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## SECTIONI

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Introduction

This report presents the results of the June 30, 1997 actuarial valuation of the lowa Public Employees' Retirement System (IPERS). The primary purposes of performing the valuation are as follows:

- to certify that the contribution rate to be paid by the members and employers for the Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 1997 is sufficient to fund the benefits expected to be paid to members and meets the criteria set out in the funding policy established by IPERS,
- to disclose various asset and liability measures as of June 30, 1997, and
- to analyze and report on trends in System contributions, assets, and liabilities over the past several years.

The actuarial valuation results provide a "snapshot" view of the System's financial condition on June 30, 1997. The actuarial assumptions and benefit provisions were unchanged from the 1996 valuation. The Rule of 88 was effective July 1, 1997 (previously Rule of 90) but its implementation had been reflected in last year's numbers. The valuation results reflect overall favorable experience for the past plan year. The unfunded actuarial liability decreased by $\$ 500$ million, largely due to an investment return on the actuarial value of assets in excess of the $7.5 \%$ assumed. As a result, the amortization period declined from 20 to 9 years.

## Contribution Rate

The lowa statutes provide that most IPERS members shall contribute 3.7\% of pay and employers shall contribute $5.75 \%$, for a total of $9.45 \%$. The valuation is performed to determine whether that rate will be sufficient to fund the future benefits expected to be paid by the System within the guidelines established in IPERS funding policy (maximum amortization period of 30 years). The statutory rate is first applied to fund the normal cost rate. The remaining contribution rate is used to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a level percentage of payroll. The current valuation results indicate the statutory rate results in an amortization period less than the funding policy's maximum of 30 years.

## Experience

Numerous factors contributed to the change in the Systems' asset, liabilities and remaining amortization period for the unfunded actuarial liability between June 30, 1996 and June 30, 1997. The components are examined in the following discussions.

Actuarial gains/losses result from actual experience which is more/less favorable than anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions. These "experience" or actuarial gains/losses are reflected and measured as the difference between the expected unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) and the actual unfunded actuarial liability. Overall, the System experienced a net actuarial gain of $\$ 499$ million. The change in the unfunded actuarial liability between June 30, 1996 and 1997 are shown below (in millions):

| Unfunded Actuarial Liability, June 30,1996 | $\$ 1,161$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Expected change in UAL | $(1)$ |
| - Investment gain | $(474)$ |
| - Liability gain from actual experience | $(25)$ |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability, June 30,1997 | $\$ 661$ |

## Assets

As of June 30, 1997, the System had total funds of $\$ 11.5$ billion, when measured on a market value basis. This was an increase of $\$ 1.9$ billion from the prior year. The components of this change are shown below:

|  | Market Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Net Assets, June 30, 1996 | $\$ 9,587$ |
| - Employer and Member Contributions | +365 |
| - Benefit Payments | -374 |
| - Administrative Expenses | $-\quad 4$ |
| - Investment Income (Expected) | +706 |
| - Investment Gain/(Loss) | $+1,254$ |
| Net Assets, June 30, 1997 | $\$ 11,534$ |

The market value of assets is not used directly in the calculation of contribution rates and amortization period. The actuarial value of assets is equal to the expected asset value based on the assumed interest rate plus $25 \%$ of the difference between the actual market value and the expected asset value. The dollar weighted rate of return measured on the actuarial value of assets was $12.8 \%$.

## Sextien! <br> Executive Summary

## Liabilities

There are four different measurements of liabilities discussed in this section.

- Actuarial Balance Sheet Liability is the present value of all future benefits (PVFB) expected to be paid from the System to current members (retired, active and deferred vested). This liability is calculated based on both future payroll projections and service credits to retirement or other separation from service.
- Actuarial Accrued Liability is the portion of the present value of future benefits (actuarial balance sheet liability) that will not be paid by future normal costs. It is also defined as the portion of the actuarial balance sheet liability allocated to service before the valuation date by the actuarial cost method.
- Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO) was previously used for financial reporting purposes under GASB No. 5 (since superseded by GASB 25). It represents the present value of benefits based on future payroll projections but only reflecting service credits as of the valuation date. This measure of the funded status of the plan does not directly impact the contribution rate or amortization period. It is not uncommon for the PBO to exceed the actuarial value of assets, since the PBO reflects future assumed salary increases.
- Present Value of Accrued Benefits (PVAB) is used only for informational purposes. It does not directly impact the contribution rate or amortization period for the System. This liability represents the present value of benefits earned to date, based on service and salary as of the valuation date. The PVAB can be used as a measure of the funded status of the System since it more closely represents the amount required to pay all accrued benefits if the fund were to liquidate on the measurement date. In a well funded System, the expectation would be that the assets would be equal to or exceed the PVAB.

Each liability measurement discussed above is used for a different purpose. Therefore, the relative importance of the measurement will depend on the perspective of the person using the information. From an actuarial viewpoint, the actuarial balance sheet liability and the actuarial accrued liability are the most critical because, along with the actuarial value of assets, they ultimately determine whether the statutory contribution rate for the System is sufficient to provide the current benefit structure, within the parameters set out in IPERS' funding policy. The other liability figures are valuable because they provide useful comparisons of assets and liabilities.

The System liabilities (in millions) as of June 30, 1997 and June 30, 1996 are summarized below:

|  | June 30 |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 1996 |  |
| Actuarial Balance Sheet Liability (PVFB) | \$13,124 | \$12,394 | 5.9\% |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability | 10,774 | 10,136 | 6.3\% |
| PBO | 9,582 | 9,001 | 6.5\% |
| Present Value of Accrued Benefits (PVAB) | 7,889 | 7,362 | 7.2\% |

## Section I <br> Executive Summary

## Comparison of Major Valuation Results

The major findings of the 1997 valuation compared with prior valuation results are summarized and compared on the following pages.


The System's assets have grown faster than expected due to investment performance in excess of the actuarial assumption.

## Section I Executive Summary

System liabilities have increased each year, which is to be expected.

The ratio of market value of assets to the PVAB and PBO measurements has increased due to investment performance in excess of the assumed rate.


PVAB = Present Value of Accrued Benefits PBO = Projected Benefit Obligation MVA = Market Value of Assets


Historical information for AAL before 1996 is not appropriate to disclose since the aggregate cost method (which does not develop an actuarial accrued liability) was used in those years.

There was a significant decrease in unfunded actuarial accrued liability (the difference between the actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial value of assets) from 1996 to 1997.

PVFB = Present Value of Future Benefits (Actuarial Balance Sheet Liabilities)
AAL = Actuarial Accrued Liability
AVA $=$ Actuarial Value of Assets

## SUMMARY

IPERS continues to be funded on an actuarially sound basis. Based on the current benefit structure, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability will be amortized in 9 years, if all actuarial assumptions are met. This amortization period is 15 years less than the average for public retirement systems of comparable membership size*. Over the long term, the statutory rate of $9.45 \%$ is more than will be necessary to provide the benefits set out in the current benefit structure.

The net result of all changes was a decrease in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) from $\$ 1.161$ billion to $\$ 661$ million. The funded percentage, measured as the ratio of actuarial value of assets to actuarial accrued liability, is $93.9 \%$ as of June 30, 1997. This compares with an average funded percentage of $88.1 \%$ for public retirement systems of similar size.*

Based on the valuation results, IPERS is well funded and compares favorably with other major public retirement systems. In concluding this executive summary, we present on the following page comparative statistics and actuarial information on both the June 30, 1996 and June 30, 1997 valuations.
*Data from "1995 Survey of State and Government Employee Retirement Systems" prepared by Paul Zorn for the Public Pension Coordinating Committee.

## Seetionm <br> =xeculivesummans

## IOWA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PRINCIPAL RESULTS

|  | June 30, 1997* | June 30, 1996* | \% Chg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP |  |  |  |
| 1. Active Membership <br> - Number of Participants <br> - Projected Payroll for Fiscal Year End <br> - Average Salary | $\begin{array}{r} 147,736 \\ 3,925 \mathrm{M} \\ 26,055 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147,431 \\ 3,787 \mathrm{M} \\ 25,218 \end{array}$ | .2 3.6 3.3 |
| 2. Inactive Membership <br> - Number of Vested Deferred Members <br> - Number of Nonvested Members <br> - Number of Retirees/Beneficiaries <br> - Average Annual Benefit | $\begin{array}{r} 17,301 \\ 66,461 \\ 59,320 \\ 6,018 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,546 \\ 62,865 \\ 57,914 \\ 5,645 \end{array}$ | 4.6 5.7 2.4 6.6 |
| ASSETS AND LIABILTIES........ |  |  |  |
| 1. Net Assets <br> - Market Value <br> - Actuarial Value | $\begin{aligned} & 11,534 \mathrm{M} \\ & 10,113 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,587 \mathrm{M} \\ & 8,975 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.3 \\ & 12.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Projected Liabilities <br> - Retired <br> - Inactive Members <br> - Active Members <br> - Total Liability | $\begin{array}{r} 3,366 \mathrm{M} \\ 256 \mathrm{M} \\ 9,502 \mathrm{M} \\ 13,124 \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,077 \mathrm{M} \\ 245 \mathrm{M} \\ 9,072 \mathrm{M} \\ 12,394 \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | 9.4 4.5 4.7 5.9 |
| 3. Actuarial Accrued Liability | 10,774M | 10,136M | 6.3 |
| 4. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | 661M | 1,161M | (43.1) |
| 5. Funded Ratio (Actuarial Value Assets/Actuarial Accrued Liability) | 93.9\% | 88.5\% | 6.1 |
| SYSTEM CONTRIBUTIONS |  |  |  |
| Required Contribution Rate | 9.45\% | 9.45\% | 0.0 |
| Years Required to Amortize Unfunded Actuarial Liability | 9 years | 20 years | (55.0) |

[^0]
## SECTION II

## SYSTEM ASSETS

## SECTIONII

## SVSTEM ASSETS

In this section, the values assigned to the assets held by the System are presented. These assets are valued on two different bases: the market value and the actuarial value.

## Market Value of Net Assets

For certain accounting statement purposes, System assets are valued at current market rates. These values represent the "snapshot" or "cash-out" value of System assets as of the valuation date. In addition, the market value of assets provides a reference point to compare to various liability calculations.

## Actuarial Value of Net Assets

The market value of assets, representing a "cash-out" value of System assets, may not necessarily be the best measure of the System's ongoing ability to meet its obligations.

To arrive at a suitable value for the actuarial valuation, a technique for determining the actuarial value of assets is used which dampens volatility in the market value while still indirectly recognizing market value. The specific technique follows:

Step 1: Determine the expected value of plan assets at the current valuation date using the actuarial assumption for investment return and the actual receipts and disbursements of the fund for the previous 12 months.

Step 2: $\quad$ Subtract the expected value determined in Step 1 from the total market value of the Fund at the current valuation date.

Step 3: Multiply the difference between market and expected values determined in Step 2 by $25 \%$.

Step 4: $\quad$ Add the expected value of Step 1 and the product of Step 3 to determine the actuarial value of assets.


Information regarding the actuarial and market values of System assets as of June 30, 1997 is presented on the following pages:

| Page | Contents |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10 | Analysis of Net Assets |
| 11 | Graph of Asset Allocation |
| 12 | Summary of Fund Activity |
| 13 | Actuarial Value of Net Assets |
| 14 | Historical Comparison (Actuarial and Market) |

## ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS AT MARKET VALUES

|  | (\$ Millions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 1997 |  | June 30, 1996 |  |
|  | Amount | \% of <br> Total | Amount | $\%$ of Total |
| Cash \& Equivalents | 120 | 1.0 | 166 | 1.7 |
| Fixed Assets, Receivables and Payables | (942) | (8.2) | (657) | (6.8) |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 3,359 | 29.1 | 3,148 | 32.8 |
| Domestic Equity | 3,886 | 33.7 | 3,011 | 31.4 |
| International Equity | 1,017 | 8.8 | 717 | 7.5 |
| Global Fixed Income | 753 | 6.5 | 576 | 6.0 |
| Tactical Asset Funds | 1,273 | 11.1 | 1,008 | 10.5 |
| Real Estate Funds | 410 | 3.6 | 340 | 3.5 |
| Private Equity/Debt | 1,023 | 8.9 | 925 | 9.7 |
| Collateral Pool | 635 | 5.5 | 353 | 3.7 |
| NET ASSETS | 11,534 | 100.0 | 9,587 | 100.0 |

## Allocation of IPERS Investments

## As of June 30, 1997 (\% of Market Value by Type)



# SUMMARY OF FUND ACTIVITY <br> (Market Value) 

NET ASSETS ON 6/30/96 ..... \$ 9,587,104,982
REVENUE
Employer Contributions ..... 214,967,348
Member Contributions ..... 143,311,565
Service Purchase ..... 6,638,079
Investment income ..... 2.004.280.490
Total Revenue ..... \$ 2,369,197,482
DISBURSEMENTS
Benefit payments ..... 348,536,733
Member and employer refunds ..... 25,285,487
Administrative expense ..... 3,828,712
Investment expense
$44,682,609$
Total Expenses ..... \$ 422,333,541
NET ASSETS ON 6/30/97 ..... \$11,533,968,923

# Sectionill Systemyshsets 

## ACTUARIAL VALUE OF NET ASSETS

1. Actuarial value of assets as of June 30, 1996
\$8,975,396,251
2. Actual Receipts/Disbursements
a. Contributions
364,916,992
b. Benefit Payments
373,822,220
c. Net Change $(8,905,228)$
3. Expected Value of Assets as of June 30, 1997
9,639,311,796
$[(1) \times 1.075]+[(2 \mathrm{c}) \times(1+.075 / 2)]$
4. Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 1997

11,533,968,923
5. Difference Between Market and Expected Values 1,894,657,127

$$
(4)-(5)
$$

6. Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 1997

10,112,976,077 (3) $+[(5) \times 25 \%]$

## Section II <br> System Assets

## HISTORICAL COMPARISON (ACTUARIAL AND MARKET)

| Value As of | Actuarial Value of Net Assets | Market Value of Net Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 1988 | 3,956,669,479 | 4,018,936,215 |
| June 30, 1989 | 4,380,355,689 | 4,692,880,788 |
| June 30, 1990 | 4,829,933,406 | 5,154,615,631 |
| June 30, 1991 | 5,304,320,455 | 5,638,657,050 |
| June 30, 1992 | 5,805,210,929 | 6,225,257,155 |
| June 30, 1993 | 6,365,169,296 | 6,899,590,868 |
| June 30, 1994 | 6,926,678,212 | 7,126,124,256 |
| June 30, 1995 | 7,574,159,776 | 8,199,217,051 |
| June 30, 1996* | 8,975,396,251 | 9,587,104,982 |
| June 30, 1997 | 10,112,976,077 | 11,533,968,923 |

*In order to implement the new asset valuation method, the June 30, 1995 actuarial value of assets was revised to the actual market value on that date.


SECTION III
SYSTEM LIABILITIES
㘳

The tables in this section present System liabilities as follows:

| Page | Contents |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17 | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability |
| 18 | Present Value of Accrued Benefits |
| 19 | Pension Benefit Obligation |

# UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY as of June 30, 1997 

1. Present Value of Future Benefits
$\begin{aligned} & \text { a. Liability for Retired Members } \\ & \text { and Beneficiaries }\end{aligned} \$ 3,366,088,472$
b. Inactive Members Liability $255,549,153$
c. Active Members Liability $9,502,384,043$
d. Total System Liability
$13,124,021,668$
2. Present Value of Future Normal Costs 2,349,805,196
3. Actuarial Accrued Liability (1) - (2) $10,774,216,472$
4. Actuarial Value of Net Assets $10,112,976,077$
5. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (3) - (4) 661,240,395

## Section il System liabilites

## PRESENT VALUE OF ACCRUED BENEFITS

# The actuarial present value of accrued benefits represents the value of benefits earned as of the valuation date, based on service and salary to date. This is equivalent to assuming each member terminates employment. 

## Present Value of Accrued Benefits (as of June 30. 1997)

1. Present value of vested accrued benefits for active plan members
\$ 4,232,752,801
Present value of vested benefits being paid to plan retirees and beneficiaries $3,366,088,472$

Present value of vested benefits to terminated plan members not yet in pay status (deferred vested) 241,858,187

Accumulated employee account balance of nonvested inactive members $13,690,966$

Total present value of vested accrued benefits
\$ 7,854,390,426
2. Present value of nonvested accrued benefits
\$ 34,935,270
3. Total present value of all accrued benefits
\$ 7,889,325,696


## PENSION BENEFIT OBLIGATION

One measurement commonly used and, in fact required before GASB No. 25, for evaluating the funded status of retirement systems is the "pension benefit obligation" as set forth in GASB Statement No. 5. This value is that portion of the actuarial present value of all projected pension benefits, adjusted for the effects of projected salary increases, estimated to be payable in the future as a result of employee service to date under the projected unit credit actuarial cost method. This measurement is independent of the actuarial funding method used to determine contributions to the System.

The pension benefit obligation as determined for both this year and last year is summarized below:

## Pension Benefit Obligation

| Retired Members and Beneficiaries | $\$ 3,366,088,472$ | $\$ 3,076,721,751$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Deferred Vested Members | $241,858,187$ | $232,423,280$ |
| Nonvested Members | $13,690,966$ | $13,327,380$ |
| Active Members |  |  |
| $-\quad$ Accumulated employee |  |  |
| $\quad$ contributions with interest |  |  |
| $-\quad$ Employer-financed vested portion | $1,933,363,854$ | $1,797,120,005$ |
| $-\quad$ Employer-financed non-vested | $3,952,499,340$ | $3,835,382,096$ |
| $\quad$portion | $74,815,976$ | $45,874,982$ |
| $-\quad$ Total | $5,960,679,170$ | $5,678,377,083$ |
| Total System Obligation | $9,582,316,795$ | $9,000,849,494$ |
| Net Assets Available for Benefits | $\$ 11,533,968,923$ | $\$ 9,587,104,982$ |
| Unfunded Pension Benefit Obligation | $(1,951,652,128)$ | $(586,255,488)$ |
| Funded Percentage | $120,4 \%$ | $106.5 \%$ |

## SECTION IV

## SYSTEM CONTRIBUTIONS

Under the funding method described in Appendix C, the contribution rate consists of two elements: the normal cost rate and the contribution rate to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a level percent of payroll. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability represents the difference between the portion of the present value of future benefits allocated to service credited prior to the valuation date by the actuarial cost method and the actuarial value of assets as of that date.

In the following pages we present information on System contributions as follows:
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## ACTUARIAL BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 1997

## ASSETS

Actuarial value of assets ..... $\$ 10,112,976,077$
Present value of future normal costs ..... $2,349,805,196$
Present value of future contributions to amortize unfunded actuarial accrued liability ..... 661.240,395
Total Net Assets ..... \$ 13,124,021,668

## LIABILITIES

Present Value of Future IPERS Benefits
Retired Members and Beneficiaries
Annuity benefits being paid and contingent payments upon death
Active Members
Retirement allowances ..... 8,101,620,558
Death benefits
Termination benefits
Future Buybacks
1,158,995,781
Inactive Members
Retirement allowances \& deathbenefits for vested members$241,858,187$
Accumulated employee account balances13,690,966
Total Liabilities ..... \$ 13,124,021,668


## ANALYSIS OF CONTRIBUTION RATE

The actuarial cost method used to determine the required level of annual contributions by the members and the employers to support the benefits for all service to retirement is the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. Under this method, the total cost is comprised of the normal cost rate and the unfunded actuarial liability payment. The statutory contribution rate is first applied to the normal cost rate. The remaining contribution is used to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a level percentage of payroll, which determines the period necessary to amortize the unfunded actuarial liability. According to IPERS funding policy, the amortization period shall never exceed 30 years.

1. Statutory Total Contribution Rate ..... 9.45\%
2. Normal Cost Rate ..... 7.26\%
3. Contribution Toward Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) ..... 2.19\%
4. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability at Valuation Date ..... 661,240,395
5. Amortization period necessary to finance UAAL as a level percent of payroll at contribution rate shown in (3) ..... 9 years*
[^1]
## SECTION V

## ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

Historically, Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 5, "Disclosure of Pension Information by Public Employee Retirement Systems and State and Local Government Employers", required the disclosure of the funded status of the Plan on an annual basis using the pension benefit obligation (PBO).

In an effort to enhance the understandability and usefulness of the pension information that is included in the financial reports of pension plans for state and local governments, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement No. 25 - Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans. This Statement, along with GASB Statement No. 27 supersede GASB Statement No. 5.

GASB Statement No. 25, effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 1996, establishes financial reporting standards for defined benefit pension plans. In addition to two required statements regarding plan assets, the statement requires two schedules and accompanying notes disclosing information relative to the funded status of the plan and historical contribution patterns.

- The Schedule of Funding Progress provides information about whether the financial strength of the Plan is improving or deteriorating over time.
- The Schedule of Employer Contributions provides historical information about the annual required contribution (ARC) and the percentage of the ARC that was actually contributed.


## Page
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## SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP

Active Employees:

| Vested | 107,080 | 111,680 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Not yet vested | 40,656 | 35,751 |
| Total active employees | 147,736 | 147,431 |

Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Membership service } & 59,320 & 57,914\end{array}$
Terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them:

17,301
16,546
$\square$

## IOWA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

In accordance with Statement No. 25 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board

| Actuarial <br> Valuation <br> Date | Net Actuarial Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)* <br> (b) | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a) | Funded Ratio (a/b) | $\qquad$ | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered P/R [(b-a)/c] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/30/94 | \$6,926,678,212 | -- | - | - | \$3,175,877,083 | - |
| 6/30/95 | 7,574,159,776 | -- | -- | - | 3,352,992,969 | -- |
| 6/30/96 | 8,975,396,251 | 10,136,356,814 | 1,160,960,563 | 88.55\% | 3,463,455,913 | 33.52\% |
| 6/30/97 | 10,112,976,077 | 10,774,216,472 | 661,240,395 | 94.12\% | 3,640,257,177 | 18.16\% |

*Prior to $6 / 30 / 96$ the aggregate cost method was used which does not generate an actuarial accrued liability.
Actuarial Assumptions:
Actuarial cost method: Entry age normal cost method
Amortization method: Open period, level percent of pay
Asset valuation method: Expected value $+25 \%$ of difference between market and expected value
Investment Rate of Return: 7.5\%
Inflation Rate: 4.0\%
Salary Increases: $4.0-10.0 \%$ varying by age


## IOWA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

(All dollar amounts in millions)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Fiscal } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Ending }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Covered } \\ \text { Employee } \\ \text { Payroll }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\ \text { Employer } \\ \text { Contributions }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\ \text { Employer } \\ \text { Contribution \% }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Annual } \\ \text { Required } \\ \text { Contribution } \\ \text { (ARC) \% }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { of ARC } \\ \text { Contribution }\end{array}\right]$

In general, employer contribution as a percentage of covered payroll will exceed the normal statutory rate of $5.75 \%$ because of higher contribution rates for employees of certain law enforcement, fire safety, and protection occupations.

## APPENDIXA

## SUMMARY STATISTICSI ON SYSTEMMEMBERSHIP
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## Appendix A <br> Summary Statistics on System Membership

## SUMMARY OF ACTIVE MEMBERSHIP DATA

We received data on a total of 147,736 active members, excluding those retired and reemployed members. Some of the active records submitted to us were missing data or had invalid dates of birth and/or hire. Assumptions were made in these situations so all membership data was used. The following analysis compares this data with the June 30, 1996 data.

|  |  |  |  | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7/1/97* | 7/1/96* | Change | (147,431 |
| Total Employees | 147,736 |  |  | .2 |
| Projected Covered |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Payrol॥* (millions) | $\$ 3,925$ | $\$ 3,787$ | $\$ 138$ | 3.6 |
| Average Age | 44.6 | 44.5 | .1 | .2 |
| Average Entry Age | 33.1 | 33.2 | $(.1)$ | $(.3)$ |
| Average Earnings** | $\$ 26,031$ | $\$ 25,218$ | $\$ 813$ | 3.2 |

* Data includes part time and retired/re-employed workers which may make some of the average statistics appear artificially low.
** Payroll figures as of July 1 are actual amounts paid during the first quarter of the calendar year, increased by an assumed salary increase factor for a quarter of a year, annualized and projected for the fiscal year.

Number of Actives


## SUMMARY OF INACTIVE MEMBERSHIP DATA

|  |  |  |  | Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Percent |
| ---: |
|  |
| Change |



AGE AND SERVICE DISTRIBUTION AS OF JULY 1, 1997 FOR ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS
Males and Females

|  | Under 1 |  |  | 1104 |  | 5109 |  | 10.1014 |  | 151019 |  | 20.1024 |  | 251029 |  | 30.1034 |  | 351039 |  | 40 and over |  | Iotal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. |  |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  |
| Age | No. |  | Satary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary | No. | Salary |
| Undar 25 |  | 2 | 3,534 | 3,031 | 13,571 | 64 | 18,011 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 3.097 | 13,656 |
| 25-29 |  | 0 | NA | 8,000 | 20,078 | 2.278 | 25.135 | 41 | 24,115 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 10,319 | 21,210 |
| 30-34 |  | 0 | NA | 5.642 | 18,706 | 5,350 | 27.848 | 1,885 | 30,577 | 81 | 28,152 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 12,958 | 24,266 |
| 35-39 |  | 0 | NA | 6,488 | 16,397 | 4,971 | 24,589 | 4.639 | 32,239 | 2,795 | 32,160 | 207 | 29,413 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 19,100 | 24,825 |
| 40-44 |  | 0 | NA | 10,062 | 13,657 | 5,831 | 22,489 | 4,247 | 29,930 | 4,874 | 34,874 | 3,262 | 35,415 | 108 | 33,925 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 28,382 | 24,126 |
| 45-49 |  | 1 | 2,558 | 4,344 | 17.293 | 5,343 | 23,311 | 4,551 | 28,676 | 3,813 | 33,372 | 5,549 | 38,809 | 2,724 | 39,956 | 78 | 34,436 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 26,403 | 29,705 |
| 50-54 |  | 0 | NA | 2,767 | 16,354 | 3,242 | 22,716 | 3,294 | 27.535 | 2,996 | 30,095 | 3,081 | 35,317 | 3,670 | 41.757 | 1.426 | 41,834 | 31 | 40,464 | 0 | NA | 20,507 | 30,367 |
| 55-59 |  | 4 | 28,521 | 1.719 | 14,152 | 1,926 | 20,263 | 2,029 | 24,979 | 2,111 | 27,026 | 2,314 | 30,086 | 1,846 | 37,691 | 2,012 | 42,550 | 689 | 43,368 | 41 | 38.459 | 14,691 | 29,097 |
| 60-64 |  | 10 | 22,232 | 1.125 | 11.688 | 1,234 | 17,060 | 1,215 | 21,954 | 1,107 | 25,565 | 1,279 | 26,276 | 1.117 | 32,349 | 813 | 38,697 | 556 | 46,199 | 165 | 42,606 | 8,621 | 25,905 |
| 65-69 |  | 7 | 22.234 | 693 | 7,595 | 607 | 11,567 | 391 | 17,224 | 288 | 21,013 | 257 | 21,537 | 187 | 26,739 | 118 | 33,659 | 61 | 42,104 | 55 | 44.818 | 2,664 | 16,804 |
| 70 \& over |  | 2 | 20,844 | 427 | 5,314 | 331 | 6,993 | 93 | 13,655 | 61 | 13,373 | 42 | 14,078 | 15 | 33,259 | 14 | 24,353 | 4 | 26,028 | 5 | 49,971 | 994 | 8,547 |
| Totals |  | 26 | 20,898 | 44,298 | 16,174 | 31,177 | 23,362 | 22,385 | 28,674 | 18,126 | 31,544 | 15,991 | 34.715 | 9,665 | 38,996 | 4.461 | 41,185 | 1,341 | 44,365 | 266 | 42,563 | 147,736 | 26,031 |

## Sumnay, Statistics ans Systemhembership

AGE AND SERVICE DISTRIBUTION AS OF JULY 1, 1997 FOR ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS
Malas and Females


| Under 2 | 2 | 32 | 3.031 | 589 | 64 | 3,076 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 3,097 | 640 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25-29 | 0 | NA | 8,000 | 1,408 | 2.278 | 4,992 | 41 | 8,224 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 10,319 | 2,226 |
| 30-34 | 0 | NA | 5.642 | 1,347 | 5,350 | 6,578 | 1,885 | 11,868 | 81 | 14,695 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 12,958 | 5,121 |
| 35-39 | 0 | NA | 6,488 | 1.135 | 4,971 | 5,753 | 4,639 | 13,132 | 2,795 | 19,037 | 207 | 22,884 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 19.100 | 8,108 |
| 40-44 | 0 | NA | 10,082 | 843 | 5,831 | 5,046 | 4,247 | 11,914 | 4,874 | 21,564 | 3,262 | 27.728 | 106 | 31,277 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 28,382 | 10,125 |
| 45-49 | 1 | 23 | 4,344 | 1.229 | 5,343 | 5,251 | 4,551 | 11,327 | 3,813 | 20,222 | 5,549 | 30,992 | 2,724 | 37,642 | 78 | 38,567 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 26,403 | 16,648 |
| 50-54 | 0 | NA | 2,767 | 1,180 | 3.242 | 5,218 | 3,294 | 10,880 | 2,996 | 18,040 | 3,081 | 28,291 | 3,670 | 41,352 | 1.426 | 47,044 | 31 | 48,225 | 0 | NA | 20,507 | 20,362 |
| 55-59 | 4 | 351 | 1.719 | 998 | 1,926 | 4,719 | 2,029 | 10.117 | 2.111 | 16,676 | 2,314 | 24,197 | 1,846 | 37,322 | 2,012 | 49,629 | 689 | 55,464 | 41 | 55,952 | 14,691 | 22,584 |
| 60-64 | 10 | 72 | 1,125 | 773 | 1,234 | 3,915 | 1,215 | 9,095 | 1,107 | 15,739 | 1,279 | 21,664 | 1.117 | 32,604 | 813 | 44,591 | 556 | 56,548 | 165 | 59.725 | 8,621 | 20,398 |
| 65-69 | 7 | 278 | 693 | 547 | 607 | 2,616 | 391 | 7,237 | 288 | 12,731 | 257 | 17,868 | 187 | 26,446 | 118 | 35,855 | 61 | 48,944 | 55 | 60,392 | 2,664 | 10.714 |
| 0\& over | 2 | 163 | 427 | 399 | 331 | 1,507 | 93 | 5,506 | 61 | 14,102 | 42 | 10,669 | 15 | 25,125 | 14 | 28,686 | 4 | 38,526 | 5 | 65,609 | 994 | 3,773 |



## AGE AND SERVICE DISTRIBUTION AS OF JULY 1, 1997 FOR DEFERRED VESTED PARTICIPANTS

Males and Females

|  | Undar 1 |  |  | 1 104 |  | 5 to. 9 |  | 101014 |  | 15 to 19 |  | 20 to 24 |  | 25 to 29 |  | 30 to 34 |  | 351039 |  | 40 and over |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. |  |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  | Avg. |  |
| Age | No. |  | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. | No. | EE Bal. |
| Under 2 |  | 0 | NA | 5 | 860 | 2 | 1,425 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 7 | 1,021 |
| 25-29 |  | 0 | NA | 48 | 3,085 | 121 | 3,841 | 2 | 137 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 171 | 3,580 |
| 30-34 |  | 0 | NA | 145 | 3,125 | 647 | 5,275 | 80 | 9,276 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 852 | 5,191 |
| 35-39 |  | 0 | NA | 177 | 3,157 | 1.154 | 5,932 | 389 | 11,524 | 75 | 16,259 | 1 | 24,099 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 1,776 | 7.264 |
| 40-44 |  | 0 | NA | 220 | 3,332 | 1.435 | 6,233 | 707 | 13,115 | 321 | 19,673 | 48 | 25,202 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 2,731 | 9,694 |
| 45-49 |  | 0 | NA | 260 | 3,124 | 1,585 | 6,389 | 909 | 13,823 | 533 | 22,068 | 197 | 29,626 | 33 | 36,247 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 3,517 | 12,027 |
| 50-54 |  | 0 | NA | 209 | 2,950 | 1,438 | 6,442 | 892 | 13,010 | 530 | 22,019 | 289 | 30,813 | 121 | 40,816 | 22 | 46,749 | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 3,501 | 13,718 |
| 55-59 |  | 0 | NA | 119 | 3,002 | 924 | 5,806 | 616 | 12,269 | 339 | 20,603 | 189 | 30,703 | 70 | 40,003 | 36 | 46,308 | 1 | 42,761 | 0 | NA | 2,294 | 13,329 |
| 60-64 |  | 0 | NA | 85 | 2,153 | 558 | 5,290 | 311 | 11,745 | 163 | 17,887 | 92 | 29,116 | 31 | 36,374 | 12 | 39,082 | 2 | 56,654 | 3 | 55.831 | 1,255 | 11,353 |
| 65-69 |  | 0 | NA | 51 | 1.973 | 201 | 3,981 | 69 | 10,450 | 33 | 16,671 | 21 | 22,856 | 4 | 36,732 | 3 | 46,956 | 0 | NA | 1 | 63,404 | 383 | 7.841 |
| 0 \& over |  | 0 | NA | 80 | 1,231 | 433 | 1,764 | 163 | 3,397 | 98 | 3,914 | 32 | 8,035 | 1 | 39,008 | 2 | 24.477 | 0 | NA | 5 | 15,044 | 814 | 2,727 |
| Totals |  | 0 | \#DIVIO: | 1,399 | 2,805 | 8,496 | 5,759 | 4,098 | 12,381 | 2,092 | 19,981 | 869 | 28,991 | 260 | 39,418 | 75 | 44,724 | 3 | 52,023 | 9 | 34,013 | 17,301 | 10,682 |

## ANALYSIS OF RETIREES AND BENEFICIARIES

Males and Females

Number of Participants

| Number of Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Contingent |  | Per Cert |  |
| Age | Chapt 97 | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 3 | Oplion 4 | Beneficiary | Option 5 | Beneficiary | Total |


| Under 40 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 22 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 to 44 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 55 |
| 45 to 49 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 98 |
| 50 to 54 | 0 | 66 | 12 | 8 | 46 | 23 | 13 | 3 | 171 |
| 55 to 59 | 0 | 615 | 276 | 209 | 343 | 39 | 273 | 6 | 1,761 |
| 60 to 64 | 0 | 2,077 | 1,351 | 657 | 1,397 | 144 | 943 | 24 | 6,593 |
| 65 to 69 | 0 | 4,227 | 2,870 | 1,092 | 2,343 | 236 | 1,381 | 74 | 12,223 |
| 70 to 74 | 0 | 4,737 | 3,042 | 1,188 | 1,846 | 370 | 1,410 | 92 | 12,685 |
| 75 to 79 | 2 | 4,979 | 1,750 | 841 | 1,138 | 309 | 1,864 | 44 | 10,927 |
| 80 to 84 | 5 | 4,052 | 692 | 646 | 436 | 186 | 1,592 | 5 | 7,614 |
| 85 to 89 | 20 | 2,719 | 255 | 440 | 101 | 131 | 856 | 1 | 4,523 |
| 90 to 94 | 18 | 1,312 | 120 | 294 | 52 | 50 | 241 | 0 | 2,087 |
| 95 to 99 | 13 | 234 | 85 | 113 | 8 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 480 |
| $100 \&$ over | 10 | 12 | 44 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Totals | 68 | 25,098 | 10,507 | 5,511 | 7,750 | 1,541 | 8,592 | 253 | 59,320 |

## ANALYSIS OF RETIREES AND BENEFICIARIES

Males and Females


## APPENDIX B

## SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

## IOWA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Chapter 97B of the lowa code sets out the IPERS provisions, which are briefly summarized as follows (the special provisions applicable to certain peace officer groups are not reflected in this summary):

Participation:

Final Average Salary:

In general, the System covers people in non-federal public employment within the State of lowa. Exceptions to this are set out in the law. A notable exception are those covered by another public system in lowa (such as judges, state patrol, and policemen and firemen in cities having civil service), employees of the Regents' institutions, and employees of the community colleges who elect alternative coverage under TIAA. Membership is mandatory if a person is in covered employment.

The average of covered salaries for the highest paid three years of the member's service.

Provided however, for retirements between 1997 and 2002 (for certain retirees), the following provisions apply:

| If 3-Year <br> Average Wage <br> Exceeds | Date of <br> Retirement | Final Average <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 48,000$ | 1997 | Average of four highest <br> years, or $\$ 48,000$ if greater |
| 52,000 | 1998 | Average of five highest <br> years, or $\$ 52,000$ if greater |
| 55,000 | 1999 | Average of six highest <br> years, or $\$ 55,000$ if greater |
| 55,000 | $2000-2002$ | Average of seven highest <br> years, or $\$ 55,000$ if greater |

Effective January 1, 1997, the covered wage ceiling is lifted. It continues to apply to salary for all years prior to 1997.

## Appendix: 8 Surmary of plan provisions

Age and Service Requirements for Benefits:

Normal Retirement

Early Retirement

Late Retirement

Deferred Vested Benefit

Death Benefit
Retirement Benefits:
Normal Retirement

Early Retirement

First day of the month of the member's 65th birthday or Rule of 88 (age plus service equals/exceeds 88 ), with a minimum age 55. Age 55 for sheriffs, deputies and protection occupation members.

First day of any month starting with the month of the member's 55th birthday but preceding the normal retirement date.

After normal retirement date.

Before age 55 with at least four years of service.
Upon death of a member before benefits have started.

An annual annuity equal to 2\% of Final Average Salary (FAS) for each year of service up to 30 years plus $1 \%$ of FAS for each of the next 5 years of service. Maximum years of service recognized for benefit accrual purposes is 35 .

Members who are sheriffs or deputies receive 60\% of FAS after completion of 22 years of service, plus an additional $1 \%$ of FAS for years of service greater than 22 but not more than 27. Members of the protection occupation groups receive 60\% of FAS after completion of 25 years of service plus an additional 1\% of FAS for each year of service greater than 25 , but not more than 30.

An annuity, payable at the normal retirement date, determined in the same manner as for normal retirement. A reduction of $.25 \%$ per month is applied for each month the benefit commences prior to normal retirement age.

## Aphemanks Sumans\%o乡flan frovisims

Late Retirement

Form of Annuity:

Termination Benefits:
Before age 55, with less than four years of service

Before age 55 with four or more years of service

An annuity, payable after covered employment ends, determined as for normal retirement.

The base form, or normal form, is a life annuity with a guaranteed return of employee contributions. Optional forms include a straight life annuity, a ten year certain and life thereafter annuity, and joint and survivor annuities (with $25 \%, 50 \%$ or $100 \%$ to the surviving joint annuitant).

A refund of the members contributions under the plan with interest.

At the member's election either
(1) a refund of the employee's contributions under the plan with interest, or
(2) a deferred retirement income determined as for normal retirement. Payments can be started in accordance with normal or early retirement.

NOTE: A person eligible for, and receiving, federal social security disability may begin IPERS benefits, unreduced, at any age.

Post-retirement Benefit Increases:

Annual dividends are paid to those retired prior to July, 1990. Effective with the November 1997 dividend payment, the dividend will be adjusted by the least of the following percentages: (1) $80 \%$ of the change in the CPI, (2) percentage certified to by the actuary as affordable by the System, and (3) $3 \%$.


Death Benefits:
A lump sum equal to the member's contributions with interest, plus $1 / 30$ of the member's salary times years of membership service.

Source of Funds:
General Membership:
Member Contributions
3.7\% of covered pay.

Employer Contributions
5.75\% of covered pay.

Sheriffs and Deputies:
Member Contributions* Not yet determined.
Employer Contributions* Not yet determined.
Protection Occupation:
Member Contributions* Not yet determined.
Employer Contributions* Not yet determined.
*Actuarial contribution rates which are determined every year.

## APPENDIX C

## ACTUARIAL METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS

From time to time one or more of the assumptions are modified to reflect experience trends (but not random or temporary year to year fluctuations). A complete review of the actuarial assumptions was completed in 1993 and a review of the investment return assumption was completed in late 1995.

## Rate of Investment Return

7.50\% per annum, compounded annually, net of expenses.

## Rates of Mortality

1977 IPERS Unisex Mortality Table is used for retired member mortality. Pre-retirement mortality for active and vested members is based on the 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table with a blend of $60 \%$ female mortality and $40 \%$ male mortality. The 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table for females is used to anticipate beneficiary mortality. Sample rates from all mortality tables are shown below:

| Member Pre-Retirement |  | Member Post-Retirement |  | Beneficiary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual Rate of Death per |  | Annual Rate of Death per | Annual Rate of Death per |
| Age | 1.000 Members | Age | 1.000 Members | 1,000 Members |
| 20 | 0.3 | 55 | 4.634 | 2.541 |
| 25 | 0.3 | 60 | 7.138 | 4.241 |
| 30 | 0.4 | 65 | 11.813 | 7.064 |
| 35 | 0.6 | 70 | 19.947 | 12.385 |
| 40 | 0.9 | 75 | 33.635 | 23.992 |
| 45 | 1.5 | 80 | 56.338 | 42.945 |
| 50 | 2.6 | 85 | 89.208 | 69.918 |



## Rates of Withdrawal

For determining the present value of retirement allowances and termination benefits payable in the future to current active members and for determining the present value of future covered payroll for current active members, the withdrawal rates are as follows:

## Annual Rate of Withdrawals

Age Per 1.000 Members
22291.0

27
147.5
$32 \quad 109.6$
37
85.7

42
71.8
$47 \quad 63.4$
$52 \quad 51.6$
55 \& Over
0.0

Rate of Election of Return of Contributions by Vested Members:

|  | Annual Rate <br> Age <br> Per 1.000 Members |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 1000 |
| 30 | 900 |
| 35 | 800 |
| 40 | 600 |
| 45 | 500 |
| 50 | 100 |
| 55 | 100 |

> Appendiese
> Actuatajnemodamosassumptions

## Rates of Salary Increase

For determining the present value of retirement allowances and termination benefits payable in the future to current active members and for determining the present value of future covered payroll for current active members, the rates of salary increases are as follows:

| $\frac{\text { Age }}{}$ | Annual Increase |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $10.0 \%$ |
| 27 | 8.1 |
| 32 | 7.1 |
| 37 | 6.4 |
| 42 | 6.1 |
| 47 | 5.7 |
| 52 | 5.5 |
| 57 | 5.1 |
| 62 | 4.5 |

## Retirement Rates

Upon meeting the requirements for early retirement (but not for unreduced benefits), the following rates apply:

| $\frac{\text { Age }}{}$ | Assumed Retirement Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 55 | $5 \%$ |
| 58 | 8 |
| 60 | 10 |
| 62 | 35 |
| 64 | 20 |

Upon reaching the requirements for unreduced retirement benefits (effective July 1, 1997), it is assumed that the probability of retiring as follows:

|  | Assumed Retirement Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1st Year |  |
| $55-59$ | $\frac{\text { Eligible }}{}$ | After 1st Year |
| 60 | $25 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 61 | 40 | 15 |
| 62 | 40 | 20 |
| 63 | 50 | 35 |
| 64 | 50 | 20 |
| 65 | 50 | 20 |
| $66-70$ | 50 | 50 |
|  | 50 | 30 |

## Appendix $c$ Actuaral Method and Assumptions

Those not satisfying Rule of 88 are assumed to retire as follows:

| $\frac{\text { Age }}{}$ | Assumed Retirement Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $50 \%$ |
| 66 | 30 |
| 67 | 30 |
| 68 | 30 |
| 69 | 45 |
| 70 | 100 |

Terminated vested members are assumed to retire at age 63.

## Age of Spouses For Joint and Survivor Retirees

The male of the couple is assumed to be three years older than the female.

## Rate of Crediting Interest on Contribution Balances

5.5\% per annum, compounded annually.

## Rate of Inflation

4.0\% per annum.

## Valuation of Assets

For actuarial purposes, assets are valued at the expected value at the valuation date (based on the assumed rate of investment return) plus $25 \%$ of the difference between the market value and expected value.


## ACTUARIAL COST METHOD

The actuarial cost method is a procedure for allocating the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses to time periods. The method used for the valuation is known as the entry age normal actuarial cost method. Under this method, a total contribution rate is determined which consists of two parts: (i) the normal cost rate and (ii) the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) rate. The entry age normal cost method has the following characteristics:
(i) The annual normal costs for each individual active member are sufficient to accumulate the value of the member's pension at time of retirement.
(ii) Each annual normal cost is a constant percentage of the member's year by year projected compensation.

The entry age normal actuarial cost method allocates the actuarial present value of each member's projected benefits on a level basis over the member's compensation between the entry age of the member and the assumed exit ages.

The portion of the actuarial present value allocated to the valuation year is called the normal cost. The portion of the actuarial present value not provided for by the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the actuarial accrued liability. Deducting the actuarial value of assets from the actuarial accrued liability determines the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). The difference between the statutory contribution rate ( $9.45 \%$ ) and the normal cost rate is used to finance the UAAL and the number of years necessary to finance the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a level percent of member payroll is determined.

## Appentik\% <br> 

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

Actuarial Accrued Liability

Actuarial Assumptions

Accrued Service

Actuarial Equivalent

Actuarial Cost Method

Experience Gain(Loss)

Actuarial Present Value
The difference between the actuarial present value of system benefits and the actuarial value of future normal costs. Also referred to as "accrued liability" or "actuarial liability."

Estimates of future experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, turnover, retirement, rate or rates of investment income and salary increases. Decrement assumptions (rates of mortality, disability, turnover and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate in an inflation-free environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation.

Service credited under the system which was rendered before the date of the actuarial valuation.

A single amount or series of amounts of equal actuarial value to another single amount or series of amounts, computed on the basis of appropriate actuarial assumptions.

A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the actuarial present value of retirement system benefit between future normal cost and actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the "actuarial funding method."

The difference between actual experience and actuarial assumptions anticipated experience during the period between two actuarial valuation dates.

The amount of funds currently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting future payments at predetermined rates of interest and by probabilities of payment.

## Apmendinc <br> Actuarajhethosame/Assumplions

Amortization<br>Normal Cost<br>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

Paying off an interest-discounted amount with periodic payments of interest and principal, as opposed to paying off with lump sum payment.

The actuarial present value of retirement system benefits allocated to the current year by the actuarial cost method.

The difference between actuarial accrued liability and the valuation assets. Sometimes referred to as "unfunded accrued liability" or "unfunded liability".

Most retirement systems have unfunded actuarial accrued liability. They arise anytime new benefits are added and anytime an actuarial loss is realized.

The existence of unfunded actuarial accrued liability is not in itself bad, any more than a mortgage on a house is bad. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability does not represent a debt that is payable today. What is important is the ability to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and make payments to finance it. Also of importance are trends in the amount or duration of payment.


[^0]:    * These membership figures are based on March 31 data.
    $M=(\$)$ Millions

[^1]:    * This assumes all actuarial assumptions are met.

