

701—53.23 (422) Section 179 expensing. For tax periods beginning on or after January 1, 2003, but beginning before January 1, 2006, the increase in the expensing allowance for qualifying property authorized in Section 179(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, as enacted by Public Law No. 108-27, Section 202, may be taken for Iowa corporation income tax. If the taxpayer elects to take the increased Section 179 expensing, the Section 179 expensing allowance on the Iowa corporation income tax return is the same as the Section 179 expensing allowance on the federal income tax return for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2003, but beginning before January 1, 2006. In addition, for tax periods beginning on or after January 1, 2008, but beginning before January 1, 2009, the increase in the expensing allowance for qualifying property authorized in Section 179(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, as enacted by Public Law No. 110-185, Section 102, may be taken for Iowa corporation income tax. For tax periods beginning on or after January 1, 2009, but beginning before January 1, 2010, the increase in the expensing allowance for qualifying property authorized in Section 179(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, as enacted by Public Law No. 111-5, Section 1202, cannot be taken for Iowa corporation income tax purposes. The maximum amount of Section 179 expensing allowed for tax periods beginning on or after January 1, 2009, but beginning before January 1, 2010, is \$133,000 for Iowa corporation income tax purposes. For tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2010, the increase in the expensing allowance for qualifying property authorized in Section 179(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, as enacted by Public Law No. 111-240, Section 2021, and Public Law No. 111-312, Section 402, may be taken for Iowa corporation income tax.

53.23(1) If the taxpayer elects to take the increased Section 179 expensing and had filed an Iowa return prior to February 24, 2005, which reflected the disallowance of increased Section 179 expensing, the taxpayer may choose between two options to reflect this change. Taxpayer may either file an amended return for the applicable tax year to reflect the increased Section 179 expensing, or taxpayer may reflect the change for increased Section 179 expensing on the next Iowa return filed subsequent to February 23, 2005. Taxpayer must choose only one of these two options. Regardless of the option chosen, taxpayer must complete and attach a revised Form IA 4562A to either the amended return or the return filed subsequent to February 23, 2005.

See 701—subrule 40.65(1) for examples illustrating how this subrule is applied.

53.23(2) If the taxpayer elects not to take the increased Section 179 expensing, the expensing allowance is limited to \$25,000 for Iowa tax purposes. The difference between the federal Section 179 expensing allowance on such property, if in excess of \$25,000, and the Iowa expensing allowance of \$25,000 can be depreciated using the modified accelerated cost recovery system (MACRS) applicable under Section 168 of the Internal Revenue Code without regard to the bonus depreciation provision in Section 168(k).

If any such property was sold or disposed of during the tax year, the applicable Section 179 and related depreciation catch-up adjustment must be made to adjust the basis of the property for Iowa tax purposes. The gain or loss reported on the sale or disposition of these assets for federal tax purposes must be adjusted for Iowa tax purposes to account for the adjusted basis of assets.

The adjustment for both the Section 179 expensing allowance and related depreciation, along with the gain or loss on the sale of qualifying assets for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2003, but beginning before January 1, 2006, can be calculated on Form IA 4562A.

The following nonexclusive examples illustrate how this subrule applies:

EXAMPLE 1: Taxpayer acquired a \$110,000 qualifying asset on January 1, 2003, which has a five-year life for depreciation purposes. Taxpayer was entitled to a \$100,000 Section 179 expensing allowance, a \$5,000 bonus depreciation deduction under Section 168(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, and an additional depreciation deduction under Section 168 of the Internal Revenue Code for a total deduction of \$106,000 for federal tax purposes. For Iowa purposes, taxpayer filed a return showing a \$25,000 Section 179 expensing allowance and a \$17,000 depreciation deduction using MACRS, for a total Iowa deduction of \$42,000. Therefore, since taxpayer elected not to take the increased Section 179 expensing for Iowa tax purposes, a \$64,000 (\$106,000 – \$42,000) adjustment to net income relating to this Section 179 and depreciation adjustment would be made on the Iowa return for 2003. Similar adjustments would

be made on the 2004 and 2005 Iowa returns if taxpayer elected not to take the increased Section 179 expensing for Iowa tax purposes.

EXAMPLE 2: Assume the same facts as given in Example 1, and the qualifying asset was sold on December 31, 2005, for \$50,000. Taxpayer elected not to take the increased Section 179 expensing for Iowa tax purposes. Taxpayer would have claimed \$108,560 of Section 179 and depreciation deductions on the federal returns for 2003-2005. This results in a basis for this asset of \$1,440 (\$110,000 – \$108,560), and a gain of \$48,560 (\$50,000 – \$1,440) on the federal return for 2005 on the sale of the asset.

Taxpayer would have claimed \$85,520 of Section 179 and depreciation deductions using the Section 179 limit of \$25,000 and the MACRS depreciation method on the Iowa returns for 2003-2005. This results in a basis for this asset of \$24,480 (\$110,000 – \$85,520), and a gain of \$25,520 (\$50,000 – \$24,480) on the Iowa return for 2005 on the sale of the assets. Therefore, an adjustment to net income of \$23,040 (\$48,560 – \$25,520) relating to this gain adjustment would be made on the Iowa return for 2005.

53.23(3) Special filing provision for 2010 change. Taxpayers who did not claim the increased Section 179 expensing on their tax return for the period beginning on or after January 1, 2010, but before January 1, 2011, as originally filed have two options to reflect this adjustment. Taxpayer may either file an amended return for the applicable tax year to reflect this adjustment or taxpayer may reflect this adjustment on the next tax return. If the taxpayer elects to reflect this adjustment on the next tax return, the limitation based on income provisions and regulations of Section 179(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code is suspended related to the claiming of the adjustment for the next tax year.

EXAMPLE: Taxpayer claimed a \$150,000 Section 179 expense on the federal return for the period ending December 31, 2010. Taxpayer only claimed a \$134,000 Section 179 expense on the Iowa return as originally filed for the period ending December 31, 2010. Taxpayer elects not to file an amended return for the period ending December 31, 2010, but to make the adjustment on the Iowa return for the period ending December 31, 2011. Taxpayer reported a loss on the federal return for the period ending December 31, 2011; therefore, no Section 179 expense can be claimed on the federal return for the period ending December 31, 2011, in accordance with Section 179(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Taxpayer can claim the \$16,000 (\$150,000 less \$134,000) difference as a deduction on the Iowa return for the period ending December 31, 2011, since the income provision of Section 179(b)(3) is suspended for Iowa tax purposes.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 422.35 as amended by 2011 Iowa Acts, Senate File 512.

[ARC 9103B, IAB 9/22/10, effective 10/27/10; ARC 9820B, IAB 11/2/11, effective 12/7/11]