Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 661—Chapter 15
"Law Enforcement Administrator's Telecommunications Advisory Committee (LEATAC)"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 80.29
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 80.28

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

September 9, 2025 2 to 2:30 p.m.	First Floor Public Conference Room 125 Oran Pape State Office Building Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Public Safety no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Josie Wagler
215 East 7th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.725.6185
Email: wagler@dps.state.ia.us

Purpose and Summary

Pursuant to Executive Order 10, this proposed rulemaking rescinds Chapter 15 in its entirety. The LEATAC no longer exists, and the Law Enforcement Administrator's Communication Network frequency was removed. The committee and network were replaced by the Iowa Statewide Interoperable Communications System (ISICS) and ISICS Board, governed by Chapter 600 of the Department of Public Safety's administrative rules. Those entities and that chapter supplanted Chapter 15 and the need therefor.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**

- **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable. Chapter 15 is being rescinded in its entirety.

- **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable. Chapter 15 is being rescinded in its entirety.

2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

Not applicable. This rulemaking rescinds Chapter 15 now that LEATAC has been replaced by the ISICS and the ISICS Board.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

The rescission of Chapter 15 removes outdated and obsolete language for a committee and network that no longer exist, preventing confusion for anyone attempting to read and interpret these rules.

3. **Costs to the State:**

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

There will be no costs to the Department or any other agency to implement or enforce these rules since Chapter 15 is being rescinded in its entirety.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

There is no anticipated effect on State revenues.

4. **Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**

Leaving rules in place for a committee and network that no longer exist will create confusion for anyone accessing or attempting to interpret these rules. Rescinding this chapter is a necessary step in maintaining clarity within the Department's administrative rules.

5. **Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**

No methods exist that are less costly than the rescission of this chapter and the elimination of outdated and obsolete rules.

6. **Alternative methods considered by the agency:**

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

Not applicable.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This proposed rulemaking has no substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **661—Chapter 15**.