

M I N U T E S

IOWA LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

November 19-20, 1975

The seventh meeting of the 1975-1976 Iowa Legislative Council was called to order at 10:15 a.m., Wednesday, November 19, 1975 in Senate Committee Room 22 of the State House, Des Moines. Members attending the morning session were:

Speaker of the House Dale M. Cochran, Chairperson
Senate President Pro Tem Minnette F. Doderer, Vice Chairperson
Lieutenant Governor Arthur A. Neu
Senator James E. Briles
Senator Lucas J. DeKoster
Senator Willard R. Hansen
Senator Eugene M. Hill
Senator George R. Kinley
Senator Clifton C. Lamborn
Senator William D. Palmer
Senator Steve Sovern
Senator Bass Van Gilst
Representative Elmer H. Den Herder
Representative Donald V. Doyle
Representative Keith Dunton
Representative Jerome Fitzgerald
Representative William J. Hargrave
Representative James I. Middleswart
Representative Floyd H. Millen
Representative Delwyn Stromer
Representative Andrew Varley

Also in attendance were several legislators (subsequently identified in these minutes) present to submit reports of study committees, a number of legislative staff personnel, representatives of the news media and other interested persons.

On motion of Senator Hill, seconded by Senator Briles, the minutes of the October 15 Legislative Council meeting were approved as previously submitted to Council members.

Chairperson Cochran noted receipt of a number of specific requests from various legislative committees and subcommittees. He requested Legislative Service Bureau Director Serge Garrison to present them.

The first was a request from the House and Senate Committees on Agriculture, requesting authority to hold a joint meeting of the two committees on December 5, 1975, as a continuation of a meeting scheduled for December 4 pursuant to statutory authority. The purpose of the two-day meeting would be to review reports of the Livestock Laws and Grain Grading joint interim

subcommittees, as well as to review all bills introduced in the 1975 session which remain pending for the 1976 session over which the standing Committees on Agriculture have jurisdiction. The request was signed by House Agriculture Committee Chairman Emil Husak and Senate Agriculture Committee Ranking Majority member Ken Scott, on behalf of the Chairman, Senator Karl Nolin. On motion of Representative Doyle, seconded by Representative Middleswart, the request was unanimously approved.

The second request presented was that of the Criminal Code Revision Subcommittee of the House Committee on Judiciary and Law Enforcement for a two-day meeting to be held December 1-2, and an additional one-day meeting to be held if necessary to complete the Subcommittee's charge. The request was signed by Service Bureau Legal Counsel Robert Egge on behalf of Representative Norman Jesse, Chairperson of the Subcommittee and of the Standing Committee. Representative Fitzgerald moved that the request be approved. The motion was seconded by Representative Doyle and, after a brief discussion, approved by a voice vote.

Mr. Garrison next presented a request signed by Chairperson Lowell E. Norland of the House Ways and Means Committee, for authority to continue for a second day a meeting of the standing Committee which Representative Norland proposed to call pursuant to statutory authority sometime in December, 1975 or January, 1976. Representative Norland's letter of request explained that the meeting would permit the Ways and Means Committee to review the information and to discuss various proposals worked on by the Property Tax Study Committee during the interim.

Senator Kinley said that Senate Ways and Means Committee Chairman Norman Rodgers had indicated that the request just presented should be treated as a request for authority for a joint meeting of both Committees on Ways and Means. Senator Kinley so moved, commenting that the meeting should be held as late in the current interim as reasonably possible. The motion was seconded by Senator Briles.

In discussion of the motion, Senator Lamborn expressed serious concern regarding the number and timing of legislative committee meetings during the balance of the interim. Senator Hansen agreed, adding that proliferation of interim meetings is destroying the concept of part-time legislative service by individuals who do not rely on public office for a living.

Senator Hill disagreed, adding that the interim is the most valuable time for conducting legislative committee work because the committees can usually meet for an entire day without the members being required to leave to attend other meetings or to participate in business on the floor of the Senate or House. Chairperson Cochran asserted that if the work done at interim meetings succeeds in shortening the regular legislative session by a single day, the cost of many interim meetings is more than redeemed.

Representative Fitzgerald moved to amend Senator Kinley's motion to authorize the requested meeting for the joint Ways and Means Committees in January, 1976, but not during December, 1975. Senator Doderer questioned whether it is appropriate or permissible to pay legislators per diem for attendance at legislative committee meetings held between January 1 and the convening of the regular session, on the theory that their legislative salaries begin on January 1 of each year. Mr. Garrison said that the applicable statutes allow payment of per diem and expenses incurred in attending standing or interim committee meetings when the General Assembly is not in session.

Representative Fitzgerald's amendment, and then Senator Kinley's motion as so amended, were each adopted by successive voice votes.

The Council considered the request submitted by Representative Robert A. Krause, Chairperson of the joint interim Transportation Plan Subcommittee of the Committees on Transportation that the Subcommittee be authorized to hold two additional meetings subsequent to November 25, 1975. Representative Krause's request explained that the Subcommittee's basic objective is to review the Department of Transportation plan which is to be submitted to the General Assembly for approval during the 1976 session, but that it now appears that the plan will not be available for the Subcommittee's scrutiny until early December, 1975.

On motion of Senator Palmer, seconded by Senator Sovern, the Transportation Plan Subcommittee's request was approved.

Chairperson Cochran next recognized Representative John Connors, who presented a request on behalf of the Correction and Peace Officers Pension Subcommittee of the joint standing Committee on State Government for an allocation of \$6,500 to pay for actuarial studies to be done for the Subcommittee. He explained that the Subcommittee had heard requests from five groups: the correction officers of the Department of Social Services, the Department of Transportation enforcement officers, the peace officers in the Fire Marshal's office, police officers in cities of less than 8,000 population, and sheriffs and deputy sheriffs. Pursuant to requests made by these groups, the Subcommittee is interested in determining the costs of a separate retirement system for each group or of a special division under IPERS for each group, offering the following retirement benefits:

1. Retirement at age 55 (60) after twenty-two years of service with the benefit level based upon 40% (50%) of the average of the highest five years wages of the last ten years of service.
2. Provide death benefits similar to those provided under the Peace Officers' Retirement system.

3. A \$10,000 payment to survivors of a peace officer killed in action and the cost per thousand for increasing the amount.

The Subcommittee also desires information about social security benefits which members of these groups would receive in addition to their other retirement benefits.

Senator Briles moved that the request of the Correction and Peace Officers Subcommittee be approved. The motion was seconded by Representative Fitzgerald.

Senator Doderer noted that the Senate during the 1975 session deferred a House-passed bill to improve policemen's and firemen's pensions because of concern about its cost. She suggested that this information also be sought in the requested study.

Representative Varley said that if any funds are to be expended for an actuarial study, he would rather follow Senator Doderer's suggestion than to do the study requested by Representative Connors, adding that one does not need a study to know that the requested benefits represent a substantial cost. Representative Stromer and Senator Hill agreed, indicating they would prefer to strengthen and improve the existing IPERS program rather than to further diversify retirement programs available to various groups of public employees in Iowa.

Representative Middleswart inquired whether the work of the Correction and Peace Officers Pension Subcommittee would have any value without the requested study. Representative Connors replied that the Subcommittee wants the actuarial study made in order to evaluate the various requests and their costs. He added that if the actuarial study is not approved, he would anticipate the Subcommittee would hold one more meeting and dissolve.

The motion to approve the study was defeated on a roll call vote of 10-9, 11 affirmative votes being required for approval. Those voting yes were Chairperson Cochran, Senators Briles, Kinley, Palmer, Sovern and Van Gilst and Representatives Doyle, Dunton, Fitzgerald and Hargrave; those voting no were Senators DeKoster, Hansen, Hill and Lamborn and Representatives Den Herder, Middleswart, Millen, Stromer and Varley; Senator Doderer did not vote.

Mr. Garrison presented the revised Legislative Service Bureau statement of policy, which had been submitted to Council members after review by the Service Committee. (See report of Service Committee attached to minutes of Council's October 15, 1975 meeting.) Mr. Garrison called particular attention to the last sentence on the first page of the statement, relative to the availability of the Bureau's services to groups and individuals rather than the General Assembly, its committees and members.

Senator Doderer suggested that the word "wives" be replaced by the word "spouses" in line 13 of the second paragraph on page 1 of the Statement. It was agreed that this change would be made.

Mr. Garrison also noted the last sentence of the first paragraph under the heading "Bill Drafting" on page 4 of the Statement. He commented that while it will be possible to assign some members of the Bureau staff to individual bill drafting shortly after the present Council meeting, it appears that some staff personnel will continue to be occupied with study committees and joint interim subcommittees at least through the first half of December. He said that it had been suggested from time to time that the Bureau staff should be further enlarged in order to permit more rapid response to bill drafting requests prior to and during a session, and opined that if the Council in fact decides to move in this direction in the future thought should be given to additional office space which would be required and how these additional staff members might best be utilized just after the session when the Bureau's work load is usually at its lightest. Mr. Garrison added that one future possibility would be to utilize such personnel, as well as present personnel, to perform the Code editing functions which are now under the jurisdiction of the judicial branch of Iowa government.

Senator Lamborn suggested that one means of controlling the demands for bill drafting services from the Service Bureau might be to prohibit the introduction of individual bills, and deal only with committee bills. Senator Hill expressed the view that any such restriction would be unconstitutional. Senator Doderer commented that she would consider such a restriction only if a rule that every bill must be acted upon by the standing committee to which it is assigned were uniformly followed.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Senator Doderer, Chairperson of the Juvenile Justice Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's final report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Senator Doderer a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. At the conclusion of the discussion, Senator Doderer moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Senator Lamborn, and unanimously adopted.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Mary O'Halloran, Chairperson of the Land Use Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Representative O'Halloran a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. At the conclusion of the discussion, Senator Doderer moved that the Council accept the report. The

motion was seconded by Representative Middleswart and unanimously adopted.

The Council recessed for lunch at 12:00 noon, and reconvened at 1:20 p.m. in Senate Committee Room 22. All Council members were again present for the afternoon session.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Peter Middleton, Chairperson of the Discriminatory Employment Practices Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Representative Middleton a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. At the conclusion of the discussion, Senator Doderer moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Representative Doyle and unanimously adopted.

Chairperson Cochran noted that at its previous meeting the Council had adopted a motion requesting representatives of various peace officer groups to meet with the Council to discuss the practice of soliciting advertising to appear in publications sponsored by these groups. (See minutes of Council's October 15, 1975 meeting, pages 11-12.) He then recognized Trooper Neil Griffin, President of the Iowa State Troopers Association, who was present in response to the Council's request.

Trooper Griffin noted that the letter addressed to him by Mr. Garrison, inviting him to appear at the present meeting, had included the statement that some Council members "expressed concern in regard to law enforcement personnel soliciting businesses for advertisements in law enforcement association magazines or journals." Trooper Griffin stressed that no member of the Iowa Highway Patrol, and to his knowledge no other peace officer, actually engages in solicitation of such advertising. He added that an off-duty trooper was in the room where representatives of the Association's publisher, Organization Services Corporation of Boston, Massachusetts, were making calls to prospective advertisers in the Association's publication. This individual's function is to monitor the calls to protect the interests of the Patrol and of the State of Iowa.

Trooper Griffin continued that the Attorney General subsequently sought an injunction against Organization Services on fifteen separate points, but that the court granted the injunction on only three of the points raised. He stated that the Attorney General appended to the injunction petition only four written complaints, and that a total of some 28,000 calls were made to prospective advertisers, so that the ratio of such complaints to calls made is very low. Trooper Griffin also observed that his Association is just getting started in this endeavor, whereas other peace officer organizations have a number of years experience with magazine publication ventures.

Trooper Griffin noted that particular concern had been expressed regarding the issuance of a sticker or decal which could be displayed by persons who have purchased advertising in the Association's magazine. He explained that in fact this practice was proposed to the Association by Organization Services but was rejected by the Association. However, Organization Services did begin issuing these and some ten to twenty stickers were distributed before Trooper Griffin learned of the matter and put a stop to it.

In summary, Trooper Griffin stated that the State Troopers Association's magazine is to be a quarterly publication 134-144 pages in length, that the first issue is due to appear in December, and that it is expected that the magazine will have articles and advertising in approximately a 1-1 ratio in terms of total space allocation. He stated that proceeds from the publication venture will support various charitable activities in which the Association is engaged, and will provide funds for a full-time lobbyist on behalf of the Association.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Middleswart, who emphasized that he has always been a friend of the Iowa Highway Patrol. However, he expressed the view that the Troopers Association made a basic mistake when it decided to publish a magazine, adding that if such a magazine is needed it should be a venture of the Department of Public Safety.

Representative Middleswart continued that he personally is offended by the Association's apparent intent to employ a lobbyist, explaining that he feels the Association has been very effective in dealing with the General Assembly in the past. He added that what offends him most is that of some \$150,000 which apparently will be raised from people in Iowa who are willing to, in effect, contribute to the Troopers Association, only 20% or so will ultimately come back to the Association. He concluded that he recognizes that it is too late for the Association to back away from this venture but reiterated that he wishes it had not initiated it.

In response to a series of questions from Representative Middleswart, Trooper Griffin stated that the injunction obtained by the Attorney General bars Organization Services from allowing any of its employees to state that they are Iowa Highway Patrol Troopers, from promising favors to prospective advertisers on behalf of the Patrol or troopers, and from stating that all funds raised through sale of such advertising will go to the Troopers Association. He stated that the initial contact between Organization Services and the Troopers Association involved some initiative by both groups; the Association was looking for community involvement and the publisher was looking for additional work. The Troopers Association board has voted for some charitable disbursements from funds received or anticipated from sale of

advertising in the Association's magazine but has not voted on employment of a lobbyist.

Trooper Gary Haskins, who had accompanied Trooper Griffin to the Council meeting, stated that the troopers feel their Association has in the past benefited from the lobbying efforts of other peace officer groups while making little or no contribution to those efforts. He added that in particular the Association is apprehensive of proposals to shift the Iowa Highway Patrol from the Department of Public Safety to the Department of Transportation, and wants to be assured of having funds available to fight such a move. He added that the Association also feels the Patrol needs more manpower.

In response to a question from Representative Hargrave, Trooper Griffin stated that the new magazine is to be the official publication of the Iowa State Troopers Association, and is in no sense a publication of the State of Iowa or the Department of Public Safety. The Association retains control over what advertising is accepted for the magazine.

Senator Lamborn noted that he is not one of the Council members who has expressed concern about the Troopers Association's decision to publish a magazine. However, he asserted that he did receive four separate calls from individuals who identified themselves as troopers, soliciting advertising from his firm for the magazine. Senator Palmer stated that he had had the same experience.

Trooper Griffin responded that at the moment there was no way that he could determine who might have made the calls to Senator Lamborn and Senator Palmer. Trooper Haskins commented that in all cases of which he is aware, the solicitors for Organization Services did follow the prescribed format in making calls to prospective advertisers. He added that Organization Services used Dun & Bradstreet listings as a guide in making their calls, and that if one individual was doing business through two or more corporations which are listed by Dun & Bradstreet this would account for that individual's receiving more than one call from the solicitation firms.

Senator Doderer noted that most telephone solicitation firms employ women to make telephone contacts with prospective customers, advertisers, etc. She suggested that the fact that Organization Services apparently used men exclusively for this work might indicate that the firm intended to allow persons being called to receive the impression that the caller was a trooper.

In response to a line of questions from Senator Hill, Trooper Griffin stated that the Troopers Association's magazine will have a circulation of approximately 7,500, including public officials, the news media, active and retired state troopers, and copies provided for places such as waiting rooms of doctors and dentists. He stated that there would be no effort to establish an

initial paid subscription list, but that private citizens could subscribe at the rate of \$1.50 per year if they care to do so. Trooper Griffin stated that he would see nothing wrong in the magazine's accepting political advertising, but noted that it is the prerogative of the Troopers Association board to set policy in this matter.

Trooper Griffin reiterated that it is the Troopers Association, not the Iowa Highway Patrol, which is engaged in the magazine publishing venture. Senator Hill asserted that the benefits will inure ultimately to the Highway Patrol. Senator Kinley expressed doubt that the public distinguishes between the Troopers Association and the Highway Patrol. Trooper Griffin replied that the Department of Public Safety has insisted that the Association make this distinction clear in all of its solicitation efforts.

Senator Doderer asserted that there is a fine line between the Troopers Association directly asking for contributions and setting up a publication in which businessmen and others are asked to advertise even though it is unlikely that the advertising will bring them much direct benefit. She added that she believes there is an implied threat where personnel of law enforcement agencies are involved in such activities, whether this threat is intentional or not. Representative Varley agreed, adding that there is good reason for the Department of Public Safety to insist that the Troopers Association distinguish itself from the Highway Patrol per se.

Chairperson Cochran next recognized Sheriff John P. Stark of Story County and Sheriff Dennis M. Carr of Monroe County, representing the Iowa State Sheriffs and Deputies Association. Sheriff Stark stated that the Association, which dates back to 1929, has an arrangement with an Iowa corporation similar to the Troopers Association's arrangement with Organization Services. Sheriff Stark continued that sheriffs and their deputies do not accompany solicitors for the publishing firm when they contact prospective advertisers, that the Sheriffs and Deputies Association receives 30% of the gross receipts from sales of advertising for the magazine, and that these funds are used to pay for schools of instruction for sheriffs and their deputies and for other Association activities. He noted that former Senator Gene V. Kennedy is the Sheriffs and Deputies Association's legislative representative.

Representative Millen stated that he had had the experience of being visited by a solicitor for a firm which was publishing a calendar, accompanied by a uniformed deputy sheriff wearing his badge of office, at which time Representative Millen was solicited to purchase advertising for his business firm on the calendar in question. Sheriffs Stark and Carr denied that their Association has ever had any involvement in publication of calendars.

There being no further questions for Sheriffs Stark and Carr, Chairperson Cochran recognized Mr. C. E. Lewis of West Des

Moines representing the Iowa Policemen's Association. Mr. Lewis stated that his Association publishes a quarterly magazine, which is printed by a firm in Minnesota. There are four residents of Iowa engaged in solicitation of advertising to appear in this magazine, and the Association employs a legislative lobbyist. He stated that the Association receives 30% of the proceeds from the sale of advertising which appears in its magazine.

Council members had no questions for Mr. Lewis. Chairperson Cochran expressed appreciation to Troopers Griffin and Haskins, Sheriffs Stark and Carr, and Mr. Lewis for appearing at the meeting. He noted that it had not been possible for representatives of the Iowa Association of Chiefs of Police and Peace Officers to appear at the present session, but that they were expected to have representatives at the Council's session on the following day.

Representative Middleswart stated that before the representatives of the various peace officer groups who had just appeared left the meeting, he would like to inform them of the text of a motion which he proposed to offer for adoption after the appearance of representatives of the Police Chiefs Association on the following day. The text of the proposed motion as presented by Representative Middleswart is as follows:

The Iowa Legislative Council retains its confidence in law enforcement agencies of Iowa, however it is concerned that the good image which law enforcement agencies presently have in the eyes of the Iowa public might be affected by the solicitation of advertisements for law enforcement association magazines. Therefore it is the recommendation of the Legislative Council that the law enforcement agencies redouble their efforts in exercising good judgment, diligence, and discretion, in the solicitation of advertisements for their magazines in order that the public not conclude that there is any conflict of interest or element of coercion in making solicitation for such advertisements.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Avenson, Chairperson of the Resource Recovery Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Representative Avenson a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. In responding to some of the questions, Representative Avenson stated that the Study Committee has received conflicting information as to the effectiveness of Oregon's "ban the can" law, but that in any case the data offered is not applicable to Iowa. At the conclusion of the discussion, Representative Dunton moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Senator Briles, and unanimously adopted.

Vice Chairperson Doderer assumed the Chair at 3:15 p.m. She recognized Representative Fitzgerald, Chairperson of the

Employment of the Unemployed Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Representative Fitzgerald a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. In responding to the questions asked, Representative Fitzgerald expressed the view that the Department of Social Services is able to explain the ADC grant formula to legislators and other persons who inquire, but apparently does not do so successfully where recipients are concerned. He explained that in many cases ADC recipients seem to feel they cannot earn a sufficient amount more than the amount of their grants to make it worthwhile for them to go to work and also often feel that in any case jobs of consequence are not available to them. At the conclusion of the discussion, Representative Fitzgerald moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Senator Briles and unanimously adopted.

Vice Chairperson Doderer then recognized Representative John Brunow, Chairperson of the Transportation Finance Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau. At the conclusion of Representative Brunow's presentation of the report, Senator Kinley moved its acceptance by the Council. The motion was seconded by Senator Sovern.

Representative Dunton noted that as Chairperson of the House Appropriations Committee he strongly objects to certain aspects of the Transportation Finance Study Committee's report, particularly the proposal for an additional \$3 million for upgrading branch line railroads. He explained that it was his understanding that the \$3 million appropriated for this purpose by the 1975 session was sufficient for the entire biennium, and that no further funds would be requested for this purpose during the second session of the 66th General Assembly.

Lieutenant Governor Neu and Senator Lamborn questioned the impact of the Study Committee's proposals on the state general fund over a period of time. Representative Brunow replied that it appeared to the Study Committee that the total dollar needs for roads in Iowa are too great to be met from any one revenue source, and that the Study Committee felt it must put together a package which could command sufficient support among its own members to be recommended to the Council and the General Assembly. He acknowledged that the package may not receive the support of a majority of the members of the Legislature.

Senator Kinley's motion to accept the report of the Transportation Finance Study Committee was adopted by a roll call vote of eleven to six. Those voting yes were Vice Chairperson Doderer, Speaker Cochran, Senators Briles, Hill, Kinley, Sovern and Van Gilst and Representatives Doyle, Fitzgerald, Hargrave and Middleswart; those voting no were Senators DeKoster, Hansen and

Lamborn and Representatives Den Herder, Dunton and Millen; Senator Palmer and Representatives Stromer and Varley did not vote.

Vice Chairperson Doderer recognized Senator Van Gilst, Chairman of the Property Tax Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Senator Van Gilst a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. One of the questions raised was what are the implications for the home rule concept of the proposal to place specific dollar limitations on the expenditures of city and county governments. In response, Senator Van Gilst asserted that reducing the number of county funds and the specific levy limitations on each would actually give counties a greater measure of home rule than they now enjoy.

At the conclusion of the discussion, Senator Van Gilst moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Representative Fitzgerald and unanimously adopted.

Vice Chairperson Doderer recognized Representative Middleswart, Co-chairperson of the Duplicatory Inspection Requirements Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau. Representative Middleswart noted that it had been called to the Study Committee's attention that the Governor's veto of S. F. 296 in effect achieved the intent of item 2 on page 5 of the Study Committee's report as distributed to Council members at the present meeting.

Council members directed to Representative Middleswart a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. At the conclusion of the discussion, Representative Middleswart moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Senator Briles, and unanimously adopted.

The Council recessed for the day at 4:45 p.m.

Thursday, November 20

The Legislative Council reconvened at 9:40 a.m., Thursday, November 20, in Senate Committee Room 22. All Council members except Lieutenant Governor Neu attended the Thursday morning session.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Dunton, who presented the report of the Legislative Fiscal Committee to the Council. A copy of the report is attached to and by this reference made a part of these minutes. At the conclusion of his presentation, Representative Dunton moved acceptance of the report by the Council. The motion was seconded by Senator Doderer, and unanimously adopted.

Representative Hargrave inquired whether the Legislative Fiscal Committee is yet prepared to report to the Council on the situation involving maintenance of an agent of the Department of Public Safety at Iowa City on the Department's payroll for over a year, during the pendency of the agent's appeal of a dismissal resulting from refusal to accept a transfer elsewhere in the state. (See minutes of Council's August 20, 1975 meeting, page 4.) Representative Dunton replied in the negative, but stated that he would ask Legislative Fiscal Director Gerry D. Rankin to pursue the matter.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Senator Doderer, Chairperson of the Council's Service Committee, who stated that the Committee had met on the previous Tuesday afternoon and had reviewed requests for approval for new employees in both the office of the Citizens' Aide and the Legislative Fiscal Bureau. She added that the Service Committee had not completed its consideration of the latter request, but anticipated doing so at another session of the Committee later during the present day.

Senator Doderer moved, on behalf of the Service Committee, that the Council approve the employment of Mr. Andrew Roberts as Specialist for Indian Affairs on the staff of the Citizens' Aide office, at an annual salary of \$12,012. The motion was seconded by Representative Doyle. Senator Hill said that while he has no personal objection to Mr. Roberts he continues to be opposed to the concept of a special staff person for Indian affairs in the Citizens' Aide office. Senator Doderer's motion was adopted by a voice vote, Senator Hill voting no.

Senator Doderer then moved, on behalf of the Service Committee, that the Council approve the employment of Mr. John K. Holveck, Jr. as Youth Ombudsman on the staff of the Citizens' Aide office at an annual salary of \$12,012. The motion was seconded by Senator Briles. Senator Hill expressed objection to this motion on the same grounds he had stated with respect to the previous motion. Senator Doderer's motion was adopted by a voice vote, Senator Hill voting no.

Chairperson Cochran presented and reviewed the report of the Council's Administration Committee. A copy of the report is attached to and by this reference made a part of these minutes.

Senator Doderer moved to amend the paragraph beginning at the bottom of page 1 of the Administration Committee's report and continuing at the top of page 2 so as to indicate that the proposed appeal procedure would apply not only to discharge but also to other grievances of legislative employees. The motion was seconded by Representative Dunton, and unanimously adopted.

Senator Doderer also questioned the last sentence of the paragraph to which she had just referred, expressing the view that

employees of the Senate or House who are discharged or aggrieved should have the right of appeal to the Legislative Council. She explained she does not feel that it would be meaningful for a discharged or otherwise penalized employee to appeal to the same body which discharged or penalized the employee. Senator Hill disagreed, stating that he views the Secretary of the Senate and the Chief Clerk of the House as holding positions essentially similar, relative to the Senate and the House respectively, as the Director of the Legislative Service Bureau holds relative to the Legislative Council. Representative Varley expressed concern that if a hearing by the Senate, House, or the Legislative Council is to be granted to every discharged or aggrieved employee, the authority of the administrators under whose supervision these employees work may be undercut.

Senator Doderer moved that the last sentence of the fourth paragraph of the Administration Committee's report be amended so as to recommend that the House and Senate adopt rules providing their employees with right of appeal to the Legislative Council. The motion was seconded and adopted by a voice vote.

There was considerable discussion of the proposed statement of policy relating to legislative out-of-state travel, submitted with the Administration Committee's report. Several Council members commented that it should be rewritten so as to express its intent more clearly. There was also some discussion of whether approval of out-of-state travel for legislators other than Council members is properly a function of the Council or of the presiding officers of the respective houses. Representative Varley suggested that an effort be made to determine in advance what meetings, seminars, etc. are being planned for legislators from various states, and whether each of the meetings scheduled is likely to offer significant benefit to Iowa and if so which particular legislators or staff persons should attend it.

After further discussion, Senator DeKoster moved that the statement of policy relating to legislative out-of-state be rereferred to the Administration Committee for rewriting in accordance with the discussion at the present meeting. The motion was seconded by Senator Doderer, who suggested that the Administration Committee also consider travel policy for legislative agencies. Senator Hill said he would have no objection to such a suggestion, but that any such policy should also cover staff members of the Senate and House. Senator DeKoster indicated he would accept the foregoing suggestions as friendly amendments to his motion. On this basis, the motion was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Representative Dunton moved that the Council accept the Administration Committee's report, as amended. The motion was seconded by Representative Doyle and unanimously adopted.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Dr. Ivan Beutler of Iowa State University for the purpose of reviewing the proposed contract

with the Home Economics Research Institute and Department of Family Environment of the College of Home Economics at Iowa State University for a study to assist with comprehensive development of a standard of need for Iowa ADC recipients. (See minutes of Council's October 15, 1975 meeting, pages 8-9 and 12-13.)

Vice Chairperson Doderer assumed the Chair at 11:00 a.m.

Dr. Beutler stated that the establishment of the ADC needs standard involves two factors: (1) what should be included in the standard of need, which is a fairly subjective determination, and (2) what do each of the items included in the standard cost, which is largely an objective determination. Dr. Beutler suggested that it is not difficult to determine (2) once a decision has been made regarding (1). He continued that the proposed study is intended to determine the consumption patterns of Iowa families in a variety of economic circumstances, and to develop diversion factors which can be applied to the federal Department of Labor cost of living standards to arrive at an Iowa cost of living standard.

Dr. Beutler's initial comments were followed by an extended discussion, in the course of which Representative Varley questioned both the objectives and the methodology of the study. He expressed concern that low-income persons will tend to confuse their wants and their needs in responding to questions seeking to determine low income consumption patterns. He asserted that low-income persons often do not make good use of the resources which are available to them.

In response to questions from Representative Fitzgerald, Dr. Beutler confirmed that the study will seek to determine what a random sample of Iowa families at various income levels say that their present consumption patterns are, not what these families would like their consumption patterns to be. Also, the study will seek to determine how much costs of various necessities differ in different parts of the state.

Senator Hill expressed belief the General Assembly is not interested in consumption patterns. He said what legislators want to know is what constitutes an adequate diet, adequate housing, etc. and what these items cost.

Stating that he remains mistrustful of the survey approach, Representative Varley asked Dr. Beutler how much of the total cost of the proposed study could be saved if the survey aspect of the study were eliminated. Dr. Beutler replied that eliminating the survey could cut out approximately \$25,000. This led to an extended discussion of the desirability and reliability of the survey aspect of the proposed study. In the course of the discussion, Dr. Beutler contended that the question is whether data which the state must use to set ADC needs standards shall be based on an Iowa survey, which has never before been done, or on a more general survey of the north central part of the United States which is already available.

Chairperson Cochran resumed the Chair at 11:30 a.m.

Representative Varley moved that the Council approve not to exceed \$35,000 for the proposed study. The motion was seconded by Senator Doderer.

Representative Fitzgerald moved, as a substitute for Representative Varley's motion, that the Council approve the full \$64,898 requested for the proposed study. The substitute motion was seconded by Senator Sovern. After a brief discussion, Representative Fitzgerald withdrew the substitute motion.

Senator DeKoster then moved, as a substitute for Representative Varley's previous motion, that the Council approve not to exceed \$50,000 for the proposed study. The substitute motion was seconded by Representative Fitzgerald and, after a brief discussion, adopted by a voice vote.

Upon inquiry by Representative Varley, Chairperson Cochran declared that the adoption of the substitute motion by Senator DeKoster was dispositive of the matter of the proposed study. Representative Varley said that if he had known the Chair would so rule, he would have called for a roll call vote. Senators Doderer, Hansen, Hill and Lamborn and Representatives Millen, Stromer and Varley requested that they be recorded as voting no on adoption of Senator DeKoster's substitute motion.

Chairperson Cochran then recognized Lieutenant John Iversen of the Davenport Police Department, appearing on behalf of the Iowa Association of Chiefs of Police and Peace Officers, Inc., in regard to the matter of magazines published by peace officers' associations and solicitation of advertising in these magazines. Chairperson Cochran noted that the matter had been discussed by Council members with representatives of other peace officer organizations on the previous afternoon.

Lieutenant Iversen indicated that he had no prepared remarks to offer, but would respond to any questions Council members might wish to direct to him. In answer to a series of questions by Representative Middleswart, Lieutenant Iversen stated that his Association's magazine is published by Callen Publishing Company, a Minnesota firm, that some \$150,000 is raised annually by sale of advertising in the magazine, and that the Association receives 25% of the gross proceeds from the sale of advertising up to a total of \$100,000 and 30% of any additional gross proceeds.

Lieutenant Iversen continued that when representatives of the Callen firm come to a community to solicit advertising, they first contact the local chief of police who may or may not give the Callen representatives a letter of introduction. In any case, the solicitor is accompanied by a peace officer when contacting prospective advertisers only if the local chief of police so

desires, and this practice is not followed in the city of Davenport. Copies of the magazine are distributed to the parties who advertise in it and to active and retired members of the Association, and some complimentary copies are also distributed.

In reply to a final question by Representative Middleswart, Lieutenant Iversen said he is unable to state what percentage of the people who advertise in the Association's magazine feel that doing so constitutes bona fide advertising, rather than a contribution to the Association. He noted that the Association does accept contributions from parties who wish to do this rather than purchasing advertising, and that the contributions received are usually less than the cost of a magazine ad. He stressed it is the individual's prerogative to do either, or neither.

Responding to other Council members' questions, Lieutenant Iversen stated that his Association has been in existence for 69 years, that its magazine was an annual publication until four years ago at which time it was changed to a quarterly publication in order to better inform law enforcement officers in small towns of new developments in the field. The Association is a nonprofit corporation, and receives a net income of approximately \$50,000 from publication of its magazine. This money is used to employ a lobbyist, to provide death benefits to members, to underwrite schools of instruction for officers in small towns which cannot afford to maintain such programs, and to represent law officers in certain types of actions. Lieutenant Iversen stressed that such representation is not provided where misconduct on the part of the officer is alleged.

Senator Sovern asked whether Lieutenant Iversen believes there is any remote possibility that advertisers may feel any pressure because they are being solicited on behalf of an association whose members are law enforcement officers, upon whose services the advertiser must depend for security of his or her business, etc. Lieutenant Iversen responded that in his judgment there is definitely no such feeling on the part of prospective advertisers when they are contacted. At Senator Sovern's request, Lieutenant Iversen distributed samples of cards and decals which are given to advertisers in the Association's magazine, and may be used at the advertisers option, and of a small placard bearing a reminder of the penalty for issuing a worthless check.

In answer to a further question by Senator Sovern, Lieutenant Iversen stated that the advertiser's card is billfold size so that it may be readily carried if the advertiser wishes to do so. Senator Lamborn suggested the Association and its members could improve their image by doing away with these cards and with the decals, and by giving the worthless check placards to everyone it contacts. Lieutenant Iversen responded that the Association already follows the latter policy. In further discussion with Senator Lamborn, he stated that his Association does not engage in any telephone solicitation.

After further discussion, Representative Middleswart formally moved adoption of the motion which he had read to the Council on the previous afternoon. The motion was seconded by Senator Doderer.

Representative Stromer expressed concern that the Council is establishing a precedent for concerning itself with inappropriate problems, and that passage of Representative Middleswart's motion would strengthen this precedent.

Representative Hargrave pointed out that Representative Middleswart's motion addresses itself to "law enforcement agencies" but that the organizations whose representatives had appeared on the previous afternoon and the present morning are not such agencies but in fact are private groups.

The Council recessed for lunch at 12:30 p.m., and reconvened at 1:50 p.m. in Senate Committee Room 22. All Council members except Lieutenant Governor Neu and Senator Briles attended the Thursday afternoon session.

Representative Middleswart asked and received unanimous consent to withdraw the motion he had offered prior to the noon recess. He then moved the adoption of a motion worded identically to that appearing on page 10 of these minutes except that the word "agencies" in line 7 of the motion was changed to "associations". The revised motion was seconded by Senator Doderer.

Senator Sovern moved to amend the portion of the motion following the last comma in line 8 so that it reads "in the use of magazine fund raising procedures in order that the public not conclude that there is any conflict of interest or element of coercion in participating in this procedure." The amendment was seconded and adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Representative Dunton expressed objection to passage of the motion, explaining that he believes it may be interpreted as an implied endorsement by the Council of solicitation of magazine advertising by associations of law enforcement officers provided certain procedures are followed in doing so.

Representative Middleswart's motion failed on a roll call vote of eight to nine. Those voting yes were Chairperson Cochran, Senators Doderer and Sovern and Representatives Doyle, Fitzgerald, Hargrave, Middleswart and Varley; those voting no were Senators Hill, Kinley, Lamborn, Palmer and Van Gilst and Representatives Den Herder, Dunton, Millen and Stromer; Senators Briles, DeKoster and Hansen did not vote.

Chairperson Cochran noted that it had become apparent that the \$86,000 appropriated by S. F. 584 for per diem and expenses of members of the Legislative Council and of special interim study committees during the present interim would be

insufficient. He added that this is largely due to failure to allow for the increase from ten cents to fifteen cents per mile for reimbursement for cost of travel by private automobile. He concluded that it is necessary for the Council to supplement the \$86,000 appropriation in order to pay legislators per diem and expenses for study committee meetings to be held during the final weeks of the interim.

Representative Millen inquired whether the Council would also need to approve expenditure of an additional \$22,000, approximately, for Appropriations Subcommittee meetings in December. Chairperson Cochran replied in the negative, explaining that the funds necessary for those meetings are available under section 2.12 of the Code.

Senator Kinley moved that the Council approve transfer of \$15,000 from funds available under Code section 2.12 as provided in section 2.44 of the Code to supplement the \$86,000 Legislative Council and study committee appropriation for the current interim. The motion was seconded by Representative Hargrave and adopted on a roll call vote of fifteen to one. Representative Millen voted no; Senators Briles and Hansen and Representatives Stromer and Varley did not vote.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Senator Hill, Chairman of the Employment Security Laws Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau. Senator Hill distributed to Council members present, in addition to copies of the Study Committee's report, copies of a worksheet he had prepared indicating anticipated Employment Security Commission trust fund balances for December 31, 1975 and for the same date on each of the two succeeding years.

Senator Hill presented a detailed explanation of the figures on the worksheet, and of the information obtained by the Study Committee and underlying its recommendations. Council members directed to Senator Hill a number of questions regarding the study, the report and some of the Study Committee's recommendations. At the conclusion of the discussion, Senator Hill moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Representative Hargrave, and unanimously adopted.

Chairperson Cochran said that as it would undoubtedly be necessary for some Council members to leave prior to the completion of the present meeting, it would be advisable to set the date for the next Council meeting at this time. He noted that there are a number of conflicts with the Council's regular third Wednesday meeting date in December, and suggested instead that the Council plan to meet on Friday, December 19. The suggestion was agreed to.

Representative Fitzgerald asked and received unanimous consent to again consider before the Council the DeKoster substitute motion relative to the proposed study by Iowa State

University to assist in developing ADC need standards for Iowa, which had been adopted prior to the noon recess. Senator Sovern then moved to amend Senator DeKoster's substitute motion so as to approve the full \$64,898 requested for the study. The motion was seconded by Representative Fitzgerald.

Senator DeKoster said he has no objection to the amendment, that his earlier substitute motion was an attempt to compromise on the matter. Representative Fitzgerald pointed out that an expenditure of \$50,000 will make the results of the study available to the second session of the 67th General Assembly in 1978, while expenditure of the full amount requested should make the results available to the first session in 1977, when major appropriation decisions must be made.

There was further discussion of the objectives and methodology of the proposed study, in the course of which Representative Varley asserted that the issue now before the Council is whether the survey portion of the study is valid and desirable. Senator Doderer moved as a substitute for the pending motion that the Council approve expenditure of no more than \$32,000 for the study. The substitute motion was seconded by Representative Varley.

Representative Den Herder expressed concern that Representative Gregory Cusack, who has been one of the primary proponents of the proposed study, was not present to participate in the discussion. He added that the Legislative Fiscal Committee had not considered the option represented by Senator Doderer's substitute motion. He said he is concerned the study will tend to raise ADC benefits, not lower them, but had promised Representative Cusack that he would support the proposed study.

Senator Doderer's substitute motion lost on a roll call vote of five to ten. Those voting yes were Senators Doderer and Hill and Representatives Den Herder, Doyle and Varley; those voting no were Senators DeKoster, Palmer, Sovern and Van Gilst and Representatives Dunton, Fitzgerald, Hargrave, Middleswart, Millen and Stromer; Chairperson Cochran and Senators Briles, Hansen, Kinley and Lamborn did not vote.

Senator Sovern's amendment to Senator DeKoster's prior motion was then adopted by a roll call vote of ten to six. Those voting yes were Senators DeKoster, Palmer, Sovern and Van Gilst and Representatives Den Herder, Doyle, Dunton, Fitzgerald, Hargrave and Middleswart; those voting no were Chairperson Cochran, Senators Doderer and Hill and Representatives Millen, Stromer and Varley; Senators Briles, Hansen, Kinley and Lamborn did not vote.

Senator DeKoster's earlier motion, as now amended, was then adopted by a roll call vote of eleven to four. Those voting yes were Chairperson Cochran, Senators DeKoster, Palmer, Sovern and Van Gilst and Representatives Den Herder, Doyle, Dunton, Fitzgerald, Hargrave and Middleswart; those voting no were Senator

Hill and Representatives Millen, Stromer and Varley; Senators Briles, Doderer, Hansen, Kinley and Lamborn did not vote.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Norman Jesse, Chairperson of the Legal Services Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Representative Jesse informed the Council that the Study Committee had been unable to reach agreement on any conclusions or recommendations, and had therefore decided to ask that it be continued as a study committee to be reconvened immediately upon adjournment of the 1976 session of the General Assembly. After a brief discussion, Senator Doderer moved that the Council accept the Study Committee's report. The motion was seconded by Representative Doyle and unanimously adopted.

The Council then resumed consideration of the Service Committee's report. The Committee Chairperson, Senator Doderer, moved on behalf of the Committee that the Council approve employment by the Legislative Fiscal Bureau of Ms. Marilyn Farr and Ms. Brenda J. Mack, each in the category of Fiscal Analyst I. The motion was seconded by Representative Dunton.

Senator Doderer briefly reviewed Ms. Farr's and Ms. Mack's respective backgrounds and qualifications. Her motion to approve their employment was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Senator Gene W. Glenn, Chairperson of the Public Boards and Commissions Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's final report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Senator Glenn a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. At the conclusion of the discussion, Representative Hargrave moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Representative Dunton, and unanimously adopted.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Doyle, Chairperson of the Adult Penal and Correctional Systems Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau.

Council members directed to Representative Doyle a number of questions regarding the study, the report and the Study Committee's recommendations. In response to one of the questions, Representative Doyle reviewed a list of considerations cited by the Department of Social Services in suggesting that the Mt. Pleasant Mental Health Institute is the most appropriate of the Department's existing institutions for conversion to a penal facility, should this be considered necessary by the General Assembly.

At the conclusion of the discussion, Representative Doyle moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Representative Middleswart and unanimously adopted.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Representative Hargrave, Co-chairperson of the Social Services and Mental Health Study Committee, for presentation of the Study Committee's report. Copies of the report are available from the Legislative Service Bureau. At the conclusion of his presentation, Representative Hargrave moved that the Council accept the report. The motion was seconded by Representative Middleswart and unanimously adopted.

Chairperson Cochran recognized Assistant Chief Clerk of the House Robert Davies, who distributed copies of a presentation setting forth a proposed intern program for the 1976 session of the General Assembly. A copy of the presentation, submitted by the Joint Legislative Intern Committee, is attached to and by this reference made a part of these minutes.

There was an extended discussion of the proposed intern program, a number of Council members expressing serious concern regarding the number of interns proposed, the cost of the program and various logistical considerations. Specific concerns expressed included availability of work space for the 55 full-time interns proposed, the burden which might be placed on the Legislative Service Bureau and Legislative Fiscal Bureau by so large a number of relatively inexperienced people working during a session, and possible misuse of interns for political purposes.

Representative Middleswart inquired whether standing committee chairpersons and ranking minority members will have a voice in selection of interns who will be working for them. Mr. Davies stated that the Committee preferred to make this selection directly, and will certainly seek to assign persons with appropriate expertise, etc. to each legislator who is to have the services of an intern.

A number of Council members strongly urged that the final report of the Joint Legislative Intern Committee omit item 3, relative to a reception for interns and legislators.

After further discussion, Representative Hargrave moved that the Council approve item 4 of the Intern Committee's report, requesting authorization for a meeting on December 15, and defer the remainder of the report. The motion was seconded by Senator Doderer.

Senator Hansen expressed objection to what he termed the radical change in the intern program proposed by the report. He said that if Representative Hargrave's motion is adopted, the Council might lose any opportunity to indicate to the Intern Committee that it would prefer a much smaller intern program involving no reimbursement of expenses of any kind.

Representative Stromer stated that during the last session of the Legislature he had on occasion observed interns seeking to obtain legislators' votes for particular bills or amendments, and said that before voting on any motion relating to the intern program he would like to have assurance that this will not happen again. He offered to provide specific names and dates to support his assertions if any Council member so desired.

Representative Millen moved, as a substitute for Representative Hargrave's motion, that the Council authorize the Intern Committee to set up a program for no more than 30 interns with no reimbursement of any kind. Chairperson Cochran ruled the motion out of order, on the grounds that the Joint Legislative Intern Committee derives its authority from House Concurrent Resolution 148 of the 65th General Assembly, and that the Council cannot narrow the scope of authority delegated to the Committee by the General Assembly.

Chairperson Cochran obtained a copy of the 1974 Senate Journal and requested that Mr. Garrison read the language of HCR 148 and determine whether or not it vests the Joint Legislative Intern Committee with authority to decide the number of interns to be assigned for the coming legislative session. After reading the language of the resolution, Mr. Garrison stated that while he did not wish to enter into a debate among legislators over a policy matter he was forced to conclude that assigning the Committee responsibility for "selection" of interns implies power to determine the number of interns to be assigned. Mr. Garrison added that in his view the Legislative Council is in many cases a recommending body to the General Assembly, and that it is certainly within the Council's purview to recommend the number of interns who should be assigned for the 1976 session.

After further discussion, Representative Hargrave withdrew his pending motion. Senator Doderer then moved that the Joint Legislative Intern Committee be requested to return to the Legislative Council at its next meeting with a modified proposal based on the discussion at the present meeting. The motion was seconded by Representative Fitzgerald, who suggested that the guidelines so submitted include provisions relative to conduct of interns on the floor of the Legislature.

Representative Dunton observed that Senator Doderer's motion presumably implied the authorization for the Joint Legislative Intern Committee to hold another meeting, in order to draw up the revised program requested. It was pointed out that the Committee had planned to assign interns to specific legislators at its proposed meeting on December 15, and Representative Hargrave inquired why the assignments could not be made at the time of the orientation program for interns. Mr. Davies replied that this would not be desirable because the students who hope to be involved will have to make definite second semester plans prior to the time of the orientation meeting.

After further discussion, Senator Hansen moved to amend Senator Doderer's pending motion to indicate that the Council objects to any payment whatever to legislative interns. The motion was seconded by Representative Millen.

Chairperson Cochran observed that he does not consider Senator Hansen's amendment necessary, since the motion requests the Joint Legislative Intern Committee to restructure the program in accordance with discussion at the present Council meeting. Senator Hansen responded that while his amendment may not be necessary, he believes it is desirable.

In discussion of the proposed amendment, Representative Stromer inquired whether legislative staff personnel could assign interns without another meeting of the Intern Committee. Mr. Davies replied to the effect that if the Council did not see fit to approve another meeting for the Intern Committee, the Committee's members could nevertheless meet without receiving per diem or reimbursement for expenses and assign interns as it had originally planned to do. Senator Hansen asserted that if the Intern Committee did so, it would be grossly exceeding its authority. He added that he intends to obtain an Attorney General's opinion as to just what authority the Intern Committee actually has under HCR 148.

The question was called for on Senator Hansen's amendment to Senator Doderer's motion. The amendment failed on a roll call vote of five to five. Those voting yes were Senator Hansen and Representatives Den Herder, Dunton, Millen and Stromer; those voting no were Chairperson Cochran, Senator Doderer and Representatives Doyle, Fitzgerald and Hargrave; Senators Briles, DeKoster, Hill, Kinley, Lamborn, Palmer, Sovern and Van Gilst and Representative Varley had left the meeting before the vote was taken.

Representative Middleswart commented that, if Senator Doderer's pending motion should be adopted, he believes that 55 full-time interns is too many, but that 30 is too few. He suggested that approximately 40 interns would be about the right number.

Senator Doderer's motion was then adopted by a voice vote.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP E. BURKS
Senior Research Analyst

SERGE H. GARRISON
Director