

**481—506.9(17A) Presiding officer in a nondisciplinary contested case.**

**506.9(1)** Any party in a nondisciplinary contested case who wishes to request that the presiding officer assigned to render a proposed decision be an administrative law judge employed by the department of inspections, appeals, and licensing must file a written request within 20 days after service of a notice of hearing that identifies or describes the presiding officer as the board.

**506.9(2)** The board may deny the request only upon a finding that one or more of the following apply:

*a.* There is a compelling need to expedite issuance of a final decision in order to protect the public health, safety, or welfare.

*b.* An administrative law judge is unavailable to hear the case within a reasonable time.

*c.* The case involves significant policy issues of first impression that are inextricably intertwined with the factual issues presented.

*d.* The demeanor of the witnesses is likely to be dispositive in resolving the disputed factual issues.

*e.* Funds are unavailable to pay the costs of an administrative law judge and an interagency appeal.

*f.* The request was not timely filed.

*g.* The request is not consistent with a specified statute.

**506.9(3)** The board will issue a written ruling specifying the grounds for its decision within 20 days after a request for an administrative law judge is filed. If the ruling is contingent upon the availability of an administrative law judge with the qualifications identified in subrule 506.9(4), the parties shall be notified at least ten days prior to hearing if a qualified administrative law judge will not be available.

**506.9(4)** Except as provided otherwise by another provision of law, all rulings by an administrative law judge acting as presiding officer in a nondisciplinary contested case are subject to appeal to the board. A party must seek appeal to the board in order to exhaust adequate administrative remedies. Such appeals must be filed within ten days of the date the challenged ruling was issued by the administrative law judge, but no later than the time for compliance with the order or the date of hearing, whichever is first.

**506.9(5)** Unless otherwise provided by law, when reviewing a proposed decision of an administrative law judge in a nondisciplinary contested case upon appeal, the board will have the powers of and will comply with the provisions of subrule 506.8(2).

[ARC 9106C, IAB 4/16/25, effective 3/28/25]