Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 871—Chapter 61
"Strategic Workforce Development Fund"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 17A and 84A
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code chapters 17A and 84A

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

August 26, 2025 1 p.m.	Microsoft Teams Meeting ID: 221 963 719 106 4 Passcode: tG6Md6L8 Dial in by phone: +1 469.998.6043 Find a local number Phone conference ID: 435 989 85#

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by Iowa Workforce Development no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Brooke Axiotis
Iowa Workforce Development
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.802.9245
Email: brooke.axiotis@iwd.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

The purpose of this rulemaking is to remove a chapter that references outdated citations.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**

- **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

There are no costs associated with this proposed rulemaking.

- **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

The general public and stakeholders will benefit.

2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

There is no quantitative impact.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

The general public and stakeholders will receive streamlined information.

3. **Costs to the State:**

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

There are no implementation and enforcement costs.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

There is no anticipated effect on State revenues.

4. **Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**

Not applicable.

5. **Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

6. **Alternative methods considered by the agency:**

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

Not applicable.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This rulemaking does not have a substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **871—Chapter 61**.