

M I N U T E S
IOWA LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
July 9, 1969

The second meeting of the 1969-1971 Legislative Council was called to order by the Council Chairman, Senator Seeley G. Lodwick, at 9:50 a.m., Wednesday, July 9, 1969, in the Speaker's Room, State House, Des Moines, with the following members present:

Lt. Governor Roger W. Jepsen
Senator James E. Briles
Senator Andrew G. Frommelt
Senator Eugene M. Hill
Senator Elmer F. Lange
Senator George E. O'Malley
Senator David M. Stanley
Representative Dale M. Cochran
Representative William J. Gannon
Representative William H. Harbor
Representative Ralph F. McCartney
Representative Charles P. Miller
Representative Leroy F. Miller
Representative Nathan F. Sorg
Representative Andrew P. Varley

The only Council member not present was Senator Arthur A. Neu, who had previously indicated that it would not be possible for him to attend the meeting. Also present were Representative Harold O. Fischer, Senator-elect Joan Orr, Legislative Service Bureau Director Serge H. Garrison, Phil Burks of the Bureau staff, and a number of representatives of the news media and other interested persons.

On motion of Senator Lange, the minutes of the June 11 Council meeting were approved as previously submitted to Council members.

Chairman Lodwick announced that, pursuant to the motion adopted at the previous Council meeting, he and the Council Vice Chairman had appointed to the Studies Committee of the Council the following members:

Senator Lodwick, Chairman
Senator Frommelt
Senator Lange
Representative Gannon
Representative McCartney
Representative Varley

The Chairman further announced that he and the Vice Chairman had prepared a slate of members suggested for appointment to each of three other Council committees, as follows:

Budget

Senator Briles
Senator Frommelt
Senator Lodwick
Representative Gannon
Representative Leroy Miller
Representative Sorg

Legislative Procedures and Facilities

Senator Hill
Senator Lange
Senator Neu
Senator Stanley
Representative Cochran
Representative Harbor
Representative McCartney
Representative Varley

Personnel

Senator Briles
Senator O'Malley
Senator Stanley
Representative Charles Miller
Representative Leroy Miller
Representative Sorg

On motion of Senator O'Malley, the slate of members suggested by the Chairman and Vice Chairman for the named Council committees was unanimously approved.

The Council next considered the interim work proposals received from standing committees of the Senate and House of Representatives. Copies of the summary of interim work proposals and addendum summary of interim work proposals are attached to and by this reference made a part of these minutes. Action on the respective standing committee interim work proposals was as follows.

Agriculture--There was a discussion of the possibility that the proposed study relating to regulation of pipelines might to some extent duplicate the work of the Eminent Domain Study Committee to be established pursuant to House Concurrent Resolution 21.

Representative Harbor stated that the joint Commerce standing committees are also interested in the area of pipeline regulation. In response to a question by Representative Harbor, Representative Fischer stated that item three of the joint Commerce standing committee proposal does contemplate some attention to the matter of pipeline regulation. Representative Sorg pointed out that House File 772, to which specific reference is made in the joint Agriculture standing committees' proposal, is presently assigned to the House Commerce Committee.

Representative Gannon expressed the view that attention should be given to the question of pipeline safety, as well as to regulation of the manner in which pipeline companies secure necessary right-of-way, and suggested that the Eminent Domains Study Committee be directed to consider pipeline safety measures as a part of its work. Senator Stanley commented that he believes it might be reasonable to have a study of pipeline safety measures separate from the Eminent Domain Study, and therefore moved that the Council approve the standing Agriculture committees' proposal with the exception of item two, with respect to which the joint Agriculture committees should be requested to submit a more detailed proposal if it is their desire to look into the matter of pipeline safety.

Senator Hill called attention to the fact that the joint Agriculture committees' proposal does not mention a meeting of the full joint standing committees. It was noted that adoption of the motion would not preclude later consideration of a request for approval of one or more meetings of the full joint Agriculture standing committees. Senator Hill remarked that the Council should encourage every standing committee to hold at least one meeting of its full membership prior to the 1970 session.

Senator Stanley's motion was approved.

Commerce--Attached to these minutes, in addition to the summary of interim work proposals by standing committees, is a portion of the proposal submitted by the joint Commerce committees entitled "Interim Subcommittees Proposed by Chairmen of the Senate and House Standing Commerce Committees".

Senator Frommelt pointed out that some of the specific areas listed under item two of the joint Commerce committees' detailed proposal would probably duplicate work proposed by the joint Ways and Means committees. At the invitation of Chairman Lodwick, Representative Fischer spoke briefly to this point, stating that Representative Elmer Den Herder, chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, had indicated that the joint Ways and Means committees are prepared to withdraw their request for a study of taxation of banks if the joint Commerce committees' proposal is approved by the Council.

Senator Frommelt stated that in acting upon the requests of the standing committees, he believes the Council should attempt to follow the same lines of jurisdiction as would be applied during a session of the General Assembly. That is, any matter proposed for study which would be referred to the Ways and Means committees during the session should be studied by the Ways and Means committees or a subcommittee of these committees during the interim.

Senator Hill moved that the Council approve the proposal submitted by the joint Commerce committees, except that the second, third, and fifth parts of item two be deleted.

Representative Gannon questioned whether study of the Uniform Consumer Credit Code is timely in view of the fact that this Code is believed to closely parallel the new federal Truth-In-Lending Act which became effective July 1. He suggested that it might be preferable to wait until there has been further experience with the new federal act before considering adoption of the Uniform Consumer Credit Code.

Senator Stanley expressed disagreement with Representative Gannon's suggestion, adding that adoption of the Uniform Consumer Credit Code in Iowa has been urged by knowledgeable parties. Senator Hill commented that in any case the Council could certainly approve a study of the Uniform Consumer Credit Code, and that if the subcommittee of the Commerce committees conducting the study reaches the conclusion suggested by Representative Gannon, it could so report.

Senator Hill's motion was adopted.

County Government--Senator Briles stated that although he and Representative Louis Peterson, Chairman of the House County Government Committee, had not submitted a formal interim work proposal, he would now request in his capacity as Chairman of the Senate County Government Committee that the Council authorize the joint County Government Committees one two-day meeting during the interim. It would be the purpose of this meeting to hear any legislative proposals county officers might wish to present on the first day, and to consider the proposals so received on the second day of the meeting.

Senator Frommelt moved that the proposal orally submitted by Senator Briles on behalf of himself and Representative Peterson be approved. The motion was adopted.

Iowa Development--Several Council members commented that the latter part of the joint Iowa Development committees' proposal is probably no longer pertinent, since it is understood that the Governor is prepared to announce appointments to fill the vacancies on the Iowa Development Commission within the next day or two, and

the appointment of a new director for the Commission shortly thereafter. Senator Frommelt added that the Development Commission has had a great many problems recently, and that the new Commission members and director should be given adequate opportunity to make new plans and begin to implement them before they are subjected to any extensive legislative review.

Representative Cochran moved that the joint Iowa Development committees' proposal be approved, with the deletion of two of the three requested meetings of the full joint standing committees. He pointed out that this would in fact enable the joint Iowa Development committees to hold two meetings of their full membership, since they are authorized one meeting without the approval of the Council under House File 390.

Senator Hill asked that it be noted that any legislation recommended by the subcommittee of the joint Iowa Development committees which is to study coordination of area vocational school training programs with industrial training needs, should be referred to the Senate Higher Education Committee and House Schools Committee.

The Cochran motion was approved.

Judiciary--Senators O'Malley and Frommelt objected to the first item listed in the joint Judiciary standing committees' proposal, on the grounds that it had been agreed during the past session that no further consideration would be given by the Sixty-third General Assembly to the subject of judicial retirement. Senator Stanley agreed that the subject of judges' pensions is dead for the balance of the present General Assembly, but added that he believes there may be justification for considering a proposal by the judges to establish a judges' widows pension plan which would be financed entirely by the judges without state funds, and also for study of a judicial disciplinary plan.

Representative Sorg noted that each of the proposals previously approved by the Council have provided for subcommittees of no more than six members, and stated that he believes this is a good precedent which the Council should continue to follow. He therefore proposed that, in view of the indeterminate size of the subcommittees proposed for establishment by the joint Judiciary committees, the Council specifically limit each of these subcommittees to no more than six members. Senator Hill objected, explaining that he believes the determination of the size of subcommittees should be left to the chairmen of the respective standing committees.

Senator Hill moved to approve the joint Judiciary committees' proposal, with deletion of item number one pertaining to judicial retirement and discipline. Representative Sorg moved to amend Senator

Hill's motion so as to limit the size of each of the proposed subcommittees to no more than six members.

Senator Hill reiterated his earlier objection to Representative Sorg's suggestion. He added that a six-member subcommittee would presumably be composed of three senators and three representatives, and that this severely limits the opportunity for minority party representation on each subcommittee. Also, the effect of inability of one or two members to attend a meeting of a six-member subcommittee would be much greater than would be the case with a larger subcommittee.

After further discussion, the question was called for on Representative Sorg's amendment to Senator Hill's motion. Senator Hill requested a roll call vote on the amendment. The vote on adoption of the amendment was eight "yes", three "no", and three votes passed.

Representative McCartney moved to amend Senator Hill's motion so as to permit a subcommittee of the joint Judiciary committees to review the proposal for a retirement plan for judges' widows financed entirely by the judges without state funds. After a brief discussion, Representative McCartney's amendment was adopted.

Representative Harbor stated that he had been momentarily called from the room shortly before the roll call vote on Representative Sorg's amendment to Senator Hill's motion was taken. Representative Harbor asked unanimous consent to the recorded as voting yes on the adoption of Representative Sorg's amendment. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Senator Hill's motion to approve the interim work proposal of the joint Judiciary committees, as amended, was adopted.

Rules--It was noted that a proposal had been received only from the Senate Rules Committee. In response to an inquiry from Chairman Lodwick, Representative McCartney, Chairman of the House Rules Committee, stated that the joint Rules committee would probably want to hold one meeting of their full membership later in the interim, and that he as chairman of the House Rules Committee might present a proposal for some interim work to the Council later in the interim. However, Senator Neu's proposals for interim work by the Senate Rules Committee relate primarily to the rules of the Senate, and are therefore not the concern of the House Rules Committee.

On motion of Senator Lange, the Senate Rules Committee's interim work proposal was approved.

Schools--Representative Gannon noted that the proposal submitted by the joint Schools standing committees includes one subcommittee of the House Schools Committee only, which would undertake a study of "professional negotiations," and suggested that such a study would probably duplicate the work of the Commission on Collective Bargaining for Public Employees which will be established pursuant to House Concurrent Resolution 33. Representative Gannon also questioned whether it is necessary for a subcommittee of the Senate School Committee to further review the Schools' bills which are already on the Senate calendar.

Senator Briles moved to approve the proposal of the joint Schools committees, with deletion of the proposed separate subcommittees of the respective Senate and House Schools committees. Representative Sorg moved to amend Senator Briles' motion to limit each of the three proposed joint subcommittees to no more than six members.

Senator Lange stated that while he generally supports Representative Sorg's position with respect to the size of interim study subcommittees of joint standing committees, he believes that revision and recodification of school laws is such an extensive undertaking that a larger subcommittee is justified. Several Council members expressed agreement with Senator Lange on this point. Representative Sorg then requested unanimous consent to amend his proposed amendment to Senator Briles' motion so as to permit up to six members on each of the first two subcommittees proposed by the joint Schools committees, and up to ten members on the third proposed joint subcommittee. There being no objection it was so ordered.

Representative Sorg's revised motion to amend Senator Briles' motion was adopted. Senator Briles' motion, as amended, was then adopted.

Social Services--Senator Frommelt pointed out that one of the two study subcommittees proposed by the joint Social Services standing committees is intended to conduct the Medicaid study mandated by House Concurrent Resolution 29, but that the Studies Committee of the Council would later in the day present a recommendation for appointment of a special committee to conduct this study. Several Council members commented that it is quite possible that one or more other governmental agencies will be working in the general area of housing for the aged in Iowa during the present interim.

Senator Frommelt moved to defer consideration of the interim work proposal of the joint Social Services committees until the next meeting of the Council. The motion was adopted.

State Government--Senator O'Malley pointed out that only the House State Government Committee has thus far submitted a proposal to the Council, and that the proposal is phrased in very general terms. He therefore moved to defer consideration of interim work by the State Government standing committees until a more detailed proposal is received, preferably by the joint committees. The motion was adopted.

Transportation--Chairman Lodwick pointed out that the detailed proposal received from the joint Transportation standing committees had requested approval of four meetings of each of the five proposed joint subcommittees, but that the information on the number of meetings requested has been omitted from the summary. The Chairman further noted that the detailed proposal had been accompanied by a list of names of legislators to be appointed by each of the five proposed subcommittees, but that this list would be regarded as being submitted for informational purposes only, since it is not the prerogative of the Council to appoint or approve the appointment of members of subcommittees of standing committees.

Representative Gannon expressed opposition to item two of the joint Transportation committees' proposal, on grounds it would probably duplicate some of the work of the Highway Commission Study Committee to be established pursuant to Senate Joint Resolution 25. Representative Leroy Miller expressed disagreement with Representative Gannon on this point.

Representative Gannon moved to approve the joint Transportation committees' interim work proposal, with deletion of item two and with the understanding that each of the other proposed subcommittees would be authorized up to four meetings. After some discussion, Representative Gannon's motion was adopted.

Representative Leroy Miller, as Chairman of the House Transportation Committee, requested unanimous consent for appointment of six rather than five members to each of the subcommittees approved by the Council in view of the Council's deletion of one of the proposed subcommittees. Representative Gannon objected. Representative Varley moved that the interim work proposal of the joint Transportation committees, as approved by the Council, be amended to permit appointment of six rather than five members to each of the authorized subcommittees. Representative Varley's motion was adopted.

On motion of Senator O'Malley, the Council recessed at 11:40 a.m. Chairman Lodwick stated that a joint meeting of the Budget and Personnel Committees of the Council would be convened immediately in the Speaker's Room, and that the Council would reconvene at 1:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible.

The Council reconvened in the Speaker's Room at 1:50 p.m., with all persons present who had been present for the morning session. The report of the Personnel Committee was given by Representative Sorg. The Personnel Committee recommends the hiring of Mr. Thane Johnson as a research analyst to serve on the staff of the Legislative Service Bureau. Mr. Johnson's resume indicates that he is the former assistant director of the Legislative Bureau in South Dakota, has a master's degree in government, and has worked in a number of legislative fields. Representative Sorg noted that Mr. Garrison had contacted the South Dakota director who had given a very favorable recommendation for Mr. Johnson.

Representative Sorg moved that, pursuant to the recommendation of the Personnel Committee, the Council approve the appointment of Mr. Thane Johnson as a research analyst on the staff of the Legislative Service Bureau at a salary of \$9,800 per year, effective August 1, 1969. The motion was unanimously adopted.

The Council received the report of the Budget Committee, a summary of which is attached to and hereby made a part of these minutes.

It was noted that the anticipated expenditures of the Council and the Legislative Service Bureau exceed the funds appropriated to the Legislative Service Bureau. However these funds are available through the payment of part-time personnel hired by the Service Bureau prior to the commencement of legislative sessions, which persons are in fact session employees, through the general assembly payroll; through the payment of part of the salary of the Service Bureau employee designated to staff the municipal law revision study committee from the special appropriation made for such purpose; and through the financing of one or more study committees through the appropriation to the general assembly. House File 390 authorizes such methods of payments. There also exists the possibility that the criminal code revision study can be financed through the use of federal funds.

It was further noted that the anticipated budget does not provide for any salary increase for the Legislative Service Bureau Director and it is the recommendation of the Budget and Personnel Committees that the director's salary be increased to \$17,250.00 effective July 1, 1969 and \$18,000.00 effective July 1, 1970.

It was moved by Representative McCartney that the report of the Budget Committee, including the recommended salary increase of the director and all salaries of Bureau personnel and the other anticipated expenditures contained in the proposed budget be approved subject to any future action by the Council. The motion was unanimously adopted. It was agreed that the expenditure of funds would be closely scrutinized and that the Council would take whatever future actions appear

to be required. The director was instructed to pursue the possibility of obtaining federal funds for the purpose of carrying out the criminal code revision study.

The Council resumed consideration of interim work proposals received from standing committees of the General Assembly.

Ways and Means--Representative Sorg moved that pursuant to earlier discussion in connection with the interim proposal of the joint Commerce committees, the proposal of the joint Ways and Means standing committees be amended by inserting in item three after the word "banks" the words "and other financial institutions"; also that the proposal be amended to limit each of the proposed joint subcommittees to no more than six members, and that when so amended the proposal be approved. Senator Lange requested that each of the amendments to the proposal of the joint Ways and Means committees included in Representative Sorg's motion be voted on separately.

The amendment to item three of the proposal was adopted.

Senator Lange pointed out that here again some rather detailed studies were being proposed, which might well justify the appointment of a subcommittee of more than six members. The amendment to limit membership of each of the proposed subcommittees to no more than six members was defeated.

Representative Gannon expressed belief that personal property taxes on livestock are a serious problem for farmers, and should be of at least equal concern with the retail merchandise inventory tax. Senator Frommelt commented that there are a number of other difficult problem areas in the general field of taxation of personal property.

Representative Gannon moved to amend Representative Sorg's motion by substituting for item two of the joint Ways and Means committees' proposal the words "Taxation of Personal Property." The motion was adopted.

Representative Sorg's motion, as amended, was adopted.

Human and Industrial Relations--Senator O'Malley moved that the interim work proposal of the joint Human and Industrial Relations standing committees be approved. Senator Stanley moved to amend Senator O'Malley's motion so as to limit the proposed joint subcommittees to no more than six members. Senator Stanley's amendment was adopted. Senator O'Malley's motion, as amended, was then adopted.

Law Enforcement--Representative McCartney moved to approve the interim work proposal of the joint Law Enforcement standing committees. Representative Sorg moved to amend Representative McCartney's motion so as to limit the proposed joint subcommittee to no more than

six members. Representative Sorg's amendment was adopted. Representative McCartney's motion, as amended, was then adopted.

Cities and Towns--Chairman Lodwick stated that an editorial correction should be made in the summary of the interim work proposal of the joint Cities and Towns committees, by striking from lines one and two of the first paragraph "3 to 6 members" and inserting in lieu thereof "6 members each". Senator Lange moved that the proposal, with the noted editorial correction, be approved.

In response to questions from Council members, Chairman Lodwick stated that it is his understanding from Senator John Walsh, Chairman of the Senate Cities and Towns Committee, that the item designated "innovated treatment of blighted areas" refers to possible additional proposals along the line of the so-called "Mason City bill" passed during the recent session. The item designated "compensation of municipal employees" refers to the fringe benefit situation, which is often a problem for cities and towns due to the requirements established by state law. Chairman Lodwick added that in his opinion none of the items included in the proposal received from the joint Cities and Towns committees would conflict with either the collective bargaining study mandated by House Concurrent Resolution 33 or the municipal laws review mandated by House Joint Resolution 15.

After further discussion Representative Gannon moved, as a substitute for Senator Lange's motion, to defer action on the interim work proposal of the joint Cities and Towns committees pending submission of a more specific proposal to the Council. The substitute motion was defeated.

Senator Lange's original motion was then adopted.

The Council next considered the proposed Statement of Policy as revised pursuant to adoption of House File 390. Copies of the revised statement had previously been distributed to Council members by Mr. Garrison.

There was an extended discussion of when and under what circumstances nonlegislator members of study committees may act as voting members of such committees. The question whether a mandate or direction from the General Assembly to the Council to establish a study committee negates the provisions of House File 390 relating to study committees established by the Council was also discussed.

Senator Stanley suggested that there are two basic categories of interim study committees; special committees established pursuant to a mandate contained in bills and resolutions of the General Assembly and study committees established at the discretion of the Council. Senator Hill expressed the view that study subcommittees of standing

committees or joint standing committees do not properly fall into either of the categories identified by Senator Stanley. It was noted that there is a distinction between a "request" for a study and a resolution "directing" a study and specifically noting who shall be members. Several members expressed the opinion that where a resolution directs a study and states that there shall be lay members, such members should be voting members because the Council has not been requested to make the study and has no discretion as to placing such lay members on the study committee. It would follow then that when a request is received by the Council asking for a study, which request is favorably acted upon, any lay members placed upon the study committee would be advisory members and not have any vote on substantive motions.

Representative Cochran expressed the desire for a clarification as to the exact status of study subcommittees of standing committees or joint standing committees, such as those which will function during the present interim pursuant to the actions of the Council earlier in the present meeting. Senator Frommelt remarked that he believes there is a difference between a subcommittee which might be established by a standing committee to review and act on a bill during the interim, and a subcommittee set up by a standing committee or joint standing committees, with Council approval, to conduct a study during the interim.

Chairman Lodwick suggested that the Council proceed with approval of the Statement of Policy, and refer the questions which had just been discussed to the Council's Legislative Procedures and Facilities Committee for consideration with the understanding that the Statement of Policy may be amended later if the Legislative Procedures and Facilities Committee so recommends. Representative Cochran and Senator Stanley expressed support for this suggestion.

Senator Hill moved that the proposed Statement of Policy be adopted. Senator Stanley moved to amend Senator Hill's motion by striking from the Statement, part 2, paragraph c, all of items (3) and (4) after the word "Council" in each such item, and inserting in lieu thereof a period.

Senator Stanley's amendment to Senator Hill's motion was adopted.

Senator Frommelt moved to amend Senator Hill's motion by adding the words "of the Legislative Council" after the word "Committees" to the "c" heading found on page 3 of the Statement of Policy. The motion carried.

Senator Hill's motion, as amended, was adopted. A copy of the Statement of Policy, as amended and adopted by the Council,

is attached to and by this reference made a part of these minutes.

Chairman Lodwick stated that the Studies Committee of the Council had met on the previous afternoon, and had agreed upon recommendations for appointments to the various interim study committees mandated or requested by resolutions passed by both houses of the General Assembly during the 1969 session. Copies of a list of the recommended appointments were distributed to Council members. A copy of the list is attached to and by this reference made a part of these minutes.

Chairman Lodwick explained that the eight special interim study committees to which appointments have been recommended by the Studies Committee are listed alphabetically, and designated by roman numerals. One of the legislators recommended for appointment to each of the study committees has been designated as temporary chairman of that study committee, and will have responsibility for calling the first meeting of that study committee. However, it is the recommendation of the Studies Committee that the Council allow each of the special study committees to elect its own officers, rather than directly designating the chairman as had been done by the Legislative Research Committee in recent years.

Senator Frommelt and Representative Gannon stated that they believe there is merit in designating a member of the Council as chairman of each special study committee, so that the study committee chairmen will presumably always be present at Council meetings to report on the progress of the studies and also will be in a better position to interpret to the study committees any decisions or suggestions of the Council. Representative McCartney replied that while there may well be some merit in the procedure advocated by Senator Frommelt and Representative Gannon, it must be recognized that the members of the Legislative Council have broadened responsibilities and that there is less time between sessions in which to discharge these responsibilities. It might well be burdensome on many Council members to be asked to assume the further responsibility of chairing a study committee.

The Council then acted upon the recommendations of the Studies Committee with respect to each of the designated interim study committees, as follows:

- I.--Public Employees Collective Bargaining--Chairman Lodwick pointed out that under the terms of House Concurrent Resolution 33, the Council's only function is to formally acknowledge the various designated appointees to the Public Employees Collective Bargaining Study Committee, and designate a temporary chairman. On motion of Senator Lange, the list of appointees reported to the Council by the Studies Committee was approved.

- II. Criminal Code Review--On motion of Representative Cochran, the recommended appointments were approved.
- III. Eminent Domain--On motion of Representative McCartney, the recommended appointments were approved.
- IV. Environmental Preservation--On motion of Representative McCartney, the recommended appointments were approved.
- V. Highway Commission--On motion of Representative Harbor, the recommended appointments were approved.
- VI. Highway Programs--Senator Stanley pointed out that the Highway Programs Study Committee is the only one of the eight study committees to which appointments have been recommended by the Council's Studies Committee which does not include a member of the Council. There was a brief discussion as to whether section 42 of House File 390 requires appointment of a member of the Council to a study committee whose establishment is mandated by a resolution of the General Assembly. Representative McCartney expressed the view that it does not; Senator Stanley disagreed but added that in any case he believes it would be good policy to place a member of the Council on every study committee.

Senator Frommelt moved to approve the list of appointees recommended by the Studies Committee. Representative Cochran moved to amend Senator Frommelt's motion by adding to the list of recommended appointees the name of one member of the Council.

Representative Cochran's amendment was adopted. It was agreed that the Council Chairman will appoint the additional member to the Highway Programs Study Committee. (Subsequent to the meeting Senator Lodwick appointed Senator Arthur Neu to the Highway Programs Study Committee.)

Senator Frommelt's motion, as amended, was adopted.

- VII. Medicaid Program--On motion of Senator Hill, the recommended appointees were approved.
- VIII. Municipal Laws Review--Chairman Lodwick pointed out that House Joint Resolution 15 calls for the Municipal Laws Review Study Committee to consist of three senators appointed by the Lieutenant Governor, three representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House, one member of the Legislative Council designated by the Council,

four representatives of municipalities chosen from cities and towns of various population categories and including at least one city attorney, and one public representative to be appointed by the Governor. The Studies Committee has reported to the Council a list of all of the legislative appointees to this Study Committee, but the other names are not yet available.

Chairman Lodwick added that the League of Iowa Municipalities has submitted a list of three possible appointees for each of the four positions on the Committee which are to be filled from municipalities of designated population categories. These names have been considered by both the Studies Committee and the Governor's office, and it is likely that the appointees will be Philip T. Riley, Des Moines City Attorney, Loren Hickerson, Mayor of Iowa City, Chester R. Lee, Mayor of Clarinda, and Harold Gartner, Mayor of Titonka. The Governor has not yet appointed the public representative.

On motion of Representative Gannon, the recommended appointments were approved.

There was a brief discussion of the National Legislative Conference. Mr. Garrison reported that reservations have been made for all members of the Council who may wish to attend, and asked that he be notified as soon as possible if any of the reservations are for any reason unsatisfactory or if any of the Council members change their plans regarding attendance at the Conference. Mr. Garrison added that it is believed demonstrations to be presented at the Conference by two data processing firms working in the area of legislative services, Data Retrieval Corporation of America and Aspen Corporation, will be of particular interest to members of the Legislative Procedures and Facilities Committee.

It was agreed that the next meeting of the Legislative Council will be held at 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, August 13, in the Speaker's Room. The Council Secretary will mail all members written confirmation of this date and as usual will include self-addressed reply cards.

Representative Gannon suggested that each of the special interim study committees to which appointments had just been confirmed be requested to organize and report their progress and the anticipated scope of their respective studies to the Council prior to its next meeting. Senator Stanley moved that the Council formally request each of the special study committees to act in accordance with Representative Gannon's suggestion if at all possible. The motion was adopted.

Representative Sorg suggested that the Council instruct the standing County Government committees to prepare the necessary corrective legislation to bring Senate File 614, relating to the salary scales of county boards of supervisors and other county officers, into accord with the actual intent of the legislature. Senator Briles stated that he and Representative Peterson are working on this matter, and are taking the necessary steps to correct this situation at the earliest possible time.

Representative Harbor moved that Chairman Lodwick be authorized to designate a temporary chairman of the Council's Legislative Procedures and Facilities Committee for the purpose of calling the Committee's first meeting. The motion was unanimously adopted. (Pursuant to such motion Senator Lodwick has appointed Representative Harbor as temporary chairman.)

Representative Leroy Miller suggested that the Council now give further consideration to the interim work proposals received from the Social Services and State Government Committees, so that these standing committees can be given some directions with respect to beginning interim work. Several members of the Council commented that they do not believe deferring action on these requests until the August meeting will cause these standing committees any undue difficulty.

Chairman Lodwick declared the meeting adjourned at 3:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

SERGE H. GARRISON
Council Secretary