Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: Iowa Administrative Code 561—Chapter 11
"Iowa Nature Store"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 456A.24(5)

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 17A.7(2) and Executive Order 10 (January 10, 2023)

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows. Please contact Kelli Book at kelli.book@dnr.iowa.gov for sign-in information.

September 24, 2024 1 p.m.	Via video/conference call

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis. Written comments in response to this Regulatory Analysis must be received by the Department of Natural Resources no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Tamara McIntosh
Iowa Department of Natural Resources
6200 Park Avenue, Suite 200
Des Moines, Iowa 50321
Email: tamara.mcintosh@dnr.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

Chapter 11 created the Iowa Nature Store. The Iowa Nature Store sold environmental- and natural resources-themed merchandise. Sale proceeds went into the conservation fund. The Iowa Nature Store has been closed for 10 to 15 years. Accordingly, this chapter can be rescinded.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

None. The Iowa Nature Store has been closed for 10 to 15 years.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable. The Iowa Nature Store has been closed for 10 to 15 years.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

None.

- Qualitative description of impact:

None.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

None.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

None.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

None.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

None.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

None.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

None.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

None.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **561—Chapter 11**.