return which, when combined with the required employer and employee contributions to the System, will meet or exceed the benefits and administrative funding requirements of the System. In addition, specific investment return objectives are adopted by the Investment Board for the Trust Fund in total and for each asset class in which IPERS invests. Please see the Investment Policy and Goal Statement at the end of this section for a listing of these investment return objectives.

Annualized Investment Performance Summary

For the periods ended June 30



| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | | 3 yr | 5 yr | 10 yr |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|-------|--------|-------|
| ■ IPERS' Portfolio* | -1.33% | 16.29% | 11.11% | | 8.43% | 10.14% | 7.09% |
| ■ Policy Benchmark† | -3.03% | 16.61% | 9.73% | | 7.47% | 9.51% | 6.41% |
| ■ Large Public Fund Median‡ | -4.36% | 17.69% | 10.72% | | 7.57% | 9.82% | 6.00% |

*Net of fees.

†A benchmark composed of market indices with weightings reflective of IPERS' asset allocation targets.

‡Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS) Public Funds with Total Market Value Greater than \$1 billion.

The fair values reported in the Investment section are industry-based calculations that differ from those used in the Financial section of this report.

The System's investments are managed by professional investment management firms based upon statutory investment authority, the investment policies adopted by the IPERS Investment Board, and a detailed service contract with each manager. The Investment staff coordinates and monitors the investment of the Trust Fund's assets and assists the Investment Board in the formulation and implementation of investment policies and long-term investment strategy.

The IPERS net investment portfolio fair values reported in this section and used as the basis for calculating investment returns differ from those shown in the Financial section of this report. The values used in this section are the appropriate industry standard basis for investment return calculation. Compared to the fair values shown in this report's Financial section, the values reflected in this Investments section are gross of investment receivables and cash in investment manager accounts and net of payables and securities lending collateral.


Asset Allocation and Diversification

Asset allocation is a process designed to construct an optimal long-term asset mix that achieves a specific set of investment objectives. Each year the IPERS Investment Board adopts an Investment Policy and Goal Statement that describes the System's investment objectives and establishes the System's asset allocation policy as designed to meet those objectives. The asset allocation policy is adopted to provide for diversification of assets in an effort to maximize the investment return to the System consistent with prudent levels of market and economic risks. Of all the components of investment strategy formulation, the determination of asset allocation targets is the most important decision.

Summary of Investments by Asset Class

(Fair value in millions)

As of June 30, 2008



In addition to asset class diversification, the System also seeks to diversify the investment portfolio by investment management styles. The success of a particular investment style tends to be cyclical. For example, "growth" stock investing may outperform "value" stock investing for several quarters, or perhaps several years, until that trend is inevitably reversed for a subsequent period. By utilizing several investment management firms with a variety of investment styles, the investment performance at the total Fund level is not dependent upon the success of one particular investment style.

The System also requires its investment managers to diversify their portfolios at the security level. Managers are required to diversify across sectors, industries, and individual securities. The System develops specific contractual investment guidelines for each manager that control the risk of high concentrations in a particular sector, industry, or security.

Overall, diversification of the System's assets among various asset classes, investment management styles, and individual securities enhances the potential of the IPERS investment portfolio to achieve its long-term objectives.

Capital Markets Commentary


A severe recession in the U.S. housing market, and the deleveraging of the global financial system that followed, led to unprecedented volatility in the global capital markets in fiscal year 2008. U.S. housing prices declined a record 15.90 percent over the period, and as investors and consumers came to grips with the fact that the decline in housing prices would have a major impact on the economy, the global financial system came under a great deal of pressure. Several major financial institutions, including Bear Stearns, went bankrupt or were on the brink of default, the result of too much leverage and not enough liquidity.

While there are many factors that appear to have caused this financial crisis, it seems the bottom line is that too much credit was extended to too many people and organizations that were not good credit risks. These risky loans were packaged into complex securities that were purchased by investors reaching for higher yields, some of whom magnified the risks even more by using leverage to buy them. The dramatic declines in housing provided the catalyst for what has been and likely will continue to be a painful period of deleveraging and large declines in the value of financial assets. The large losses and high volatility in the capital markets of fiscal year 2008 may be the theme again in 2009 as the markets work out the excesses of the past.

Capital markets were volatile in 2008 as turmoil in the housing market and global financial system spread.

Market volatility is likely to continue.

Fiscal Year 2008 Market Returns



The U.S. equity market, as measured by the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 Index, reflected the fear and pessimism of investors, producing a return of -12.53 percent for the fiscal year. In the large capitalization stocks, growth triumphed over value, but it was a hollow victory as both styles produced negative returns. Growth also significantly outperformed value in the small capitalization stocks in fiscal year 2008, as shown in the table.

| Dow Jones Wilshire Style Index | FY2008 Return |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Large Growth | -4.90% |
| Large Value | -18.84% |
| Small Growth | -11.10% |
| Small Value | -20.56% |

The price of a barrel of crude oil reached \$140 by the end of the fiscal year, making the energy sector the best-performing sector of the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 Index, with a return of 26.21 percent, followed by the materials and utilities sectors, which returned 7.54 percent and 4.91 percent for the fiscal year, respectively. The worst-performing sectors were financials (down 37.78 percent) and consumer discretionary (down 29.15 percent).

International stocks outperformed U.S. stocks in fiscal year 2008, due to stronger economic growth in both developed and emerging markets. However, the international markets were not immune to the subprime virus, as many banks and financial institutions in Europe and Asia saw significant write-downs due to assets backed by subprime loans. The Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) All Country World Free ex-U.S. index, a performance benchmark for equities of non-U.S. companies, returned -6.20 percent for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

The emerging markets region produced a return of 4.63 percent for the fiscal year, while European and Pacific stocks produced returns of -11.34 percent and -9.00 percent, as calculated in U.S. dollars.

The fixed income market posted respectable results for fiscal year 2008, with most of the good news coming from the strong performance of U.S. Treasury securities, which produced high returns as investors fled to safety. The Federal Reserve eased monetary policy during the fiscal year, bringing the target Federal Funds rate down to 2.00 percent during the period. This was viewed favorably by the bond markets, which had suffered in the previous year because of concerns of rising inflation due to large increases in prices of commodities and natural resources. As measured by the Lehman Brothers U.S. Universal Index, the fixed income market returned 6.24 percent for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The high yield sector of the fixed income market returned -1.95 percent and the high-grade corporate bond sector returned 0.82 percent. International bonds (unhedged) was the best-performing sector in fiscal year 2008, returning 18.72 percent for the period.

The U.S. commercial real estate market also began to feel the impact of the economic slowdown in fiscal year 2008. The NCREIF Property Index, a commonly cited measure of privately traded commercial real estate values and income, returned 9.20 percent for the one-year period ended June 30, 2008. This was down from 17.24 percent for the one-year period ended June 30, 2007. Publicly traded real estate securities (REITs) did not fare well at all in fiscal year 2008, with the Dow Jones Wilshire Real Estate Investment Trust Index posting an annual return of -15.27 percent for the fiscal year.

Private equity investments produced strong results in FY2008, but most of the performance came from realizations in the first half of the year. The availability of low-cost debt and "covenant lite" financing disappeared with the credit crunch, and new deal activity slowed considerably in the latter half of the year as little leverage was available for buyouts. Venture capital investments, while not impacted as much by the availability of debt, are being impacted by the greater risk aversion of investors, which made raising follow-on capital, as well as exiting investments in the initial public offering market, very challenging. The overall private equity asset class, as measured by *Venture Economics'* data, produced a return of 1.60 percent in FY2008.

IPERS' fixed income, emerging markets, real estate, and private equity investments posted positive returns.

Investment Portfolio Assets¹

At the close of fiscal year 2008, IPERS' net investment portfolio assets had a fair value of \$22.355 billion. The change in fair value represents a decrease of \$827 million from the \$23.182 billion net investment asset fair value as of June 30, 2007. The largest factor contributing to the decrease in net investment asset fair value was the investment portfolio return of -1.33 percent, which is more fully addressed below.

¹Investment portfolio assets are based on fair value of the total investment portfolio at June 30, 2008, and June 30, 2007, gross of investment receivables and cash in investment manager accounts and net of payables and securities lending collateral. Although these values are the appropriate industry standard basis for calculation of investment returns, they differ from the "Investments at fair value" shown in the Financial section of this report, which are reported using GASB Statement No. 25 financial statement standards.

Investment Results

IPERS posted a total portfolio investment return of -1.33 percent for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. This return was well below the objective of providing an investment return at or above the rate of inflation (as measured by the Consumer Price Index, or "CPI") plus 3 percent; that objective was 8.02 percent for fiscal year 2008. The Fund's return was also well below the investment return assumption used by IPERS' actuary, which is 7.50 percent per year. However, the Fund's -1.33 percent return exceeded the -3.03 percent return of IPERS' "policy benchmark," a set of market indices and weightings to those indices that reflect IPERS' target asset class allocations.

The Fund's one-year return was well above the -4.36 percent median return of the Trust Universe Comparison Service's (TUCS) Universe of Public Pension Funds with Assets Greater than \$1 billion, due mainly to IPERS' policy of having a lower allocation to U.S. stocks and a higher allocation to bonds, and good results from IPERS' private equity portfolio.

Private equity investments produced IPERS' highest return at 20.50 percent.

IPERS' strongest return for the fiscal year was provided by its private equity portfolio at 20.50 percent, followed by the real estate portfolio at 6.12 percent. The lowest returns came in the domestic equity portfolio, which produced a return of -15.12 percent, followed by international equities, which produced a return of -7.57 percent for the fiscal year.

For the five years ended June 30, 2008, IPERS' total Fund annualized return of 10.14 percent exceeded the policy benchmark return of 9.51 percent and the TUCS Public Pension Funds with Assets Greater than \$1 billion universe median annualized return of 9.82 percent. IPERS' 10-year annualized return of 7.09 percent outpaced the policy benchmark return of 6.41 percent and the aforementioned TUCS universe median return of 6.00 percent, as well as the objective of earning at least CPI plus 3 percent (5.99 percent annualized). However, IPERS' 10-year annualized return did not exceed the 7.50 percent actuarial assumed investment return objective. IPERS' investment returns, net of fees, for the total portfolio and for each asset class over various time periods are shown in the following table. For comparison purposes, the benchmark for each asset class is also shown.

Rates of Return

For periods ended June 30, 2008*

| Asset Class | Annualized Returns (%) | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | 1-Year | 3-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year |
| Total Fund | | | | |
| IPERS | -1.33 | 8.43 | 10.14 | 7.09 |
| Policy benchmark† | -3.03 | 7.47 | 9.51 | 6.41 |
| CPI + 3% | 8.02 | 7.00 | 6.56 | 5.99 |
| Actuarial assumed investment return | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 |
| TUCS Public Funds >\$1 billion universe median | -4.36 | 7.57 | 9.82 | 6.00 |
| Domestic equity | | | | |
| IPERS | -15.12 | 3.87 | 8.08 | 3.90 |
| Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 | -12.53 | 5.02 | 8.73 | 3.59 |
| International equity | | | | |
| IPERS | -7.57 | 14.55 | 18.13 | 7.97 |
| Custom benchmark | -6.20 | 16.16 | 19.42 | 7.98 |
| Core plus fixed income | | | | |
| IPERS | 5.19 | 3.81 | 4.10 | 5.92 |
| Custom benchmark | 6.24 | 4.16 | 4.16 | 5.84 |
| High yield fixed income | | | | |
| IPERS | 1.29 | 5.14 | 6.64 | 5.70 |
| Custom benchmark | -0.53 | 4.58 | 6.70 | 5.17 |
| Private equity/debt‡ | | | | |
| IPERS | 20.50 | 29.01 | 25.58 | 11.55 |
| Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 + 3% | -9.84 | 8.19 | 11.98 | 6.74 |
| Real estate | | | | |
| IPERS | 6.12 | 13.34 | 14.44 | 11.94 |
| Custom benchmark | 5.60 | 13.82 | 14.34 | 11.55 |
| Short-term cash§ | | | | |
| IPERS | 4.56 | 4.96 | 3.94 | 4.22 |
| U.S. Treasury bills | 3.64 | 4.27 | 3.18 | 3.62 |

*All returns are time-weighted returns. 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year returns are annualized.

†The policy benchmark consists of 28 percent Dow Jones Wilshire 5000, 15 percent MSCI ACWI ex US, 34 percent Lehman Universal, 5 percent Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped, 10 percent Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 plus 3 percent, and 8 percent of a weighted benchmark consisting of 85 percent NCREIF's National Property Index and 15 percent Dow Jones Wilshire REIT Index plus 0.25 percent.

‡Private equity/debt portfolio returns and benchmark returns are provided here as time-weighted returns to allow comparison to the time-weighted returns used for other asset classes. However, the more appropriate performance measurement for the private equity asset class is a dollar-weighted or internal rate of return (IRR) calculation. See the Private Equity/Debt section of this report for a discussion of the private equity/debt portfolio's performance using IRRs.


§Starting in FY2000, short-term cash returns exclude miscellaneous income.

Not only was IPERS' five-year investment return slightly higher than the median large public pension fund return, IPERS earned it while taking significantly less risk.

The total return an investment portfolio achieves over the long term is largely determined by the level of risk or volatility of returns that the investor is willing to accept. In general, the greater the volatility of returns, the higher the return has to be over long time periods to compensate the investor for accepting that volatility. A pension fund's willingness to accept additional risk is often the result of its need to achieve or maintain an actuarially sound funding status. Given the disparities in funding levels and the resulting differences in asset allocation which exist among pension funds, it is often difficult, if not impossible, to make a meaningful comparison based solely on their returns. However, a more meaningful comparison can be made if returns are compared on the basis of how much return was earned per unit of risk taken.

The following graph provides a comparison of IPERS' investment return per unit of risk taken for the last five years against the TUCS Universe of Public Pension Funds with Assets Greater than \$1 billion. The vertical line represents the median level of risk (standard deviation of returns) experienced by this universe of funds. The horizontal line represents the median rate of return earned by this same group of funds. IPERS' risk/return characteristics are plotted on the same graph along with selected market indices. As shown in the graph, the return on IPERS' investments for the five years ended June 30, 2008, was slightly higher than the median large public pension fund return, but it was earned with significantly less risk than the median large public pension fund over this time period.

Risk vs. Total Return
Public Funds Greater Than \$1.0 Billion
 5 years ended June 30, 2008




| | Annualized Return | Risk (Standard Deviation) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| IPERS Total Fund | 10.14% | 5.30% |
| Median Fund | 9.82% | 6.57% |
| Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 | 8.73% | 9.90% |
| Lehman Aggregate | 3.86% | 3.48% |
| MSCI ACWI ex U.S. | 19.42% | 12.74% |
| NCREIF Property Index | 14.81% | 2.44% |

Domestic Equity

At June 30, 2008, 24.83 percent of IPERS' total portfolio was invested in domestic equities. The total net fair value of the domestic equity portfolio was \$5.551 billion. The portfolio is widely diversified across various equity market sectors and industries and has highly diversified financial characteristics and risk factors that influence the overall return.

Domestic Equity Portfolio

June 30, 2008



The IPERS domestic equity portfolio has three components:

PASSIVE EQUITY. The passive component is divided into large cap and small to mid cap strategies for management purposes and consists primarily of investments in commingled index funds, index futures, and short-term securities. The return objective for each passive strategy is to track, within a certain range, the returns of a broad market index. The passive component is designed to add diversity to the overall portfolio while complementing the active investment styles of IPERS' other domestic equity portfolios. The passive component constitutes an efficient, low-cost means of obtaining market exposure to the domestic stock market.

ACTIVE EQUITY. An actively managed portfolio consists primarily of large capitalization stocks. For management purposes, the portfolio is divided among separate strategies that focus on different investment styles: a value portfolio which focuses on companies undervalued relative to their prospective dividend and earnings growth; a growth portfolio which focuses on companies whose earnings are expected to grow at rates exceeding that of the general economy; and a core portfolio that seeks to add value across all sectors through stock selection. Each investment strategy is expected to exceed an appropriate style benchmark over a full market cycle.

PORTABLE ALPHA. Traditional active equity strategies seek to produce returns in excess of the market (known as "alpha") through sector and stock selection. However, these traditional active strategies have historically produced mediocre excess returns versus passive and active strategies, and usually with a lot of volatility.

This is generally due to the fact that the U.S. equity market is the most efficient market in the world, making it very difficult for active stock managers to consistently beat the market return. Beginning in 2007 and into fiscal year 2008, IPERS implemented portable alpha strategies within the domestic equity portfolio in an attempt to improve the return of the portfolio without significantly increasing the risk.


IPERS implemented two portable alpha strategies in an attempt to achieve better risk-adjusted returns, but this goal was not met in 2008.

Portable alpha strategies attempt to achieve better risk-adjusted returns by blending excess returns (alpha) from a skillful manager, regardless of the asset class where the alpha may be achieved, with the return of any specified market index. Portable alpha strategies should work as long as skillful managers exist and can be identified, the alpha is not highly correlated to the market returns, and derivatives are available that can replicate market returns at a low cost. The concept is that alpha is no longer constrained to the asset class – we can look for alpha anywhere we can find it, and then use low cost derivatives or index funds to obtain the required market exposure so that IPERS can maintain its strategic asset allocation targets.

As of June 30, IPERS had implemented two types of portable alpha strategies – one using enhanced cash strategies and another using a global macro strategy. Two enhanced cash managers are utilized to invest in short-term fixed income securities to attempt to produce alpha through sector rotation and security selection, while a third enhanced cash manager attempts to earn alpha through a stock index arbitrage strategy. The global macro manager attempts to add value by taking long and short positions in global equities, global bonds, and foreign currencies based on its evaluation of the relative value of submarkets within the global stock, bond, and currency markets.

Domestic Equity Performance

For the periods ended June 30



*Net of fees.

For the year ended June 30, 2008, IPERS' domestic equity portfolio posted a return of -15.12 percent, compared to a -12.53 percent for the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 Index. Most of the underperformance was attributable to the portable alpha strategies, three of which performed very poorly. The two enhanced cash portfolios that utilize fixed income securities were down approximately 15 and 10 percent versus their benchmarks as a result of the managers' security and sector-weight decisions in an illiquid market.


The global macro portable alpha strategy was down approximately 5 percent versus its benchmark for the nine months the strategy was in use, due to inopportune country and currency decisions. For the five-year period ended June 30, 2008, the domestic equity portfolio earned an annualized return (net of fees) of 8.08 percent, versus 8.73 percent for the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 Index.

International Equity

At June 30, 2008, the international equity portfolio had a net fair value of \$3.220 billion, representing 14.40 percent of the total IPERS portfolio. IPERS' international equity portfolio is composed primarily of common stocks or equity commingled funds, foreign exchange contracts, and cash, and is widely diversified across many regions, countries, industries, and securities.

International Equity Portfolio

June 30, 2008



The international equity portfolio has three primary components:

ACTIVE EQUITY. An actively managed diversified portfolio consisting primarily of equity securities issued by foreign companies in developed countries. For purposes of investment management, a regional approach is used to invest in these international markets. The active equity portfolio's performance objective is to exceed the return of the MSCI All Country World ex-U.S. index.

PASSIVE EQUITY. A passively managed diversified portfolio consisting of commingled index fund investments in Canadian and developed European countries' corporate equity securities. The objective of the passive equity portfolios is to track the performance of the MSCI Canada and Europe indices, respectively.

GLOBAL EMERGING MARKETS. An actively managed diversified portfolio consisting primarily of equity securities issued by companies in countries which are small and immature by developed market standards. Over time these markets are expected to experience growth rates well in excess of developed markets. Consequently, investments in emerging markets provide opportunities for higher portfolio returns. Furthermore, low correlation between returns of emerging markets and those of

developed markets can serve to reduce total risk in the international equity portfolio.

International markets once again outperformed the U.S. stock markets during the year ended June 30, 2008. The MSCI All Country World ex-US index returned a -6.20 percent for fiscal year 2008, while the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 index posted a -12.53 percent for the same period.

IPERS' international equity portfolio returned a -7.57 percent during fiscal year 2008, compared to a -6.20 percent for the benchmark. For the five-year period ended June 30, 2008, this portfolio underperformed its benchmark, earning an annualized return of 18.13 percent versus 19.42 percent for the benchmark.


Canada was the top performing region in international equities.

Canada was the top performing region in international equities, with a one year return of 13.91 percent. Europe was the worst performing region with a return of a -11.34 percent.

The majority of underperformance in the active international equity portfolio for fiscal year 2008 can be attributed to the emerging markets and European enhanced index strategies. A continued belief by IPERS' emerging market equity manager that Chinese stocks were overvalued, along with the negative impact the global credit crisis had on companies in emerging markets, resulted in the IPERS account returning a -1.54 percent versus the emerging market index which posted a 3.46 percent return for fiscal year 2008. In addition, the deleveraging in the global markets placed downward pressure on equities and caused IPERS' European enhanced equity manager to generate a -12.16 percent return for fiscal year 2008 while the Europe index returned a -11.34 percent.

International Equity Performance

For the periods ended June 30



*Net of fees.

Public Equity Portfolio – Top 10 Holdings

The top 10 holdings within the public equity portfolio at June 30, 2008, are illustrated below. The listing does not include the fair value of units held in commingled fund investments. A complete listing of the holdings, including commingled fund holdings, is available upon request.

| Ten Largest Holdings* at June 30, 2008 | Fair Value | % of Total IPERS Public Equity Portfolio |
|---|--------------|--|
| GAZPROM OAO | \$29,313,200 | 0.33 |
| SAP AG | 29,058,620 | 0.33 |
| SUEZ SA | 23,198,953 | 0.26 |
| BHP BILLITON LIMITED | 22,733,061 | 0.26 |
| KONINKLIJKE KPN NV | 21,913,832 | 0.25 |
| AT&T INCORPORATED | 21,430,647 | 0.24 |
| MARATHON OIL CORPORATION | 20,176,393 | 0.23 |
| REPSOL YPF SA | 20,147,251 | 0.23 |
| COMPANHIA VALE DO RIO DOCE | 19,355,418 | 0.22 |
| ENI S.P.A. | 19,089,166 | 0.22 |

*Excludes all holdings in commingled fund accounts.

Fixed Income

IPERS has a significant allocation to fixed income securities, with a target asset allocation of 34 percent to core plus fixed income securities and 5 percent to high yield securities. At fiscal year end, IPERS' core plus portfolio was 34.97 percent of total Fund assets and the high yield portfolio was 4.60 percent of total Fund assets. The total return for the consolidated fixed income portfolio (core plus and high yield portfolios combined) for the year ended June 30, 2008, was 4.70 percent. The consolidated fixed income portfolio fair value was \$8.846 billion and the average bond rating for the portfolio was AA-.

IPERS' fixed income portfolio is managed through two strategies, as described below:


CORE PLUS. The objective of the core plus fixed income portfolio is to generate a return above the return of the overall fixed income market. Approximately 34 percent of the core plus portfolio is dedicated to a passively managed "core" investment in an index fund designed to earn the return of the Lehman Brothers U.S. Aggregate Index (Aggregate Index), an index consisting of high-quality U.S. investment-grade fixed income securities. The remainder of the core plus portfolio is actively managed with the objective of exceeding the return of the Lehman Brothers U.S. Universal Index (Universal Index), net of fees, over a full market cycle. The Universal Index is a broader index, consisting of the core Aggregate Index, plus other fixed income sectors available to U.S. investors, such as commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), high yield bonds, dollar-denominated emerging market debt, and Eurodollar bonds.

The core plus portfolio is a diversified portfolio of fixed income securities, utilizing cash and cash equivalents, forward foreign exchange contracts, swaps, currency options, financial futures, government and government agency bonds, Eurobonds, nondollar bonds, nonconvertible preferred stock, options on fixed income instruments, mortgage-backed bonds, corporate bonds, commercial mortgage-backed securities, private placement corporate bonds, and asset-backed securities.

The actively managed portion of the core plus portfolio is expected to have interest rate sensitivity similar to the Universal Index, and be diversified by industry, sector, and security issuers.

Core Plus Fixed Income Portfolio

June 30, 2008




Fiscal year 2008 was filled with volatility and turmoil in the fixed income market. The Federal Reserve reduced the federal funds rate from 5.25 percent to 2.00 percent in an attempt to revive a weakened economy. The credit crunch, which evolved from a subprime mortgage issue into a significant liquidity and solvency crisis, has clearly shaken the markets. It has also led to the failure of some of the world's largest financial companies and caused the government to intervene at unprecedented levels. In addition, a weak U.S. dollar and high commodity prices caused an upward trend in inflation and slowing consumption by consumers. The result was a flight to quality, as investors looked for the safety of U.S. Treasury securities, causing the credit sectors to underperform at levels that have never been seen before. Due to the risk aversion in the market, the best performing sector within the fixed income market was the U.S. Treasury sector, returning 10.33 percent for the fiscal year. The mortgage sector also performed well, returning 7.83 percent, while the investment grade corporate sector returned 2.95 percent for the fiscal year 2008. Overall, IPERS' core plus fixed income portfolio return of 5.19 percent underperformed the Universal Index return of 6.24 percent for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

U.S. Treasuries performed the best in the fixed income market as investors moved toward safer investments.

The following graph provides a historical review of performance for the core plus fixed income portfolio:

Core Plus Fixed Income Performance

For the periods ended June 30




*Net of fees.

HIGH YIELD. IPERS' high yield fixed income portfolio is designed as an actively managed, risk-controlled strategy that seeks to safely capture higher coupon income by investing in the debt of higher-quality companies rated below investment grade. Bottom-up fundamental research is emphasized in selecting the high-yielding debt of U.S. and Canadian companies, with the objective of outperforming the Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index, net of fees, over a full market cycle.

High Yield Fixed Income Credit Ratings

June 30, 2008




The high yield sector of the bond market underperformed the other sectors of the fixed income market in fiscal year 2008, as investors sought out the safety of U.S. Treasuries and higher rated securities. IPERS' high yield fixed income portfolio outperformed the high yield market return due to its emphasis on quality, generating a return of 1.29 percent versus the Citigroup High Yield Cash Pay Capped Index return of -0.53 percent for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

IPERS' high yield fixed income portfolio outperformed the high yield market due to its emphasis on quality.

The following graph provides a historical review of performance for the high yield fixed income portfolio:

High Yield Fixed Income Performance

For the periods ended June 30



| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | | 3 yr | 5 yr | 10 yr |
|---------------------|-------|-------|------|--|------|------|-------|
| ■ IPERS' Portfolio* | 1.29 | 9.91 | 4.40 | | 5.14 | 6.64 | 5.70 |
| ■ Custom Benchmark | -0.53 | 10.78 | 3.79 | | 4.58 | 6.70 | 5.17 |

*Net of fees.

Fixed Income Portfolio – Top 10 Holdings

The top 10 holdings within the consolidated fixed income portfolio (core plus and high yield combined) at June 30, 2008, are illustrated below. The listing does not include the fair value of units held in commingled fund investments. A complete listing of the holdings, including commingled fund holdings, is available upon request.

| Ten Largest Holdings* at June 30, 2008 | Fair Value | % of Total IPERS Fixed Income Portfolio |
|---|---------------|---|
| FNMA TBA 30YR 5.00% 01AUG2038 | \$312,976,480 | 3.54 |
| FNMA TBA 30YR 5.00% 01JUL2038 | 209,818,642 | 2.37 |
| FNMA TBA 30YR 5.50% 01AUG2038 | 192,200,938 | 2.17 |
| FNMA TBA 15YR 5.50% 01JUL2023 | 99,309,896 | 1.12 |
| FHLMC GROUP # A79021 01JUL2038 | 95,320,157 | 1.08 |
| US TREASURY NOTE 4.875% 31OCT2008 | 89,808,787 | 1.02 |
| FNMA POOL # 745275 01FEB2036 | 68,103,725 | 0.77 |
| GNMA TBA 30 YR 6.00% 01JUL2038 | 66,396,167 | 0.75 |
| FHLMC GROUP # G08242 01JAN2038 | 66,015,814 | 0.75 |
| FNMA TBA 15YR 5.00% 17JUL2008 | 64,512,292 | 0.73 |

*Excludes all holdings in commingled fund accounts.

Private Equity/Debt

At June 30, 2008, IPERS' private equity/debt portfolio had a fair value of \$2.504 billion, representing 11.20 percent of the total IPERS portfolio. From the inception of the private equity/debt portfolio in 1985 through June 30, 2008, the System has committed \$5.625 billion to 204 partnerships. Of that total, \$1.543 billion remains to be called for investment. During the fiscal year, IPERS committed \$604 million to 17 new partnerships.

The long-term objective of the private equity/debt portfolio is to achieve a rate of return that exceeds the returns available in the public equity markets. The System seeks to minimize the risk associated with the asset class by investing in a variety of top-tier partnerships with different investment styles and objectives. The portfolio is also diversified by industry focus, geographic location, and, most importantly, time, which means that capital is committed to partnerships over the full course of the business cycle and not concentrated in any one year.

The long-term performance objective for the private equity/debt portfolio is to exceed the return of the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 Index, calculated on an internal rate of return (IRR)¹ basis, by 3 percentage points on an annualized basis. The private equity/debt portfolio returned 20.32 percent in fiscal year 2008 versus -9.84 percent for its benchmark. However, private equity investments typically span 10 years or more, so a longer evaluation time horizon is appropriate. The private equity/debt portfolio has returned 11.30 percent versus its benchmark return of 6.77 percent for the ten-year period ended June 30, 2008. Since inception in 1985, the IPERS private equity/debt portfolio has returned 15.27 percent versus its benchmark return of 15.17 percent.

One drawback to comparing a portfolio return to a benchmark return is that it does not provide any information on how the portfolio's performance compares to the universe of private equity investment opportunities that were available at the time IPERS made its investments. The *Venture Economics*² All Private Equity funds performance database includes data from 1,696 partnerships and makes it possible to compare a portfolio to a universe of private equity partnerships that raised capital over the same time period. Another performance analysis issue is that IRRs can be sensitive to the estimated value of unrealized investments. Therefore, IRRs for the portfolio should be reviewed in conjunction with "distributions to paid-in capital" (DPI) ratios, which ignore valuations and measure the ratio of cumulative distributions to cumulative paid-in capital for the time period, that is, how much of the investment performance has been realized.

Private equity/debt comprised 11.20 percent of IPERS' portfolio and earned 20.32 percent. The asset class has the potential to produce higher returns, but it also carries greater risk. IPERS manages the risk by using different management styles and diversifying by industry, location, and time commitment.

¹The internal rate of return (IRR) is utilized to evaluate private equity investments because they are generally illiquid and cash inflows and outflows can be controlled by the general partner of the private equity partnership. Time-weighted returns are inappropriate under such conditions.

²All *Venture Economics* information is as of October 2008, with data current for reporting periods ended June 30, 2008. Data is continuously updated and is therefore subject to change.

The table below compares the performance of IPERS' private equity/debt portfolio to the IRR and DPI of the *Venture Economics* database of private equity partnerships invested from 1985 through June 30, 2008.

| | IRR | DPI |
|--|--------|------|
| IPERS— Private equity/debt portfolio | 15.27% | 1.14 |
| <i>Venture Economics</i> — All Private Equity Funds | 13.10% | 0.91 |

The performance measures shown above do not fully reflect the evolution that has occurred in the implementation of IPERS' private equity/debt strategy. For example, the IPERS Investment Board determined that as of 1993 the selection of private equity partnerships should be delegated to a professional management firm, rather than having IPERS staff and the Board attempt to evaluate and select these complex investments. The "since inception" results shown above include the impact of decisions made prior to delegating the selection process to an investment manager.

The decision to give full investment discretion to a management firm for the private equity/debt portfolio has proven successful.

The decision to give full investment discretion to a management firm for the private equity/debt portfolio has proven successful to date. IPERS' private equity investment manager has produced a net IRR of 21.62 percent since the firm was given full discretion to select partnerships on IPERS' behalf on January 1, 1993. This return compares favorably to the 12.14 percent IRR of the custom benchmark IPERS has established for the manager, the 11.25 percent IRR of IPERS' asset class benchmark for private equity (Wilshire 5000 + 300 bps) over the time period, and the pooled average IRR of 11.40 percent reported by *Venture Economics* for all private equity funds in its database for vintage years 1993 through 2008. The DPI of 0.90 for the manager's discretionary portfolio also compares favorably to *Venture Economics*' pooled average DPI of 0.79 for all private equity funds in its database for years 1993 through 2008.

Real Estate


At June 30, 2008, \$2.057 billion, or 9.20 percent, of IPERS' total portfolio at fair value was invested in various real estate properties and publicly traded real estate investment trusts (REITs). In order to mitigate risk, the real estate portfolio is diversified by both geographic location and property type, as shown in the following pie charts.

The total net return for the real estate portfolio for the fiscal year was 6.12 percent, compared to 5.60 percent for the portfolio's benchmark (85 percent NCREIF NPI/15 percent Dow Jones Wilshire REIT + 0.25 percent). As with the private equity/debt portfolio, the real estate program invests in assets with long holding periods and should be evaluated over a longer time horizon. For the 10 years ending June 30, 2008, the real estate portfolio returned 11.94 percent versus the portfolio's benchmark of 11.55 percent.


The private portion of the real estate program provided positive income and appreciation for the fiscal year 2008. However, the public portion of the program showed significant depreciation, -18.28 percent, for the same time period. Issues such as limited financing options, a slower economy, and a challenging employment environment all were priced into the public real estate securities in fiscal year 2008. Although there is a lag to these pricing issues in the private real estate market, these same issues will eventually negatively impact the private portion of IPERS' real estate program.

Real Estate Portfolio
June 30, 2008

By Property Location



By Property Type



Investments in Iowa

Iowa Code section 97B.7A authorizes IPERS to invest the moneys of the Trust Fund in accordance with the Investment Policy and Goal Statement adopted by the Investment Board and subject to the Prudent Person rule. Section 97B.7A also directs that, where consistent with the aforementioned standards, IPERS will invest “. . . in a manner that will enhance the economy of the state, and in particular, will result in increased employment of the residents of the state.” At June 30, 2008, the System held investments of \$432 million in companies of Iowa interest (as shown in the following table).

| Asset Class | Companies of Iowa Interest |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Stocks | \$295,524,782 |
| Bonds | 131,228,331 |
| Private equity/debt | 4,785,600 |
| Total | \$431,538,713 |

Schedule of Brokerage Commissions Paid

Year ended June 30, 2008

| Brokerage Firm | Commissions | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amount Paid* | Average Per Share | % of Total Paid for Period |
| Goldman Sachs & Co | \$ 377,583 | \$0.028 | 10.4 |
| State Street Bank and Trust Company | 358,272 | 0.005 | 9.9 |
| UBS Securities | 346,282 | 0.009 | 9.5 |
| Merrill Lynch & Company Inc | 336,511 | 0.008 | 9.3 |
| Credit Suisse First Boston | 272,417 | 0.012 | 7.5 |
| Citigroup Global Markets Inc | 264,383 | 0.014 | 7.3 |
| JP Morgan Securities Inc | 172,481 | 0.006 | 4.7 |
| Morgan Stanley | 149,371 | 0.017 | 4.1 |
| Lehman Brothers Inc | 136,828 | 0.029 | 3.8 |
| Deutsche Bank | 116,786 | 0.011 | 3.2 |
| Spectrum Asset Management Inc | 77,059 | 0.033 | 2.1 |
| Bear Stearns & Company Inc | 57,379 | 0.042 | 1.6 |
| Pershing & Company | 54,863 | 0.033 | 1.5 |
| Calyon Securities | 54,794 | 0.006 | 1.5 |
| JB Were and Son | 38,233 | 0.018 | 1.1 |
| Stifel Nicolaus & Company Inc | 36,339 | 0.050 | 1.0 |
| Wachovia Secs Capital Market | 35,094 | 0.050 | 1.0 |
| Jefferies & Company Inc | 33,374 | 0.033 | 0.9 |
| Lynch, Jones & Ryan | 32,535 | 0.035 | 0.9 |
| Sanford C Bernstein & Company LLC | 29,421 | 0.016 | 0.8 |
| RBC Capital Markets Corporation | 29,164 | 0.048 | 0.8 |
| Banc/America Securities LLC | 25,153 | 0.029 | 0.7 |
| Liquidnet Inc | 24,872 | 0.020 | 0.7 |
| Instinet Corporation | 24,162 | 0.004 | 0.7 |
| Raymond James and Associates | 23,295 | 0.045 | 0.6 |
| All others (includes 110 brokerage firms) | 528,407 | 0.012 | 14.4 |
| Total | \$3,635,058 | \$0.011 | 100.00% |

*In U.S. dollars.

Investment Policy and Goal Statement

The following excerpt from the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System's Investment Policy and Goal Statement, as adopted by the IPERS Investment Board in September 2007, includes all Policy text, but excludes the addenda referenced in the Policy.

I. INTRODUCTION - IPERS' PURPOSE AND PRINCIPLES

The Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System (IPERS or System) was established in 1953, and is governed by Iowa Code chapter 97B. Since its creation, IPERS' activities have been directed toward fulfilling the foundational purpose of the System, as described in § 97B.2:

“...to promote economy and efficiency in the public service by providing an orderly means for employees, without hardship or prejudice, to have a retirement system which will provide for the payment of annuities, enabling the employees to care for themselves in retirement, and which will improve public employment within the state, reduce excessive personnel turnover, and offer suitable attraction to high-grade men and women to enter public service in the state.”

IPERS is administered through a chief executive officer, chief investment officer, chief benefits officer, and other full-time staff. The investment activities are governed by an Investment Board, and the underlying principle which governs these activities is the "prudent person" rule. In the formulation of this investment policy and goal statement, a primary consideration of the Investment Board and staff has been their awareness of the stated purpose and investment principle. IPERS' investment activities are designed and executed in a manner that will fulfill these goals. The investment policy and the individual strategies will be periodically reviewed to ensure that they conform to §§ 97B.2 and 97B.7A.

The primary duties of the Investment Board (Board) are to establish policy, review its implementation, and approve the retention of service providers in matters relating to the investment of IPERS' assets and the actuarial evaluation of the System's assets and liabilities. The Investment Board shall be the trustee of the IPERS fund. The chief investment officer is responsible for the administration of the investment program pursuant to the policies of the Board. Additionally, the Board supports the retention and development of sufficient investment staff and the provision of such other resources as are required in order to ensure the thorough oversight and administration of each investment program undertaken by the System.

II. INVESTMENT GOAL STATEMENT

In accordance with the above described purpose and statutory citations, the following investment goals are adopted:

- A. The investment activities are designed and executed in a manner that serves the best interests of the members and beneficiaries of the System.
- B. The investment activities are designed to provide a return on the portfolio that, when coupled with the periodic contributions of the membership and employers, will meet or exceed the benefit funding requirements of the plan over time. Of primary consideration is the maintenance of funding which is adequate to provide for the payment of the plan's actuarially determined liabilities over time.
- C. The long-term performance expectations for the total fund after the deduction of management fees are as follows:

1. Performance which exceeds the rate of inflation, as determined by the Consumer Price Index (CPI), by at least 300 basis points (3%).
2. Performance which exceeds the 750 basis point (7.5%) assumed actuarial annual rate of interest.
3. Performance which exceeds the Policy Benchmark, as defined in Section III(A) of this Policy, which represents the return of a passively managed portfolio comprised of the target asset allocations to, and appropriate indexes for, each asset class.
4. Performance which exceeds the median risk-adjusted return of a universe of large public funds.

III. INVESTMENT POLICY STATEMENT

IPERS' investment policies are structured to maximize the probability that the investment goals will be fulfilled. All investment policy decisions shall include liquidity and risk considerations that are prudent and reasonable under the circumstances that exist over time. IPERS' investment policies will evolve as the internal conditions of the fund and the capital markets environments change.

Achievement of IPERS' investment goals ultimately depends upon earning a sufficient return on the System's investments while taking a prudent amount of risk to attain the return. Investment return is comprised of two components known as "beta" and "alpha". "Beta" return is the return generated from exposure to a specific market or asset class. "Alpha" return is the excess return resulting from subtracting the beta return from the total investment return, and is conditional upon skillful active investment decision making. IPERS believes that risk-adjusted investment returns can be improved by separating beta and alpha decisions in actively-managed (i.e., non-passive or non-index) portfolios.

Beta decisions should focus on maximizing expected market returns at prudent levels of risk (with risk defined as the standard deviation of the market returns). The Board will adopt a Policy Benchmark representing what it believes is the most efficient portfolio of market exposures (the beta portfolio) that will meet the Board's tolerance for market risk. Staff, with the assistance of the System's consultants, will implement the Board's beta decisions in the most cost efficient manner possible and will be responsible for maintaining the beta exposure levels within the acceptable ranges established by the Board.

Alpha decisions are expected to provide additional return from actively-managed strategies for the System's investment portfolio without significantly increasing the overall risk of the portfolio. The Board will adopt an alpha risk budget that establishes its tolerance for return volatility attributable to alpha decisions. While the alpha risk budget will be set by asset class, alpha sources will not be constrained to a specific asset class. Alpha returns from investing in securities of one asset class may be transported to another asset class through the use of portable alpha strategies.

A. The Beta Portfolio

1. Policy Benchmark

The System adopts a Policy Benchmark that represents a mix of beta exposures that is expected over the long term to maximize the risk-adjusted beta return to the System consistent with the Board's tolerance for market risk, and is predicated on a number of factors, including:

- a. The actuarially projected liability stream of benefits and its cost to both covered employees and employers.
- b. The relationship between the current and projected assets of the plan and the plan's projected liabilities.
- c. Expectations regarding long-term capital market returns and risks.
- d. Historical returns and risks and correlations of asset classes that comprise the capital markets.
- e. The perception of future economic conditions, including inflation and interest rate assumptions, and their impacts on the System's assets and liabilities.

The table below represents the Board's adopted Policy Benchmark. The Policy Benchmark Weights establish the Board's target exposure to each asset class, while the Asset Class Ranges establish the ranges within which Policy Benchmark Weights may fluctuate. The Policy Benchmark Return is the sum of the products of multiplying each Policy Benchmark Weight by the respective Policy Benchmark Index return for the period.

| Asset Class | Asset Class Ranges | Policy Benchmark Weights | Policy Benchmark Index |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Equities | | 43% | |
| Domestic Equities | 23%-33% | 28% | Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 |
| International Equities | 10%-20% | 15% | MSCI ACWI ex US |
| | | | |
| Fixed Income | | 39% | |
| Core Plus Fixed Income | 30%-38% | 34% | Lehman U.S. Universal |
| High Yield | 3%-7% | 5% | Citigroup HY Cash-Pay |
| | | | |
| Alternatives | | 18% | |
| Private Equity | 7%-13% | 10% | Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 + 3% |
| Equity Real Estate | 6%-10% | 8% | Custom Index ¹ |
| | | | |
| Cash | 0%-1% | 0% | Merrill Lynch 91-day T-Bill |

¹The equity real estate custom index for purposes of the Policy Benchmark Index is weighted 85% NCREIF Property Index and 15% Dow Jones Wilshire REIT Index to reflect the real estate program's strategic mix.

2. Policy Benchmark Components

Apart from any alpha expectations described in Section IIIB, IPERS seeks to earn market returns from each asset class in the Policy Benchmark. This market exposure may be achieved by purchasing securities that comprise the respective asset classes or

by purchasing derivatives designed to provide the return of a particular market. Each public markets asset class has a Policy Benchmark Index that is believed to best represent the broadest market opportunity set for the respective asset class. The return on each Policy Benchmark Index is the market return (beta return) for each asset class.

Domestic Equities

IPERS invests in the domestic equity market to earn an equity risk premium to enhance the long-term returns of the fund. This asset class includes the broad market of publicly traded U.S. equities with varying characteristics related to market capitalization and investment style. The Policy Benchmark Index for Domestic Equities is the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 Index.

International Equities

IPERS invests in international equities to earn an equity risk premium and to diversify the equity exposure within the fund. The International Equities asset class includes both developed and emerging equity markets. The Policy Benchmark Index for International Equities is the Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) All-Country World Index ex-U.S. (ACWI ex-U.S.) gross of tax credits from dividend reinvestment.

Core Plus Fixed Income

IPERS invests in fixed income assets to provide stable income for the payment of benefit obligations and to diversify the market risk of the investment portfolio. The Core Plus fixed income market represents a global opportunity set of fixed income instruments available to U.S. institutional investors. The Policy Benchmark Index for Core Plus Fixed Income is the Lehman U.S. Universal Index.

High Yield Bonds

IPERS has made a strategic allocation to high yield corporate bonds to enhance the long-term returns of the investment portfolio, to provide current income and to provide diversification benefits. The Policy Benchmark Index for High Yield Bonds is the Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index.

Equity Real Estate

IPERS invests in equity real estate to provide diversification in the investment portfolio and for income generation. The Policy Benchmark Index for Equity Real Estate is a weighted custom index of 85% the National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries' Property Index (NPI) and 15% the Dow Jones Wilshire Real Estate Investment Trust Index (Wilshire REIT). (See Addendum C, Tab IV for IPERS' Real Estate Investment Policy.)

Private Equity/Debt

IPERS invests in private equity/debt to enhance the investment portfolio return through long-term capital appreciation. Private equity investments are highly illiquid, and IPERS seeks to be compensated for such illiquidity by earning returns substantially greater than those available from publicly traded equity markets. The Policy Benchmark Index for Private Equity/Debt is the Dow Jones Wilshire 5000 Index

plus 300 basis points (3%). (See Addendum D, Tab V, for IPERS' Private Equity/Debt Investment Policy.)

Cash

Cash, for purpose of applying the Policy Benchmark Weights and Asset Class Ranges, is limited to funds available prior to distribution to investment managers and the amount reserved to pay near-term benefits and administrative costs. The Policy Benchmark Index for Cash is the Merrill Lynch 91-day Treasury Bill Index.

3. Policy Benchmark Rebalancing

Due to the fluctuation of market values, and the effect of cash flows in and out of the System, the actual weights of each asset class can differ from the target weights established in the Policy Benchmark. In recognition of this, the Board has also adopted Asset Class Ranges for each asset class, and positioning within a specified Asset Class Range is acceptable and constitutes compliance with the Policy Benchmark. It is anticipated that the Board will make periodic revisions to the Policy Benchmark, and it is recognized that in some cases it may be prudent to allow an extended period of time to fully implement revisions to the Policy Benchmark. In the absence of suitable opportunities within a specific market, the funds shall be directed to the other components within the ranges specified. The Board and staff will regularly monitor the actual asset allocation versus the Policy Benchmark Weights and evaluate any variations.

B. The Alpha Portfolio

Alpha is the difference resulting from subtracting a beta return from a portfolio return. IPERS believes that positive alpha can be consistently earned by selecting skillful managers and applying that skill to a broad set of investment opportunities. By employing a combination of strategies that have low correlation to one another, and also employing a combination of skillful managers whose performance exhibits low correlation to one another, IPERS believes that consistent positive alpha returns (net of all fees) can be achieved at low levels of risk.

Various strategies may be employed in the creation of a diversified alpha portfolio. Factors that will determine the alpha portfolio composition will include market structure and dynamics, the breadth and depth of available active managers, and contribution to the alpha risk budget. Traditional long-only management strategies may continue to be utilized in order to capture alpha, while portable alpha strategies, which allow alpha earned in other asset classes to be transported to a particular asset class through the use of derivatives, may also be utilized.

In reviewing the effectiveness of alpha portfolio decisions, it is understood that a sufficient time frame is necessary to measure results through market cycles. A five year period will generally be used to judge the results of alpha portfolio decisions.

The Board acknowledges that portable alpha strategies introduce a component of leverage into the portfolio, since market exposure is obtained through the use of derivatives while cash not needed to maintain the derivatives position is invested in alpha-producing assets. However, it is believed that a properly constructed alpha portfolio with a low correlation to the underlying beta portfolio is, from an economic perspective, equivalent to utilizing traditional long-only strategies in terms of risk and return.

Properly executed portable alpha strategies, which seek to apply manager skill across multiple alpha sources with low correlation to one another, can also have unique implementation risks that must be carefully monitored and managed. Some strategies can introduce high levels of financial leverage, valuation risks due to a lack of transparency, custody risks due to assets being held by prime brokers, and operational risks due to the use of complex, highly quantitative strategies. Staff will seek to mitigate these risks by employing a careful and thorough due diligence process in the evaluation and selection of reputable, experienced portable alpha managers. However, it is acknowledged that it may not be possible to eliminate some implementation risks associated with some portable alpha strategies.

Most hedge fund strategies will have many, if not all, of the implementation risks described above. Staff does not currently have the resources to perform adequate due diligence on the many hedge funds available in the market. Therefore, the Board has directed staff to utilize only fund of hedge fund managers to execute any portable alpha strategies that invest in hedge funds.

The alpha portfolio within an asset class will be structured to meet an alpha risk budget established by the Board to reflect the Board's active risk tolerance. Alpha risk is defined as the standard deviation of the alpha returns, and the Alpha Risk Target represents the Board's tolerance for volatility attributable to alpha-seeking strategies for an asset class. Board and Staff will annually evaluate the alpha portfolios against their respective Alpha Risk Targets and determine what actions should be taken to address any deviations.

It shall be the Staff's responsibility to recommend to the Board an allocation of the alpha risk budget to various alpha sources based upon an optimization model, and to maintain an alpha portfolio's alpha risk as close to the Alpha Risk Target as possible. However, due to the volatility of short term alpha returns, positioning within the specified Alpha Risk Range is acceptable and constitutes compliance with the Policy. It is anticipated that the Board will periodically revise the alpha risk budgets, and it is acknowledged that it may be prudent to allow an extended period of time to fully implement revisions to the alpha risk budget.

The Board has established the following alpha risk budget:

| Asset Class | Alpha Risk Range | Alpha Risk Target |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Equities | | |
| Domestic Equities | 0.70%–1.30% | 1.00% |
| International Equities | 1.50%–2.50% | 2.00% |
| | | |
| Fixed Income | | |
| Core Plus Fixed Income | 0.40%–0.60% | 0.50% |
| High Yield | 2.40%–4.10% | 3.25% |
| | | |

Alpha risk budgets have not been established for the Equity Real Estate and Private Equity/Debt asset classes due to the difficulty of separating beta and alpha in those asset classes. Additionally, the lack of investable benchmarks for these two asset classes makes it impossible to determine the beta return for these asset classes. Thus, for Policy purposes, the

alpha and beta risks for these two asset classes are assumed to be captured in the Policy Benchmark risk budgeting process.

C. Investment Management Policy

To achieve optimum performance results in concert with diversification of its assets, IPERS will select and utilize an external investment manager to manage each of its portfolio accounts. The System will also utilize the services of investment management consultants for the purpose of performance review, asset allocation studies, risk budgeting, manager selection screening, and topical studies.

1. Manager/Consultant Utilization and Selection

The selection of the managers and consultants is accomplished in accordance with Iowa Executive Order Number 25, dated June 4, 2002, the applicable provisions of Iowa Code sections 8.47 and 8.52, and the administrative rules adopted thereunder, except as otherwise provided in duly issued waivers by the ruling authority. The System will procure manager and consultant services with adequate attention to the principles of competition and reasonableness of costs, and will wherever feasible compensate the external managers through the use of performance-based fees.

Each investment manager and consultant functions under a formal contract that delineates their responsibilities and the appropriate performance expectations. A formal set of investment guidelines and investment administrative requirements for each investment manager and consultant exists as an addendum to this document.

2. Manager/Consultant Discretion

The investment managers shall have full discretion to direct and manage the investment and reinvestment of assets allocated to their accounts in accordance with this document, applicable federal and state statutes and regulations, and the executed contracts. Further, the investment managers shall have full discretion to establish and execute trades through accounts with one or more securities broker/dealer as the managers may select. The investment managers will attempt to obtain the "best available price and most favorable execution" with respect to all of the portfolio transactions. In accordance with this principle, broker/dealers with an office in Iowa will be given an opportunity to compete for various transactions.

The Board and staff will consider the comments and recommendations of the managers and consultants in conjunction with other available information in making informed, prudent decisions.

3. Manager Evaluation

The investment managers under contract with the System will meet periodically with the Investment Board and/or staff for the purpose of reviewing the investment activities of their assigned portfolio, its performance, the investment strategy that governs its management and the marketplace in which it exists. Such meetings may be conducted at the offices of the investment firms. A detailed discussion of IPERS' manager evaluation policies and procedures is provided in the IPERS Manager Monitoring and Retention Policy. (See Addendum B, Tab III)

D. Cash Management

Staff will ensure that adequate cash is available for the payment of benefit obligations and the funding of investments, and any cash held pending such uses shall be temporarily invested in the Custodian's Short Term Investment Fund (STIF) or other suitable short-term investment vehicle authorized by the Board. Cash held within the accounts of investment management firms will be managed in accordance with the guidelines established in the contractual agreement with each firm.

E. Currency Risk Management

Investment in non-dollar denominated assets introduces the risk of loss due to currency fluctuations. It shall be the responsibility of each investment manager to manage any currency risk within its portfolio according to the terms of the contract between the manager and the System. The objective of currency management is not the elimination of all currency risk, but rather the prudent management of risks associated with investing in currencies or in assets that are not denominated in U.S. Dollars.

F. Custody

The Treasurer of the State of Iowa is the custodian of the Fund. The Treasurer will hold the System's assets in a custody/record keeping account in a master custody bank located in a national money center and in the international sub-custodian banks under contract to the custodian bank. The Treasurer shall consult with the Board prior to selecting the master custody bank. A formal written agreement shall be established between the Treasurer of State and any third party custodian. The custodian bank agreement shall be reviewed periodically by the staff and Investment Board and is incorporated herein. (See Addendum E, Tab VI)

G. Securities Lending

The Investment Board may authorize the Treasurer to conduct a "Securities Lending Program" in accordance with Iowa Code § 12.8. A formal written agreement shall be established between the Treasurer of the State of Iowa and the lending agent(s) stipulating the terms of the program. The agreement(s) will be reviewed with the Investment Board and staff and will be incorporated herein. (See Addendum F, Tab VII) The securities lending program will be annually reviewed by the Board, and the ongoing operation of such program shall be subject to periodic reauthorization by the Board.

H. Proxy Voting

IPERS acknowledges that proxies are a significant and valuable tool in corporate governance and therefore have economic value. The System commits to managing its proxy voting rights with the same care, skill, diligence, and prudence as is exercised in managing its other valuable assets. As responsible fiduciaries, the System's staff, its designated voting agents, its investment managers, and the trustees or agents of the System's collective, common or pooled fund investments will exercise their proxy voting rights in the sole interest of the System's members and in accordance with the applicable statutes.

The voting rights of individual stocks held in any separate account, or any collective, common or pooled fund will be exercised by the manager, trustees or agents of said account or fund in accordance with their own proxy voting policies, upon the review and determination by the System that such proxy policies fulfill the above-stated mandates.

I. Commission Recapture and Soft Dollar Policy

The System encourages, but does not require, certain of its active equity managers to direct brokerage transactions to commission recapture brokers to the extent these brokers can provide best execution. Best execution is defined as achieving the most favorable price and execution service available, bearing in mind the System's best interests, and considering all relevant factors. The System will monitor on an ongoing basis the services provided by the commission recapture brokers so as to assure that the investment managers are securing the best execution of the fund's brokerage transactions.

All rebates or credits from commissions paid by the System's investment managers to the commission recapture brokers will be realized in cash and remitted directly to the fund. It is the System's policy to refrain from using soft dollar credits to acquire products or services to be used in the internal administration of the fund. If the generation of soft dollar credits is unavoidable in certain instances, the System will make a best effort to have the credits converted to cash and remitted directly to the fund, and failing such conversion will regularly monitor the managers' expenditure of soft dollar credits to ensure an appropriate relationship to the management of their IPERS accounts.

J. Derivatives Policy

The System recognizes that certain derivative instruments can be useful tools in managing portfolio risk and in efficiently replicating cash market positions. However, the System also recognizes that derivatives can introduce unique risks into the portfolio that must be controlled. The following guidelines shall apply to the use of derivatives by the System's managers, and are designed to provide general risk controls that apply to all managers. The System's staff and investment consultant shall establish specific guidelines in each manager's contract to control the various risks associated with the use of derivatives for a particular manager and mandate. With the exception of portable alpha strategies, a manager is only authorized to utilize the derivative instruments permitted in this Policy, and then only to the extent such usage is authorized in the manager's contract with the System.

The System defines a derivative instrument ("Derivative") to be a financial instrument with a return or value that is obtained from the return or value of another underlying financial instrument. Mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities are not considered Derivatives for the purposes of this Derivatives Policy.

The following is a list of categories of Derivatives that are permitted under this Policy:

1. Futures – Bond futures, interest rate futures, stock index futures and currency futures that are listed on major exchanges in the United States, Japan, France, the U.K., and Germany.
2. Options – Options on stocks and bonds, index options, currency options, and options on futures and swaps.
3. Currency Forward Contracts.
4. Swaps – Interest rate, currency, index, credit default, or specific security or a group of securities swaps.
5. Warrants – A manager is not permitted to purchase warrants separately. However, a manager may purchase securities that have warrants attached to them if such

securities are permitted under their contract. A manager may also hold warrants in its portfolio if such warrants were received as part of a restructuring or settlement concerning an authorized investment.

The following restrictions shall apply to any manager using Derivatives in the portfolio they manage for IPERS (in addition to any other restrictions or limitations included in the manager's contract):

1. Under no circumstances shall a manager use Derivatives for the purpose of leveraging its portfolio.
2. Prior to utilizing any Derivative, a manager shall take all steps necessary to fully understand the instrument, its potential risks and rewards, the impact adverse market conditions could have on the instrument and the overall portfolio, and to ensure that it has all of the operational and risk management capabilities required to prudently monitor and manage the Derivative.
3. A manager utilizing non-exchange traded Derivatives shall use prudent caution in selecting counterparties, and shall have written policies in place specifying how the manager will manage the credit risk of the counterparties. Such policies shall include, at a minimum, how the management firm will evaluate and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties, an explanation of how the firm will determine the maximum firm-wide net market exposure amount to each counterparty, how the firm will monitor and enforce compliance with its credit policies, and other key terms that are required to be included in non-exchange traded Derivative contracts. Staff and IPERS' investment consultant shall periodically review these policies.
4. A manager shall not invest in non-exchange traded Derivatives with a counterparty that has a rating below "A3" as defined by Moody's or "A-" as defined by Standard & Poor's (S&P). Managers shall not use unrated counterparties, nor shall they use counterparties that have a "split rating" where one of the ratings is below A3 by Moody's or A- by S&P. However, managers may utilize an unrated counterparty if the manager has documentation evidencing that a parent or affiliate of the counterparty is: a) legally bound to cover the obligations of the counterparty, and b) has a rating of at least A3 by Moody's or A- by S&P. The counterparty shall be regulated in either the United States or the United Kingdom.
5. A manager utilizing non-exchange traded Derivatives in IPERS' account shall control the counterparty credit risk of such transactions by: a) Utilizing payment netting arrangements to minimize the amount at risk; b) Performing daily marking-to-market of Derivatives contracts; and c) Requiring collateralization of net amounts owed under the contracts after meeting minimum threshold for transfers.
6. A manager shall limit the System's exposure to counterparty defaults from non-exchange traded Derivatives by limiting the dollar amount at risk with any counterparty (net of the value of any collateral held) to no more than 5% of the market value of the IPERS account for a counterparty with a rating above A by Moody's or A+ by S&P, or 3% of the market value of the IPERS account for a counterparty with a rating of or below A by Moody's or A+ by S&P. The limitations of this paragraph apply only to the net exposure attributable to non-exchange traded Derivatives.
7. Collateral provided to IPERS by counterparties under a Derivatives contract shall be delivered to and held by the System's custodian bank.

8. Managers shall reconcile cash and margin requirements concerning Derivatives on a daily basis with the System's custodian bank.
9. These restrictions do not apply to portable alpha strategies utilized by the System. In lieu of such restrictions, staff shall attempt to ensure that contracts with managers executing portable alpha strategies adequately address as many of the restrictions as possible while allowing these managers the latitude necessary to manage a portable alpha portfolio where the alpha and beta sources are in different asset classes.
10. Contracts for portable alpha portfolios will articulate the specific derivative usage allowed within the manager's strategy. Additionally, the contract will incorporate the derivatives exposure parameters for that strategy. The contract will also articulate the data to be provided to IPERS staff and consultants in order to enable sufficient monitoring and evaluation of derivatives exposures.

K. Social Investing

As fiduciaries, the IPERS Investment Board, staff and investment managers must perform their duties for the exclusive benefit and in the best economic interest of the System's members and beneficiaries. The System and the Board will not support investment policies or strategies which seek to promote specific social issues or agendas through investment or divestment of IPERS' assets. To act otherwise could be construed as a violation of fiduciary duty and could endanger the System's tax-exempt status.

L. Securities Litigation Policy

The Investment Board shall adopt a policy concerning the System's involvement in and monitoring of securities litigation. (See Addendum I, Tab X.)

M. Confidential Investment Information

Iowa Code §22.7 and §97B.17(2)e provide that certain records and information in IPERS' possession are considered confidential and thus are exceptions to Iowa's Open Records (chapter 22) laws. Included in the exceptions is information which, if released:

1. Could result in a loss to the System or to the provider of the information, and/or
2. Would give advantage to competitors and serve no public purpose, and/or
3. Would violate trade secrets which are recognized and protected by law.

While the staff shall provide the Board with all essential information about the investment program, communication of information that is confidential under the above Iowa Code provisions will be identified as such in the communication.

Iowa Code §97B.8A(5), an explicit exception to Iowa's Open Meetings (chapter 21) laws, reinforces the need and obligation to maintain the confidentiality of such information by expressly authorizing the Board to hold closed sessions for discussion of this information.

N. Code of Ethics

The Investment Board shall adopt a Code of Ethics to govern the activities of members of the Board, staff, consultants and managers as it relates to the System. (See Addendum J, Tab XI)

O. Sudan Investment Restrictions & Divestment Policy

The Iowa General Assembly enacted Senate File 361 in the 2007 legislative session requiring IPERS to develop a list of scrutinized companies with operations in the Sudan, and to restrict its purchases of, and under certain circumstances, to divest of holdings of publicly traded securities in any company determined to have active business operations in the Sudan. To comply with this legislative mandate, IPERS will do the following:

1. Staff will develop a list of scrutinized companies with active business operations and inactive business operations (as such terms are defined in the legislation) by relying solely on the research and findings of the Sudan Divestment Task Force (the "Task Force"), the organization that developed the model legislation and is considered to be an authoritative source of information in this area. This list will be updated quarterly. Until such time as the Task Force clearly delineates in its reports which scrutinized companies have active business operations in the Sudan and which companies have inactive business operations, IPERS will treat all companies on the list that are characterized by the Task Force as "Highest Offenders" as companies having active business operations in the Sudan and subject to potential investment restrictions including divestment. IPERS will rely on the advice of the Task Force as to which companies that are not included on its Highest Offenders list are considered to be companies with inactive business operations.
2. Staff will post the list of scrutinized companies on its web site within 30 days of creation or update, with such posting to include information on the names and market values of scrutinized companies held in the IPERS investment portfolio. Annual reports required by the legislation will also be posted on IPERS web site.
3. Staff will be responsible for sending all required written notices to companies on the list of scrutinized companies and for maintaining files tracking correspondence with such companies so that compliance with the legislation can be monitored.
4. Staff will contact those investment managers that invest in direct holdings of securities on behalf of IPERS, and notify them of those companies with active business operations that have become subject to investment restriction and divestment. Said investment managers will be instructed to immediately cease purchasing the publicly traded securities of such company, and to sell any existing direct holdings of such company within 18 months of the date the company was first notified. The investment manager shall have the discretion to decide when to sell its holdings within the 18 month period.
5. Staff and consultant will develop custom performance benchmarks for each investment manager to reflect the limitations, if any, that have been placed on their discretion in managing their account on behalf of IPERS. These custom benchmarks will be refreshed quarterly to reflect any changes to the list of companies with active business operations.
6. Staff and consultant will track and include in its annual reports the costs, including any opportunity costs, associated with the Sudan legislation.

7. Staff will contact investment management firms that currently manage IPERS' assets in commingled funds and request, as required by law, that they consider developing Sudan-free commingled funds for the System's possible investment. If Sudan-free alternatives are available or become available, Staff will develop an estimate of the costs that would apply in moving IPERS' investment to a Sudan-free commingled fund, and provide such estimate to the Board for its consideration. The Board shall determine if replacement with a Sudan-free commingled fund is consistent with prudent investing standards.

P. Continuing Education

The Investment Board consists of dedicated Iowans that have agreed to serve the public in the very important roles of fiduciary and trustee for the IPERS trust fund. The Board members have been entrusted with making decisions concerning complex actuarial and investment issues. However, it is recognized that Board members have varying degrees of knowledge and experience in dealing with actuarial and investment issues. Therefore, to facilitate the ongoing education of its members so that they may obtain the knowledge required to make informed decisions, the Board establishes the following continuing education guidelines applicable to all Board members:

1. In the first 12 months following appointment, a Board member is encouraged to attend one educational session concerning fiduciary duties of trustees, and is encouraged to attend one other educational session concerning asset allocation, actuarial principles, or investment policy;
2. For the period from 12 months following appointment until the end of the Board member's service on the Board, a Board member is encouraged to attend at least one educational session per year concerning any investment-related topic relevant to the IPERS trust fund.
3. An "educational session" is defined to be a conference, seminar, workshop, course or other substantive educational activity on any investment or pension fund administration subject. If possible, staff will attempt to make some educational sessions annually available in Iowa in order to meet the needs of Board member's schedules.
4. Board Members are responsible for self-evaluating their educational needs and obtaining knowledge in specific-needs areas in a fiscally responsible manner. Board Members are encouraged to engage the CEO or IPERS investment staff to assist them in determining what educational sessions are available to meet their educational needs.
5. Board members must receive approval of the Board if they wish to attend more than two educational sessions in any 12 month period. This requirement does not apply to educational sessions that do not require out-of-state travel.

IV. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE INVESTMENT BOARD AND STAFF

Successful management and oversight of IPERS' investment activities require the Investment Board and staff to have specific responsibilities, as outlined below:

A. Statutory Responsibilities

1. The Board shall annually adopt an Investment Policy and Goal Statement which is consistent with Iowa Code §§ 97B.7A and 97B.8A.
2. The Board shall at least annually conduct a review of the investment policies and procedures utilized by the System.
3. The Board shall at least annually conduct a public meeting to review the policies and the investment performance of the fund.
4. With the approval of the Board, the Treasurer of State may conduct a program of lending securities in the IPERS portfolio.
5. The Board shall review and approve, prior to the execution of a contract, the hiring of each investment manager and investment consultant outside of state government.
6. The Board shall select the actuary to be employed by the System, and shall adopt the mortality tables, actuarial methods and assumptions to be used by the actuary for the annual valuation of assets.
7. The Chief Executive Officer will consult with the Board prior to employing a Chief Investment Officer.
8. The Board shall participate in the annual performance evaluation of the Chief Investment Officer.
9. The Chief Executive Officer shall consult with the Board on the budget program for the System.
10. The Treasurer of State shall consult with the Board prior to selecting any bank or other third party for purposes of investment asset safekeeping, other custody, or settlement services.
11. The Board shall consist of seven voting members and four non-voting members as required by Iowa Code section 97B.8A. Four voting members of the Board shall constitute a quorum.
12. Staff shall provide advance notice to the public of the time, date, tentative agenda, and place of each Board meeting in compliance with Iowa Code chapter 21.
13. The Board shall set the salary of the Chief Executive Officer.

B. Operational Responsibilities

1. Upon recommendation of the staff, consultants, or of individual Board members, the Board shall periodically and as necessary adopt changes to the Investment Policy and Goal Statement, including revisions to the Policy Benchmark targets, beta portfolio components, and alpha risk budgets.

2. The Board shall review the specific types and proportions of assets being utilized in implementing the overall Policy, as established by the staff (e.g., the proportion of mortgage bonds within the Core Plus Fixed Income portfolio).
3. The Board shall periodically review the Staff's rebalancing activities and the System's compliance with Policy Benchmark Weights and Alpha Risk Targets within their designated ranges.
4. The Board shall approve the solicitation of proposals for investment managers as recommended by the staff. The staff shall have the authority to terminate, amend or renew contracts with existing managers. Staff shall inform the Board in advance of its decision to terminate a manager.
5. The Board shall approve the termination of consultants and the solicitation of proposals for consultants. The staff shall have the authority to amend or renew contracts with existing consultants.
6. The Board shall annually review the general provisions of the System's investment management contracts.
7. If the chief executive officer, chief investment officer, any investment officer or any IPERS attorney is in possession of information which would lead a reasonable person familiar with such matters to conclude that an investment or a commitment to an investment, or a decision to engage or terminate a contracted service provider, contradicts the fiduciary duties of the party or parties having the final authority to take such actions, it is the Board's expectation that the issue will be placed on a Board meeting agenda for review.
8. The Board shall hold public meetings to review the investment performance of the fund, to hear presentations from a portion of the System's investment managers, and to effect its statutory and operational responsibilities.
9. To maintain and strengthen the investment management of the System:
 - a. The Board and staff shall participate in conferences/seminars related to the investment activities of public and private institutional investors.
 - b. The staff, and as appropriate the Board, shall meet periodically with the investment managers of the fund at the firms' offices to review and clarify investment or administrative issues related to the management of the portfolio.
 - c. The staff, and as appropriate the Board, shall participate in investor meetings conducted by the various managers of the fund.

These activities shall be conducted in compliance with Iowa Code chapter 68B, the "Iowa Public Officials Act."

C. Administrative Responsibilities

1. Board meeting dates for the fiscal year shall be set by members of the Board at the first meeting of the fiscal year.

2. At the first meeting in each fiscal year, the voting Board members shall elect a chair and vice-chair.
3. Parties wishing to present items for the Board's next meeting agenda shall file a written request with the Chair at least five business days prior to the meeting. The Board may take up matters not included on its agenda.
4. To the extent there is no law, statute, or administrative rule governing a procedure, Board meetings shall be governed by the procedural rules established in the latest version of Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised.



Actuarial

Actuary's Certification Letter

Principal Valuation Results

Actuarial Balance Sheet

Solvency Test

Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data

Retirees and Beneficiaries—
Changes in Rolls

Actuarial Assumptions
and Methods



1120 South 101st Street
Suite 400
Omaha, NE 68124
USA

Tel +1 402 393 9400
Fax +1 402 393 1037

milliman.com

November 6, 2008

This report presents the results of the June 30, 2008 actuarial valuation of the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System (IPERS). The primary purposes of performing the valuation are as follows:

- to evaluate the sufficiency of the statutory contribution rate structure to fund the benefits expected to be paid to regular members in the future and to determine if the Plan's funding meets the criteria set out in the Funding Policy established by IPERS,
- to determine the actuarial contribution rates for the Special Service Groups,
- to evaluate the funded status of the System and disclose various asset and liability measures as of June 30, 2008,
- to determine the experience of the System since the last valuation, and
- to analyze and report on trends in System contributions, assets, and liabilities over the past several years.

The actuarial assumptions and methods (actuarial cost method and asset smoothing method) are unchanged from last year's report. However, several changes occurred which impacted the 2008 valuation results including:

- The valuation methodology for members with split service (service in more than one membership group) was changed.
- Legislation passed in 2008 provided for the transfer of four groups into the Special Service Group 2.
- The Department of Administrative Services reclassified the positions of 77 members, which resulted in their transfer into Special Service Group 2.

For several years, IPERS and Milliman have discussed possible refinements in the valuation process to improve the calculation of liabilities for members who have worked in more than one of the three membership groups, i.e. regular, Special Service 1 (SS1) and Special Service 2 (SS2). These members are referred to as "split service members". This issue was also raised in the actuarial audit as a possible improvement to the valuation process and is the last of the audit recommendations to be implemented. Historically, the valuation data provided to the actuary did not provide specific detail with respect to a member's service and all service had to be assumed to be in one membership group. With IPERS' new information system and improved data quality, the appropriate service credit from each membership group can now be provided in the valuation data. The detailed data allows for a more refined measurement of the benefits and liabilities of the membership groups and produces a consistent valuation of liabilities and allocation of costs. The change in the valuation procedures for split service members had no material impact on the total System unfunded actuarial liability.



With the improvement in the processing of split service members, the assets and liabilities for each member now reside in their current membership group. Effective with the June 30, 2008 valuation, a transfer of assets will be performed as of June 30th for all members whose membership group changed since the prior valuation. The purpose behind the transfer is to better match the assets and liabilities for each membership group. When members move between membership groups, an asset transfer for valuation purposes is made based on the funded ratio of their former group prior to the transfer. The asset transfer calculation is determined by multiplying the actuarial liability of the members transferring by the funded ratio of their former group just prior to the transfer. The asset values after the transfers and the liabilities for the members reside in their current membership group and are used to prepare the final valuation results.

Legislation passed in 2008 transferred four groups - (1) Emergency Medical Service (EMS) providers, (2) County Jailers, (3) County Attorney Investigators, and (4) National Guard Installation Security Officers - from regular membership to Special Service Group 2 for future service only. These combined transfers increased the active member count of the SS2 group from 4,823 to 7,062. Also, there was a reclassification of seventy-seven (77) members of the Department of Corrections which transferred these members to the SS2 group. This large influx of members into SS2 resulted in a higher contribution rate for the SS2 group. The actuarial contribution rate for SS2, which was 15.34% in this valuation, would have been 14.24% if these transfers had not occurred. Although these membership changes are effective July 1, 2008, they are reflected in this valuation because it is used to set the contribution rate for FY2010.

The statutory contribution rate for regular members had been 9.45% (3.70% for members and 5.75% for employers) since 1979. For several years, the valuation indicated the 9.45% statutory contribution rate was insufficient to finance the benefits provided by IPERS. In 2006, legislation was passed that increased the statutory contribution rate 0.50% per year for four years commencing on July 1, 2007. The increase each year is shared 40% by the members and 60% by the employers. By July 1, 2010, the statutory contribution rate will reach 11.45% of pay. Legislation passed in 2008 gave IPERS the authority to implement actuarially determined contribution rates for the regular membership group after fiscal year 2011. However, the contribution rate may not change by more than 0.50% in any single year. In the valuation, future increases in contribution rates are reflected for purposes of analyzing the long term funding of the System. However, for purposes of reporting under Governmental Accounting Standards, future increases in the contribution rate are not reflected. This valuation reflects the second scheduled increase in the contribution rate from 9.95% to 10.45%. The change in the member contribution rate results in higher projected refunds for members who terminate active employment because the amount of employer money refunded increases. The higher benefits translate to higher liabilities and a slightly higher normal cost.

The actuarial valuation results provide a "snapshot" view of the System's financial condition on June 30, 2008. The results reflect net unfavorable experience for the past plan year as demonstrated by an unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) that was higher than expected, based on actuarial assumptions. The UAL on June 30, 2008 for all membership groups covered by IPERS (regular members and both Special Service Groups) is \$2.665 billion as compared to an expected UAL of \$2.446 billion. The unfavorable experience was the sum of an experience loss of \$5 million on the actuarial value of assets and an experience loss of \$214 million on System liabilities.



November 6, 2008

Page 3

The summary of the 2008 valuation results are shown below:

| Contribution Rate for FY10 | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Regular Membership | Special Service Group 1* | Special Service Group 2** |
| 1. Normal Cost Rate | 9.90% | 15.57% | 16.00% |
| 2. Amortization of UAL over 30 years | 2.44% | (0.33%) | (0.66%) |
| 3. Total Contribution Rate | 12.34% | 15.24% | 15.34% |
| 4. Member Contribution Rate | 4.30% | 7.62% | 6.14% |
| 5. Employer Contribution Rate (3) - (4) | 8.04% | 7.62% | 9.20% |
| 6. Statutory/Expected Contribution | 6.65% | 7.62% | 9.20% |
| 7. Shortfall (5) - (6) | 1.39% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 8. Years to Amortize (Based on (6)) | Infinite | 30 | 30 |
| 9. Unfunded Actuarial Liability (\$M) | \$2,712 | (5.6) | (41.5) |
| 10. Funded Ratio | 88.4% | 101.5% | 105.1% |
| * Includes Sheriffs and Deputies | | | |
| ** Includes all other public safety members | | | |

Actuarial Value of Net Assets

For financial statement purposes, the System's assets are reported at current fair values. For actuarial purposes, the assets are valued using a technique which dampens the volatility in the fair value. Specifically, the assets are valued at their expected value at the valuation date (based on the assumed rate of investment return) plus 25% of the difference between the fair value and the expected value on the valuation date. The actuarial value of assets must fall within a corridor of 80% to 120% of market value. Based on this methodology, there was a very small actuarial loss on assets of \$5 million. Between June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2008, the actuarial value of assets increased by \$1.1 billion. This represented an approximate rate of return of 7.5%, the same as the actuarial assumed rate of 7.5%.

Liabilities

The actuarial liability is that portion of the present value of future benefits that will not be paid by future normal costs. The difference between this liability and the actuarial value of assets at the same date is called the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). The dollar amount of unfunded actuarial liability is reduced if the contributions to the System exceed the normal cost for the year plus interest on the prior year's UAL.

The unfunded actuarial liability by group is shown as of June 30, 2008 below:

| <i>(\$Millions)</i> | Regular Membership | Special Service 1 | Special Service 2 | Total* |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Actuarial Liability | \$23,333 | \$374 | \$815 | \$24,522 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 20,621 | 380 | 857 | 21,857 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability | 2,712 | (6) | (42) | 2,665 |
| Funded Ratio | 88.4% | 101.6% | 105.2% | 89.1% |

*Totals may not add due to rounding.



November 6, 2008

Page 4

Actuarial gains (losses) result from actual experience that is more (less) favorable than anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions. These "experience" (or actuarial) gains or losses are reflected in the UAL and are measured as the difference between the expected unfunded actuarial liability and the actual unfunded actuarial liability, taking into account any changes due to assumption or benefit provision changes. Overall, the System experienced a net actuarial loss of \$219 million.

Numerous factors contributed to the change in the System's unfunded actuarial liability between June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2008, which is shown below (in millions):

| | |
|---|----------|
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability, June 30, 2007 | \$ 2,266 |
| • Expected increase from amortization method | + 44 |
| • Expected increase from contributions below actuarial rate | + 127 |
| • Investment experience | + 5 |
| • Liability and other experience | + 214 |
| • Change in split service methodology | + 3 |
| • Benefit enhancements (transfers among membership groups) | + 6 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability <u>before</u> FED transfer, June 30, 2008 | \$ 2,665 |
| • FED Transfer | + 0 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability <u>after</u> FED transfer, June 30, 2008 | \$ 2,665 |

Contribution Rate

Under the Entry Age Normal cost method, the actuarial contribution rate consists of two components:

- a "normal cost" for the portion of projected liabilities allocated by the actuarial cost method to service of members during the year following the valuation date, and
- an "unfunded actuarial liability contribution" for the excess of the portion of projected liabilities allocated to service to date over the actuarial value of assets on hand.

Although the entry age normal cost method develops a normal cost rate that is expected to be relatively level, it will fluctuate from year to year depending on the demographic composition of the active members. This year as in past years, the normal cost rate for regular members increased slightly from 9.80% to 9.84% due to changes in the demographic composition of the regular membership group.

In 2006 and 2008, legislation was passed that increased the statutory contribution rate for regular members as shown in the following table:

| Contribution Rates | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Time Period | Member | Employer | Total |
| Prior to 7/1/07 | 3.70% | 5.75% | 9.45% |
| 7/1/07 – 6/30/08 | 3.90% | 6.05% | 9.95% |
| 7/1/08 – 6/30/09 | 4.10% | 6.35% | 10.45% |
| 7/1/09 – 6/30/10 | 4.30% | 6.65% | 10.95% |
| 7/1/10 – 6/30/11 | 4.50% | 6.95% | 11.45% |
| 7/1/11 and later | Actuarially Determined* | | |

*May not change by more than 0.50% per year.

The higher contribution rates result in higher benefits for members who elect a refund of contributions because the amount of employer money refunded is higher. As a result, the normal cost rate for regular members increased 0.06% from 9.84% to 9.90%.



November 6, 2008
Page 5

Even with the 0.50% increase in the statutory contribution rate this year, only a small part of the total contribution rate is available to fund the UAL. If future increases in the statutory contribution rate for regular members are considered, higher contributions are available to fund the UAL. However, given the return on the market value of assets for FY2008 of -1.33%, preliminary projections indicate that additional increases beyond FY2011 will be necessary for the actual contribution rate to reach the actuarial contribution rate. These projections are based on the projection model prepared in conjunction with the 2007 valuation. Updated estimates will be run once the 2008 model has been completed. The actuarial contribution rates in years after FY2011 will be heavily dependent on future investment experience, especially that which occurs in the next few years. The situation should continue to be monitored closely.

This valuation calculates the actuarially determined contribution rates effective July 1, 2009 for the year ending June 30, 2010. Most IPERS members (regular members who represent 95% of total active members) have historically contributed 3.7% of pay and employers have contributed 5.75%, for a total of 9.45%. The regular members contribute according to the Schedule shown earlier. The remaining 5% of the active members, the Special Service groups, contribute at an actuarially determined rate which changes each year.

The contribution rates are summarized in the following table:

| Contribution Rate for FYE 2010 | Regular Membership | Special Service 1 | Special Service 2 |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Total Actuarial Contribution Rate | 12.34% | 15.24% | 15.34% |
| 2. Member Contribution Rate | <u>4.30%</u> | <u>7.62%</u> | <u>6.14%</u> |
| 3. Employer Contribution Rate (1) – (2) | 8.04% | 7.62% | 9.20% |
| 4. Employer Statutory Contribution Rate | <u>6.65%</u> | <u>7.62%</u> | <u>9.20%</u> |
| 5. Shortfall (3) – (4) | 1.39% | 0.00% | 0.00% |

IPERS adopted its Funding Policy in 1996. The purpose of the Funding Policy is to provide a basis for the evaluation of the System's funded status and to provide a set of safeguards to help ensure the financial solvency of the System. The Funding Policy defines the term "fully funded" to mean the current actuarial value of assets plus the present value of future expected contributions is equal to or greater than the present value of future benefit payments. There is an additional requirement that the amortization period not exceed 30 years in order for the System to be "fully funded".

Based on the current UAL amount and amortization payment for FY10, the amortization period is infinite. In order for the System to be "fully funded" in the current valuation (the amortization period to be 30 years), the resulting contribution rate would need to increase by 1.39% to 12.34% of payroll. This rate is determined based on the snapshot of the System taken on the valuation date, June 30, 2008, and applies only for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009. The rate necessary for the System to continue to be "fully funded" in future years will change each year as the deferred actuarial investment experience is recognized and other experience (both investment and demographic) impacts the System.

Summary

The 2008 valuation results reflect several changes from the prior valuation. More detailed valuation data for split service members permitted a refinement in the valuation procedures for these members to be implemented with the 2008 valuation. The overall impact on the System's funding was not material, but the new procedures provide a better match of the assets and liabilities of each membership group.

Legislation passed in 2008 moved four groups from regular membership to the SS2 group. As a result, the number of active members in that group increased by around 2,200, over a 45% increase in the size of the group. The net impact of these members moving to SS2 was a lower funded ratio and a higher actuarial contribution rate.



November 6, 2008
Page 6

The System's funded ratio continued to hold steady near 90%. If the contribution rate were determined in this year's valuation with an amortization period of 30 years (which is the requirement in IPERS' Funding Policy for the System to be "fully funded"), the FYE 2010 contribution rate would be 12.34% of payroll, as compared to the statutory FYE 2010 contribution rate of 10.95%. This rate is determined based on the snapshot of the System taken on the valuation date, June 30, 2008, and applies only for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009. The rate necessary for the System to continue to be "fully funded" in future years will change each year as the deferred actuarial investment experience is recognized and as other experience (both investment and demographic) impacts the System.

The 2006 Legislature passed legislation that increased the statutory contribution rate from 9.45% to 11.45% over a four year period commencing July 1, 2007. This change made a significant improvement to the long-term funding of the System by creating a larger contribution amount to be used to pay off the unfunded actuarial liability. Legislation passed in 2008 provides IPERS with the authority to implement actuarially determined contribution rates for regular members, but the change in the contribution rate cannot exceed 0.50% per year. This should also strengthen the long-term funding of the System.

Unfavorable investment experience for FY2008 effectively eliminated the difference between the market and actuarial value of assets. Market experience in the first few months of FY2009 has also been unfavorable. Given this situation, it is unlikely that a contribution rate of 11.45% will be sufficient to fund the System in the short-term and increases beyond FY2011 will probably be necessary. Actual investment return in future years will have a dramatic impact on the long term actuarial contribution rate necessary to finance the benefit structure.

In performing the valuation, we have relied upon membership and financial data reported to us by the System. We did not verify the accuracy of the information but did review it for reasonableness in relation to the data submitted for previous years. We provided the Principal Valuation Results, the Actuarial Balance Sheet and the Solvency Test in the Actuarial section. We also provided some information in the Financial section, including the Schedule of Funding Progress and the contribution rates used to calculate the actuarially required contribution on the Schedule of Employer Contributions.

On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this information is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the Actuarial Standards of Practice promulgated by the Actuarial Standards Board and the applicable Guides to Professional Conduct, amplifying Opinions, and supporting Recommendations of the American Academy of Actuaries.

We further certify that all costs, liabilities, rates of interest and other factors for the System have been determined on the basis of actuarial assumptions and methods which are individually reasonable (taking into account the experience of the System and reasonable expectations of future experience); and which, in combination, offer our best estimate of anticipated experience affecting the System. Nevertheless, the emerging costs will vary from those presented in this report to the extent actual experience differs from that projected by the actuarial assumptions. The Investment Board has the final decision regarding the appropriateness of the assumptions and adopted them as of the dates shown for each assumption.

We also hereby certify that the assumptions and methods used for determining the funding requirements used in the preparation of the disclosure information under GASB Statement 25 meet the parameters imposed by the Statement.

Actuarial computations presented in the 2008 actuarial valuation report are for purposes of determining the recommended funding amounts for the System. Actuarial computations under GASB Statement No. 25 are for purposes of fulfilling financial accounting requirement. The computations prepared for these two purposes may differ as disclosed in the 2008 actuarial valuation report. The calculations have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the System's funding requirements and goals, and of GASB Statement No. 25. Determinations for purposes other than meeting these requirements may be significantly different from the results shown in the 2008 actuarial valuation report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes.



November 6, 2008
Page 7

In conclusion, on the following page we present comparative statistics and actuarial information on both the June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007 valuations. All figures shown include the regular membership and the two Special Service Groups. The membership counts reflect the data as of June 30, appropriate for valuation purposes, and may differ from other reporting numbers.

I, Patrice A. Beckham, F.S.A., am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and a Fellow of the Society of Actuaries, and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Respectfully Submitted,

MILLIMAN, INC.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Patrice Beckham".

Patrice A. Beckham, F.S.A.
Consulting Actuary

PRINCIPAL VALUATION RESULTS

| | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2007 | % Chg |
|---|---------------|---------------|-------|
| SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP | | | |
| 1. Active Membership | | | |
| - Number of Members (excluding Retired/Reemployed) | 167,823 | 165,216 | 1.6 |
| - Projected Payroll for Upcoming Fiscal Year | \$6,464M | \$6,049M | 6.9 |
| - Average Salary | \$38,515 | \$36,615 | 5.2 |
| 2. Inactive Membership | | | |
| - Number Not in Pay Status | 64,348 | 62,291 | 3.3 |
| - Number of Retirees/Beneficiaries | 87,309 | 84,770 | 3.0 |
| - Average Annual Benefit | \$11,927 | \$11,404 | 4.6 |
| ASSETS AND LIABILITIES | | | |
| 1. Net Assets (excluding FED reserve) | | | |
| - Market Value | \$21,844M | \$22,624M | (3.4) |
| - Actuarial Value | 21,857M | 20,760M | 5.3 |
| 2. Projected Liabilities | | | |
| - Retired Members | \$9,923M | \$9,217M | 7.7 |
| - Inactive Members | 510M | 483M | 5.6 |
| - Active Members | 19,235M | 18,088M | 6.3 |
| - Total Liability | 29,668M | 27,788M | 6.8 |
| 3. Actuarial Liability | \$24,522M | \$23,026M | 6.5 |
| 4. Unfunded Actuarial Liability | \$2,665M | \$2,266M | 17.6 |
| 5. Funded Ratio (Actuarial Value Assets/Actuarial Liability) | 89.13% | 90.16% | (1.1) |
| SYSTEM CONTRIBUTIONS | | | |
| Statutory Contribution Rate* | 10.95% | 10.45% | 4.8 |
| Years Required to Amortize Unfunded Actuarial Liability | Infinite | Infinite | N/A |
| Total Actuarial Contribution Rate | 12.34% | 12.02% | 2.7 |
| Member Contribution Rate | 4.30% | 4.10% | 4.9 |
| Employer Contribution Rate | 8.04% | 7.92% | 1.5 |

M = (\$) Millions

*Contribution rates for certain special groups (5% of membership) are not fixed by statute but are actuarially determined each year. The statutory rate is increasing starting with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2007.

Note: For valuation purposes, the data provided by IPERS was reclassified by Milliman into the membership category that would most accurately reflect the actuarial liability of the individual member on the valuation date. As a result, the counts shown in this exhibit may vary from those shown in other sections of this report.

ACTUARIAL BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2008

| | Regular Membership | Special Service Group 1* | Special Service Group 2** | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | | | |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$20,620,880,399 | \$379,628,831 | \$856,913,953 | \$21,857,423,183 |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs | 4,629,710,994 | 105,595,535 | 410,183,799 | 5,145,490,328 |
| Present Value of Future Contributions to Amortize Unfunded Actuarial Liability | 2,711,890,916 | (5,562,470) | (41,535,040) | 2,664,793,406 |
| Total Net Assets | \$27,962,482,309 | \$479,661,896 | \$1,225,562,712 | \$29,667,706,917 |
| <u>LIABILITIES</u> | | | | |
| Present Value of Future Benefits: | | | | |
| Retired Members and Beneficiaries | \$9,611,150,768 | \$119,881,091 | \$191,726,385 | \$9,922,758,244 |
| Active Members | 17,864,200,628 | 354,489,808 | 1,016,186,481 | 19,234,876,917 |
| Inactive Members | 487,130,913 | 5,290,997 | 17,649,846 | 510,071,756 |
| Total Liabilities | \$27,962,482,309 | \$479,661,896 | \$1,225,562,712 | \$29,667,706,917 |

* Includes Sheriffs and Deputies

** Includes all other public safety members

SOLVENCY TEST

A short-term solvency test, which is one means of determining a system's progress under its funding program, compares the plan's present assets with: 1) the liability for active member contributions on deposit; 2) the liability for future benefits to present retirees; and 3) the liability for service already rendered by active members. In a system that has been following the level percent of payroll financing discipline, the obligation for active member contributions on deposit (Item 1) and the liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives (Item 2) will be fully covered by present assets with the exception of rare circumstances. The obligation for service already rendered by active members (Item 3) will be partially covered by the remainder of present assets. Absent any significant benefit changes, if the system has been using level cost financing, the funded portion of Item 3 usually will increase over a period of time.

| Actuarial Valuation | Active Member Contributions | Retirees and Beneficiaries | Active Members Employer Financed Portion | Actuarial Value of Assets | Portions of Liabilities Covered by Assets | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|------|-----|
| | (1) | | (2) | | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 2008 | \$3,343,722,874 | \$9,922,758,244 | \$11,255,735,471 | \$21,857,423,183 | 100% | 100% | 76% |
| 2007 | 3,165,389,448 | 9,217,242,773 | 10,643,481,561 | 20,759,628,415 | 100% | 100% | 79% |
| 2006 | 3,027,543,237 | 8,448,946,704 | 10,174,632,478 | 19,144,036,519 | 100% | 100% | 75% |
| 2005 | 2,891,029,224 | 7,841,276,253 | 9,507,793,190 | 17,951,490,071 | 100% | 100% | 76% |
| 2004 | 2,806,441,058 | 7,255,282,305 | 9,066,687,243 | 16,951,942,539 | 100% | 100% | 76% |
| 2003 | 2,717,148,747 | 6,713,971,820 | 8,556,254,393 | 16,120,476,011 | 100% | 100% | 78% |

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVE MEMBER VALUATION DATA

| Year Ended | Number | Annual Payroll | Annual Average Pay | Percentage Increase in Average Pay |
|------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2003 | 159,353 | \$4,881,100,238 | \$30,631 | 2.33 |
| 2004 | 160,034 | 5,072,027,906 | 31,693 | 3.47 |
| 2005 | 160,905 | 5,236,860,886 | 32,546 | 2.69 |
| 2006 | 163,091 | 5,523,863,321 | 33,870 | 4.07 |
| 2007 | 165,241 | 5,781,706,199 | 34,990 | 3.31 |
| 2008 | 167,850 | 6,131,445,367 | 36,529 | 4.40 |

RETIREES AND BENEFICIARIES – CHANGES IN ROLLS

| Schedule of Retirees Added to and Removed from Rolls | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Year Ended | Added to Rolls | | Removed from Rolls | | Rolls at Year-End | | Percentage Increase in Annual Allowances | Average Annual Allowances |
| | Number* | Annual Allowances | Number | Annual Allowances | Number | Annual Allowances | | |
| 2003 | 4,712 | \$44,713,938 | 2,255 | \$ 8,570,379 | 71,436 | \$ 685,794,469 | 5.56 | \$ 9,600 |
| 2004 | 4,943 | 62,470,578 | 2,531 | 8,627,012 | 73,848 | 739,638,035 | 7.85 | 10,016 |
| 2005 | 4,879 | 76,691,638 | 2,424 | 8,930,349 | 76,303 | 807,399,324 | 9.16 | 10,581 |
| 2006 | 4,892 | 71,906,397 | 2,510 | 10,155,290 | 78,685 | 869,150,431 | 7.65 | 11,046 |
| 2007 | 5,246 | 88,603,387 | 2,718 | 10,883,501 | 81,213 | 946,870,317 | 8.94 | 11,659 |
| 2008 | 5,098 | 92,991,307 | 2,687 | 10,789,250 | 83,624 | 1,029,072,374 | 8.68 | 12,306 |

*The number of retirees added to rolls may not equal the number of new retirees reported in other sections of the CAFR due to retirees that began payments in FY08 but were not receiving payments at the end of FY08. These retirees are included in the "added to rolls" and the "removed from rolls" columns of this table; whereas, only new retirees that were receiving benefits as of fiscal year end are reported elsewhere throughout the CAFR.

| Schedule of Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Rolls | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Year Ended | Added to Rolls | | Removed from Rolls | | Rolls at Year-End | | Percentage Increase in Annual Allowances | Average Annual Allowances |
| | Number | Annual Allowances | Number | Annual Allowances | Number | Annual Allowances | | |
| 2003 | 351 | \$1,751,698 | 187 | \$631,929 | 2,900 | \$20,426,137 | 5.80 | \$7,043 |
| 2004 | 398 | 3,053,995 | 185 | 563,755 | 3,113 | 22,916,377 | 12.19 | 7,362 |
| 2005 | 386 | 2,964,764 | 198 | 724,001 | 3,301 | 25,157,140 | 9.78 | 7,621 |
| 2006 | 390 | 3,237,968 | 172 | 681,014 | 3,519 | 27,714,094 | 10.16 | 7,876 |
| 2007 | 374 | 3,299,984 | 157 | 857,512 | 3,736 | 30,156,566 | 8.81 | 8,072 |
| 2008 | 335 | 3,673,542 | 205 | 934,239 | 3,866 | 32,895,869 | 9.08 | 8,509 |

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS:

Rate of Inflation (effective June 30, 2006)

3.25% per annum

Rate of Crediting Interest on Contribution Balances (effective June 30, 2006)

4.00% per annum, compounded annually

Rate of Investment Return (effective June 30, 1996)

7.50% per annum, compounded annually, net of expenses

Wage Growth Assumption (effective June 30, 1999)*

4.00% per annum based on 3.25% inflation assumption and 0.75% real wage inflation

*Total of 4.00% did not change but the components changed June 30, 2006

Payroll Increase Assumption (effective June 30, 1999)

4.00% per year

DEMOGRAPHIC ASSUMPTIONS:

Rates of Mortality (effective June 30, 2002)

| | <u>Regular Membership</u> | <u>Special Service Groups</u> |
|-------------------|--|---|
| Males: | Retirees: RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table, Set Forward One Year | RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table, Set Forward Three Years |
| | Actives: RP-2000 Employee Table, Set Forward One Year | RP-2000 Employee Table, Set Forward Three Years |
| Females: | Retirees: RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table, Set Back Two Years | RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table, No Age Adjustment |
| | Actives: RP-2000 Employee Table, Set Back Two Years | RP-2000 Employee Table, No Age Adjustment |
| | The RP-2000 Tables are used with generational mortality | |
| Beneficiaries: | Same as members | Same as members |
| Disabled Members: | Annual rates are the greater of 3% or 2.5% plus the corresponding non-disabled rate (based on GAM 94 for males, 95% of GAM 94 for females) | Same as healthy members, Set forward 6 years |

For Special Service Groups active members, 5% of deaths are assumed to be service related.

Retirement Rates (effective June 30, 2002)

Upon meeting the requirements for early retirement, the following rates apply to regular members:

| <u>Age</u> | <u>Assumed Retirement Rate</u> |
|------------|--------------------------------|
| 55-59 | 5% |
| 60 | 10% |
| 61 | 15% |
| 62 | 25% |
| 63-64 | 20% |

Upon reaching the requirements for normal retirement, the following rates apply:

| <u>Age</u> | <u>Assumed Retirement Rates</u> | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | <u>1st Year Eligible</u> | <u>After 1st Year</u> | <u>Special Service Groups</u> |
| 55 | 20% | 10% | 15% |
| 56 | 20% | 10% | 10% |
| 57-59 | 20% | 20% | 10% |
| 60 | 25% | 25% | 10% |
| 61 | 35% | 30% | 20% |
| 62 | 50% | 40% | 35% |
| 63 | 35% | 30% | 20% |
| 64 | 35% | 35% | 35% |
| 65 | 30% | 45% | 100% |
| 66 | 20% | 20% | 100% |
| 67-68 | 15% | 15% | 100% |
| 69 | 15% | 35% | 100% |
| 70+ | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Special Service Group 1 ages 50 to 55 with 22 years of service: 30%

Terminated vested members are assumed to retire at age 62 (55 for Special Service Groups).

For regular membership, retired reemployed members are assumed to retire at a rate of 25% per year until age 80 when all are assumed to retire.

Rates of Disablement (effective June 30, 1999, for Regular Membership),
(effective June 30, 2006, for Special Service Groups)

| <u>Age</u> | <u>Annual Rate Per 1,000 Members</u> | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | <u>Males</u> | <u>Females</u> | <u>Special Service Groups</u> |
| 27 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| 32 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| 37 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
| 42 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.5 |
| 47 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 6.5 |
| 52 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 14.6 |
| 57 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 26.0 |
| 62 | 9.0 | 6.2 | 48.7 |

Rates of Termination of Employment (effective June 30, 2002)

Regular Membership

| | | Annual Rate of Withdrawals Per 1,000 Members | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|---|---------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Males: | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Age</u> | <u>Years 0-1</u> | <u>Year 2</u> | <u>Year 3</u> | <u>Years 4-6</u> | <u>Years 7-8</u> | <u>Years 9+</u> |
| | 22 | 330.0 | 250.0 | 165.0 | 165.0 | 110.0 | 66.0 |
| | 27 | 231.0 | 145.0 | 121.0 | 99.0 | 88.0 | 66.0 |
| | 32 | 198.0 | 145.0 | 110.0 | 74.8 | 55.0 | 38.5 |
| | 37 | 195.8 | 140.0 | 110.0 | 74.8 | 49.5 | 33.0 |
| | 42 | 195.8 | 140.0 | 110.0 | 74.8 | 49.5 | 25.3 |
| | 47 | 195.8 | 130.0 | 99.0 | 74.8 | 49.5 | 19.8 |
| | 52 | 176.0 | 110.0 | 77.0 | 74.8 | 49.5 | 19.8 |
| | 55+ | 165.0 | 110.0 | 55.0 | 74.8 | 49.5 | 19.8 |
| Females: | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Age</u> | <u>Years 0-1</u> | <u>Year 2</u> | <u>Year 3</u> | <u>Years 4-6</u> | <u>Years 7-8</u> | <u>Years 9+</u> |
| | 22 | 330.0 | 250.0 | 220.0 | 220.0 | 165.0 | 55.0 |
| | 27 | 275.0 | 170.0 | 140.0 | 110.0 | 99.0 | 55.0 |
| | 32 | 247.5 | 170.0 | 140.0 | 104.5 | 71.5 | 49.5 |
| | 37 | 198.0 | 150.0 | 110.0 | 104.5 | 66.0 | 36.3 |
| | 42 | 198.0 | 150.0 | 110.0 | 88.0 | 60.5 | 30.8 |
| | 47 | 198.0 | 130.0 | 110.0 | 82.5 | 49.5 | 25.3 |
| | 52 | 198.0 | 130.0 | 110.0 | 82.5 | 49.5 | 25.3 |
| | 55+ | 198.0 | 130.0 | 110.0 | 82.5 | 49.5 | 25.3 |

Special Service Groups

| <u>Age</u> | <u>Annual Rate of Withdrawals Per 1,000 Members</u> |
|------------|---|
| 22 | 90 |
| 27 | 70 |
| 32 | 35 |
| 37 | 35 |
| 42 | 35 |
| 47 | 35 |
| 52 | 30 |

Probability of Electing a Vested Benefit (effective June 30, 2002)

| <u>Years of Service</u> | <u>Regular Membership</u> | | <u>Special Service Groups</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | <u>Males</u> | <u>Females</u> | |
| 5 | 61% | 70% | 53% |
| 10 | 66% | 73% | 65% |
| 15 | 71% | 80% | 85% |
| 20 | 76% | 85% | 95% |
| 25 | 80% | 90% | 100% |
| 30 | 80% | 90% | 100% |

Rates of Salary Increase* (effective June 30, 2006)

| <u>Years of Service</u> | <u>Annual Increase</u> | <u>Years of Service</u> | <u>Annual Increase</u> | <u>Years of Service</u> | <u>Annual Increase</u> |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | | 11 | 5.3% | 22 | 4.5% |
| Under 2 | 12.0% | 12 | 5.2% | 23 | 4.4% |
| 2 | 9.5% | 13 | 5.1% | 24 | 4.4% |
| 3 | 7.7% | 14 | 5.0% | 25 | 4.4% |
| 4 | 7.1% | 15 | 4.9% | 26 | 4.3% |
| 5 | 6.6% | 16 | 4.8% | 27 | 4.3% |
| 6 | 6.1% | 17 | 4.7% | 28 | 4.2% |
| 7 | 5.9% | 18 | 4.6% | 29 | 4.1% |
| 8 | 5.7% | 19 | 4.6% | 30 | 4.0% |
| 9 | 5.5% | 20 | 4.5% | Over 30 | 4.0% |
| 10 | 5.4% | 21 | 4.5% | | |

*Includes 4.0% wage growth.

ACTUARIAL COST METHOD

The actuarial cost method is a procedure for allocating the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses to time periods. The method used for the valuation is known as the entry age normal actuarial cost method. Under this method, a total contribution rate is determined which consists of two parts: (i) the normal cost rate and (ii) the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) rate. The entry age normal cost method has the following characteristics:

- (i) The annual normal costs for each individual active member are sufficient to accumulate the value of the member's pension at time of retirement.
- (ii) Each annual normal cost is a constant percentage of the member's year-by-year projected compensation rates.

The entry age normal actuarial cost method allocates the actuarial present value of each member's projected benefits on a level basis over the member's compensation rates between the entry age of the member and the assumed exit ages.

The portion of the actuarial present value allocated to the valuation year is called the normal cost. The portion of the actuarial present value not provided for by the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the actuarial accrued liability. Deducting the actuarial value of assets from the actuarial accrued liability determines the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). For regular members, the difference between the statutory contribution rate and the normal cost rate is used to finance the UAL and the number of years necessary to finance the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a level percent of member payroll is determined. For Special Service members, the contribution rate is the sum of the normal cost rate and the rate required to amortize the UAL or surplus over 30 years.

ASSET SMOOTHING METHOD

The market value of assets, representing a fair value of System assets, may not necessarily be the best measure of the System's ongoing ability to meet its obligations.

To arrive at a suitable value for the actuarial valuation, a technique for determining the actuarial value of assets is used which dampens volatility in the market value while still indirectly recognizing market value. The specific technique follows:

- Step 1:** Determine the expected value of plan assets at the current valuation date using the actuarial assumption for investment return and the actual receipts and disbursements of the Fund for the previous 12 months.
- Step 2:** Subtract the expected value determined in Step 1 from the total market value of the Fund at the current valuation date.
- Step 3:** Multiply the difference between market and expected values determined in Step 2 by 25%.
- Step 4:** Add the expected value of Step 1 and the product of Step 3 to determine the actuarial value of assets.
- Step 5:** Verify the preliminary actuarial value of assets in Step 4 is not more than 120% of the market value of assets nor less than 80% of the market value. If it is, adjust the actuarial value of assets so it falls within the 80% – 120% corridor.



Statistical

Statistical Overview

Membership Summary

Growth of Net Investment Portfolio Assets

Investment Returns 1981–2008

Statistical Overview

Objectives

The objectives of the Statistical section are to provide additional detail and historic context to enable a financial statement user to better assess and understand the System's financial condition. Data in this section is presented in multiple-year format to enable the assessment of trends. In implementing GASB Statement No. 44, *Economic Condition Reporting: The Statistical Section*, the intent was to improve consistency and comparability in financial reporting for all governmental entities.

IPERS has reviewed GASB Statement No. 43, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans* (OPEB). IPERS does not have any OPEB liabilities relating to the IPERS membership and expects none going forward. However, IPERS does have OPEB liabilities related to IPERS employees. OPEB liabilities of approximately \$44,000 have been recorded under GASB Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. These liabilities have been reported in accounts payable and accrued expenses, as discussed on page 54 of the Financial section.

Tables related to types of refunds have not been included in the Statistical section because IPERS pays only one type of refund.

Data for the Statistical section is derived from financial statements, an actuary member file, and an actuary retirement file, all prepared by IPERS. The data in the actuary files is also used by IPERS' actuaries to prepare the annual actuarial valuation. The investment data in the Statistical section is provided by Wilshire Associates Inc., IPERS' general investment consultant.

IPERS uses several data extraction and statistical tools to produce the information for the Statistical section. In some cases, data is imported into Microsoft Excel for further analysis and calculations.

Active members are defined as those with reported wages in the last quarter of the fiscal year.

Retired members and beneficiaries are those who were paid benefits in the last month of the fiscal year. Unless specifically noted, references to retirees throughout this report include beneficiaries.

Data Sources

Methods

Assumptions

Changes in Net Assets

(Dollars expressed in thousands)

Fiscal years ended June 30

| | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Additions | | | | | |
| Member contributions | \$ 163,289 | \$ 168,847 | \$ 178,877 | \$ 185,788 | \$ 185,431 |
| Employer contributions | 244,933 | 253,271 | 268,315 | 278,683 | 287,523 |
| QBA Fund contributions ^a | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Membership credit buy-backs/buy-ins | 19,170 ^d | 7,295 | 3,847 | 4,983 | 12,031 ^d |
| Net investment income/(loss) | 1,691,099 | 1,990,367 | (989,190) | (772,386) | 814,808 |
| QBA income | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Other | --- | 97 | 65 | 68 | 8,952 ^f |
| Total additions | 2,118,491 | 2,419,877 | (538,086) | (302,864) | 1,308,745 |
| Deductions | | | | | |
| Trust Fund benefits ^b | 466,753 | 533,747 | 624,260 | 705,768 | 736,331 |
| QBA Fund benefits ^c | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Refunds | 14,442 ^e | 65,609 ^e | 42,074 | 37,915 | 35,591 |
| Trust Fund administrative expenses | 4,620 | 5,866 | 7,264 | 7,581 | 8,041 |
| QBA Fund administrative expenses | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total deductions | 485,815 | 605,222 | 673,598 | 751,264 | 779,963 |
| Change in net assets | \$1,632,676 | \$1,814,655 | \$(1,211,684) | \$(1,054,128) | \$ 528,782 |

(Continued on page 125)

^aIPERS began collecting QBA contributions in FY2006 (see Note 10, page 59).

^bA Schedule of Benefit Payments by Type of Benefit is found on page 132.

^cIPERS began paying QBA benefits in FY2006 (see Note 10, page 59).

^dThe volume of service purchases has fluctuated due to changes in service purchase cost methods.

^eLegislation passed in 1998 increased the refund payable amount for vested members effective 7/1/99. Many members delayed receiving their refund to take advantage of the legislative enhancement. Therefore, the refunds paid decreased significantly for FY1999 and increased significantly for FY2000.

^fIncrease is due to a transfer of \$8,879,964 from the Cedar Rapids Water Works Retirement System to fund accrued actuarial liabilities assumed by IPERS for participants of the system.

Changes in Net Assets (Continued)

(Dollars expressed in thousands)

Fiscal years ended June 30

| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Additions | | | | | |
| Member contributions | \$ 192,808 | \$ 202,607 | \$ 211,522 | \$ 223,515 | \$ 245,898 |
| Employer contributions | 298,924 | 310,843 | 324,656 | 343,063 | 377,397 |
| QBA Fund contributions ^a | --- | --- | 35 | --- | 20 |
| Membership credit buy-backs/buy-ins | 14,903 | 11,217 | 11,275 | 8,026 | 10,875 |
| Net investment income/(loss) | 2,177,265 | 1,912,489 | 2,065,520 | 3,298,842 | (338,575) |
| QBA income | --- | --- | 1 | 1 | --- |
| Other | 72 | 42 | --- | --- | --- |
| Total additions | 2,683,972 | 2,437,198 | 2,613,009 | 3,873,447 | 295,615 |
| Deductions | | | | | |
| Trust Fund benefits ^b | 792,866 | 868,558 | 924,361 | 1,013,956 | 1,096,078 |
| QBA Fund benefits ^c | --- | --- | 17 | 17 | 20 |
| Refunds | 36,430 | 43,113 | 41,668 | 38,116 | 36,205 |
| Trust Fund administrative expenses | 7,960 | 8,215 | 9,321 | 9,060 | 9,884 |
| QBA Fund administrative expenses | --- | --- | --- | 1 | 1 |
| Total deductions | 837,256 | 919,886 | 975,367 | 1,061,150 | 1,142,188 |
| Change in net assets | \$1,846,716 | \$1,517,312 | \$1,637,642 | \$2,812,297 | \$(846,573) |

^aIPERS began collecting QBA contributions in FY2006 (see Note 10, page 59).

^bA Schedule of Benefit Payments by Type of Benefit is found on page 132.

^cIPERS began paying QBA benefits in FY2006 (see Note 10, page 59).

^dThe volume of service purchases has fluctuated due to changes in service purchase cost methods.

^eLegislation passed in 1998 increased the refund payable amount for vested members effective 7/1/99. Many members delayed receiving their refund to take advantage of the legislative enhancement. Therefore, the refunds paid decreased significantly for FY1999 and increased significantly for FY2000.


^fIncrease is due to a transfer of \$8,879,964 from the Cedar Rapids Water Works Retirement System to fund accrued actuarial liabilities assumed by IPERS for participants of the system.

Membership Summary

| Special Statistics | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Year | Number of | | Total Additions | Total Deductions | Total Investments | Total Net Assets |
| | Retired Members | Active Members | | | | |
| 1999 | 64,275 | 152,991 | \$2,118,491,246 | \$ 485,815,069 | \$16,572,854,855 | \$15,325,576,009 |
| 2000 | 66,681 | 154,612 | 2,419,877,009 | 605,221,828 | 18,358,625,668 | 17,140,231,190 |
| 2001 | 68,703 | 154,610 | (538,086,303) | 673,597,721 | 16,854,676,024 | 15,928,547,166 |
| 2002 | 71,715 | 158,467 | (302,863,978) | 751,263,994 | 15,264,248,089 | 14,874,419,194 |
| 2003 | 74,336 | 159,353 | 1,308,745,027 | 779,963,314 | 17,174,920,495 | 15,403,200,907 |
| 2004 | 76,961 | 160,034 | 2,683,972,329 | 837,256,385 | 19,647,841,652 | 17,249,916,851 |
| 2005 | 79,604 | 160,905 | 2,437,197,885 | 919,885,957 | 20,990,729,636 | 18,767,228,779 |
| 2006 | 82,204 | 163,091 | 2,613,008,745 | 975,366,478 | 22,623,903,421 | 20,404,871,046 |
| 2007 | 84,949 | 165,241 | 3,873,447,126 | 1,061,150,226 | 26,605,342,485 | 23,217,167,946 |
| 2008 | 87,490 | 167,850 | 295,614,881 | 1,142,187,838 | 24,454,328,362 | 22,370,594,989 |

IPERS Membership by Status

Fiscal years ended June 30



| Membership by Group* Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Regular Membership | Special Service Group 1 | Special Service Group 2 | Total |
| 2002 | | | | |
| Active members | 152,986 | 1,439 | 4,042 | 158,467 |
| Inactive members | 97,479 | 112 | 637 | 98,228 |
| Retired members | 70,896 | 260 | 559 | 71,715 |
| 2002 total | 321,361 | 1,811 | 5,238 | 328,410 |
| 2003 | | | | |
| Active members | 153,485 | 1,480 | 4,388 | 159,353 |
| Inactive members | 103,348 | 115 | 745 | 104,208 |
| Retired members | 73,602 | 225 | 509 | 74,336 |
| 2003 total | 330,435 | 1,820 | 5,642 | 337,897 |
| 2004 | | | | |
| Active members | 154,279 | 1,506 | 4,249 | 160,034 |
| Inactive members | 102,186 | 103 | 760 | 103,049 |
| Retired members | 76,097 | 249 | 615 | 76,961 |
| 2004 total | 332,562 | 1,858 | 5,624 | 340,044 |
| 2005 | | | | |
| Active members | 155,165 | 1,471 | 4,269 | 160,905 |
| Inactive members | 64,667 | 102 | 647 | 65,416 |
| Retired members | 78,587 | 310 | 707 | 79,604 |
| 2005 total | 298,419 | 1,883 | 5,623 | 305,925 |
| 2006 | | | | |
| Active members | 157,117 | 1,478 | 4,496 | 163,091 |
| Inactive members | 60,941 | 114 | 605 | 61,660 |
| Retired members | 81,083 | 345 | 776 | 82,204 |
| 2006 total | 299,141 | 1,937 | 5,877 | 306,955 |
| 2007 | | | | |
| Active members | 159,092 | 1,470 | 4,679 | 165,241 |
| Inactive members | 61,501 | 108 | 633 | 62,242 |
| Retired members | 83,666 | 397 | 886 | 84,949 |
| 2007 total | 304,259 | 1,975 | 6,198 | 312,432 |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Active members | 161,583 | 1,520 | 4,747 | 167,850 |
| Inactive members | 63,534 | 108 | 655 | 64,297 |
| Retired members | 86,072 | 442 | 976 | 87,490 |
| 2008 total | 311,189 | 2,070 | 6,378 | 319,637 |

*Trend data is being accumulated for a 10-year period.

| Average Benefit Payments for Retirees* | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Year | Years of Credited Service | | | | | | | Total |
| | 0-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25 | 26-30 | >30 | |
| 1999 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | † | 12,820 | 10,880 | 10,733 | 8,910 | 6,681 | 14,251 | 64,275 |
| Average monthly benefit | † | \$132 | \$301 | \$445 | \$633 | \$929 | \$1,232 | \$609 |
| Average final average salary | † | \$549 | \$899 | \$1,187 | \$1,541 | \$2,033 | \$2,534 | \$1,443 |
| Average years of service | † | 7.47 | 13.38 | 18.35 | 23.25 | 28.17 | 34.82 | 20.69 |
| 2000 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | † | 13,001 | 11,049 | 10,941 | 9,305 | 7,037 | 15,303 | 66,636 |
| Average monthly benefit | † | \$134 | \$308 | \$461 | \$662 | \$979 | \$1,325 | \$652 |
| Average final average salary | † | \$608 | \$959 | \$1,253 | \$1,612 | \$2,123 | \$2,664 | \$1,543 |
| Average years of service | † | 7.52 | 13.36 | 18.33 | 23.22 | 28.13 | 34.67 | 20.87 |
| 2001 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | † | 13,317 | 11,201 | 11,057 | 9,654 | 7,422 | 16,014 | 68,665 |
| Average monthly benefit | † | \$138 | \$318 | \$479 | \$696 | \$1,029 | \$1,402 | \$692 |
| Average final average salary | † | \$661 | \$1,011 | \$1,302 | \$1,674 | \$2,186 | \$2,750 | \$1,615 |
| Average years of service | † | 7.51 | 13.36 | 18.35 | 23.24 | 28.17 | 34.64 | 20.98 |
| 2002 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 3,435 | 10,090 | 11,266 | 11,218 | 10,151 | 7,965 | 17,558 | 71,683 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$75 | \$165 | \$330 | \$502 | \$737 | \$1,096 | \$1,538 | \$760 |
| Average final average salary | \$636 | \$747 | \$1,073 | \$1,373 | \$1,755 | \$2,283 | \$2,915 | \$1,734 |
| Average years of service | 4.53 | 8.50 | 13.36 | 18.36 | 23.24 | 28.15 | 34.57 | 21.27 |
| 2003‡ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 3,652 | 10,509 | 11,464 | 11,402 | 10,485 | 8,326 | 18,472 | 74,310 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$80 | \$169 | \$337 | \$518 | \$765 | \$1,139 | \$1,611 | \$796 |
| Average final average salary | \$735 | \$809 | \$1,102 | \$1,384 | \$1,729 | \$2,219 | \$2,828 | \$1,728 |
| Average years of service | 4.49 | 8.49 | 13.37 | 18.37 | 23.27 | 28.18 | 34.51 | 21.32 |

(Continued on page 129)

*Where data was available, high 3-year average monthly wages were calculated by dividing the annual high 3-year average by 12. When high 3-year average wages were not obtainable, the monthly high 3-year average wages were estimated by dividing the annual annuity amount by a multiplying factor of 0.38 and then dividing by 12 (see retirement formula on page 144).

†Previously included in the 6-10 Years of Credited Service group.

‡Does not include retirees under the Iowa Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance System.

| Average Benefit Payments for Retirees* (Continued) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Year | Years of Credited Service | | | | | | | Total |
| | 0-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25 | 26-30 | >30 | |
| 2004‡ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 3,986 | 10,854 | 11,646 | 11,560 | 10,757 | 8,719 | 19,420 | 76,942 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$83 | \$174 | \$342 | \$536 | \$794 | \$1,190 | \$1,688 | \$833 |
| Average final average salary | \$835 | \$872 | \$1,156 | \$1,452 | \$1,796 | \$2,297 | \$2,943 | \$1,813 |
| Average years of service | 4.45 | 8.48 | 13.34 | 18.37 | 23.27 | 28.18 | 34.44 | 21.35 |
| 2005‡ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 4,224 | 11,140 | 11,815 | 11,798 | 11,069 | 9,171 | 20,371 | 79,588 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$87 | \$179 | \$350 | \$555 | \$824 | \$1,246 | \$1,763 | \$873 |
| Average final average salary | \$911 | \$939 | \$1,211 | \$1,525 | \$1,868 | \$2,391 | \$3,041 | \$1,899 |
| Average years of service | 4.45 | 8.48 | 13.35 | 18.38 | 23.28 | 28.19 | 34.41 | 21.42 |
| 2006‡ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 4,355 | 11,428 | 12,003 | 12,083 | 11,288 | 9,658 | 21,378 | 82,193 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$92 | \$185 | \$360 | \$573 | \$858 | \$1,297 | \$1,832 | \$914 |
| Average final average salary | \$993 | \$1,000 | \$1,277 | \$1,590 | \$1,944 | \$2,472 | \$3,131 | \$1,983 |
| Average years of service | 4.47 | 8.47 | 13.34 | 18.39 | 23.28 | 28.20 | 34.36 | 21.51 |
| 2007‡ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 4,421 | 11,666 | 12,149 | 12,331 | 11,586 | 10,132 | 22,658 | 84,943 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$97 | \$194 | \$369 | \$598 | \$895 | \$1,357 | \$1,917 | \$967 |
| Average final average salary | \$1,077 | \$1,080 | \$1,335 | \$1,671 | \$2,029 | \$2,563 | \$3,241 | \$2,085 |
| Average years of service | 4.48 | 8.46 | 13.33 | 18.41 | 23.27 | 28.21 | 34.33 | 21.67 |
| 2008‡ | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 4,484 | 11,850 | 12,376 | 12,513 | 11,889 | 10,569 | 23,804 | 87,485 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$103 | \$201 | \$380 | \$621 | \$932 | \$1,415 | \$1,993 | \$1,015 |
| Average final average salary | \$1,124 | \$1,050 | \$1,170 | \$1,425 | \$1,713 | \$2,198 | \$2,797 | \$1,828 |
| Average years of service | 4.49 | 8.46 | 13.34 | 18.42 | 23.27 | 28.23 | 34.33 | 21.81 |

*Where data was available, high 3-year average monthly wages were calculated by dividing the annual high 3-year average by 12. When high 3-year average wages were not obtainable, the monthly high 3-year average wages were estimated by dividing the annual annuity amount by a multiplying factor of 0.38 and then dividing by 12 (see retirement formula on page 144).

‡Previously included in the 6-10 Years of Credited Service group.

‡Does not include retirees under the Iowa Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance System.

| New Retirees by Employer Group | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Year | City | County | School | State | Utility | 28E Agency | Township & Cemetery | Other | Total |
| 1999 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 401 | 611 | 2,193 | 621 | * | * | * | 158 | 3,984 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$789 | \$787 | \$1,184 | \$1,146 | | | | \$1,054 | \$1,072 |
| Average years of service | 18.86 | 19.54 | 24.14 | 21.92 | | | | 21.00 | 22.42 |
| 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 513 | 654 | 2,607 | 659 | * | * | * | 208 | 4,641 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$714 | \$745 | \$1,236 | \$1,180 | | | | \$998 | \$1,091 |
| Average years of service | 17.53 | 18.29 | 24.38 | 21.75 | | | | 19.28 | 22.16 |
| 2001 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 486 | 689 | 2,177 | 660 | * | * | * | 216 | 4,228 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$767 | \$806 | \$1,181 | \$1,232 | | | | \$1,133 | \$1,078 |
| Average years of service | 18.35 | 18.25 | 22.86 | 21.75 | | | | 20.61 | 21.29 |
| 2002 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 506 | 688 | 3,024 | 1,077 | 31 | 170 | 1 | 2 | 5,499 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$911 | \$884 | \$1,504 | \$1,626 | \$1,709 | \$1,385 | \$453 | \$409 | \$1,393 |
| Average years of service | 18.94 | 18.42 | 25.24 | 25.45 | 24.95 | 21.54 | 40.25 | 12.37 | 23.73 |
| 2003 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 558 | 715 | 2,562 | 640 | 19 | 217 | 1 | --- | 4,712 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$801 | \$830 | \$1,278 | \$1,266 | \$1,426 | \$1,178 | \$62 | --- | \$1,148 |
| Average years of service | 17.51 | 17.63 | 22.38 | 20.21 | 24.68 | 19.17 | 9.00 | --- | 20.65 |
| 2004 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 614 | 696 | 2,853 | 522 | 29 | 229 | --- | --- | 4,943 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$926 | \$809 | \$1,318 | \$1,248 | \$1,207 | \$1,064 | --- | --- | \$1,178 |
| Average years of service | 18.60 | 17.00 | 22.20 | 19.17 | 19.96 | 17.08 | --- | --- | 20.45 |
| 2005 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 590 | 722 | 2,559 | 757 | 19 | 232 | --- | --- | 4,879 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$998 | \$1,031 | \$1,335 | \$1,560 | \$1,699 | \$1,282 | --- | --- | \$1,283 |
| Average years of service | 19.01 | 18.97 | 22.19 | 22.04 | 25.59 | 19.38 | --- | --- | 21.18 |
| 2006 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 591 | 699 | 2,920 | 568 | 24 | 66 | 2 | 22 | 4,892 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$1,051 | \$1,057 | \$1,445 | \$1,463 | \$1,160 | \$633 | \$1,985 | \$465 | \$1,328 |
| Average years of service | 19.32 | 19.14 | 23.33 | 21.04 | 19.26 | 13.84 | 29.50 | 11.22 | 21.78 |
| 2007 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 620 | 809 | 2,697 | 965 | 32 | 50 | 2 | 26 | 5,201 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$1,108 | \$1,190 | \$1,570 | \$1,936 | \$1,057 | \$752 | \$314 | \$376 | \$1,506 |
| Average years of service | 19.71 | 20.04 | 23.72 | 24.85 | 18.94 | 14.54 | 6.75 | 8.03 | 22.67 |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 697 | 738 | 2,730 | 777 | 35 | 52 | 1 | 31 | 5,061 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$1,261 | \$1,119 | \$1,552 | \$1,901 | \$1,872 | \$617 | \$112 | \$578 | \$1,489 |
| Average years of service | 20.66 | 19.76 | 23.25 | 23.71 | 24.74 | 13.61 | 6.25 | 12.61 | 22.29 |

*Amount included in column entitled Other.

| Average Benefit Payments by Retirement Date | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| For retirees as of June 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement Date | Years of Credited Service | | | | | | | Total |
| | 0-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25 | 26-30 | >30 | |
| Prior to 1/1/76 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 18 | 109 | 103 | 88 | 47 | 31 | 88 | 484 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$66 | \$118 | \$267 | \$330 | \$408 | \$479 | \$548 | \$316 |
| Average years of service | 5.23 | 8.43 | 13.33 | 17.90 | 22.68 | 28.30 | 37.91 | 19.09 |
| Between 1/1/76 and 6/30/82 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 160 | 535 | 648 | 506 | 456 | 334 | 501 | 3,140 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$56 | \$124 | \$284 | \$365 | \$461 | \$609 | \$722 | \$388 |
| Average years of service | 4.73 | 8.53 | 13.32 | 18.39 | 23.10 | 28.02 | 35.52 | 19.41 |
| Between 7/1/82 and 6/30/86 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 208 | 753 | 882 | 907 | 662 | 586 | 877 | 4,875 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$58 | \$150 | \$301 | \$422 | \$563 | \$752 | \$901 | \$488 |
| Average years of service | 4.53 | 8.55 | 13.46 | 18.28 | 23.21 | 28.25 | 34.53 | 20.11 |
| Between 7/1/86 and 6/30/90 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 257 | 1,114 | 1,367 | 1,491 | 1,189 | 794 | 1,872 | 8,084 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$77 | \$155 | \$302 | \$431 | \$599 | \$788 | \$1,004 | \$552 |
| Average years of service | 4.59 | 8.60 | 13.42 | 18.35 | 23.15 | 28.01 | 33.88 | 20.98 |
| Between 7/1/90 and 6/30/96 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 695 | 2,037 | 2,543 | 2,735 | 2,638 | 1,921 | 4,154 | 16,723 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$75 | \$162 | \$305 | \$478 | \$692 | \$996 | \$1,305 | \$695 |
| Average years of service | 4.43 | 8.54 | 13.42 | 18.37 | 23.30 | 28.14 | 34.46 | 21.74 |
| Between 7/1/96 and 6/30/00 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 705 | 2,049 | 2,128 | 2,102 | 2,202 | 1,970 | 4,853 | 16,009 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$83 | \$186 | \$368 | \$610 | \$886 | \$1,366 | \$1,940 | \$1,035 |
| Average years of service | 4.50 | 8.49 | 13.24 | 18.46 | 23.28 | 28.31 | 34.52 | 22.62 |
| Between 7/1/00 and 6/30/08 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 2,441 | 5,253 | 4,705 | 4,684 | 4,695 | 4,933 | 11,459 | 38,170 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$127 | \$248 | \$480 | \$841 | \$1,276 | \$1,838 | \$2,577 | \$1,373 |
| Average years of service | 4.47 | 8.37 | 13.29 | 18.48 | 23.31 | 28.26 | 34.17 | 22.12 |
| Total as of June 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | 4,484 | 11,850 | 12,376 | 12,513 | 11,889 | 10,569 | 23,804 | 87,485 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$103 | \$201 | \$380 | \$621 | \$932 | \$1,415 | \$1,993 | \$1,015 |
| Average years of service | 4.49 | 8.46 | 13.34 | 18.42 | 23.27 | 28.23 | 34.33 | 21.81 |
| IOASI retirees* | | | | | | | | |
| Number of retirees | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 5 |
| Average monthly benefit | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$105 |

*Recipients receiving benefits calculated under the Iowa Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance System (IOASI), the predecessor to IPERS.

Schedule of Benefit Payments by Type of Benefit*

Fiscal years ended June 30

| Fiscal Year | Number of Retirees | Normal Retirement for Age and Service | Early Retirement | Survivor Payment (Normal, Early, or Disability Retirement) | Disability Retirement | Survivor Payment (Death in Service) | Duty Disability Retirement (Special Service Groups) | Nonduty Disability Retirement (Special Service Groups) |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2003 | 74,336 | \$570,327,217 | \$ 94,103,140 | \$20,009,733† | \$20,963,183 | \$ 469,783 | \$194,138 | \$153,412 |
| 2004 | 76,961 | 610,618,473 | 106,510,837 | 22,142,949 | 22,021,464 | 760,904 | 278,289 | 221,496 |
| 2005 | 79,604 | 657,249,915 | 125,357,178 | 24,084,063 | 24,225,454 | 1,060,991 | 317,312 | 261,551 |
| 2006 | 82,204 | 701,149,558 | 141,860,569 | 26,228,650 | 25,540,886 | 1,382,098 | 433,669 | 269,095 |
| 2007 | 84,949 | 758,739,140 | 159,904,983 | 28,429,483 | 27,449,602 | 1,637,857 | 489,088 | 287,504 |
| 2008 | 87,490 | 818,804,704 | 180,411,922 | 30,892,964 | 28,284,772 | 1,919,799 | 563,314 | 303,042 |

*Trend data is being accumulated for a 10-year period. This table does not include lump-sum payments.

†Previously the 2003 number only reported normal survivor payments. This number has been revised to include early and disability retirement payments.

Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Benefit

As of June 30, 2008

| Amount of Monthly Benefit | Number of Retirees | Normal Retirement for Age and Service | Early Retirement | Survivor Payment (Normal, Early, or Disability Retirement) | Disability Retirement | Survivor Payment (Death in Service) | Duty Disability Retirement (Special Service Groups) | Nonduty Disability Retirement (Special Service Groups) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| \$ <200 | 15,490 | 8,522 | 5,722 | 698 | 515 | 33 | --- | --- |
| 201-400 | 16,000 | 9,656 | 4,826 | 855 | 642 | 21 | --- | --- |
| 401-600 | 9,974 | 5,573 | 3,277 | 590 | 511 | 23 | --- | --- |
| 601-800 | 7,340 | 4,142 | 2,297 | 476 | 394 | 31 | --- | --- |
| 801-1,000 | 6,392 | 4,045 | 1,663 | 364 | 309 | 11 | --- | --- |
| 1,001-1,200 | 4,783 | 3,086 | 1,263 | 179 | 245 | 10 | --- | --- |
| 1,201-1,400 | 3,864 | 2,512 | 1,021 | 164 | 151 | 12 | 1 | 3 |
| 1,401-1,600 | 3,621 | 2,496 | 844 | 137 | 121 | 16 | 2 | 5 |
| 1,601-1,800 | 3,089 | 2,439 | 490 | 71 | 76 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 1,801-2,000 | 3,157 | 2,628 | 371 | 73 | 67 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| 2,000+ | 13,780 | 12,448 | 1,081 | 130 | 94 | 17 | 10 | --- |
| Totals | 87,490 | 57,547 | 22,855 | 3,737 | 3,125 | 187 | 24 | 15 |

Note: The above tables do not include types of refunds because IPERS pays only one type of refund.

| Retired Members by Benefit Option* | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| As of June 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | |
| Amount of Monthly Benefit | Number of Retirees | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 3 | Option 4 | Option 5 | Option 6 | Misc.† |
| \$ <200 | 15,490 | 5,365 | 3,175 | 2,821 | 1,510 | 2,175 | 421 | 23 |
| 201-400 | 16,000 | 6,261 | 3,388 | 1,908 | 2,026 | 1,994 | 412 | 11 |
| 401-600 | 9,974 | 3,501 | 2,161 | 1,134 | 1,675 | 1,136 | 367 | --- |
| 601-800 | 7,340 | 2,422 | 1,580 | 742 | 1,451 | 767 | 378 | --- |
| 801-1,000 | 6,392 | 1,883 | 1,456 | 642 | 1,427 | 628 | 356 | --- |
| 1,001-1,200 | 4,783 | 1,287 | 1,118 | 485 | 1,022 | 460 | 411 | --- |
| 1,201-1,400 | 3,864 | 998 | 784 | 345 | 949 | 386 | 402 | --- |
| 1,401-1,600 | 3,621 | 878 | 748 | 339 | 846 | 405 | 405 | --- |
| 1,601-1,800 | 3,089 | 718 | 585 | 287 | 717 | 365 | 417 | --- |
| 1,801-2,000 | 3,157 | 633 | 572 | 344 | 706 | 410 | 492 | --- |
| 2,000+ | 13,780 | 2,493 | 2,571 | 1,917 | 1,880 | 1,815 | 3,104 | --- |
| Totals | 87,490 | 26,439 | 18,138 | 10,964 | 14,209 | 10,541 | 7,165 | 34 |

*See definitions of benefit options on page 145.

†Consists of benefit options available under previous laws.

| Active Membership Statistics | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | | | |
| Fiscal Year | Total Actives | Percent Change | Average Covered Wage | Average Age (Years) | Average Service Credit (Years) |
| 1999 | 152,440 | 2.4 | \$27,322 | 44.8 | 11.4 |
| 2000 | 153,039 | 0.4 | 29,032 | 44.8 | 11.6 |
| 2001 | 154,610 | 1.0 | 30,341 | 45.0 | 11.5 |
| 2002 | 158,467 | 2.5 | 32,119 | 45.2 | 11.3 |
| 2003 | 159,353 | 0.6 | 29,652 | 44.7 | 11.4 |
| 2004 | 160,034 | 0.4 | 30,605 | 43.9 | 11.5 |
| 2005 | 160,905 | 0.5 | 31,376 | 45.6 | 11.6 |
| 2006 | 163,091 | 1.4 | 33,870 | 44.2 | 11.6 |
| 2007 | 165,241 | 1.3 | 34,990 | 43.2 | 11.5 |
| 2008 | 167,850 | 1.6 | 36,529 | 42.2 | 11.5 |

| Analysis of Change in Active Membership | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|------|--------------------|----------------|
| Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Year | Beginning Members | Additions | Retired | Died | Other Terminations | Ending Members |
| 1999 | 148,917 | 18,503 | 3,642 | 250 | 11,088 | 152,440 |
| 2000 | 152,440 | 18,698 | 2,139 | 256 | 15,704 | 153,039 |
| 2001 | 153,039 | 13,534 | 1,567 | 113 | 10,283 | 154,610 |
| 2002 | 154,610 | 19,247 | 3,680 | 138 | 11,572 | 158,467 |
| 2003 | 158,467 | 17,130 | 3,657 | 153 | 12,434 | 159,353 |
| 2004 | 159,353 | 16,715 | 3,450 | 153 | 12,431 | 160,034 |
| 2005 | 160,034 | 17,598 | 3,716 | 156 | 12,855 | 160,905 |
| 2006 | 160,905 | 18,885 | 3,883 | 154 | 12,662 | 163,091 |
| 2007 | 163,091 | 19,111 | 4,344 | 246 | 12,371 | 165,241 |
| 2008 | 165,241 | 19,943 | 4,237 | 227 | 12,870 | 167,850 |


| Principal Participating Employers | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fiscal years ended June 30 | | | |
| 2008 | | | |
| Participating Employer | Covered Employees | Rank | Percentage of Total System |
| State of Iowa Centralized Payroll | 19,542 | 1 | 11.64 |
| Des Moines Independent Community School District (CSD) | 4,670 | 2 | 2.78 |
| Cedar Rapids CSD | 3,039 | 3 | 1.81 |
| Iowa Department of Transportation | 2,966 | 4 | 1.77 |
| Davenport CSD | 2,419 | 5 | 1.44 |
| Dubuque CSD | 1,972 | 6 | 1.17 |
| Sioux City CSD | 1,941 | 7 | 1.16 |
| Iowa City CSD | 1,782 | 8 | 1.06 |
| Waterloo CSD | 1,497 | 9 | 0.89 |
| City of Des Moines | 1,405 | 10 | 0.84 |
| All other employers* | 126,617 | | 75.44 |
| Total (2,259 employers) | 167,850 | | 100.00 |

| 1999 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Participating Employer | Covered Employees | Rank | Percentage of Total System |
| State of Iowa Centralized Payroll | 18,724 | 1 | 12.24 |
| Des Moines Independent CSD | 4,034 | 2 | 2.64 |
| Iowa Department of Transportation | 3,634 | 3 | 2.37 |
| Cedar Rapids CSD | 2,922 | 4 | 1.91 |
| Davenport CSD | 2,474 | 5 | 1.62 |
| Sioux City CSD | 1,929 | 6 | 1.26 |
| City of Des Moines | 1,555 | 7 | 1.02 |
| Dubuque CSD | 1,544 | 8 | 1.01 |
| Waterloo CSD | 1,464 | 9 | 0.95 |
| University of Iowa | 1,373 | 10 | 0.90 |
| All other employers | 113,338 | | 74.08 |
| Total (2,376 employers) | 152,991 | | 100.00 |

| *All other employers for FY2008: | | |
|---|---------------|------------------|
| Type | Number | Employees |
| City | 1,183 | 22,547 |
| County | 412 | 25,940 |
| School | 388 | 69,441 |
| State | 23 | 3,418 |
| 28E Agencies | 66 | 1,922 |
| Utilities | 133 | 1,711 |
| Other | 44 | 1,638 |
| Total | 2,249 | 126,617 |

Growth of Net Investment Portfolio Assets


Fiscal years ended June 30



Asset values above are net of the periodic withdrawals made since FY1997 to provide partial funding for IPERS expenses (retirement benefit payments, refunds, and administrative expenses).

Investment Returns 1981-2008

Fiscal years ended June 30



1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008

Fiscal Year

*The actuarial assumed investment return was increased from 6.75 percent to 7.50 percent in FY1996.

| ANNUALIZED RETURNS* | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| 1-Year Return: | -1.33% | 10-Year Return: | 7.09% |
| 3-Year Return: | 8.43% | 15-Year Return: | 9.49% |
| 5-Year Return: | 10.14% | 20-Year Return: | 9.68% |
| *For periods ending 6/30/08 | | | |





Plan Summary

Composition

Membership

Buy-Backs/Buy-Ins

Contributions

Vesting

Refunds

Benefits

Distribution of IPERS Benefit Payments
in Iowa Counties

Benefit Payments Summary

Distribution of IPERS Benefit Payments
by State

Composition

Membership

Fiscal years ended June 30

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Retired members | | |
| All retired members | 87,490 | 84,949 |
| Average years of service | 22 | 22 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$996 | \$952 |
| Fiscal year member retirements | 5,061 | 5,201 |
| Average years of service | 22 | 23 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$1,489 | \$1,506 |
| Retired reemployed* | 8,547 | 7,872 |
| Active members | 167,850 | 165,241 |
| Inactive vested | 31,246 | 30,047 |
| Inactive nonvested | 33,051 | 32,195 |
| Total | 319,637 | 312,432 |

*Retired reemployed members are included in the number of retired members.

Employers

Fiscal years ended June 30

| Employer Type | 2008 | | 2007 | |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Number of Entities | Covered Wages | Number of Entities | Covered Wages |
| City | 1,184 | \$ 783,383,029 | 1,198 | \$ 740,142,679 |
| County | 412 | 953,919,296 | 423 | 902,877,100 |
| School | 395 | 2,982,720,489 | 399 | 2,811,358,989 |
| State | 25 | 1,253,082,922 | 25 | 1,179,959,976 |
| 28E agencies | 66 | 50,279,458 | 68 | 46,379,977 |
| Utilities | 133 | 72,082,183 | 134 | 67,918,027 |
| Other | 44 | 35,977,990 | 46 | 33,069,451 |
| Total | 2,259 | \$6,131,445,367 | 2,293 | \$5,781,706,199 |


Membership

Participation in IPERS is mandatory for most employees of the State of Iowa and its political subdivisions, including public school systems. Exceptions include those employees covered by another retirement system, other than Social Security, supported in whole or in part by public contributions. Membership is optional for the members of the Iowa General Assembly and certain other individuals.

At June 30, 2008, there were 167,850 members actively contributing to the System, employed by 2,259 public employers. The number of active members increased by 1.6 percent from June 30, 2007. The membership profile chart provides further information on the composition of the membership for fiscal year 2008.

Membership Profile

June 30, 2008



Buy-Backs/Buy-Ins

Under certain circumstances, members may restore (buy-back) previously refunded member service or may purchase (buy-in) IPERS service credit for employment elsewhere. The cost of purchasing service is determined by the System's actuary. There are federal limitations on how much service credit a member may purchase annually.

Contributions

IPERS accumulates the resources necessary to meet its responsibilities by collecting mandatory contributions from employees and employers and investing those funds. Contributions continue throughout covered employment. The majority of employers contributed at a rate of 6.05 percent and employees at a rate of 3.90 percent for FY2008. Certain employers and employees in Special Service occupations contribute at annually adjusted, actuarially determined rates. The table "Contribution Rates & Maximum Covered Wages" below reflects the contribution rates for employers and employees in effect during fiscal year 2008.

| Contribution Rates & Maximum Covered Wages | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|
| Fiscal year 2008 | | | | |
| IPERS | Employee Rate | Employer Rate | Total Rate | Maximum Covered Wages: Calendar Year |
| Regular membership | 3.90% | 6.05% | 9.95% | 2007: \$225,000 2008: \$230,000 [‡] |
| Special Service group 1* | 7.70% | 7.70% | 15.40% | 2007: \$225,000 2008: \$230,000 [‡] |
| Special Service group 2[†] | 5.64% | 8.47% | 14.11% | |

*Sheriffs and deputies.

†All other protection occupation members.

‡Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 401(a)(17) compensation limit.

A member who completes four years of covered service or has attained the age of 55 while making contributions to the plan has vested rights to IPERS benefits. There were 154,314 vested members at June 30, 2008.

An IPERS member who terminates public employment for any reason may request a full refund of the member's accumulated contributions. Vested members requesting a refund also receive a portion of their accumulated employer contributions. Acceptance of the refund automatically terminates IPERS membership and all claims to future benefits. Member and employer refunds paid in fiscal year 2008 totaled \$36,204,512.

Vesting

Refunds

Benefits

IPERS members are eligible for retirement benefits if they are vested, no longer working for an IPERS-covered employer, and meet one of these conditions:

- Attain age 55.
- Retire due to a disability and are receiving social security disability or railroad retirement disability benefits.


Members who are age 70 and still actively working for an IPERS-covered employer may apply to begin receiving IPERS retirement benefits while still employed.

Members are vested when they meet one of these conditions:

- Complete 4 years of service.
- Reach age 55 while making contributions to the plan.

The formula used to calculate the IPERS benefit includes:

- A formula multiplier (based on years of service).
- Highest 3-year average salary.
- Age at retirement (the benefit is reduced if it is received before normal retirement age).



If a member receives benefits before normal retirement age, the benefits are reduced 0.25 percent for each month (or 3 percent per year) that the member receives benefits before the member would have attained normal retirement age.

Normal retirement age for regular members is:

- Age 65.
- Age 62 with 20 or more years of covered employment.
- When years of service plus age at last birthday equals or exceeds 88.

The monthly IPERS benefit check for all retirees at the end of the fiscal year averaged \$996. For members retiring in fiscal year 2008, the average benefit was \$1,489. The average member retired with approximately 22 years of service.

Benefit Options

Upon retirement, an IPERS member may choose from six benefit options. Each of the six options provides a lifetime benefit for the IPERS member. The amount of the member's benefits and the amount and availability of death benefits vary according to the option selected.

OPTION 1. A member receives a lifetime monthly benefit. At retirement, the member specifies a lump-sum death benefit amount, in increments of \$1,000, to be paid to a designated beneficiary upon the death of the member. The death benefit cannot exceed the member's accumulated contributions. The death benefit cannot lower the monthly benefit as calculated under Option 2 by more than 50 percent.

OPTION 2. A member receives a lifetime monthly benefit. When the member dies, the designated beneficiary receives a lump-sum refund of the excess, if any, of the member's accumulated contributions minus the amount of all retirement benefits paid to the member prior to death.

OPTION 3. A member receives the maximum lifetime monthly benefit. No payment is made to a beneficiary upon the member's death.

OPTION 4. A member receives a lifetime monthly benefit actuarially reduced to provide for a lifetime monthly benefit to the contingent annuitant upon the member's death. The age of the contingent annuitant can affect the cost of the lifetime payments to the member based on actuarial tables. The contingent annuitant can receive 100 percent, 75 percent, 50 percent, or 25 percent of the member's monthly benefit. This amount is subject to restriction if the contingent annuitant is not the member's spouse and is more than ten years younger than the member.

OPTION 5. A member receives a lifetime benefit with a ten-year guarantee. If the member dies before ten full years have passed (120 monthly payments are made), the member's designated beneficiary will receive a monthly benefit for the remainder of the ten years. If the beneficiary should die before the ten years expire, the beneficiary's estate will receive a commuted lump-sum settlement. If the member designates more than one beneficiary, payments will be made in a lump sum only. Members who have attained age 90 as of the first month of entitlement are not allowed to select this option.

OPTION 6. The member receives a reduced monthly benefit so that a lifetime monthly benefit may be provided after the member's death to the person named by the member as the contingent annuitant. In addition, the monthly amounts are reduced to pay for a pop-up feature. The pop-up feature provides that if the contingent annuitant dies before the member, the member's benefit will pop back up to what it would have been under IPERS Option 2, and death benefits may be payable to the member's designated beneficiary if certain conditions are met.

| Retired Membership by Benefit Option | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|--|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| As of June 30, 2008 | | | | | | |
| | Number | Percent | | | Number | Percent |
| Option 1 | 26,439 | 30 | | Option 5 | 10,541 | 12 |
| Option 2 | 18,138 | 21 | | Option 6 (100%) | 3,454 | 4 |
| Option 3 | 10,964 | 13 | | Option 6 (75%) | 1,161 | 1 |
| Option 4 (100%) | 9,395 | 11 | | Option 6 (50%) | 1,492 | 2 |
| Option 4 (75%) | 1,225 | 1 | | Option 6 (25%) | 1,058 | 1 |
| Option 4 (50%) | 2,578 | 3 | | Misc. options | 34 | Less than 1 |
| Option 4 (25%) | 1,011 | 1 | | Total all options | 87,490 | |

Dividend Payments

Generally, once a member selects a benefit option, a monthly benefit is calculated and remains the same for the rest of the member's lifetime. However, to combat the effects of inflation, certain lump-sum dividend payments are authorized. For retirees who began receiving benefits prior to July 1990, a guaranteed dividend is included with a member's regular November benefit payment. Post-June 1990 retirees may receive a Favorable Experience Dividend (FED) with their January benefit payment. The FED payment is based upon the actuarial soundness of the System along with the retiree's annual benefit and number of years retired. FED payments are not guaranteed. The November 2007 dividends totaled \$13,937,278 and the January 2008 FEDs totaled \$59,512,875.

Death Benefits

- A. Preretirement Death Benefits—If an IPERS member dies before retirement, the member's designated beneficiary may receive a lump-sum cash payment based on the greater of the following two formulas:
1. Death benefit = The actuarial present value of the member's accrued benefit as of date of death.
 2. Death benefit =
$$\text{Member's accumulated contributions} + \left[\text{Member's highest year of covered wage} \times \frac{\text{Years of membership service}}{30 \text{ years}^*} \right]$$

*The denominator is 22 for all Special Service occupations.

If the member's designated beneficiary is a sole individual, the beneficiary will be offered the choice between receiving a lump sum or a lifetime annuity.

- B. Postretirement Death Benefits—If an IPERS member dies after retirement, payment is made to the beneficiary according to the option selected by the member at the time of retirement.
- C. For fiscal year 2008, lump-sum death benefits paid to beneficiaries or to the estates of deceased nonretired and retired members totaled \$18,455,306.

Distribution of IPERS Benefit Payments in Iowa Counties*

In fiscal year 2008


| County | Amount | Annual Average | Payees | County | Amount | Annual Average | Payees |
|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------|
| Adair | \$ 2,760,766 | \$ 9,687 | 285 | Jefferson | \$ 5,268,139 | \$12,083 | 436 |
| Adams | 1,756,845 | 9,870 | 178 | Johnson | 27,396,987 | 13,536 | 2,024 |
| Allamakee | 5,301,913 | 11,730 | 452 | Jones | 7,809,299 | 12,844 | 608 |
| Appanoose | 4,953,088 | 12,383 | 400 | Keokuk | 3,947,602 | 9,771 | 404 |
| Audubon | 2,294,414 | 9,442 | 243 | Kossuth | 5,105,103 | 10,636 | 480 |
| Benton | 6,900,108 | 11,111 | 621 | Lee | 12,101,504 | 13,197 | 917 |
| Black Hawk | 39,246,586 | 13,286 | 2,954 | Linn | 63,569,391 | 14,853 | 4,280 |
| Boone | 11,113,718 | 12,240 | 908 | Louisa | 3,727,924 | 11,650 | 320 |
| Bremer | 9,764,657 | 12,649 | 772 | Lucas | 3,274,612 | 9,631 | 340 |
| Buchanan | 8,689,884 | 11,711 | 742 | Lyon | 2,523,354 | 9,451 | 267 |
| Buena Vista | 6,056,385 | 10,570 | 573 | Madison | 4,529,615 | 11,184 | 405 |
| Butler | 4,837,703 | 10,315 | 469 | Mahaska | 6,590,834 | 11,645 | 566 |
| Calhoun | 4,210,545 | 9,931 | 424 | Marion | 8,677,599 | 11,168 | 777 |
| Carroll | 5,314,371 | 10,503 | 506 | Marshall | 16,238,537 | 12,283 | 1,322 |
| Cass | 6,078,627 | 10,894 | 558 | Mills | 6,563,459 | 12,314 | 533 |
| Cedar | 5,195,425 | 11,078 | 469 | Mitchell | 4,069,932 | 11,212 | 363 |
| Cerro Gordo | 16,050,323 | 12,779 | 1,256 | Monona | 3,554,836 | 10,611 | 335 |
| Cherokee | 7,141,007 | 11,842 | 603 | Monroe | 2,747,873 | 10,330 | 266 |
| Chickasaw | 4,522,181 | 11,995 | 377 | Montgomery | 4,305,099 | 10,202 | 422 |
| Clarke | 2,958,620 | 10,529 | 281 | Muscatine | 12,518,648 | 12,893 | 971 |
| Clay | 6,013,691 | 10,914 | 551 | O'Brien | 4,832,854 | 10,239 | 472 |
| Clayton | 7,093,434 | 11,610 | 611 | Osceola | 1,545,464 | 9,720 | 159 |
| Clinton | 12,747,881 | 11,728 | 1,087 | Page | 7,339,392 | 10,809 | 679 |
| Crawford | 4,953,866 | 10,631 | 466 | Palo Alto | 4,425,237 | 10,035 | 441 |
| Dallas | 15,230,455 | 12,940 | 1,177 | Plymouth | 7,393,679 | 11,571 | 639 |
| Davis | 3,784,027 | 11,195 | 338 | Pocahontas | 2,864,606 | 11,018 | 260 |
| Decatur | 3,672,170 | 10,432 | 352 | Polk | 129,621,120 | 14,517 | 8,929 |
| Delaware | 6,258,950 | 12,013 | 521 | Pottawattamie | 22,358,786 | 13,037 | 1,715 |
| Des Moines | 14,104,483 | 13,281 | 1,062 | Poweshiek | 6,266,318 | 12,483 | 502 |
| Dickinson | 10,453,120 | 14,438 | 724 | Ringgold | 2,646,648 | 9,766 | 271 |
| Dubuque | 22,364,402 | 13,312 | 1,680 | Sac | 3,447,250 | 9,470 | 364 |
| Emmet | 3,563,497 | 11,136 | 320 | Scott | 42,562,580 | 14,966 | 2,844 |
| Fayette | 7,284,989 | 11,071 | 658 | Shelby | 4,451,739 | 10,779 | 413 |
| Floyd | 6,324,708 | 11,314 | 559 | Sioux | 6,549,613 | 9,746 | 672 |
| Franklin | 3,501,968 | 9,893 | 354 | Story | 32,355,326 | 14,490 | 2,233 |
| Fremont | 2,517,324 | 10,317 | 244 | Tama | 6,370,230 | 11,540 | 552 |
| Greene | 4,308,090 | 9,769 | 441 | Taylor | 2,607,365 | 10,106 | 258 |
| Grundy | 4,818,902 | 10,829 | 445 | Union | 6,447,739 | 11,597 | 556 |
| Guthrie | 5,643,785 | 11,379 | 496 | Van Buren | 3,273,236 | 9,771 | 335 |
| Hamilton | 7,702,764 | 13,100 | 588 | Wapello | 12,244,356 | 12,004 | 1,020 |
| Hancock | 3,725,651 | 10,495 | 355 | Warren | 16,243,213 | 13,638 | 1,191 |
| Hardin | 9,077,549 | 11,138 | 815 | Washington | 6,921,643 | 10,377 | 667 |
| Harrison | 4,304,542 | 10,200 | 422 | Wayne | 2,451,666 | 8,819 | 278 |
| Henry | 8,798,382 | 12,152 | 724 | Webster | 12,611,784 | 11,634 | 1,084 |
| Howard | 4,150,943 | 9,954 | 417 | Winnebago | 4,130,225 | 11,073 | 373 |
| Humboldt | 4,280,295 | 9,954 | 430 | Winneshiek | 8,552,979 | 12,578 | 680 |
| Ida | 2,263,416 | 10,934 | 207 | Woodbury | 28,278,050 | 13,589 | 2,081 |
| Iowa | 4,723,215 | 11,273 | 419 | Worth | 2,353,038 | 10,794 | 218 |
| Jackson | 6,266,877 | 11,151 | 562 | Wright | 4,978,424 | 10,683 | 466 |
| Jasper | 12,152,130 | 12,044 | 1,009 | | | | |

Total Iowa Benefit Payments: \$967,877,579

*Payments determined by zip code.


Benefit Payments Summary

Fiscal years ended June 30



Distribution of IPERS Benefit Payments by State

In fiscal year 2008



Legend:

| |
|--------------------|
| Total Payments |
| State Abbreviation |
| Total Payees |

Total retirees and beneficiaries in continental states other than Iowa: 11,809

Recipients outside the continental U.S.:

- Alaska: 16
- Hawaii: 20
- Armed Forces Europe: 8
- Australia: 3
- Austria: 1
- Canada: 10
- China: 1
- Czech Republic: 1
- France: 2
- Germany: 2
- Great Britain: 2
- Mexico: 1
- Northern Mariana Islands: 2
- Norway: 1
- Philippines: 1
- South Korea: 1
- Sweden: 1



www.ipers.org

ADDRESS
7401 Register Drive P.O. Box 9117
Des Moines, IA 50306-9117

E-MAIL
info@ipers.org

PHONE
515-281-0020

TOLL-FREE
1-800-622-3849

BENEFITS FAX
515-281-0053

OPERATIONS FAX
515-281-0055

*LEGAL & INVESTMENTS
MANAGEMENT FAX*
515-281-0045