## Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 641—Chapter 114
"Preparedness Advisory Council"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: Executive Order 10
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Executive Order 10

*Public Hearing*

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| November 7, 2024<br>2 p.m. | Microsoft Teams<br>Meeting ID: 233 331 347 558<br>Passcode: h2yRwX |

*Public Comment*

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
321 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

*Purpose and Summary*

The committee described in this chapter is required to receive federal grant funds in the event of a public health disaster. The committee's purpose, organization, and procedures are more appropriately outlined under a formal charter document. Therefore, the Department proposes to rescind the entire chapter.

*Analysis of Impact*

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

No costs are associated.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

None, but none are harmed, either.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

Repealing eight administrative rules.

- Qualitative description of impact:

None; the committee will continue under a formal charter.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

None.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

None.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Not applicable; repealing the chapter is the correct action to take.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

None.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

*Small Business Impact*

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no impact on small business.

*Text of Proposed Rulemaking*

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 114**.