

**193F—6.6(543D) Work product review.**

**6.6(1)** An applicant shall submit a complete appraisal log at the time of application for examination and work product review. The board will then select three appraisals that demonstrate a diversity of experience and approaches to value over various time frames for work product review and request that the applicant submit, both electronically and on paper, one copy of each report and work file for each of the selected appraisals along with the appropriate form and fee. The work product submission shall not be redacted by the applicant; however, the applicant may request the reports remain confidential as specified in subrule 6.6(2). The fee for work product review of the appraisals is provided in 193F—Chapter 12. The board may select the appraisals at random from the entire log or within certain types of appraisals. The board reserves the right to request one or more additional appraisals if those submitted by the applicant raise issues concerning the applicant's competency or compliance with applicable appraisal standards or the degree to which the submitted appraisals are representative of the applicant's work product. Such additional appraisals may be selected at random from the applicant's log or may be selected specifically to provide an example of the applicant's work product regarding a particular type of appraisal.

**6.6(2)** The board shall treat all appraisals received as public records unless the applicant notifies the board at the time of submission that a submitted appraisal is subject to the confidentiality provisions of appraisal standards or is otherwise confidential under state or federal law. While applicants are encouraged to submit appraisals actually performed for clients, applicants may submit one or more demonstration appraisals if the appraisals are prepared based on factual information in the same manner as applicable to actual appraisal assignments and are clearly marked as demonstration appraisals. Experience gained for work without a traditional client (i.e., a client hiring an appraiser for a business purpose), for example a demonstration appraisal, cannot exceed 50 percent of the total experience requirement.

**6.6(3)** An applicant seeking original or upgrade certification as a certified general real property appraiser shall submit one residential appraisal and two nonresidential appraisals for review.

**6.6(4)** The board will submit the appraisals to a peer review consultant for an opinion on the appraiser's compliance with applicable appraisal standards.

**6.6(5)** The work product review process is not intended as an endorsement of an applicant's work product. No applicant or appraiser shall represent the results of work product review in communications with a client or in marketing to potential clients in a manner which falsely portrays the board's work product review as an endorsement of the appraiser or the appraiser's work product. Failure to comply with this prohibition may be grounds for discipline as a practice harmful or detrimental to the public.

**6.6(6)** The board views work product review, in part, as an educational process. While the board may deny an application based on an applicant's failure to adhere to appraisal standards or otherwise demonstrate a level of competency upon which the public interest can be protected, the board will attempt to work with applicants deemed in need of assistance to arrive at a mutually agreeable remedial plan. A remedial plan may include additional education, desk review, a mentoring program, or additional precertification experience.

**6.6(7)** An applicant who is denied certification based on the work product review described in this rule, or on any other ground, shall be entitled to a contested case hearing as provided in rule 193F—20.39(546,543D,272C). Notice of denial shall specify the grounds for denial, which may include any of the work performance-related grounds for discipline against a certified appraiser.

**6.6(8)** If probable cause exists, the board may open a disciplinary investigation against a certificate holder based on the work product review of an applicant. A potential disciplinary action could arise, for example, if the applicant is a certified residential real property appraiser seeking an upgrade to a certified general real property appraiser, or where the applicant is uncertified and is working under the supervision of a certified real property appraiser who cosigned the appraisal report.

**6.6(9)** After accumulating a minimum of 500 hours of appraisal experience, an applicant may voluntarily submit work product to the board to be reviewed by a peer reviewer for educational purposes only. A maximum of three reports may be submitted for review during the experience portion of the certification process. Work product submitted for educational purposes only will not result in

disciplinary action on either the associate appraiser or the associate appraiser's supervisor so long as the appraisal review did not reveal negligent or egregious errors or omissions. The fee for voluntary submissions of work product for review is provided in 193F—Chapter 12.

**6.6(10)** The board will retain the appraisals for as long as needed as documentation of the board's actions for the Appraisal Subcommittee or as needed in a pending proceeding involving the work product of the applicant or the applicant's supervisor. When no longer needed for such purposes, the work product may be retained or destroyed at the board's discretion.

[**ARC 7774B**, IAB 5/20/09, effective 6/24/09; **ARC 1731C**, IAB 11/12/14, effective 12/17/14; **ARC 4169C**, IAB 12/5/18, effective 1/9/19; **ARC 4379C**, IAB 3/27/19, effective 5/1/19; **ARC 4707C**, IAB 10/9/19, effective 11/13/19; **ARC 5237C**, IAB 10/21/20, effective 11/25/20; **ARC 5785C**, IAB 7/28/21, effective 9/1/21]