**Regulatory Analysis**

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 641—Chapter 71
"Emergency Information System on Pesticides for Use by
Health Care Providers During Medical Emergencies"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: Not applicable
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Not applicable

*Public Hearing*

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| November 7, 2024<br>2 p.m. | Microsoft Teams<br>Meeting ID: 233 331 347 558<br>Passcode: h2yRwX |

*Public Comment*

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
321 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

*Purpose and Summary*

This chapter was intended to establish an emergency information system for pesticides that Iowa health care providers are able to access 24 hours per day, seven days per week, in order to gain access to emergency treatment information for the emergency care of a real-time human exposure to a pesticide.

*Analysis of Impact*

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

There are no costs associated with the rulemaking.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

Iowans in general will benefit.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

This rulemaking rescinds a chapter from the Iowa Administrative Code.

- Qualitative description of impact:

None.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

None.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

None.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Leaving the chapter in place is not authorized by the Iowa Code and adds to the volume of administrative rules. Rescinding it eliminates unnecessary regulation.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

No. Rescinding the rule is the proper course of action.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

None.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

*Small Business Impact*

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

Not applicable.

*Text of Proposed Rulemaking*

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 71**.