Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 641—Chapter 138
"Trauma System Advisory Council"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: Not applicable.

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 147A.24 and 2024 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2385

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

November 7, 2024 2 p.m.	Microsoft Teams Meeting ID: 233 331 347 558 Passcode: h2yRwX

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
321 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

There is no statutory rulemaking authority for this chapter, and thus it is being repealed.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

No costs are associated with the rulemaking.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

No class of persons will specifically benefit; however, neither are any persons harmed by the rulemaking.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

This rulemaking eliminates an entire chapter consisting of ten administrative rules.

- Qualitative description of impact:

This rulemaking eliminates a regulatory body pursuant to new legislation.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

There are no implementation or enforcement costs.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

There is no anticipated effect on state revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Not applicable.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Rescinding the chapter is both appropriate and required.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

No alternative methods were considered.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 138**.