

**655—20.10(17A,272C) Presiding officer in a nondisciplinary contested case.**

**20.10(1)** Any party in a nondisciplinary contested case who wishes to request that the presiding officer assigned to render a proposed decision be an administrative law judge employed by the department of inspections and appeals must file a written request within 20 days after service of the notice of hearing.

**20.10(2)** The board may deny the request only upon a finding that one or more of the following apply:

*a.* There is a compelling need to expedite issuance of a final decision in order to protect the public health, safety, or welfare.

*b.* An administrative law judge is unavailable to hear the case within a reasonable time.

*c.* The case involves significant policy issues of first impression that are inextricably intertwined with the factual issues presented.

*d.* The demeanor of the witnesses is likely to be dispositive in resolving the disputed factual issues.

*e.* Funds are unavailable to pay the costs of an administrative law judge and an interagency appeal.

*f.* The request was not timely filed.

*g.* The request is not consistent with a specified statute.

**20.10(3)** The board shall issue a written ruling specifying the grounds for its decision within 20 days after a request for an administrative law judge is filed. If the ruling is contingent upon the availability of an administrative law judge, the parties shall be notified at least 10 days prior to hearing if an administrative law judge will not be available.

[ARC 2339C, IAB 1/6/16, effective 2/10/16]