Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: Iowa Administrative Code 282—Chapter 15
"Special Education Support Personnel Authorizations"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 256.146
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 256.146

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

September 11, 2024 9 a.m.	Board Room, Suite A 701 East Court Avenue Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis. Written or oral comments in response to this Regulatory Analysis must be received by the Board of Educational Examiners no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Beth Myers, Attorney
Board of Educational Examiners
701 East Court Avenue, Suite A
Des Moines, Iowa 50309
Phone: 515.242.6506
Email: beth.myers@iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

The Board proposes rescinding and reserving this chapter since the content from the chapter has been streamlined and moved to 282—Chapter 14.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:
- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

No costs are known to be associated with this proposed rulemaking.
- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

Iowans in general will benefit from the proposed rulemaking.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:
- Quantitative description of impact:

The Board discerns no quantitative impact.
- Qualitative description of impact:

The Board discerns no qualitative impact.

3. Costs to the State:
- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

There are no known costs.
- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

There is no effect on state revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

The Board proposes rescinding and reserving this chapter since the previous language has been streamlined and moved to 282—Chapter 14.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

There are no known costs or effects on state revenue.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

The Board proposes rescinding and reserving this chapter since the previous language has been streamlined and moved to 282—Chapter 14.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

The Board proposes rescinding and reserving this chapter since the previous language has been streamlined and moved to 282—Chapter 14.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **282—Chapter 15**.