

602.6113 Apportionment of certain judicial officers — substantial disparity.

Notwithstanding [section 602.6201](#), [602.6301](#), [602.6304](#), [602.7103B](#), or [633.20B](#), if a vacancy occurs in the office of a district judge, district associate judge, associate juvenile judge, or associate probate judge, and the chief justice of the supreme court makes a finding that a substantial disparity exists in the allocation of such judgeships and judicial workload between judicial election districts, the chief justice may apportion the vacant office from the judicial election district where the vacancy occurs to another judicial election district based upon the substantial disparity finding. However, such a judgeship shall not be apportioned pursuant to [this section](#) unless a majority of the judicial council approves the apportionment. [This section](#) does not apply to a district associate judge office authorized by [section 602.6302](#) or [602.6307](#).

[2011 Acts, ch 78, §3](#)

For provisions authorizing policies and procedures that may be contrary to the requirements of this section in order to efficiently and effectively administer justice throughout the state for each fiscal year of the fiscal period beginning July 1, 2017, and ending June 30, 2019, see 2017 Acts, ch 166, §14