

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE

Time of Meeting: Tuesday, March 14, 1978, 7:55 a.m.
Place of Meeting: Senate Committee Room 24, Statehouse, Des Moines, Iowa
Members Present: Senator Berl E. Preibe, Chairman; Representative W. R. Monroe, Jr., Vice Chairman, Representatives Laverne Schroeder and Donald V. Doyle, Senator E. Kevin Kelly. Not present for roll call: Senator Minnette Doderer.
Also Present: Joseph Royce, Administrative Co-ordinator.

CONSERVATION

The following rules were presented to the Committee by Roy Downing, John Stokes and Kenneth Kakac:

CONSERVATION[290] N	
Zoning and watercraft use, 30.61	3/8/78
State parks and preserves, 45.2	3/8/78
Raccoon, opossum, fox and coyote hunting, Ch 100	3/8/78
Rabbit and squirrel hunting, Ch 102	3/8/78
Pheasant, quail and partridge hunting, Ch 103	3/8/78
Trapping seasons, Ch 104	3/8/78
Deer hunting, Ch 106	3/8/78
Migratory bird hunting, Ch 107	3/8/78
Snipe, rails, woodcock and grouse hunting, Ch 109	3/8/78

Zoning & watercraft, 30.61

Downing presented the zoning and watercraft use rules, explaining that the zoning regulations limit the horsepower of motors on power boats to 200 h.p. within the specified zones. Most power boats carry less horsepower, but this limitation eliminates hot rod boating. The commission recognizes, however, that a boat should have the proper power for its footage and weight and definitely encourages owners to make sure that their boats are not over powered or under powered as this creates additional problems with wake, etc. in zoned areas.

State parks & preserves, 45.2

Stokes presented the rules on parks and preserves, summarizing the intended action. In response to comments by Schroeder, Stokes responded that the blind and handicapped do pay a reduced fee to use the facilities of the state parks and preserves, however, the commission realizes that many of these individuals wish to pay the full amount. The commission is going to continue this policy of reduced fees to certain specified persons. However, the commission will accept the full fee from these specified individuals and treat a portion of the fee as a donation. Doyle pointed out that the regular fee should be spelled out in the rules along with the stated reduction. Doyle also inquired into the possibility of sewage hookups being made available in the state parks. Stokes said that a survey of private camp grounds resulted in the commission making the decision to eliminate such services as there were too many problems involved in maintenance, etc.

CONSERVATION
(continued)
Hunting &
trapping seasons,
100, 102, 103, 104
106, 107 & 109

Kenneth Kakac presented the hunting and trapping seasons rules to the Committee. Priebe expressed his constituents' complaints that the fox season was too long. Kakac said that the commission would be holding a hearing on April 14 regarding the fox hunting season, chapter 100 of the commissions' rules. Kakac also pointed out that hunting and trapping seasons are subject to change as are the bag limits in direct correlation to the results of the population surveys which will be conducted this spring and summer. Priebe also indicated that his constituents' felt that the pheasant season was too long. Priebe stated that the licensing procedures for the deer season are, at the present time, inequitable and that there will be legislative changes made to enable more adequate distribution of buck and doe licenses. Kakac stated that distribution by computer would insure that such inequalities be eliminated. Schroeder suggested that the commission clearly define guns in chapter 106 of their rules. Kakac pointed out that subsection 106.3(2) of the commission's rules defines what types of guns are unacceptable to use when deer hunting (also limits other means of luring or killing this game). Kakac said that the rules pertaining to waterfowl, chapter 107, could be altered as regulations within the federal framework would not be available to the commission until after August 20.

The Committee requested of the representatives of the commission that they request Stan Kuhn to appear before the Committee to discuss ex parte communications.

CITY DEVELOPMENT

Larry Tuel, Administrative Assistant to the City Development Board, presented the following filed rules to the Committee:

Operations of board and committee proceedings, Chs 1 to 4

3/8/78

Tuel briefly explained the re-organization of the rules and incorporation of the suggested changes made by the Committee when the rules were on notice. Tuel and the Committee discussed ex parte communications, 220-3.6 of the board's rules, and found no conflict with 17A.17 of the Code.

SOCIAL SERVICES

Judith Welp, Methods and Procedures, presented the rules to the Committee as follows:

SOCIAL SERVICES[770] N

Economic impact statement, 7.11

3/8/78

SOCIAL SERVICES[770] F

ADC, deprivation factor, 41.1(5)"e"

3/8/78

ADC foster care, 44.1(4), 44.4

3/8/78

Audiologists, medical assistance program, 77.14

3/8/78

Pursuant to a request by Kelly and Doyle made on December 7, 1977, the department filed an economic impact statement and Welp discussed the notice of this filing. Priebe commented upon how vague the statement was and Welp described how difficult it was to come up with a reasonable estimate as the department had no clue as to how many subpoenas and related fees would be necessary.

SOCIAL SERVICES
(continued)

Economic Impact
Statement
discussion
(continued).

Priebe inquired as to the probability of the department receiving federal aid to assist in paying for costs of subpoenas and witness fees as set out in the economic impact statement. Welp said that the department had requested federal aid, but that the federal agency required time to study the problem and would report their findings to the department.

Welp briefly discussed the filed rules. The change in subrule 41.1(5)"e" dealt with the processing of applications on the basis of the deprivation factor of the family rather than on the unemployment of the father to insure reliability of the evaluation of the family's need for assistance.

The ADC foster care rules, subrule 44.1(4) and 44.4, brings these areas into compliance with federal regulations in that a court order is necessary for the initial placement but that additional court orders are now not necessary for continued placement.

Welp said that to be in compliance with state statute, the audiologists who give services to clients of the department must be licensed by the state. Schroeder commented that he would like to see requirements for those who hold licenses out of the state of Iowa and deal with Iowa clients, the same as licensing requirements in Iowa. Welp said that this type of limitation would be too difficult to administer.

Committee Objection
(see p. 430 of
the minutes)
Answered

Welp brought up for discussion her correspondence with the Committee of March 2, 1978 in re the Social Services Council's reconsideration of subrule 26.4(1)"h" which prevents a parolee or probationer from owning, possessing, using, or transporting firearms or other dangerous weapons, to which the Committee objected in their meeting on September 14, 1977. However, the Committee had not had time to study the correspondence and requested that the Secretary place this item on the agenda so that they can discuss it at the next meeting.

ACCOUNTANCY,
AGRICULTURE,
PERB, and
PUBLIC SAFETY

With a brief discussion as to the compliance with statute of the ex parte communication portion of the rules before the Committee, the following rules were acceptable to the Committee as filed:

ACCOUNTANCY[10] F
Ex parte communications, 12.9 2/22/78

AGRICULTURE[30] N
Dairy trade permit fees, 25.8 3/8/78

PERB[660] F
Board actions, ex parte communications, agreements, 1.6(2), 2.20, 6.4 2/22/78

PUBLIC SAFETY[680] N
Testing for alcohol or drug content, 3.13(1)"a" 2/22/78
Testing for alcohol or drug content, 3.13(1)"a", 3.13(2)"c"(5) 3/8/78

JUDICIAL
NOMINATING
COMMISSION
Correspondence

Priebe presented the Committee with correspondence from Justice Mason stating that the notice rules upon which the Committee had requested some changes, would be filed in the form originally proposed. Justice Mason cited the time periods contained in the Administrative Procedure Act and the upcoming meeting of the commission in April as reasons the filing of these rules could not be delayed. Justice Mason assured the Committee that their recommendations would be reviewed at the next Commission meeting.

Kelly requested Royce to prepare a memorandum outlining the questions raised by the Committee when the rules were reviewed under Notice.

Stan Kuhn appeared at the request of the Committee and presented the following rule:

CONSERVATION
(continued)
Ex parte
communications,
60.4(3)

CONSERVATION[290] F
Ex parte communications, 60.4(3)

3/8/78

Kelly pointed out that in the fourth paragraph of this subrule, "the commission shall decide against that party on those issues of fact or law" eliminates the factor that in a question of law, there must be available the discretion to rule for the violator. Kuhn said that the commission used the wording suggested by Senator Redmond. He thought that the "shall" could be changed to "may" without any difficulty. Kuhn also mentioned that in the future the commission will provide for a hearings officer so these rules may be coming up for amendment at that time.

REGENTS

Don Volm presented the filed rules and Janet Bacon presented the notice rules to the Committee as follows:

REGENTS[720] F

Pay for overtime, 3.39(10) rescinded

2/22/78

Pay plan, emergency and funeral leave, 3.39(11), 3.39(16), 3.148,

Filed emergency after Notice

2/22/78

REGENTS[730] N

Executive secretary, requests, meetings, 11.1(3), 11.1(4), 11.1(6)

3/8/78

Volm said that the board changed introductory statements to these rules and corrected wording by means of suggestions from Royce and per instructions from Bonfield.

In response to a question from the Committee, Bacon stated that the notice rules simply recorded procedures which the board had been following for some time. Bacon indicated that the board would probably find the Committee's request for a quorum (6 of the 9 member board) to pass on substantive matters acceptable. Monroe suggested that Bacon review Chapter 17A of the Code.

NURSING HOME
ADMINISTRATORS
BOARD OF
EXAMINERS

Blaine Donaldson presented the following rules to the Committee:

NURSING HOME ADMINISTRATORS BOARD OF EXAMINERS[600] N
Continuing education, 2.4(1) "a" "c" rescinded, Ch 3

3/8/78

NURSING HOME
ADMINISTRATORS
(continued)

Donaldson explained that the changes in the rules were brought about by the administrators themselves as they had developed standards for approval. Kelly asked about provisions for continuing education carryover of credits from year to year. Donaldson said that the administrators were trying to upgrade their standards and had decided to eliminate carryover of credit because in the courses approved for their continuing education program, there were extensive seminars on one subject (which would allow up to 36 hours credit for one course). Kelly suggested that the board should not object to such carryover and in fact encourage it as the move with most regulatory boards was toward liberalization of carryover credit. Monroe and Kelly suggested and Donaldson concurred that these rules should go into effect immediately, rather than delaying implementation, and should allow for carryover, for those who received their continuing education credit last year as well as those who wish to do so in the future. Kelly also suggested that the board allow a little leeway of time to file the administrator's final reports and questioned the limitation on requests for extensions of time to accrue credits for continuing education. Donaldson said that he would inform the board and they would look into the Committee's suggestions.

RECESS 9:05

Chair recessed the meeting as Committee had processed those departments and agencies to this point on the agenda and those scheduled to appear later were not yet present. The meeting was reconvened at 9:20

RECONVENE 9:20

NATURAL RESOURCES

Gus Kerndt, Wayne Gieselman and Jim Wiegand presented the rules as follows to the Committee:

NATURAL RESOURCES[580] IN

Definitions, 2.1(28)—2.1(34)

3/8/78

Water withdrawals, Ch 3

3/8/78

Channel changes, 5.2, 5.16, 5.29—5.32

3/8/78

NATURAL RESOURCES[580]

Ex parte communications, Ch 13

3/8/78

Kerndt and the Committee discussed the problems of ex parte communications as applicable to the council. Kerndt explained that the council has a participation problem which has been discussed at length with Prof. Bonfield. The council has the unique circumstance of having lots of communications on contested cases between the hearing and the decision by the board deciding the case. Kerndt cited examples of the type of cases which seem to generate this type of communication and examples of the various remedies which the council and hearings boards have tried to curtail this type of communication, both written and oral.

There was extensive discussion by Kerndt and the Committee regarding those instances when the parties involved in

NATURAL RESOURCES
(continued)

the ex parte communications were represented by legal counsel and when they were not so represented. In response to questions by Schroeder, Wiegand explained that hearings were scheduled according to an established priority, municipal problems and large consumers having first priority depending upon the type of usage. Doderer arrived 9:30.

Monroe suggested that the council look at the Commerce Commission rules on power generating plants as an example which might be helpful to the council in meeting some of their needs. Kerndt stated that the council felt that the extensive research and modification of ex parte communications rules with the results as they have been presented to the Committee, would suffice for the purposes of the council. Doderer left 9:35.

Doyle said that 13.6(1)"e" of the rules appeared to be too open ended and that the Committee had opposed such wording in other instances. Kerndt said that this was intended to act as a catchall phrase, Doyle suggested that the council leave it out or restate it as provided in the Code.

RECESS 9:40

Chair recessed the meeting as two members, Kelly and Priebe, were called for crucial vote into another committee meeting, creating a lack of quorum.

RECONVENE 9:50

The meeting was reconvened at 9:50.

NATURAL RESOURCES
(continued)

The Committee and the representatives from the natural resources council discussed channel changes as the council had concern because of the practice of farmers and other land owners changing without study or permission the channel of streams, etc. The council is holding a May 1 hearing on the subject.

ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

Dave Bach represented the rules to the Committee as follows:

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY[400] F
Solid waste, hazardous conditions, Ch 41

2/22/78

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY[400]

Waste disposal, treatment agreement, 19.3(5)"b", Filed emergency
Municipal sludge disposal, 27.6(1), 27.12, 27.13, 27.14(3); sanitary landfills,
28.2, 28.6; disposal projects, 29.1(1), 29.10(8) to 29.10(14); composting
facilities, 30.1; municipal sewage sludge on land, Ch 33 N

2/22/78

2/22/78

Bach and the Committee discussed solid waste, hazardous conditions, chapter 41 of the rules. Priebe directed the Committee's attention to a letter from Winton Etchen, executive vice-president of the Iowa Fertilizer and Chemical Association, which deplores the inclusion of fertilizers and pesticides as examples of substances that could be considered hazardous. Etchen also noted in his correspondence that Chapter 206 of the Code limits the control over storage transportation, handling

ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
(continued)

or use of pesticides to the Secretary of Agriculture, except in the event of spillage. Etchen also protested the department adopting rules which go beyond the intent of the law in that the hazardous condition must be reported within six hours after the onset of the condition but additionally requires written reports of the hazardous condition. Etchen contends that people in business are overburdened with required reports to governmental agencies and the reporting burden, if the agency feels it necessary for recordkeeping, should lie with the agency. Etchen closed with a recommendation that the environmental quality department include the expertise service of Chem-trek, nationwide service providing information on chemical emergencies, in their state-wide plan to handle hazardous spills.

Bach responded to Etchen's correspondence by reiterating that the department only contends that fertilizers and pesticides are hazardous under certain hazardous conditions as specified in the rules. Therefore, Bach felt that there was no merit to Etchen's objections. Bach said that the department recognizes the role of the department of agriculture and does not go beyond the scope of the Code, as DEQ rules deal only with these materials when hazardous conditions such as spillage arise.

Bach said that the department took into account Etchen's suggestions on liberalizing communications in the reporting of hazardous conditions within six hours.

Motion to Object
Failed

Schroeder moved to object to subrule 41.1(1), definition of "Hazardous substance" on the grounds that it is arbitrary and unreasonable. Show of hands vote; Priebe and Schroeder voting "aye", Doyle and Monroe voting "no" and Kelly abstaining, indicated the failure of the motion as it did not receive the necessary votes for approval.

In response to a question by Priebe, Bach explained that in compliance with the statute, there was a civil penalty for failure to report a spill of hazardous materials within the 6-hour time limit. However, the department had discretionary authority over such matters and the circumstances would dictate measures taken.

subrule 41.2

Doyle requested that the department strike "disaster services" wording as this does go beyond the scope of the statute.

Back stated that subrule 19.3(5)"b" on waste disposal which was filed emergency was necessitated because of the extension of time required as the department had a problem with the printing of certain forms.

ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
(continued)

The Committee considered the sludge disposal rules. Bach said that the department was holding a hearing at the end of March on these rules. Bach stated that these were stringent proposals for sludge burial sites only.

Priebe inquired as to the advisability of plowing sludge under and if these stringent proposals would apply to such application of sludge to the land. Bach said that the department had rules to apply to the application of sludge to the land in this manner and the department encourages such incorporation of sludge.

Royce and Bach discussed the department's ban on applying sludge to lawns. Bach said that citizen opinion along with the lack of practicality of applying sludge to lawns, because of the extensive safeguards, etc. which are necessary in the handling of sludge, had much to do with the implementation of this particular ban.

Monroe and Bach discussed the use of sludge on golf courses with Monroe wondering how the DEQ checks to see if golf courses enforce the ban on the use of the course to which sludge has been applied for at least two months after application. Bach stated that the department enforces provisions which mandate that the distributor of sludge warn the purchaser of the danger of sludge usage and the danger of contamination.

REVENUE

J. Elliott Hibbs, Deputy Director, Mike Cox and Carl Castelda presented the following rules to the Committee:

REVENUE[730] N

Assessors, examination and certification, 72.1(1), 72.2(3)-72.2(5), 72.4, 72.7-72.13 2/22/78

REVENUE[730] F

Iowa chain store tax, chs 97-101

3/8/78

Hibbs and Cox discussed the notice rules on assessors with the Committee. Hibbs said that these changes were made to rules already in existence. Schroeder questioned, in subrule 72.1(1), the limitation of the accessibility of information on the application and to whom it is made available. Hibbs stated that when the conference board acts on the employment question, then they may request the application as it is pertinent to hiring.

Royce said that pursuant to 68A.7 of the Code information on applications is not exempted. Cox explained that 68A.7 of the Code allows personnel records to be considered confidential and that these applications are dealt with as personnel records.

Royce asked why applicant could only examine his own personnel record once, and Cox explained that in the case when the applicant does not pass the examination, more frequent access to the file would give those who have previously taken the examination a decided advantage over those who had not previously taken the examination.

REVENUE
(continued)

Cox and Monroe discussed whether confidentiality would be violated if the conference board has accessibility to the examinations and scores. Monroe contended that the department either must have confidentiality of the scores in the strictest sense of the word, or must release them to anyone who asks. Monroe asserted that the conference board is the check on the assessor, but the public is the check on the conference board, and the rights of the public to public records must be served.

Cox in an attempt to clarify the application situation stated, that for the conference board to have access to the applications facilitated the appointment of qualified personnel to vacancies in the assessor's position (deputy assessor normally moved into the assessor position and applicants fill the deputy post). Monroe suggested that the department release applications to the applicant and they in turn release them to the conference board. However, Cox pointed out the time factor was often involved along with the fact that many applications never used were often released to the conference board, the applicants never aware of to which conference board their applications were supplied. Schroeder suggested that the department review before filing, the sections of these rules in question.

Castelda presented the filed rules on chain store tax, chapters 97-101. Castelda said that the department revised the rules after conducting hearings as a result of input from retail food establishments and hard goods stores and resolved questions on including franchise operations in chain store tax.

Castelda said that in order to define a franchise operation, the department got attorney general opinions and supreme court rulings. If the establishment receives a percentage of the profit and "something else", which is defined, then the establishment is subject to a chain store tax.

Doyle pointed out that the bottom of page 2, item 10 which contains an explanation of amendment to rule 99.11, of instructions for filed rules on chain store tax does not jibe with the text at the top of page 3. The Secretary said that she would look into the problem.

Schroeder inquired as to the amount of projected revenue this change in the chain store tax rules would generate. Hibbs replied that there would be an increase in revenue, but the department had not made any projected estimates.

A 70-day delay suggested by Kelly was withdrawn as Hibbs pointed out that the rules were not to be implemented until July and any 70-day delay would end before the July implementation date.

HEALTH

Sarah Johnson, Assistant Attorney General assigned to the Health Department, presented the following rules to the Committee:

HEALTH(470) N

Care facilities, 57.3(1), 58.4(5), 58.11(2), 58.12(1), 58.16(7), 58.24(8), 58.26(1),
59.4(5), 59.14(1), 60.13(4), 64.27(13)
Standard committee procedures, 200.1

3/8/78

3/8/78

Johnson said that the changes in these rules were for housekeeping purposes, to correct errors, etc. Subrule 58.4(5) was suggested by Rep. Hargrave and incorporated into the department's rules.

Schroeder said that in subrule 64.27(13) the limitation upon those who could administer injections was too restrictive and Schroeder requested that Royce make a listing of all those rules which so limited the administering of injections.

57.19(3), 58.21(15)
59.26(17), 63.18(3)

The following correspondence from Francis A Lackner, Executive Secretary of the Iowa Association of Homes for the Aging, was submitted to the Committee, but no further action was taken:

We wish to comment upon proposed changes in rules under Chapter 58 and 59--the rules for Intermediate Care Facilities and the rules for Skilled Nursing Facilities.

1. Rule 58.4(5) "No Intermediate Care Facility shall be licensed for more beds than have been approved by the Health Facility's Construction Review Committee". We do not believe that these rules are an appropriate place for this restriction. These rules and the law (Chapter 135C of the Iowa Code) are designed and enacted for the purpose of promoting and encouraging adequate and safe care and housing for individuals who are aged or who, regardless of age, are infirm, convalescent, or mentally or physically dependent. See the Statement of Purpose in Chapter 135C. Whether or not this is an appropriate rule is not the question. The point at issue is that this is not the appropriate place for such a rule.
2. You propose to amend Rule 58.11(2)g by changing the required number of nursing hours from 1.7 to 2.0. This is a substantive change in the rules which should be done only after consultation with representatives of the industry, professional and consumer groups affected, again in accordance with 135C.14 of the law. While we agree that most facilities subject to this law and these rules and regulations already have this required number of nurses or more, such a change should not be made without prior consultation and the opportunity for comment.
3. We question the necessity for the new paragraph numbered 58.12(1)k. This prohibits a facility or its owner-administrator or other employee to act as

HEALTH
(continued)

guardian, trustee or conservator for a resident's property. The necessity for this is questioned because this exact language is, at present, in the law itself, and therefore probably needs no further re-statement in their rules and regulations.

4. The same comment relative to 58.4(5) and 58.12(1)k also apply in the appropriate sections of the rules for skilled nursing facilities.

AGING
COMMISSION

Dick Woods presented the following rules to the Committee:

AGING COMMISSION[20] N

Advisory committee composition, services, 1.7, 4.5(3), 4.6, 4.7

3/8/78

1.7 was amended to remove the age 60 limitation as the commission was encouraged to achieve a cross section of citizen representation.

Rules 4.6 and 4.7 were an addition, with 4.6 increasing the accountability of funds and reducing carryover, and 4.7 is an enforcement on area plans.

MINUTES

Schroeder moved for the adoption of the minutes of the February 14, 1978 meeting and the motion carried un-animously.

INSURANCE

Bill Hager, Deputy Insurance Commissioner, presented the filed rules to the Committee:

INSURANCE[510] F

Reporting requirements on licenses, Ch 9

2/22/78

Hager reported that S.F. 312 required the insurance commissioner to extract certain information from insurance companies and these rules simply promulgate this requirement.

MERIT EMPLOYMENT

Wallace Keating, Director, presented the filed rules to the Committee as follows:

MERIT EMPLOYMENT[570] F

Vacation and sick leave, 14.2, 14.3

2/22/78

Rights upon return from leave, 14.8

2/22/78

Keating said that these rules were in compliance with the requirements of H.F. 644. There was no further discussion by the Committee.

PUBLIC
INSTRUCTION

Lee Wolfe, Head of the Curriculum Department, presented the rules as follows to the Committee:

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION[670]

Area education agency services, Ch 41

3/8/78

There was no discussion by the Committee and the rules were accepted as filed.

TRANSPORTATION

Julie Fitzgerald presented the following notice rules on the rulemaking procedures [01,B] to the Committee:

TRANSPORTATION[820] N

Rulemaking procedures, [01,B] 1.2(1), (2), (4), (5), 1.3(1), 1.4(1), (6)

2/22/78

Fitzgerald said that these rules were basically house-keeping with the exception of item 10, which makes provision for the department to acknowledge receipt of correspondence. There was no discussion by the Committee.

BANKING,
HISTORICAL DEPT.,
TRANSPORTATION
Filed Rules,
VOTER REGISTRATION,
and
NURSING BOARD

The Banking, Historical Dept., Transportation Dept.'s filed rules, and Voter Registration appeared on the agenda but were not reviewed by the Committee.

BANKING[140] F

Board policy on denied applications, 4.5

2/22/78

HISTORICAL DEPARTMENT[490] N

Historic preservation, gen'l, Ch 10 amended and certain rules renumbered to chs 16, 17, 18

3/8/78

TRANSPORTATION[820] F

Railroad crossing projects, [06,C] 3.1

3/8/78

Liquid transport carriers, [07,F] 13.1(4)-13.1(6), 13.4(3)

2/22/78

VOTER REGISTRATION[845] F

Registration lists, 3.1(4), Filed without Notice

3/8/78

NURSING BOARD[590] N

Registered nurse, license, 3.3(3)"c"

2/22/78

Practical nurse, license, 4.3(3)"c"

3/8/78

The Committee briefly perused the nursing board's notice rules and had no questions at this time.

Notification of
House & Senate
of Committee
Meeting

Doyle reminded the Secretary that his motion of 2-14-78 to notify the Secretary of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of the time, place, and members present at the meeting, was a standing motion. The Secretary acknowledged and said she would so notify these parties after each meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Priebe adjourned the meeting at 11:15 a.m. to be reconvened April 11, 1978 at 7:30 a.m.

Respectfully submitted

Phyllis Barry

(Mrs.) Phyllis Barry, Secretary
Assistance of Mary Applegate

APPROVED:

Chairman

DATE _____