Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 641—Chapter 124
"Interagency Coordinating Council for the State Medical Examiner"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 691.6B
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: 2024 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2385

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

November 12, 2024 1 p.m.	Microsoft Teams Meeting ID: 287 138 322 978 Passcode: w3jvk8

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
Department of Health and Human Services
Lucas State Office Building
321 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

This proposed rulemaking implements, in part, 2024 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2385, section 368, which directs the repeal of Iowa Code section 691.6B, which describes the Interagency Coordinating Council. Chapter 124 is the chapter that describes the Interagency Coordinating Council of the State Medical Examiner.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:
- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

There are no costs associated with this rulemaking.
- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

None, though none are harmed.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:
- Quantitative description of impact:

Rescinding and reserving one administrative rule chapter.
- Qualitative description of impact:

Eliminating reference to a council that no longer exists by law.

3. Costs to the State:
- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

There are no costs associated with this rulemaking.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

There is no impact on state revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Rulemaking to rescind and reserve the chapter is appropriate since there is no longer authority for the rules to exist.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Rescinding the chapter is both appropriate and required.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

None were seriously considered.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

Rulemaking to rescind and reserve the chapter is appropriate since there is no longer authority for rules to exist.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 124**.