

**282—11.8(17A,256) Presiding officer.**

**11.8(1)** Any party who wishes to request that the presiding officer assigned to render a proposed decision be an administrative law judge employed by the department of inspections, appeals, and licensing must file a written request within 20 days after service of a notice of hearing that identifies or describes the presiding officer as the board.

**11.8(2)** The board may deny the request only upon a finding that one or more of the following apply:

*a.* Neither the board nor any officer of the board under whose authority the contested case is to take place is a named party to the proceeding or a real party in interest to that proceeding.

*b.* There is a compelling need to expedite issuance of a final decision in order to protect the public health, safety, or welfare.

*c.* An administrative law judge with the qualifications identified in subrule 11.8(4) is unavailable to hear the case within a reasonable time.

*d.* The case involves significant policy issues of first impression that are inextricably intertwined with the factual issues presented.

*e.* The demeanor of the witnesses is likely to be dispositive in resolving the disputed factual issues.

*f.* Funds are unavailable to pay the costs of an administrative law judge and an interagency appeal.

*g.* The request was not timely filed.

*h.* The request is not consistent with a specified statute.

**11.8(3)** The board will issue a written ruling specifying the grounds for its decision within 20 days after a request for an administrative law judge is filed. If the ruling is contingent upon the availability of an administrative law judge with the qualifications identified in subrule 11.8(4), the parties will be notified at least ten days prior to hearing if a qualified administrative law judge will not be available.

**11.8(4)** An administrative law judge assigned to act as presiding officer in a contested case will have the following technical expertise unless waived by the board:

*a.* A Juris Doctor degree.

*b.* Additional criteria, which may be added by the board.

**11.8(5)** Except as provided otherwise by another provision of law, all rulings by an administrative law judge acting as presiding officer are subject to appeal to the board. A party must seek any available intra-agency appeal in order to exhaust adequate administrative remedies.

**11.8(6)** Unless otherwise provided by law, the board, when reviewing a proposed decision upon intra-agency appeal, will have the powers of and will comply with the provisions of this chapter that apply to presiding officers.

[ARC 8810C, IAB 1/22/25, effective 2/26/25]