



MINUTES

AUGUST 2019 MEETING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE

MEMBERS PRESENT

Senator Waylon Brown, Vice Chair
Senator Pam Jochum
Senator Mark Costello
Senator Robert Hogg
Senator Zach Whiting

Representative Megan Jones, Chair
Representative Joe Mitchell [by teleconference]
Representative Amy Nielsen [by teleconference]
Representative Rick L. Olson

EX OFFICIO, NONVOTING MEMBER: Sam Langholz, Administrative Rules Coordinator, Office of the Governor

LSA CONTACTS: Organizational staffing provided and minutes prepared by Jack Ewing, Administrative Code Editor, 515.281.6048, and Amber Shanahan-Fricke, Legal Counsel, 515.725.7354

BULLETINS NEEDED FOR THIS MEETING: 7/17/19, 7/31/19

Procedural Business

Representative Jones convened the regular, statutory meeting of the Administrative Rules Review Committee (ARRC) at 9:03 a.m. on Monday, August 12, 2019, in Room 116, State Capitol, Des Moines, Iowa. The minutes of the July 9, 2019, meeting were approved. The next meeting was scheduled for Tuesday, September 10, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. at the State Capitol in Des Moines, Iowa. The meeting was adjourned at 12:27 p.m.

Fiscal Overview

Ms. Christin Mechler, Fiscal Legislative Analyst, presented the LSA fiscal report. Committee members inquired about the Office of the Attorney General's ARC 4571C, specifically asking whether the office is reducing payment to individuals for lost wages by 25 percent. Ms. Mechler stated that she would refer that question to Ms. Laura Book, Fiscal Legislative Analyst, for a response at a later time.

HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Representing the agency: Nancy Freudenberg, Marissa Eyanson

ARC 4572C (FEAN), Medicaid Eligibility—Removal of Statewide Average Costs and Charges and Maximum Medicaid Rates from Rule, Amendments to Rules 75.23(3) and 75.24(3)“b”
No discussion on ARC 4572C.

ARC 4573C (AF), Family Investment Program (FIP); Electronic Benefits Transfer Cards, Amendments to Rules 40.28 and 65.4
No discussion on ARC 4573C.

Rulemaking type is indicated in parentheses following the ARC number. The acronyms have the following meanings: Notice of Intended Action (NOIA), Amended Notice of Intended Action (ANOIA), Notice of Termination (NOT), Adopted and Filed Emergency (AFE), Filed Emergency After Notice (FEAN), and Adopted and Filed (AF).

ARC 4574C (AF), Medicaid for Employed People with Disabilities Program — Premium Amounts, Amendment to Rule 75.1(39)“b”
No discussion on ARC 4574C.

ARC 4576C (AF), Child Support Recovery Forms, Amendments to Rules 97.6(5), 98.121, and 99.63(1)
No discussion on ARC 4576C.

ARC 4575C (AF), Customized Wheelchairs—Definition for Medicaid Members and Providers, Amendments to Chapter 78

Committee members asked if the phrase “medically necessary for mobility” is defined in law or rule or decided on a case-by-case basis by a managed care organization (MCO) case worker. Ms. Eyanson explained that it is not defined in law or rule, that there is no similar definition elsewhere in the department’s rules, and that establishing a definition only in this one situation may negatively impact other areas in the future. Members stated they hoped the definition would not lead to negative consequences, and that they would monitor the issue for their constituents. Other members questioned whether a definition of “medically necessary for mobility” is beneficial if it is not defined elsewhere. Ms. Eyanson stated that the rulemaking provides consistency across the state by defining what a customized wheelchair is based on the federal Medicare definition when the term was not previously defined for purposes of Medicaid.

Committee members expressed disappointment that there had been no changes to the language of the noticed rulemaking based on previous feedback from the committee, noting that the feedback had covered complaints on behalf of Medicaid providers and members.

Committee members asked for confirmation that the department received no comments on the rulemaking. Ms. Freudenberg confirmed that and stated that these rules can always be reviewed again later if additional changes are necessary, and that providers need to share any concerns they might have with the department.

Committee members asked how many Iowans receive wheelchairs through Medicaid and how many are denied. Ms. Eyanson gave figures from April 2016 to June 2019: 423 in fee for service, 368 from AmeriHealth Caritas, 618 from Amerigroup, and 2,253 from UnitedHealthcare. She did not know the number of denials, but stated denials are infrequent and such denials are rarely appealed. Ms. Freudenberg confirmed that there are few denials and stated that most appealed denials come from those in nursing homes. Members asked if most wheelchair recipients would know to comment on the rulemaking. Ms. Eyanson explained that Medicaid providers had reviewed the rulemaking and that their lack of feedback was notable because they would be the stakeholders most impacted by the rulemaking. Ms. Freudenberg explained that a Medicaid member could not get a customized wheelchair on their own without working with their Medicaid provider and doctor.

Committee members acknowledged the need for ambiguity or leeway in the definition but expressed concern at leaving the definition up to interpretation by MCOs, which may lead to denial of medically necessary wheelchairs to some constituents. Members stated that one denial of a medically necessary wheelchair is too many, noting an example of a constituent who was denied a wheelchair until his condition deteriorated, leading to permanent injury, with eventual approval coming too late to be helpful.

Additional Review at February ARRC Meeting

Committee members directed the department to work with those members who had provided feedback on the rulemaking based on constituent concerns, with additional review to be scheduled in six months to review the progress of that work.

AGING, DEPARTMENT ON

Representing the agency: Angela Van Pelt

ARC 4542C (NOIA), Complaint and Appeal Procedures — Inclusion of Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP) Subgrantees, Proposed Amendment to Rule 2.9
No discussion on ARC 4542C.

ARC 4543C (NOIA), Area Agencies on Aging—Qualifications to Serve, Proposed Amendments to Rule 4.4
No discussion on ARC 4543C.

ARC 4544C (NOIA), Contracts and Subgrants, Proposed Amendments to Rules 6.9(2)“c,” 6.10(4), and 6.11
No discussion on ARC 4544C.

ARC 4545C (NOIA), Definitions, Proposed Rescission of Definitions in Rule 1.5
No discussion on ARC 4545C.

ARC 4546C (NOIA), Older American Community Service Employment Program, Proposed Rescission of
Chapter 10
No discussion on ARC 4546C.

ARC 4547C (NOIA), Managed Care Ombudsman Program, Proposed Amendments to Chapter 8
Committee members inquired about staffing in the Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman, particularly as it relates to oversight of MCOs. Ms. Van Pelt reported that there are six paid staff members within the office, and one staff person devoted to oversight of managed care; however, one additional staff person is in the process of being hired to be devoted to MCO oversight. Committee members inquired about complaints relating to managed care. Ms. Van Pelt did not have the information readily available but offered to provide that information at a later date.
No action taken on ARC 4547C.

ARC 4548C (NOIA), Aging and Disability Resource Center, Proposed Amendments to Chapter 23
No discussion on ARC 4548C.

ARC 4550C (NOIA), Office of Substitute Decision Maker—Change of Name to Office of Public Guardian, Services Provided, Proposed Amendments to Chapter 22
Committee members inquired about the reasoning for a proposed fee schedule, noting that the fees charged for the lowest range are a higher percent of the total liquid assets than the highest range. Ms. Van Pelt offered to obtain clarification on the reasoning underlying the fee schedule. Committee members encouraged the department to seek stakeholder input on the rulemaking before the rulemaking is adopted. Ms. Van Pelt offered to ask the Public Guardian what efforts the Public Guardian has done to seek public input.
No action taken on ARC 4550C.

CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

Representing the agency: Andrew Greenberg, Stephanie Adkisson

ARC 4551C (NOIA), Assistance Animal as Reasonable Accommodation in Housing — Form, Proposed Adoption of Chapter 9 Appendix A, also Filed Emergency ARC 4552C.
Committee members had questions regarding whether the implementing legislation, 2019 Iowa Acts, Senate File 341, applied to condominiums. Ms. Adkisson responded that Senate File 341 applied not only to apartment buildings, but also townhome associations and condominium associations. Committee members expressed concerns with fraudulent claims to a right to an assistance animal. Mr. Greenberg explained that the legislation and the rulemaking may help to limit fraudulent claims by setting forth requirements for a health care professional to meet in certifying that a person has a disability and a disability-related need for an assistance animal. Committee members inquired about balancing the needs of condominium owners with animal allergies with neighbors who request assistance animals. Ms. Adkisson indicated that efforts would be made to separate the individuals and accommodate both of their needs if following the interactive process the neighbor with the request for an assistance animal was granted the right to a reasonable accommodation in the form of an assistance animal. Finally, in response to an inquiry from committee members, Ms. Adkisson stated that Senate File 341 provides that a tenant is responsible for damage caused by an assistance animal.
No action taken on ARC 4551C or ARC 4552C.

INSURANCE DIVISION

Representing the agency: Tracy Swalwell

ARC 4578C (AF), Pharmacy Benefits Manager Annual Report, Amendments to Chapter 59
No discussion on ARC 4578C.

REAL ESTATE APPRAISER EXAMINING BOARD

Representing the agency: Brandy March

ARC 4566C (NOIA), Prohibition of Discipline for Student Loan Nonrepayment; Military Service Credit and Reciprocity; Impaired Licensee Review Committee; Social Security Numbers and Proof of Legal Presence; Vendor Appeals, Proposed Amendments to Chapter 21 and 25 and Proposed Adoption of Chapters 26 to 29
No discussion on ARC 4566C.

ARC 4567C (NOIA), Certification — Qualifying Experience, Demonstration Appraisals, Supervision of Associates or Trainees, Proposed Amendment of Rules 1.20(2), 5.6(2), 6.6(2), and 15.3(1)
No discussion on ARC 4567C.

UTILITIES DIVISION

Representing the agency: Matthew Oetker, Cecil Wright

ARC 4536C (NOIA), Water, Sanitary Sewage, and Storm Water Drainage Utilities, Proposed Amendments to Chapter 21
No discussion on ARC 4536C.

ARC 4537C (NOIA), Practice and Procedure Before the Board, Proposed Amendments to Chapter 7
No discussion on ARC 4537C.

EDUCATIONAL EXAMINERS BOARD

Representing the agency: Darcy Hathaway

ARC 4560C (NOIA), Timeline for Required Reporting of Misconduct to the Board, Proposed Amendment to Rule 11.37
No discussion on ARC 4560C.

LIBRARIES AND INFORMATION SERVICES DIVISION

Representing the agency: Michael Scott

ARC 4553C (NOIA), State Publications Depository Program, Proposed Amendments to Rule 3.9
No discussion on ARC 4553C.

ARC 4554C (NOIA), Library Circulation Policies, Proposed Amendments to Rule 1.5
No discussion on ARC 4554C.

INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS DEPARTMENT

Representing the agency: Ashleigh Hackel

ARC 4577C (AF), Residential Care—Specialized License for Three- to Five-Bed Facilities, Amendments to Chapter 63
No discussion on ARC 4577C.

LOTTERY AUTHORITY, IOWA

Representing the agency: Rob Porter

ARC 4563C (NOIA), Vendor and Licensing Appeals, Proposed Amendments to Rules 2.17, 5.2, 5.6, 5.7, 5.12, 5.25 to 5.27, and 5.29 and Proposed Rescission of Rule 5.3

Committee members questioned whether eliminating the hearing board for vendor and licensing appeals is the appropriate way to streamline and improve efficiency by having an administrative law judge or the chief executive officer preside over the hearing instead at the chief executive officer's discretion. Committee members questioned whether there were appropriate safeguards in place for consumers. Mr. Porter provided reasoning for eliminating the hearing board, citing difficulty for finding three impartial individuals who are competent to hear the matter and noting that most individuals do not have a legal background. Mr. Porter noted that the chief executive officer refers most matters to an administrative law judge. Upon inquiry and concern from committee members regarding public input, Mr. Porter noted that he has not received any public comment and the rulemaking does not vary greatly from current practice. Committee members expressed that they were not familiar with other agencies conducting hearings and making decisions in a manner similar to that proposed by the rulemaking by a single decision-maker. Mr. Porter stated that the Alcoholic Beverages Division conducts hearings in such a manner and the Department of Education conducts certain hearings in such a manner. Mr. Porter stated that the statute underlying the rulemaking supports the decision-making structure. Committee members engaged in a discussion with Mr. Porter regarding whether it was essential for this decision-making structure for the chief executive officer to be a licensed attorney. Mr. Porter reported that while the current chief executive officer is a licensed attorney that is not a requirement for this structure.

Committee members commented on the format of the rulemaking, expressing a preference against the rescind and add format that was used and expressing a preference for the individual strike and underscore format.

Committee members expressed discomfort with the proposed rulemaking due to its consolidation of power with the chief executive officer, issues with due process, and lack of consumer-friendliness. Committee members encouraged the lottery to revise the rulemaking prior to adopting it. No action taken on ARC 4563C.

PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Representing the agency: Susan Dixon

ARC 4538C (NOIA), Mandatory Reporter Training Curricula, Proposed Rescission of Chapter 93

Upon inquiry from committee members, Ms. Dixon confirmed that the subject matter of the rulemaking was moved to the Department of Human Services. No action taken on ARC 4538C.

ARC 4539C (NOIA), Elimination of Specialty Care Referral Network, Governmental Public Health and Rural Health and Primary Care Advisory Councils; Membership of Trauma System Advisory Council; Reimbursement of Advisory Council and Review Team Expenses, Proposed Amendments to Chapters 88, 90, 110, 130, and 138 and Proposed Rescission of Chapter 186
No discussion on ARC 4539C.

ARC 4540C (NOIA), Interagency Coordinating Council for the State Medical Examiner—Integration of Duties of Advisory Council for the State Medical Examiner, Proposed Amendments to Chapter 124 and Rescission of Chapter 125
No discussion on ARC 4540C.

ARC 4541C (NOIA), Substance Use Disorder and Problem Gambling Treatment Program Mandatory Reporter Training; Substance Abuse Treatment Programs in Correctional Facilities, Proposed Amendment to Chapter 155 and Proposed Rescission of Chapter 156
No discussion on ARC 4541C.

PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE DIVISION

Representing the agency: Venus Vendoures-Walsh

ARC 4557C (AF), Behavior Analysts and Assistant Behavior Analysts—Licensure, Fees, Amendments to Chapters 5, 31, and 33
No discussion on ARC 4557C.

ARC 4569C (NOIA), Physical Therapists and Physical Therapist Assistants — Licensure, Examinations, Amendments to Rules 200.2 and 200.4
No discussion on ARC 4569C.

DENTAL BOARD

Representing the agency: Steve Garrison

ARC 4556C (AF), Sedation and Nitrous Oxide, Amendments to Chapter 29
No discussion on ARC 4556C.

PHARMACY BOARD

Representing the agency: Sue Mears

ARC 4555C (FEAN), Vaccine Administration by Pharmacists, Amendment to Rule 39.10
No discussion on ARC 4555C.

ARC 4570C (NOIA), Authorized Dispensers of Pseudoephedrine Products, Proposed Amendments to Rules 10.34, 100.2, and 100.3
No discussion on ARC 4570C.

ARC 4579C (AF), Pharmacist Licensure; Pharmacist Internships, Amendments to Chapters 2, 4, 25, 31, and 32
No discussion on ARC 4579C.

ARC 4580C (AF), Electronic Prescription Mandate and Exemptions, Amendments to Chapters 8, 10, and 21
Committee members asked if the rulemaking could provide for an exemption in an emergency situation where a person is out of refills or is prevented from obtaining a refill due to a technical issue, and Ms. Mears said it would cover both situations.
No action taken on ARC 4580C.

ARC 4581C (AF), Licensing Sanctions—Student Loan Debt or Related Service Obligations, Rescission of Chapter 31 and Amendment to Chapter 36
No discussion on ARC 4581C.

ARC 4582C (AF), Military Service and Veteran Reciprocity for Licensing, Amendments to Rules 33.1, 33.3, and 33.4
No discussion on ARC 4582C.

ARC 4583C (AF), Statewide Protocols — Update of Terminology, Order Constitutes Prescription, Amendments to Rules 39.6, 39.8, 39.9, and 39.11
No discussion on ARC 4583C.

PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT

Representing the agency: Chandlor Collins

ARC 4549C (NOIA), Former Peace Officer Replacement Identification Card—Removal of Fee, Proposed Amendment to Rule 93.3(9)
No discussion on ARC 4549C.

ARC 4584C (AF), Military Service and Veteran Reciprocity for Fire Extinguishing and Alarm Systems Contractors and Installers, Adoption of Chapter 278
No discussion on ARC 4584C.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Representing the agency: Tim Reilly

ARC 4561C (NOIA), Electronic Filing of W-2 and 1099 Forms— Tax Withheld from Employees, Filing Deadline, Proposed Amendment to Rule 46.3(3)
Committee members praised the department’s electronic filing program based on constituent feedback, stated that it is better than expensive alternatives, and asked the department to keep the committee updated on the program so they could pass the information on to their constituents.
No action taken on ARC 4561C.

ARC 4562C (NOIA), Resale and Processing Exemptions— Commercial Enterprises, Proposed Adoption of Rule 225.8
Committee members asked for clarification on how 2018 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2417, expanded sales tax on digital products as it relates to this rulemaking. Mr. Reilly explained that the exemption at issue applies to purchases of inputs, which are goods and services used in the course of business, for certain enterprises. In response to further questions, Mr. Reilly explained that the department had not heard any negative feedback about the rulemaking and that the fiscal impact of the exemption was not yet known given how little time it had been in effect. He agreed to provide information to the committee on the fiscal impact of the exemption when it is known.
No action taken on ARC 4562C.

ARC 4585C (AF), Excise Tax Rate on Motor Fuels, Amendment to Rule 68.2(1)
Committee members stated that the statute authorizing the rulemaking may need to be revisited legislatively, noting it leads to the taxation of gasoline decreasing as ethanol use decreases.
No action taken on ARC 4585C.

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Representing the agency: David Steen

ARC 4558C (AF), Employer Innovation Fund, Adoption of Chapter 16
Committee members asked that the annual report on the fund be sent to the General Assembly, and Mr. Steen agreed.
No action taken on ARC 4558C.

ARC 4559C (AF), Unemployment Benefits Wage-Earnings Limitation, Amendment to Rule 24.18
No discussion on ARC 4559C.

LABOR SERVICES DIVISION

Representing the agency: Kathleen Uehling

ARC 4564C (NOIA), Boilers and Pressure Vessels—Inspections, Incident Reporting, Proposed Amendment of Rules 90.7(3) and 90.11(3)“c”
No discussion on ARC 4564C.

ARC 4565C (NOIA), Inspectors of Boilers and Pressure Vessels, Proposed Amendments to Rule 90.9
No discussion on ARC 4565C.

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Representing the agency: Emily Willits

ARC 4571C (AF), Victim Assistance Program, Amendments to Chapter 9

Committee members asked about the implications of the 25 percent reduction to the gross wage cap. Ms. Willits stated that the intent is that this change will not affect a victim's out-of-pocket take home payments but she was not familiar with the matter. She said she would have someone follow up on the matter.

Committee members asked why the amount of deposits from state revenue sources has gone down in recent years and why the cost and number of medical provider claims has gone up. Ms. Willits stated that someone from her office would follow up.

Committee members stated that the amount of victim compensation may be less than it was formerly, which may be good policy depending on the tax implications, but may be the result of budget constraints on the Office of the Attorney General. Members stated this would be a matter for the General Assembly, not the committee.

No action taken on ARC 4571C.

RACING AND GAMING COMMISSION

Representing the agency: Brian Ohorilko

Sports Wagering and Fantasy Sports Contests, Special Review, Amendments to Chapters 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 and Adoption of Chapters 13 and 14

Committee members asked for an overview of an issue relating to debt offsets that had arisen in relation to this rulemaking. Mr. Ohorilko explained that the debt offset program is administered by the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) and requires that persons who win over \$1,200 in casinos have their names checked against the debt offset list of people who owe certain court-ordered obligations such as back child support or state taxes. If such debts are owed, the casino withholds winnings to cover the debt. He explained that a question arose during the rulemaking process as to whether sports wagering winnings must be offset. The commission, after examining statutory requirements and Legislative Services Agency fiscal notes on the underlying legislation, concluded that such winnings must be offset. After stakeholders provided feedback on the matter, the commission sought guidance from the Office of the Attorney General. The commission then concluded that offset of such winnings is statutorily required. He stated the matter had not been raised during the formulation of the legislation. He noted that over half of the licensees had submitted controls to the commission that included the offset of such winnings and asserted that such offsets could be done, although probably more difficulty than licensees might prefer.

Committee members asked for a timeline of implementation of the bill. Mr. Ohorilko explained that the underlying legislation, 2019 Iowa Acts, Senate File 617, became effective upon enactment and the emergency rules were adopted on July 30, 2019, and became effective July 31, 2019. Members noted that no legislative oversight over the rulemaking occurred before then, and Mr. Ohorilko agreed. Members asked what the effect of delaying the entire rulemaking would be, and he explained that would put sports wagering and fantasy sports contests on hold until the General Assembly determined how to proceed or until the adjournment of the 2020 Legislative Session. Committee members asked if the commission was aware that the rulemaking was subject to review by the committee, and he stated that the commission has been clear in its discussions with stakeholders that the rulemaking had to go through the regular rulemaking process, including review by the committee. Members asked what would happen if the rules are changed after betting begins, and he explained that the commission had gone through a thorough process developing the rulemaking, and any further changes would not affect the integrity of the betting process. Members stated that the committee had been disregarded in the rulemaking process thus far and that press coverage of the rulemaking had not mentioned that review by the committee would occur.

Committee members noted that the commission had broad statutory rulemaking authority and asked what kinds of debts would be covered by the offset program that was the source of a request by stakeholders for the committee to delay a portion of the rulemaking. Mr. Ohorilko explained that the program includes back child support and state taxes and other court-ordered obligations, but not

including small claims. Committee members asked for confirmation that winnings for table games are not offset, and he confirmed that they are not and that federal law does not require such an offset. Committee members asked for more information on the W-2G form. He explained that a W-2G event is a winning outcome of \$1,200 or more at a slot machine that triggers the payout to pause while the winner is checked against the offset database and other legally required databases and fills out federal income tax paperwork. He explained that situations will arise in sports wagering that are not W-2G events because, while the winning amount may be over \$1,200, federal reporting would not be required. The rulemaking would still require that such winnings be checked against the offset program, however. He explained that the process is done manually at retail locations, but will be automated by some licensees. Members asked if other states that have implemented sports wagering have included a similar offset process. He explained that most have not, but Indiana has.

Committee members asked if the commission opposes delaying the language in the rulemaking on the offset program, and Mr. Ohorilko said it does not. Members asked if the commission could have a new, better process for offsets in place by the time the delay would expire. He stated that he thinks so if the industry cooperates, and he would want to see if any solution would apply just to sports wagering or to all W-2G events.

Committee members asked how many people are included in the offset program's database and how much total debt is owed. Mr. Jay Cleveland, speaking on behalf of DAS, stated that there are several hundred thousand people included, and he did not know how much total debt.

Committee members stated that the rulemaking process seems to have gone well, the rules seem ready to implement, and the request for a delay of the offset language seemed reasonable, and expressed hope that the commission and stakeholders would come to the General Assembly in good faith to resolve the matter.

Committee members asked for further clarification of the relationship between W-2G events and offsets. Mr. Ohorilko explained that there are more events that entail offsets than under W-2G events and that there are no W-2G events on table games because W-2G requirements are different for table games, as they depend on the multiplier of the wager, rather than on a flat \$1,200 amount. He explained that blackjack winnings are not a taxable event unless the winnings are a multiplier of the wager; however, all winnings are ultimately subject to taxation like other income, even if they are not W-2G events. Committee members noted that increased offsets allow for increased collection of debts such as child support.

Mr. Wes Ehrecke made a public comment on behalf of the Iowa Gaming Association. He praised the thorough, deliberate effort the commission put into the rulemaking, but urged that the language applying offset requirements to sports wagering winnings be suspended. He noted that the industry had applied the offset requirement to slot machine winnings for the last 10 years, collecting \$34 million. He stated that casinos wanted to pursue bipartisan legislation addressing the offset issue and related matters more holistically if the suspension was approved.

Session Suspension

A motion for a session suspension of the portion of Item 30 adopting rule 491 IAC 13.2(7)(i) carried on a 8-1 roll call vote.

ADDITIONAL COMMITTEE DISCUSSION ON SF 304, HF 288, AND HF 731

Committee members stated that the many agencies implementing 2019 Acts, Senate File 304, House File 288, and House File 731 should email their rule filings to the floor managers of the respective legislation for review and email confirmation of the floor managers' approval to Ms. Shanahan-Fricke. Those rule filings would then not be reviewed at the committee meetings.