

701—40.38(422) Capital gain deduction or exclusion for certain types of net capital gains. For tax years beginning on or after January 1, 1998, net capital gains from the sale of the assets of a business described in subrules 40.38(2) to 40.38(8) are excluded in the computation of net income for qualified individual taxpayers. This includes net capital gains from the sales of real property, sales of assets of a business entity, sales of certain livestock of a business, sales of timber, liquidation of assets of certain corporations, and certain stock sales which are treated as acquisition of assets of a corporation. “Net capital gains” means capital gains net of capital losses because Iowa’s starting point for computing net income is federal adjusted gross income. A business includes any activity engaged in by a person or caused to be engaged in by a person with the object of gain, benefit, or advantage, either direct or indirect. Subrule 40.38(1) describes the criteria for material participation which are required for the exclusion of certain capital gains related to the sale of real property and the sale of assets of business entities. Subrule 40.38(9) describes situations in which the capital gain deduction otherwise allowed is not allowed for purposes of computation of a net operating loss or for computation of the taxable income for a tax year to which a net operating loss is carried.

40.38(1) Material participation in a business if the taxpayer has been involved in the operation of the business on a regular, continuous, and substantial basis for ten or more years at the time assets of the business are sold or exchanged. If the taxpayer has regular, continuous and substantial involvement in the operations of a business which meets the criteria for material participation in an activity under Section 469(h) of the Internal Revenue Code and the federal tax regulations for material participation in 26 CFR §1.469-5 and §1.469-5T, for the ten years prior to the date of the sale or exchange of the assets of a business, the taxpayer shall be considered to have satisfied the material participation requirement for this subrule. In determining whether a particular taxpayer has material participation in a business, participation of the taxpayer’s spouse in a business must also be taken into account. The spouse’s participation in the business must be taken into account even if the spouse does not file a joint state return with the taxpayer or if the spouse has no ownership interest in the business. The activities of other family members, employees, or consultants are not attributed to the taxpayer to determine material participation.

a. Work done in connection with an activity shall not be treated as participation in the activity if such work is not of a type that is customarily done by an owner and one of the principal purposes for the performance of such work is to avoid the disallowance of any loss or credit from such activity.

b. Work done in an activity by an individual in the individual’s capacity as an investor is not considered to be material participation in the business or activity unless the investor is directly involved in the day-to-day management or operations of the activity or business. Investor-type activities include the study and review of financial statements or reports on operations of the activity, preparing or compiling summaries or analyses of finances or operations of the activity for the individual’s own use, and monitoring the finances or operations of the activity in a nonmanagerial capacity.

c. A taxpayer is most likely to have material participation in a business if that business is the taxpayer’s principal business. However, for purposes of this subrule, it is possible for a taxpayer to have had material participation in more than one business in a tax year.

d. A highly relevant factor in material participation in a business is how regularly the taxpayer is present at the place where the principal operations of a business are conducted. In addition, a taxpayer is likely to have material participation in a business if the taxpayer performs all functions of the business. The fact that the taxpayer utilizes employees or contracts for services to perform daily functions in a business will not prevent the taxpayer from qualifying as materially participating in the business, but the services will not be attributed to the taxpayer.

e. Generally, an individual will be considered as materially participating in a tax year if the taxpayer satisfies or meets any of the following tests:

(1) The individual participates in the business for more than 500 hours in the taxable year.

EXAMPLE. Joe and Sam Smith are brothers who formed a computer software business in 2001 in Altoona, Iowa. In 2011, Joe spent approximately 550 hours selling software for the business and Sam spent about 600 hours developing new software programs for the business. Both Joe and Sam would be considered to have materially participated in the computer software business in 2011.

(2) The individual's participation in the business constitutes substantially all of the participation of all individuals in the business for the tax year.

EXAMPLE. Roger McKee is a teacher in a small town in southwest Iowa. He owns a truck with a snowplow blade. He contracts with some of his neighbors to plow driveways. He maintains and drives the truck. In the winter of 2011, there was little snow so Mr. McKee spent only 20 hours in 2011 clearing driveways. Roger McKee is deemed to have materially participated in the snowplowing business in 2011.

(3) The individual participates in the business for more than 100 hours in the tax year, and no other individual spends more time in the business activity than the taxpayer.

(4) The individual participates in two or more businesses, excluding rental businesses, in the tax year and participates for more than 500 hours in all of the businesses and more than 100 hours in each of the businesses, and the participation is not material participation within the meaning of one of the tests in subparagraphs 40.38(1) "e"(1) to (3) and (5) to (7). Thus, the taxpayer is regarded as materially participating in each of the businesses.

EXAMPLE. Frank Evans is a full-time CPA. He owns a restaurant and a record store. In 2011, Mr. Evans spent 400 hours working at the restaurant and 150 hours at the record store and other individuals spent more time in the business activity than he did. Mr. Evans is treated as a material participant in each of the businesses in 2011.

(5) An individual who has materially participated (determined with regard to subparagraphs 40.38(1) "e"(1) to (4)) in a business for five of the past ten years will be deemed a material participant in the current year.

EXAMPLE. Joe Bernard is the co-owner of a plumbing business. He retired in 2008 after 35 years in the business. Since Joe's retirement, he has retained his interest in the business. Joe is considered to be materially participating in the business for the years through 2013 or for the five years after the year of retirement. Thus, if the plumbing business is sold before the end of 2013, the sale will qualify for the Iowa capital gain deduction on Joe's 2013 Iowa return because he was considered to be a material participant in the business according to the federal rules for material participation.

(6) An individual who has materially participated in a personal service activity for at least three years will be treated as a material participant for life. A personal service activity involves the performance of personal services in the fields of health, law, engineering, architecture, accounting, actuarial science, performing arts, consulting or any other trade or business in which capital is not a material income-producing factor.

EXAMPLE. Gerald Williams is a retired attorney, but he retains an interest in the law firm he was involved in for over 40 years. Because the law firm is a personal service activity, Mr. Williams is considered to be a material participant in the law firm even after his retirement from the firm.

(7) An individual who participates in the business activity for more than 100 hours may be treated as materially participating in the activity if, based on all the facts and circumstances, the individual participates on a regular, continuous, and substantial basis. Management activities of a taxpayer are not considered for purposes of determining if there was material participation if either of the following applies: any person other than the taxpayer is compensated for management services, or any person provides more hours of management services than the taxpayer.

f. The following paragraphs provide clarification regarding material participation:

(1) A retired or disabled farmer is treated as materially participating in a farming activity for the current year if the farmer materially participated in the activity for five of the last eight years before the farmer's retirement or disability. That is, the farmer must have been subject to self-employment tax in five of the eight years before retirement or disability and had to have been either actively farming so the income was reported on Schedule F or materially participating in a crop-share activity for five of the last eight years prior to retirement or disability. The farmer must be receiving old-age benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act to be considered a retired farmer.

EXAMPLE. Fred Smith was 80 years old in 2011 when he sold 200 acres of farmland he had owned since 1951. Mr. Smith retired in 2001 when he began receiving old-age benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act. In the last eight years before retirement, Mr. Smith was paying self-employment

tax on his farm income which was reported on Schedule F for each of those eight years. In the years before he sold the farmland, Mr. Smith was leasing the farmland on a cash-rent basis, whereby Mr. Smith would not be considered to be materially participating in the farming activity. Because Mr. Smith had material participation in the farmland in the eight years before retirement, Mr. Smith was considered to have met the material participation requirement, so the capital gain qualified for the Iowa capital gain deduction.

(2) A surviving spouse of a farmer is treated as materially participating in the farming activity for the current tax year if the farmer met the material participation requirements at the time of death and the spouse actively participates in the farming business activity. That is, the spouse participates in the making of management decisions relating to the farming activity or arranges for others to provide services (such as repairs, plowing, and planting). However, if the surviving spouse was retired at the time of the farmer's death and the deceased spouse materially participated in the farming activity for five of the last eight years prior to the deceased spouse's retirement, then the surviving spouse is deemed to be materially participating, even if the surviving spouse did not actively participate in the farming activity. See IRS Technical Service Memorandum 200911009, March 13, 2009.

(3) Limited partners of a limited partnership. The limited partners will not be treated as materially participating in any activity of a limited partnership except in a situation where the limited partner would be treated as materially participating under the material participation tests in subparagraphs 40.38(1) "e"(1), (5) and (6) above as if the taxpayer were not a limited partner for the tax year.

(4) Cash farm lease. A farmer who rents farmland on a cash basis will not generally be considered to be materially participating in the farming activity. The burden is on the landlord to show there was material participation in the cash-rent farm activity.

(5) Farm landlord involved in crop-share arrangement. A farm landlord is subject to self-employment tax on net income from a crop-share arrangement with a tenant. The landlord is considered to be materially participating with the tenant in the crop-share activity if the landlord meets one of the four following tests:

TEST 1. The landlord does any three of the following: (1) Pays or is obligated to pay for at least half the direct costs of producing the crop; (2) Furnishes at least half the tools, equipment, and livestock used in producing the crop; (3) Consults with the tenant; and (4) Inspects the production activities periodically.

TEST 2. The landlord regularly and frequently makes, or takes part in making, management decisions substantially contributing to or affecting the success of the enterprise.

TEST 3. The landlord worked 100 hours or more spread over a period of five weeks or more in activities connected with crop production.

TEST 4. The landlord has done tasks or performed duties which, considered in their total effect, show that the landlord was materially and significantly involved in the production of the farm commodities.

(6) Conservation reserve payments (CRP). Farmers entering into long-term contracts providing for less intensive use of highly erodible or other specified cropland can receive compensation for conversion of such land in the form of an "annualized rental payment." Although the CRP payments are referred to as "rental payments," the payments are considered to be receipts from farm operations and not rental payments from real estate.

If an individual is receiving CRP payments and is not considered to be retired from farming, the CRP payments are subject to self-employment tax. If individuals actively manage farmland placed in the CRP program by directly participating in seeding, mowing, and planting the farmland or by overseeing these activities and the individual is paying self-employment tax, the owner will be considered to have had material participation in the farming activity.

(7) Rental activities or businesses. For purposes of subrules 40.38(1) and 40.38(2), the general rule is that a taxpayer may have material participation in the rental activity unless covered by a specific exception in this subrule (for example, the exceptions for farm rental activities in subparagraphs 40.38(1) "f"(4), (5) and (6)). Rental activity or rental business is as the term is used in Section 469(c) of the Internal Revenue Code. Rental activity or rental business does not typically involve day-to-day involvement since gross income from this activity represents amounts paid mainly for the use of the property. Examples of qualifying involvement in operations of the property that are considered material

participation activities if performed on a regular, continuous and substantial basis include advertising, interviewing potential tenants, preparing leases, collecting rent, handling security deposits, receiving questions and complaints from tenants, and performing routine maintenance.

EXAMPLE. Ryan Stanley is an attorney who has owned two duplex units since 1998 and has received rental income from these duplexes since 1998. Mr. Stanley is responsible for the maintenance of the duplexes and may hire other individuals to perform repairs and other upkeep on the duplexes. However, no person spends more time in operating, managing and maintaining the duplexes than Mr. Stanley, and Mr. Stanley spends more than 100 hours per year in operating, managing and maintaining the duplexes. The duplexes are sold in 2011, resulting in a capital gain. Mr. Stanley can claim the capital gain deduction on the 2011 Iowa return since he met the material participation requirements for this rental activity.

(8) Like-kind exchanges and involuntary conversions. Material participation can be tacked on in cases of replacement property acquired under a like-kind exchange under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code or an involuntary conversion under Section 1033 of the Internal Revenue Code.

EXAMPLE. Dustin James owned Farm A, and he materially participated in the operation of Farm A for 10 years. Mr. James executed a like-kind exchange for Farm B, and he materially participated in the operation of this farm for 4 years until he retired. Mr. James sold Farm B 2 years after he retired. Although he only materially participated in the operation of Farm B for 4 of the last 8 years before he retired, the operation of Farm A can be tacked on for purposes of the material participation test. Mr. James meets the material participation test since he participated in farming activity for the last 14 years before he retired.

(9) Record-keeping requirements. Taxpayers are required to provide proof of services performed and the hours attributable to those services. Detailed records should be maintained by the taxpayer, on as close to a daily basis as possible at or near the time of the performance of the activity, to verify that the material participation test has been met. However, material participation can be established by any other reasonable means, such as approximating the number of hours based on appointment books, calendars, or narrative summaries. Records prepared long after the activity, in preparation of an audit or proceeding, are insufficient to establish participation in an activity.

40.38(2) Net capital gains from the sale of real property used in a business. Net capital gains from the sale of real property used in a business are excluded from net income on the Iowa return of the owner of a business to the extent that the owner had held the real property in the business for ten or more years and had materially participated in the business for at least ten years. For purposes of this provision, material participation is defined in Section 469(h) of the Internal Revenue Code and described in detail in subrule 40.38(1). It is not required that the property be located in Iowa for the owner to qualify for the deduction.

a. Meaning of the term “held” for purposes of this rule. For capital gains reported for tax years ending prior to January 1, 2006, the term “held” is defined as “owned.” *James and Linda Bell*, Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, Docket No. 01DORF013, January 15, 2002, and *David V. and Julie K. Gorsche v. Iowa State Board of Tax Review*, Case No. CVCV 8379, Polk County District Court, May 5, 2011. Therefore, the property held by the taxpayer must have been owned by the taxpayer for ten or more years to meet the time held requirement for the capital gain deduction for tax years ending prior to January 1, 2006. For capital gains reported for tax years ending on or after January 1, 2006, the term “held” is determined using the holding period provisions set forth in Section 1223 of the Internal Revenue Code and the federal regulations adopted pursuant to Section 1223. Therefore, as long as the holding period used to compute the capital gain is ten years or more, the time held requirement for the capital gain deduction will be met for tax years ending on or after January 1, 2006.

b. Sale to a lineal descendant. For purposes of taxation of capital gains from the sale of real property of a business by a taxpayer, there is no waiver of the ten-year material participation requirement when the property is sold to a lineal descendant of the taxpayer as there is for capital gains from sales of businesses described in subrule 40.38(3).

c. In situations in which real property was sold by a partnership, subchapter S corporation, limited liability company, estate, or trust and the capital gain from the sale of the real property flows through to the owners of the business entity for federal income tax purposes, the owners may exclude the capital gain

from their net incomes if the real property was held for ten or more years and the owners had materially participated in the business for ten years prior to the date of sale of the real property, irrespective of whether the type of business entity changed during the ten-year period prior to the date of sale. That is, if the owner of the business had held and materially participated in the business in the entire ten-year period before the sale, the fact that the business changed from one type of entity to another during the period does not disqualify the owner from excluding capital gains from the sale of real estate owned by the business during that whole ten-year period.

d. Installments received in the tax year from installment sales of businesses are eligible for the exclusion of capital gains from net income if all relevant criteria were met at the time of the installment sale. *Herbert Clausen and Sylvia Clausen v. Iowa Department of Revenue and Finance*, Law No. 32313, Crawford County District Court, May 24, 1995. For example, if a taxpayer received an installment payment in 2011 from the sale of a business that occurred in 2007, the installment received in 2011 would qualify for the exclusion if the taxpayer had held the business for ten or more years and had materially participated in the business for a minimum of ten years at the time of the sale in 2007.

e. Capital gains from the sale of real property by a C corporation do not qualify for the capital gain deduction except under the specific circumstances of a liquidation described in subrule 40.38(7).

f. Capital gains from the sale of real property held for ten or more years for speculation but not used in a business do not qualify for the capital gain deduction.

g. The following noninclusive examples illustrate how this subrule applies:

EXAMPLE 1. ABC Company, an S corporation, owned 1,000 acres of land. John Doe is the sole shareholder of ABC Company and had materially participated in ABC Company and held ABC Company for more than ten years at the time that 500 acres of the land were sold for a capital gain of \$100,000 in 2011. The capital gain recognized in 2011 by ABC Company and which passed to John Doe as the shareholder of ABC Company is exempt from Iowa income tax because Mr. Doe met the material participation and time held requirements.

EXAMPLE 2. John Smith and Sam Smith both owned 50 percent of the stock in Smith and Company, which was an S corporation that held 1,000 acres of farmland. Sam Smith had managed all the farming operations for the corporation from the time the corporation was formed in 1990. John Smith was an attorney who lived and practiced law in Denver, Colorado. John Smith was the father of Sam Smith. In 2011, Smith and Company sold 200 acres of the farmland for a \$50,000 gain. \$25,000 of the capital gain passed through to John Smith and \$25,000 of the capital gain passed through to Sam Smith. The farmland was sold to Jerry Smith, who was another son of John Smith. Both John Smith and Sam Smith had owned the corporation for at least ten years at the time the land was sold, but only Sam Smith had materially participated in the corporation for the last ten years. Sam Smith could exclude the \$25,000 capital gain from the land sale because he had met the time held and material participation requirements. John Smith could not exclude the \$25,000 capital gain since, although he had met the time held requirement, he did not meet the material participation requirement. Although the land sold by the corporation was sold to John Smith's son, a lineal descendant of John Smith, the capital gain John Smith realized from the land sale does not qualify for exemption for state income tax purposes. There is no waiver of the ten-year material participation requirement for a taxpayer's sale of real estate from a business to a lineal descendant of the taxpayer as is described for the sale of business assets in subrule 40.38(3).

EXAMPLE 3. Jerry Jones had owned and had materially participated in a farming business for 15 years and raised row crops in the business. There were 500 acres of land in the farming business; 300 acres had been held for 15 years, and 200 acres had been held for 5 years. If Mr. Jones sold the 200 acres of land that had been held only 5 years, any capital gain from the sale of this land would not be excludable since the land was part of the farming business but had been held for less than 10 years. If the 300 acres of land that had been held for 15 years had been sold, the capital gain from that sale would qualify for exclusion.

EXAMPLE 4. John Pike owned a farming business for more than ten years. In this business, Mr. Pike farmed a neighbor's land on a crop-share basis throughout the period. Mr. Pike bought 80 acres of land in 2004 and farmed that land until the land was sold in 2011 for a capital gain of \$20,000. The capital gain

was taxable on Mr. Pike's Iowa return since the farmland had been held for less than ten years although the business had been operated by Mr. Pike for more than ten years.

EXAMPLE 5. Joe and John Perry were brothers in a partnership for six years which owned 80 acres of land. The brothers dissolved the partnership in 2005, formed an S corporation, and included the land in the assets of the S corporation. The land was sold in 2011 to Brian Perry, who was the grandson of John Perry. The Perry brothers realized from the land sale a capital gain of \$15,000, which was divided equally between the brothers. Joe Perry was able to exclude the capital gain he had received from the sale as he had held the land and had materially participated in the business for at least ten years at the time the land was sold. John Perry was unable to exclude the capital gain because, although he had owned the land for ten years, he had not materially participated in the business for ten years when the land was sold. The fact that the land was sold to a lineal descendant of John Perry is not relevant because the sale involved only real property held in a business and not the sale of all, or substantially all, of the tangible personal property and intangible property of the business.

EXAMPLE 6. Todd Myers had a farming business which he had owned and in which he had materially participated for 20 years. There were two tracts of farmland in the farming business. In 2011, he sold one tract of farmland in the farming business that he had held for more than 10 years for a \$50,000 capital gain. The farmland was sold to a person who was not a lineal descendant. During the same year, Mr. Myers had \$30,000 in long-term capital losses from sales of stock. In this situation, on Mr. Myers' 2011 Iowa return, the capital gains would not be applied against the capital losses. Because the capital losses are unrelated to the farming business, Mr. Myers does not have to reduce the Iowa capital gain deduction by the capital losses from the sales of stock.

EXAMPLE 7. Jim Casey had owned farmland in Greene County, Iowa, since 1987, and had materially participated in the farming business. In 1998, Mr. Casey entered into a like-kind exchange under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code for farmland located in Carroll County, Iowa. Mr. Casey continued to materially participate in the farming business in Carroll County. The farmland in Carroll County was sold in 2005, resulting in a capital gain. For federal tax purposes, the holding period for the capital gain starts in 1987 under Section 1223 of the Internal Revenue Code. Because Mr. Casey held the farmland in Carroll County for less than ten years, based on Iowa law at the time of the sale, the capital gain from the sale does not qualify for the Iowa capital gain deduction. The deduction is not allowed even though the holding period for federal tax purposes is longer than ten years because the capital gain was reported for a tax year ending prior to January 1, 2006. If the farmland was sold in 2006, the gain would qualify for the capital gain deduction since the capital gain would have been reported for a tax year ending on or after January 1, 2006.

EXAMPLE 8. Jane and Ralph Murphy, a married couple, owned farmland in Iowa since 1975. Ralph died in 1994 and, under his will, Jane acquired a life interest in the farm. The farmland was managed by their son Joseph after Ralph's death. Jane died in 1998, and Joseph continued to materially participate and manage the farm operation. Joseph sold the farmland in 2006 and reported a capital gain. For federal tax purposes under Section 1223 of the Internal Revenue Code, the holding period for the capital gain starts in 1994, when Ralph died. Because the holding period for the capital gain was ten years or more under Section 1223 of the Internal Revenue Code, Joseph is entitled to the capital gain deduction under Iowa law since he materially participated for ten or more years and the capital gain was reported for a tax year ending on or after January 1, 2006.

40.38(3) *Net capital gains from the sale of assets of a business by an individual who had held the business for ten or more years and had materially participated in the business for ten or more years.* Net capital gains from the sale of the assets of a business are excluded from an individual's net income to the extent that the individual had held the business for ten or more years and had materially participated in the business for ten or more years. In addition to the time held and material participation qualifications for the capital gain deduction, the owner of the business must have sold substantially all of the tangible personal property or the service of the business in order for the capital gains to be excluded from taxation.

a. For purposes of this subrule, the phrase "substantially all of the tangible personal property or the service of the business" means that the sale of the assets of a business during the tax year must represent at least 90 percent of the fair market value of all of the tangible personal property and service

of the business on the date of sale of the business assets. Thus, if the fair market value of a business's tangible personal property and service was \$400,000, the business must sell tangible personal property and service of the business that had a fair market value of 90 percent of the total value of those assets to achieve the 90 percent or more standard. However, this does not mean that the amount raised from the sale of the assets must be \$360,000 in order for the 90 percent standard to be met, only that the assets involved in the sale of the business must represent 90 percent of the total value of the business assets.

b. If the 90 percent of assets test is met, capital gains from other assets of the business can also be excluded. Some of these assets include, but are not limited to, stock of another corporation, bonds, including municipal bonds, and interests in other businesses. If the 90 percent test has been met, all of the individual assets of the business do not have to have been held for ten or more years on the date of sale for the capital gains from the sale of these assets to be excluded in computing the taxpayer's net income. This statement is made with the assumption that the taxpayer has owned the business and materially participated in the business for ten or more years prior to the sale of the assets of the business.

c. In most instances, the sale of merchandise or inventory of a business will not result in capital gains for the seller of a business, so the proceeds from the sale of these items would not be excluded from taxation.

d. For the purposes of this subrule, the term "service of the business" means intangible assets used in the business or for the production of business income which, if sold for a gain, would result in a capital gain for federal income tax purposes. Intangible assets that are used in the business or for the production of income include, but are not limited to, the following items: (1) goodwill, (2) going concern value, (3) information base, (4) patent, copyright, formula, design, or similar item, (5) client lists, and (6) any franchise, trademark, or trade name. The type of business that owns the intangible asset is immaterial, whether the business is a manufacturing business, a retail business, or a service business, such as a law firm or an accounting firm.

e. When the business held by the taxpayer for a minimum of ten years is sold to an individual or individuals who are all lineal descendants of the taxpayer, the taxpayer is not required to have materially participated in the business for ten years prior to the sale of the business in order for the capital gain to be excluded in the computation of net income. The term "lineal descendant" means children of the taxpayer, including legally adopted children and biological children, stepchildren, grandchildren, great-grandchildren, and any other lineal descendants of the taxpayer.

f. In situations in which substantially all of the tangible personal property or the service of the business was sold by a partnership, subchapter S corporation, limited liability company, estate, or trust and the capital gains from the sale of the assets flow through to the owners of the business entity for federal income tax purposes, the owners can exclude the capital gains from their net incomes if the owners had held the business for ten or more years and had materially participated in the business for ten years prior to the date of sale of the tangible personal property or service, irrespective of whether the type of business entity changed during the ten-year period prior to the sale. The criteria for material participation in a business may be found in subrule 40.38(1).

g. Installments received in the tax year from installment sales of businesses are eligible for the exclusion if all relevant criteria were met at the time of the installment sale. *Herbert Clausen and Sylvia Clausen v. Iowa Department of Revenue and Finance*, Law No. 32313, Crawford County District Court, May 24, 1995. For example, if a taxpayer received an installment payment in 2011 from the sale of a business that occurred in 2007, the installment received in 2011 would qualify for the exclusion if, at the time of the sale in 2007, the taxpayer had held the business for ten or more years and had materially participated in the business for a minimum of ten years.

h. Sale of capital stock of a corporation to a lineal descendant or to another individual does not constitute the sale of a business for purposes of the capital gain deduction, whether the corporation is a C corporation or an S corporation.

i. Capital gains from the sale of an ownership interest in a partnership, limited liability company or other entity are not eligible for the capital gain deduction. *Ranniger v. Iowa Department of Revenue and Finance*, Iowa Supreme Court, No. 11, 06-0761, March 21, 2008.

j. The sale of one activity of a business or one distinct part of a business may not constitute the sale of a business for purposes of this rule unless the activity or distinct part is a separate business entity such as a partnership or sole proprietorship which is owned by the business or unless the activity or distinct part of a business represents the sale of at least 90 percent of the fair market value of the tangible personal property or service of the business.

In order to determine whether the sale of the business assets constitutes the sale of a business for purposes of excluding capital gains recognized from the sale, refer to 701—subrule 54.2(1) relating to a unitary business. If activities or locations comprise a unitary business, then 90 percent or more of that unitary business must be sold to meet the requirement for capital gains from the sale to be excluded from taxation. If the activity or location constitutes a separate, distinct, nonunitary business, then 90 percent of the assets of that location or activity must be sold to qualify for the exclusion of the capital gain. The burden of proof is on the taxpayer to show that a sale of assets of a business meets the 90 percent standard.

k. The following noninclusive examples illustrate how this subrule applies:

EXAMPLE 1. Joe Rich is the sole owner of Eagle Company, which is an S corporation. In 2011, Mr. Rich sold all the stock of Eagle Company to his son, Mark Rich, and recognized a \$100,000 gain on the sale of the stock. This capital gain would be taxable on Joe Rich's 2011 Iowa return since the sale of stock of a corporation did not constitute the sale of the tangible personal property and service of a business.

EXAMPLE 2. Randall Insurance Agency, a sole proprietorship, is owned solely by Peter Randall. In 2011, Peter Randall received capital gains from the sale of all tangible assets of the insurance agency. In addition, Mr. Randall had capital gains from the sale of client lists and goodwill to the new owners of the business. Since Mr. Randall had held the insurance agency for more than ten years and had materially participated in the insurance agency for more than ten years at the time of the sale of the tangible property and intangible property of the business, Mr. Randall can exclude the capital gains from the sale of the tangible assets and the intangible assets in computing net income on his 2011 Iowa return.

EXAMPLE 3. Joe Brown owned and materially participated in a sole proprietorship for more than ten years. During the 2011 tax year, Mr. Brown sold two delivery trucks and had capital gains from the sale of the trucks. At the time of sale, the trucks were valued at \$30,000, which was about 10 percent of the fair market value of the tangible personal property of the business. Mr. Brown could not exclude the capital gains from the sale of the trucks on his 2011 Iowa return as the sale of those assets did not involve the sale of substantially all of the tangible personal property and service of Mr. Brown's business.

EXAMPLE 4. Rich Bennet owned a restaurant and a gift shop that were in the same building and were part of a sole proprietorship owned only by Mr. Bennet, who had held and materially participated in both business activities for over ten years. Mr. Bennet sold the gift shop in 2011 for \$100,000 and had a capital gain of \$40,000 from the sale. The total fair market value of all tangible personal property and intangible assets in the proprietorship at the time the gift shop was sold was \$250,000. Mr. Bennet could not exclude the capital gain on his 2011 Iowa return because he had not sold at least 90 percent of the tangible and intangible assets of the business.

EXAMPLE 5. Joe and Ray Johnson were partners in a farm partnership that they had owned for 12 years in 2011 when the assets of the partnership were sold to Ray's son Charles. Joe Johnson had materially participated in the partnership for the whole time that the business was in operation, so he could exclude the capital gain he had received from the sale of the partnership assets. Although Ray Johnson had not materially participated in the farm business, he could exclude the capital gain he received from the sale of the assets of the partnership because the sale of the partnership assets was to his son, a lineal descendant.

EXAMPLE 6. Kevin and Ron Barker owned a partnership which owned a chain of six gas stations in an Iowa city. In 2011, the Barkers sold 100 percent of the property of two of the gas stations and received a capital gain of \$30,000 from the sale. Separate business records were kept for each of the gas stations. Since the partnership was considered to be a unitary business and the Barkers sold less than 90 percent of the fair market value of the business, the Barkers could not exclude the capital gain from the sale of the gas stations from the incomes reported on their 2011 Iowa returns. However, any gain from the sale of

the real property may qualify for exclusion, assuming the ten-year time held and material participation qualifications are met.

EXAMPLE 7. Rudy Stern owned a cafe in one Iowa city and a fast-food restaurant in another Iowa city. Mr. Stern had held both businesses and had materially participated in the operation of both businesses for ten years. Each business was operated with a separate manager and kept separate business records. In 2011, Mr. Stern sold all the tangible and intangible assets associated with the cafe and received a capital gain from the sale of the cafe. Mr. Stern can exclude the capital gain from his net income for 2011 because the cafe and fast-food restaurant were considered to be separate and distinct nonunitary businesses.

EXAMPLE 8. Doug Jackson is a shareholder in an S corporation, Jackson Products Corporation. Mr. Jackson has a 75 percent ownership interest in the S corporation, and he has materially participated in the operations of the S corporation since its incorporation in 1980. In 2008, Mr. Jackson transferred 10 percent of his ownership interest in the S corporation to Doug Jackson Irrevocable Trust. The income from the irrevocable trust was reported on Mr. Jackson's individual income tax return. In 2011, the assets of Jackson Products Corporation were sold, resulting in a capital gain. Mr. Jackson can claim the capital gain deduction on both his 65 percent ownership held in his name and the 10 percent irrevocable trust ownership since the capital gain from the irrevocable trust flows through to Mr. Jackson's income tax return, and Mr. Jackson retained a 75 percent interest in the S corporation for more than ten years.

40.38(4) *Net capital gains from sales of cattle or horses used for certain purposes which were held for 24 months by taxpayers who received more than one-half of their gross incomes from farming or ranching operations.* Net capital gains from the sales of cattle or horses held for 24 months or more for draft, breeding, dairy, or sporting purposes qualify for the capital gain deduction if more than 50 percent of the taxpayer's gross income in the tax year is from farming or ranching operations. Proper records should be kept showing purchase and birth dates of cattle and horses. The absence of records may make it impossible for the owner to show that the owner held a particular animal for the necessary holding period. Whether cattle or horses are held for draft, breeding, dairy, or sporting purposes depends on all the facts and circumstances of each case.

a. Whether cattle or horses sold by the taxpayer after the taxpayer has held them 24 months or more were held for draft, breeding, dairy, or sporting purposes may be determined from federal court cases on such sales and the standards and examples included in 26 CFR §1.1231-2.

b. In situations where the qualifying cattle or horses are sold by the taxpayer to a lineal descendant of the taxpayer, the taxpayer does not need to have had more than 50 percent of gross income in the tax year from farming or ranching activities in order for the capital gain to be excluded.

c. Capital gains from sales of qualifying cattle or horses by an S corporation, partnership, or limited liability company, where the capital gains flow through to the individual owners for federal income tax purposes, are eligible for the exclusion only in situations in which the individual owners have more than 50 percent of their gross incomes in the tax year from farming or ranching activities, or where the sale of the qualifying cattle or horses was to lineal descendants of the owners reporting the capital gains from the sales of the qualifying cattle or horses.

d. Capital gains from sales of qualifying cattle or horses by a C corporation are not eligible for the capital gain deduction.

e. A taxpayer's gross income from farming or ranching includes amounts the individual has received in the tax year from cultivating the soil or raising or harvesting any agricultural commodities. Gross income from farming or ranching includes the income from the operation of a stock, dairy, poultry, fish, bee, fruit, or truck farm, plantation, ranch, nursery, range, orchard, or oyster bed, as well as income in the form of crop shares received from the use of the taxpayer's land. Gross income from farming or ranching also includes total gains from sales of draft, breeding, dairy, or sporting livestock. In the case of individual income tax returns for the 2011 tax year, gross income from farming or ranching includes the total of the amounts from line 9 or line 50 of Schedule F and line 7 of Form 4835, Farm Rental Income and Expenses, plus the share of partnership income from farming, the share of distributable net taxable income from farming of an estate or trust, and total gains from the sale of livestock held for draft, breeding, dairy, or sporting purposes, as shown on Form 4797, Sale of Business

Property. In the case of an individual's returns for tax years beginning after 2011, equivalent lines from returns and supplementary forms would be used to determine a taxpayer's gross income from farming or ranching for those years.

To make the calculation as to whether more than half of the taxpayer's gross income in the tax year is from farming or ranching operations, the gross income from farming or ranching as determined in the previous paragraph is divided by the taxpayer's total gross income. If the resulting percentage is greater than 50 percent, the taxpayer's capital gains from sales of cattle and horses will be considered for the capital gain deduction.

In instances where married taxpayers file a joint return, the gross income from farming or ranching of both spouses will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the taxpayers received more than half of their gross income from farming or ranching. However, in situations where married taxpayers file separate Iowa returns or separately on the combined return form, each spouse must separately determine whether that spouse has more than 50 percent of gross income from farming or ranching operations.

EXAMPLE. Bob Deen had a cattle operation that owned black angus cattle in the operation for breeding purposes. In 2011, Mr. Deen sold 40 head of cattle that had been held for breeding purposes for two years. Mr. Deen's total gross income from farming was \$125,000, but he had a \$10,000 loss from his farming operation. Mr. Deen also had wages of \$25,000 from a job at a local farming cooperative. Because Mr. Deen had more than 50 percent of his gross income in 2011 from farming operations, he could exclude the capital gain from the sale of the breeding cattle. Although Mr. Deen had a loss from his farming activities, he still had more than 50 percent of his gross income in the tax year from those activities.

40.38(5) *Net capital gains from sale of breeding livestock, other than cattle or horses, held for 12 or more months by taxpayers who received more than one-half of their gross incomes from farming or ranching operations.* Net capital gains from the sale of breeding livestock, other than cattle or horses, held for 12 or more months from the date of acquisition qualify for the capital gain deduction, if more than one-half of the taxpayer's gross income is from farming or ranching. For the purposes of this subrule, "livestock" has a broad meaning and includes hogs, mules, donkeys, sheep, goats, fur-bearing mammals, and other mammals. Livestock does not include poultry, chickens, turkeys, pigeons, geese, other birds, fish, frogs, or reptiles. If livestock other than cattle or horses is considered to have been held for breeding purposes under the criteria established in 26 CFR §1.1231-2, the livestock will also be deemed to have been breeding livestock for purposes of this subrule. In addition, for the purposes of this subrule livestock does not include cattle and horses held for 24 or more months for draft, breeding, dairy, or sporting purposes which were described in subrule 40.38(4).

a. The procedure in subrule 40.38(4) for determining whether more than one-half of a taxpayer's gross income is from farming or ranching operations is also applicable for this subrule.

b. In an instance in which a taxpayer sells breeding livestock other than cattle or horses which have been held for 12 or more months, and the sale of the livestock is to a lineal descendant of the taxpayer, the taxpayer is not required to have more than one-half of the gross income in the tax year from farming or ranching operations to be eligible for the capital gain deduction.

c. Capital gains from sales of qualifying livestock other than cattle or horses by an S corporation, partnership, or limited liability company, where the capital gains flow through to the owners of the respective business entity for federal income tax purposes, qualify for the capital gain deduction to the extent the owners receiving the capital gains meet the qualifications for the deduction on the basis of having more than one-half of the gross income in the tax year from farming or ranching operations.

d. Capital gains from the sale of qualifying livestock other than cattle or horses by a C corporation are not eligible for the capital gain deduction.

40.38(6) *Net capital gains from sales of timber held by the taxpayer for more than one year.* Capital gains from qualifying sales of timber held by the taxpayer for more than one year are eligible for the capital gain deduction. In all of the following examples of circumstances where gains from sales of timber qualify for capital gain treatment, it is assumed that the timber sold was held by the owner for more than one year at the time the timber was sold. The owner of the timber can be the owner of the land on which the timber was cut or the holder of a contract to cut the timber. In the case where a taxpayer

sells standing timber the taxpayer held for investment, any gain from the sale is a capital gain. Timber includes standing trees usable for lumber, pulpwood, veneer, poles, pilings, cross ties, and other wood products. Timber eligible for the capital gain deduction does not apply to sales of pulpwood cut by a contractor from the tops and limbs of felled trees. Under the general rule, the cutting of timber results in no gain or loss, and it is not until the sale or exchange that gain or loss is realized. But if a taxpayer owned or had a contractual right to cut timber, the taxpayer may make an election to treat the cutting of timber as a sale or exchange in the year the timber is cut. Gain or loss on the cutting of the timber is determined by subtracting the adjusted basis for depletion of the timber from the fair market value of the timber on the first day of the tax year in which the timber is cut. For example, the gain on this type of transaction is computed as follows:

Fair market value of timber on January 1, 2011	\$400,000
Adjusted basis for depletion	- \$100,000
Capital gain on cutting of timber	\$300,000

The fair market value shown above of \$400,000 is the basis of the timber. A later sale of the cut timber including treetops and stumps would result in ordinary income for the taxpayer and not a capital gain.

a. Evergreen trees, such as those used as Christmas trees, that are more than six years old at the time they are severed from their roots and sold for ornamental purposes, are included in the definition of timber for purposes of this subrule. The term “evergreen trees” is used in its commonly accepted sense and includes pine, spruce, fir, hemlock, cedar, and other coniferous trees. Where customers of the taxpayer cut down the Christmas tree of their choice on the taxpayer’s farm, there is no sale until the tree is cut. However, evergreen trees sold in a live state do not qualify for capital gain treatment.

b. Capital gains or losses also are received from sales of timber by a taxpayer who has a contract which gives the taxpayer an economic interest in the timber. The date of disposal of the timber shall be the day the timber is cut, unless payment for the timber is received before the timber is cut. Under this circumstance, the taxpayer may treat the date of the payment as the date of disposal of the timber. Additional information about gains and losses from the sale of timber is included under 26 CFR §1.631-1 and §1.631-2.

c. Capital gains from the sale of qualifying timber by an S corporation, partnership, or limited liability company, which flow to the owners of the respective business entity for federal individual income tax purposes, are eligible for the capital gain deduction.

d. Capital gains from the sale of timber by a C corporation do not qualify for the capital gain deduction.

40.38(7) *Capital gains from the liquidation of assets of corporations which are recognized as sales of assets for federal income tax purposes.* Capital gains realized from liquidations of corporations which are recognized as sales of assets for federal income tax purposes under Section 331 of the Internal Revenue Code may be eligible for the capital gain deduction. To the extent the capital gains are reported by the shareholders of the corporations for federal income tax purposes and the shareholders are individuals, the shareholders are eligible for the capital gain deduction if the shareholders meet the qualifications for time of ownership and time of material participation in the corporation being liquidated. The burden of proof is on the shareholders to show they meet these time of ownership and material participation requirements.

40.38(8) *Capital gains from certain stock sales which are treated as acquisitions of assets of the corporation for federal income tax purposes.* Capital gains received by individuals from a sale of stock of a target corporation which is treated as an acquisition of the assets of the corporation under Section 338 of the Internal Revenue Code may be excluded if the individuals receiving the capital gains had held an interest in the target corporation and had materially participated in the corporation for ten years prior to the date of the sale of the corporation. The burden of proof is on the taxpayer to show eligibility to

exclude the capital gains from these transactions in the computation of net income for Iowa individual income tax purposes.

40.38(9) *Treatment of capital gain deduction for tax years with net operating losses and for tax years to which net operating losses are carried.* The following paragraphs describe the tax treatment of the capital gain deduction in a tax year with a net operating loss and the tax treatment of a capital gain deduction in a tax year to which a net operating loss was carried:

a. The capital gain deduction otherwise allowable on a return is not allowed for purposes of computing a net operating loss from the return which can be carried to another tax year and applied against the income for the other tax year.

EXAMPLE. Joe Jones filed a 2011 return showing a net loss of \$12,000. On this return, Mr. Jones claimed a capital gain deduction of \$3,000 from sale of breeding livestock, other than cattle or horses, held for 12 months or more which was considered in computing the loss of \$12,000. However, the \$3,000 capital gain deduction is not allowed in the computation of the net operating loss deduction for 2011 for purposes of carrying the net operating loss deduction to another tax year. Thus, the net operating loss deduction for 2011 is \$9,000.

b. In the case of net operating losses which are carried back to a tax year where the taxpayer has claimed the capital gain deduction, the capital gain deduction is not allowed for purposes of computing the income to which the net operating loss deduction is applied.

EXAMPLE. John Brown had a net operating loss of \$20,000 on the Iowa return he filed for 2011. Mr. Brown elected to carry back the net operating loss to his 2009 Iowa return. The 2009 return showed a taxable income of \$27,000 which included a capital gain deduction of \$3,000. For purposes of computing the income in the carryback year to which the net operating loss would be applied, the income was increased by \$3,000 to disallow the capital gain deduction properly allowed in computing taxable income for the carryback year. Therefore, the net operating loss deduction from 2011 was applied to an income of \$30,000 for the carryback year.

40.38(10) *Sale of employer securities to an Iowa employee stock ownership plan.* For tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2012, 50 percent of the net capital gain from the sale or exchange of employer securities of an Iowa corporation to a qualified Iowa employee stock ownership plan (ESOP) may be eligible for the Iowa capital gain deduction. To be eligible for the capital gain deduction, the qualified Iowa ESOP must own at least 30 percent of all outstanding employer securities issued by the Iowa corporation after completion of the transaction.

a. Definitions. The following definitions apply to this subrule:

“*Employer securities*” means the same as defined in Section 409(1) of the Internal Revenue Code. “*Employer securities*” includes common stock issued by the employer and preferred stock if the provisions of Section 409(1)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code are met.

“*Iowa corporation*” means a corporation whose commercial domicile, as defined in Iowa Code section 422.32, is in Iowa. A limited liability company is not considered an Iowa corporation.

“*Qualified Iowa ESOP*” means an employee stock ownership plan, as defined in Section 4975(e)(7) of the Internal Revenue Code, and trust that are established by an Iowa corporation for the benefit of the employees of the corporation.

b. The material participation requirements set forth in subrule 40.38(1) do not apply for the sale of employer securities to an Iowa ESOP. In addition, the holding period requirements set forth in paragraph 40.38(2) “*a*” do not apply for the sale of employer securities to an Iowa ESOP.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 422.7 as amended by 2012 Iowa Acts, House File 2465, division XII.

[ARC 7761B, IAB 5/6/09, effective 6/10/09; ARC 0073C, IAB 4/4/12, effective 5/9/12; ARC 0398C, IAB 10/17/12, effective 11/21/12; ARC 1303C, IAB 2/5/14, effective 3/12/14]