Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 283—Chapter 22
"Iowa Minority Grants for Economic Success"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 256.178 and 256.216

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code sections 256.213 through 256.217

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

June 3, 2025 4 p.m.	Room B50 Grimes State Office Building Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the College Student Aid Commission no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

David Ford, Executive Director
Bureau of Iowa College Aid
400 East 14th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Email: david.ford@iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

The Commission is proposing to rescind Chapter 22 pursuant to Executive Order 10. The program has not received an appropriation in over two decades and is not operational. There is no benefit of the chapter.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**

- **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

Iowans will not bear any costs related to the rescission of Chapter 22.

- **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

Iowans may benefit from the rescission of Chapter 22 since the rescission will eliminate information on a program that is not available.

2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

The rescission of Chapter 22 has no impact on costs.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

The rescission of Chapter 22 has no impact on costs.

3. **Costs to the State:**

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

The rescission of Chapter 22 has no impact on costs.

- **Anticipated effect on state revenues:**

The rescission of Chapter 22 is not anticipated to have an impact on state revenues.

4. **Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**

The benefit of rescinding Chapter 22 is eliminating obsolete information since Iowans can neither apply for nor receive funds from the program.

The cost of inaction would be confusion and unnecessary inquiries about the program and the application process, both of which are defunct.

5. **Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**

Rescinding Chapter 22 is the most efficient approach under Executive Order 10.

6. **Alternative methods considered by the agency:**

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

No other methods were seriously considered since the method proposed is the most cost-efficient approach and eliminates potential confusion amongst Iowans seeking funding for postsecondary education.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

The alternative methods were rejected because they would lead to informational burdens on students, families, institutions, and the Commission.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The rescission of Chapter 22 is not expected to have an impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **283—Chapter 22**.