

701—54.9(422) Allocation and apportionment of income in special cases. If a taxpayer feels that the allocation and apportionment method as prescribed by Iowa Code subsection 422.33(2), or 701—Chapter 54, in the taxpayer's case results in an injustice, the taxpayer may petition the department for permission to determine the taxable net income, both allocable and apportionable, to the state on some other basis.

The taxpayer must first file the return as prescribed by Iowa Code subsection 422.33(2), and pay the tax shown due thereon. If a change to some other method is desired, a statement of objections and schedules detailing such alternative method shall be submitted to the department. The department shall require detail and proof within such time as the department may reasonably prescribe. In addition, the alternative method of allocation and apportionment will not be allowed where the taxpayer fails to produce, upon request of the department, any information the department deems necessary to analyze the request for an alternative method of allocation and apportionment. Such petition must be in writing and shall set forth in detail the facts upon which the petition is based. The burden of proof will be on the taxpayer as to the validity of the method and its results. The mere fact that an alternative method of apportionment or allocation produces a lesser amount of income attributable to Iowa is, per se, insufficient proof that the statutory method of allocation and apportionment is invalid. *Moorman Manufacturing Company v. Bair*, 437 U.S. 267, 57 L.Ed.2d 197(1978). In essence, a comparison of the statutory method of apportionment with another formulary apportionment method is insufficient to prove that the taxpayer would be entitled to the alternative formulary apportionment method. *Moorman Manufacturing Company v. Bair*, supra.

One of the possible alternative methods of allocation and apportionment is separate accounting provided the taxpayer's activities in Iowa are not unitary with the taxpayer's activities outside Iowa. Any corporation deriving income from business operations partly within and partly without Iowa must determine that net business income attributable to this state by the prescribed formula for apportioning net income, unless the taxpayer proved by clear and cogent evidence that the statutory formula apportions income to Iowa out of all reasonable proportion to the business transacted within Iowa. *Moorman Manufacturing Company v. Bair*, supra.

The burden of proof that the statutory method of apportionment attributes to Iowa income out of all reasonable proportion to the business transacted within Iowa is on the taxpayer. In order to utilize separate accounting, the taxpayer's books and records must be kept in a manner that accurately depicts the exact geographical source of profits. In any petition to utilize separate accounting, the taxpayer must submit schedules which accurately depict net income by division or product line and the amount of income earned within Iowa.

Separate accounting is not allowable for a unitary business where the separate accounting method fails to consider factors of profitability resulting from functional integration, centralization of management, and economics of scale. *Shell Oil Company v. Iowa Department of Revenue*, 414 N.W.2d 113 (Iowa 1987).

There are alternative methods of separate accounting utilizing different accounting principles. A mere showing that one separate accounting method produces a result substantially different than the statutory method of apportionment is not sufficient to justify the granting of the separate accounting method shown. The taxpayer must not only show that the separate accounting method advocated by the taxpayer in comparison with the statutory method of apportionment produces a result which, if the statutory method of apportionment were used, would be out of all reasonable proportion to the business transacted within Iowa. The taxpayer must also show that all other conceivable reasonable separate accounting methods would show, when compared with the statutory method of apportionment, that the statutory method of apportionment substantially produces a distorted result.

As used in this rule "statutory method of apportionment" means the Iowa single sales factor formula set forth in Iowa Code section 422.33, subsection 2, paragraph "b," and the apportionment methods set forth in 701—Chapter 54.

All requests to use an alternative method of allocation and apportionment submitted to the department will be considered by the compliance division if the request is the result of an audit or by the policy section of the compliance division if the request is received prior to audit. If the department concludes that the statutory method of allocation and apportionment is, in fact, both inapplicable and

inequitable, the department shall prescribe a special method. The special method of allocation and apportionment prescribed by the department may be that requested by the taxpayer or some other method of allocation and apportionment which the department deems to equitably attribute income to business activities carried on within Iowa.

If the taxpayer disagrees with the determination of the department, the taxpayer may file a protest within 60 days of the date of the letter setting forth the department's determination and the reasons therefor in accordance with rule 701—7.41(17A). The department's determination letter shall set forth the taxpayer's rights to protest the department's determination.

If no protest is filed within the 60-day period, then no hearing will be granted on the department's determination under this rule. However, this does not preclude the taxpayer from subsequently raising this question in the event that the taxpayer protests an assessment or denial of a timely refund claim, but this issue will only be dealt with for the years involved in the assessment or timely refund claim.

The use of an alternative method of allocation and apportionment would only be applicable to the years under consideration at the time the special method of allocation and apportionment is prescribed. The taxpayer's continued use of a prescribed method of allocation and apportionment will be subject to review and change within the statutory or legally extended period(s).

If there is a material change in the business operations or accounting procedures from those in existence at the time the taxpayer was permitted to determine the net income earned within Iowa by an alternative method of allocation and apportionment, the taxpayer shall apprise the department of such changes prior to filing its return for the current year. After reviewing the information submitted, along with any other information the department deems necessary, the department will notify the taxpayer if the alternative method of allocation and apportionment is deemed applicable.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 422.33.