Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: Iowa Administrative Code 875—Chapter 85
"Public Records and Fair Information Practices of the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Board"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 89.14
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Executive Order 10

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

October 22, 2024 8:30 to 9 a.m.	Lake Conference Room 6200 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis. Written or oral comments in response to this Regulatory Analysis must be received by the Labor Services Division no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Mitchell Mahan
Iowa Department of Inspections, Appeals, and Licensing
6200 Park Avenue, Suite 100
Des Moines, Iowa 50321-1270
Phone: 515.443.1051
Email: mitchell.mahan@dia.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

This proposed rulemaking rescinds Chapter 85 in accordance with the goals and directives of Executive Order 10. Chapter 85 is proposed to be rescinded because public records and fair information practices of the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Board will be in a different chapter applicable to programs in the Department of Inspections, Appeals, and Licensing, the new location of the board.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

There is no cost resulting from this rulemaking.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

The enforcing agency will benefit from the absence of superfluous rules.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

This rulemaking imposes no costs.

- Qualitative description of impact:

No qualitative impact is discerned.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

There are no increased implementation or enforcement costs.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

There is no fiscal impact.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

This rulemaking has the benefit of reducing unnecessary rules.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Less costly or intrusive methods do not exist other than rescinding without replacement.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

No alternative methods were seriously considered.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **875—Chapter 85**.