Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 265—Chapter 33
"Water Quality Financial Assistance Program"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 16.5; 2009 Iowa Acts, Senate File 376, section 13

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: 2009 Iowa Acts, Senate File 376, section 13

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

August 12, 2025	1963 Bell Avenue
9 to 9:15 a.m.	Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Iowa Finance Authority no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Tyler Barnard
Iowa Finance Authority
1963 Bell Avenue, Suite 200
Des Moines, Iowa 50315
Email: tyler.barnard@iowafinance.com

Purpose and Summary

Pursuant to Executive Order 10, the Authority proposes to rescind Chapter 33, which describes the policies and procedures applicable to the Water Quality Financial Assistance Program created by 2009 Iowa Acts, Senate File 376, section 13. The funds allocated by the legislation have been expended, making the chapter obsolete.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**

- **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

The rescission of Chapter 33 does not impose any costs.

- **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

Rescinding chapters related to programs and activities that have been discontinued or repealed will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.

2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

The rescission of Chapter 33 does not impose any costs.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

Rescinding chapters related to programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.

3. **Costs to the State:**

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

No Authority staff time is utilized for this program since the program is no longer funded.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

The rescission of Chapter 33 has no fiscal impact.

4. **Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**

The rescission of Chapter 33 does not impose any costs. Rescinding chapters for programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.

5. **Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**

The Authority has not identified less costly methods or less intrusive methods.

6. **Alternative methods considered by the agency:**

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

The Authority did not consider any alternative methods.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

The Authority did not consider any alternative methods.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The rescission of this chapter does not have a substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **265—Chapter 33**.