MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING of the

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE

Tuesday, December 9, 1980, 9:00 a.m. Time of Meeting:

House Committee Room 1, Statehouse, Des Moines, Iowa. Place of Meeting:

Members Present:

Representative Laverne W. Schroeder, Chairman; Senators Edgar H. Holden and Dale Tieden; Representatives Betty J. Clark and John E. Patchett. Not Present: Senator Berl E. Priebe, Vice Chairman, excused to vacation in Florida.

Joseph Royce, Committee Staff Also present: Brice Oakley, Rules Coordinator.

Chairman Schroeder called the meeting to order at 9:20 a.m. PUBLIC INSTRUCTION The first order of business was the Department of Public The following rules were reviewed: Instruction.

Representing DPI were Dwight Carlson and Robert Rousch. Standards for motorcycle education programs were presented by Carlson and contained in amendments to chapter 6. Schroeder raised question concerning apparent discrepancy in teacher requirements for motorcycle education.

ch 6

In re 6.13(2), Clark thought DPI was using two different standards. Rousch replied the Department thought alternatives to be an advantage. Iowa uses the Motorcycle Safety Foundation materials. Department officials had a copy of the manual for Committee perusal. general discussion of the material contained therein. Rousch explained there were a handful of programs in the state because of scheduling and teacher time--most of the approved programs exist at the state universities and Kirkwood Community College.

ch 57

John Martin, Director, Division of Instruction and Curriculum, said the originally adopted rule by the Board of Public Instruction had been modified. The language in the law had been reaffirmed allowing the local school to decide whether or not to provide the transitional Martin reminded the Committee that the DPI had received very little comment from the public re the rule. DPI does not believe the rules to be controversial. Tieden commented that his objection had been met with the modification of the rule.

In re 57.6(1), Tieden questioned the language and Martin responded that it was paraphrase of the law and ceiling

PUBLIC Cont'd

would be \$400 for grants to local school districts. Schroeder INSTRUCTION questioned language in 57.3(2) and Martin indicated aides would be used if the teacher were not proficient in the language. Schroeder preferred clarification and Martin was agreeable. Schroeder wondered if school districts would be required to approach School Budget Review Committee for funds. dicated it was a popular program and DPI was certain that would be the case. Iowa has in excess of 10,500 students who are from non-English speaking backgrounds. Seven thousand have mastered English, leaving 3500 in the program at the present time.

> Oakley was of the opinion the rule had been generalized. He had expressed concern for the fact that a public hearing had not been scheduled.

57.5(1)<u>a</u> Schroeder pointed out the use of "and" in the last sentence and thought it to be incorrect. Martin was amenable to changing the word to "or".

> Martin opined the major complaint about the rules could be the proration of the funds.

Tieden commented area superintendents had sent their concerns to him.

ENERGY POLICY COUNCIL

Douglas Gross represented Energy Policy Council to review Class "A" energy auditors, 5.1, ARC 1565, IAB 11/12/80. According to Gross, an Advisory Committee, which serves the EPC, proposed the rules be taken to hearing for consideration. Hearing was held December 2, 1980, with one individual present. Holden questioned their use of an Advisory Committee and Gross contended existing rules provide for it. The existing rules do not specify committee membership and in order to maintain balance, 5.1(93) had been amended. In response to Holden, Gross said the Committee had no real authority. Holden did not see the necessity for the formal structure, since the Council's action determines quidelines for the energy auditors.

Responding to Holden, the members will receive per diem if the rule is approved. Holden opined they did not have authority to do so.

Holden took exception to the requirement in the rule. 5.1(3) 5.1(1)a, Schroeder thought the rules should be identical with 5.1(2). Gross contended some distinction should be made between the person who is licensed and the one who is not. Gross agreed to discuss the matter with the EPC. Schroeder commented he was inclined to place an objection in the matter of requirements to become a class "A" energy auditor. '

In Holden's opinion, reciprocity should be provided for associ-

ENERGY POLICY COUNCIL Cont'd ates. Gross knew of no other states which have associate auditors. ARRC was curious as to time frame for the rules, with the possibility of the matter being discussed at the February meeting.

Tieden was interested in the continuing education requirements. Gross said much would depend upon what happens with the other set of rules. EPC is attempting to obtain comments from individuals who are certified. General discussion of the energy audits process. Patchett arrived.

10:10

a.m.

COMMERCE The following rules were before the Committee:

COMMIS-.

Representing Commerce Commission were David Conn, Assistant Council to the Commission and John Murphy, Director, Conservation Programs. The rulemaking re customer notification is a general revision of current notification rules. According to Conn, the major change is in the restriction placed on the use of form notification where a utility is proposing a major change in rate design.

In re 7.4(1) \underline{b} , Clark recommended change by adding "which is applicable" in line 5. Conn indicated the language was gleaned from the federal rules. Clark requested removal of language in 7.4(1) $\underline{c}(1)\underline{a}$ and $(2)\underline{a}$. Schroeder and Clark expressed concern about 7.4(1) $\underline{f}(2)$ and requested modification. Conn was amenable, commenting the mailing should be restricted to customers who receive a regularly scheduled mailing.

Clark questioned use of "at the company's option" in 19.4(1) and 20.4(1), and thought it confusing. Conn agreed to modify the language for clarity. Clark suggested possibly inserting "either after "transmit" in paragraph "g". Holden commented he'd had complaints that the Commerce Commission was not representing the public and questioned statutory authority of the Commerce Counsel. Conn cited chapter 475 of the Code. Holden was of the opinion that knowledge should be conveyed to the general public. In response to Oakley, Conn said the Counsel was selected by the Commission, subject to Senate confirmation.

Discussion moved to the new chapter 28, Iowa Supplemental Energy Conservation Plan. Murphy presented a background as to the inception of the chapter, which establishes guidelines for rural electric cooperatives and municipal utilities should they choose to participate in the program. The program is voluntary and the National Energy Conservation Policy Act provided the impetus for it. Schroeder queried whether the Commission intended to present a legislative plan to provide authority to apply the program to all utilities. Murphy said the large utilities provide service to large consumers (about 70 percent) and the Commission's intent was to

COMMERCE COMMISSION Cont'd develop a plan which would apply to the 30 percent of residential consumers not served by a covered utility (chapter 28). In the preamble, Holden thought "fuel oil, propane and butane suppliers" should be inserted after "Rural Electric Cooperatives". Murphy was amenable, but indicated their participation would be very limited.

ch 28

Clark suggested clarification by changing the numbering system. There was discussion as to the proper procedure to use. She recommended several other corrections to content. Holden opined chapter 27 should have been discussed before chapter 28. Clark asked for explanation of "master record" in 28.10(2)a. Murphy agreed to clarify.

Holden raised the question about having another group of qualified energy auditors and thought it to be duplication. Murphy responded that the federal government requires the Commission to develop a plan and train energy auditors, which has been accomplished in coordination with EPC. Those auditors will not be certified and tested re the chapter 28 plan-each utility will train its auditors. The utility will submit the training program to the state for review. There was discussion of the involvement of out-of-state propane dealers. It will be minimal. In response to Schroeder, Murphy explained the agreement with the Omaha Power District for Iowa residents living at Carter Lake, Iowa, approximately 1000. Residents of Carter Lake will be served under the Nebraska plan. However, protests will be received by the Iowa Commerce Commission. Murphy agreed it was a complicated matter.

ch 27

27.2

In re definitions, Clark requested removal of repetitive material. Murphy was amenable. Barry suggested following format used in the IAC and agreed to work with Murphy. In re 27.4(4), Clark recommended insertion of "for" before "which" in line 3.

In response to Schroeder, Murphy said the applicability criteria in 27.7(2) had originated in the federal government rules. Murphy said there were new wind energy system requirements which would eliminate property lines and lot size. Holden and Schroeder could see conflict with the Home Rule legislation. Murphy agreed to review the matter.

27.2(20)c

Holden took issue with 27.2(20)c and Murphy said the language was verbatim from the federal government. Murphy said the Commission had not filed protest to the definition and he indicated amendments were forthcoming to improve the rule.

Schroeder recessed the Committee at 10:50 a.m. for ten minutes. Committee was reconvened at 11:00 a.m.

REGENTS

Betty Stanley, Board of Regents, and John V. Sjoblom, Registrar, Iowa State University, were present for review of the following:

Sjoblom indicated the changes in the rules had been proposed for three reasons: (1) to remove the sexist language (2) review of sections pertaining to married couples in determining residency and (3) interpretation of the 12-month requirement for residency.

In response to Tieden's question whether there were many challenges to the review committee in determining residency, Sjoblom said about five a year. General discussion of 1.4(2)b re residency.

BUREAU OF LABOR

ch 27

Walter Johnson appeared for discussion of protective clothing and equipment standards for fire fighters, ch 27, ARC 1563, IAB 11/12/80. Johnson explained that an advisory committee had worked on the rules for the past year and a half. He pointed out a problem in that the statutory mandate provided for equipment purchased after January 1979 to be in compliance by January 1, 1981.

Schroeder opined an economic statement might be needed and mentioned the rural volunteer fire departments. Johnson said they had been excluded.

General discussion as to proper procedure to follow. Royce addressed the Committee on his opinion as to possible legislative intent.

Johnson acknowledged the fact communities had been awaiting the rules before buying new equipment. Bureau of Labor had sent a mailing to fire chiefs around the state and had received no comment about the economic impact. It was pointed out that liability was an important factor.

According to Johnson, the Volunteer Fire Association appeared at the public hearing to voice objection to the definition of Volunteer Fire Department. Discussion by the Committee about recommending a legislative change for the mandatory compliance date.

Johnson could not see that an economic impact statement would be possible. The possibility of placing a delay was discussed. Johnson recommended the legislature address the implementation date. No formal Committee action.

27.2(4) Clark thought the rule unclear. She also pointed out several changes needed in content of the rules.

AUDITOR OF STATE Ken Wilson, Supervisor, Industrial Loan Division, and John Pringle, Savings and Loan Division, reviewed the following:

Wilson discussed an amendment which had been presented to 1.28(3) as a result of a hearing and distributed copies to Committee members.

STATE Cont'd 4.2

AUDITOR OF Discussion moved to 4.2, mutual deposits, and Pringle explained that Iowa has share associations. It was discovered the language regarding marketable certificates of deposits, jumbo certificates of deposit, early withdrawals, etc. to be obsolete.

6.2-6.6

Pringle said no changes had been made in the rules and commented the long-term mortgage no longer existed.

ch 8

According to Pringle, NOW accounts are checking accounts which will pay interest. Discussion as to whether another set of rules would be needed for savings and loans using NOW accounts....

In re 8.2(2), Clark questioned the meaning. Committee recommended revision. Pringle was amenable.

AGING

Discussion was deferred.

COMMISSION

Elderly care program. 8.1(6) a. 8.1(8) - 8.1(11), 8.5(4), 8.5(5), 8.6 - 8.13 ARC 1564 . F.

NATURAL RESOURCES COUNCIL

Mike Smith, Deputy Water Commissioner, was present for discussion of the following:

NATURAL RESOURCES COUNCIL[550]

Flood plain construction, 5.33(2). filed emergency ARC 1572 .F.E. 11/26/80

5.33(2)

In response to Schroeder, Smith said there had been one appeal in two years about the flood plain construction. In re 5.33(2), pleadings, Tieden questioned the new language and opined it could be limiting in scope. Royce supported the amendment to the rule.

Smith explained the change made in 3.8(1)c allowed an additional year in which a permittee could construct a well. He continued that permits for irrigation wells have caused delays. thought there could be a legal question as to whether the permit would be issued to the landowner or to the land. Smith read from §455A.20 stating the permit is issued to the land.

Tieden questioned Smith as to whether they had worked with the geological survey to avoid duplication of recording information. Smith responded in the affirmative.

Holden asked how well the hearings had been attended. the Committee that he had sent press releases to 14 newspapers in northwest Iowa because the Dakota sandstone formation is located No written comments had been received. in that part of the state. Smith spoke of the controversy in Plymouth county about irrigation. In response to Holden, Smith said he had sent information to the Farm Bureau. Smith explained the hearings were held in the Grimes Building on the regular council meeting date, and he supports open meetings on council matters.

Lunch

Chairman Schroeder recessed the meeting at 12:05 p.m. to reconvene at 1:30 p.m.

Reconvened Committee was reconvened at 1:30 p.m.

ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY DEPARTMENT

Discussion of the DEQ rules was deferred temporarily. Schroeder announced the Merit Department rules would be reviewed.

MERIT EMPLOY-

Wallace Keating, Director, reviewed the following rules:

MERIT EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT[570] Pay plan, 4.6, 4.8 ARC 1584 N	11/26/80
Retaking examinations, 5.827 F. ARC 1535 24.	11/26/80
Grievances and complaints, 15.1 - 15.14 ARC 1587 // Political activity, 16.1 - 16.3 ARC 1588 // Conduct of classified employees, 18.1 - 18.4 ARC 1589.//	11/26/80
MERIT EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENTISTOL	•
Confidential employee defined, 1.1(53) ARC 1578 .F. Confidential classified employees, 2.4 ARC 1579 F.	11/26/80
Confidential classified employees, 2.4 ARC 1579 7	11/06/00
Classification plon 2 160 ARC 1580 F	
Classification plan. 3.1(6) ARC 1580 F. Pay plan, 4.5(1) b. 4.5(8) ARC 1581 F. Provisional appointment. 8.4 ARC 1582 F. Administration, 19.1 - 19.5 ARC 1583 F.	11/26/80 11/26/80

4.6, 4.8

In response to Schroeder, Keating said pay plan rules 4.6 and 4.8 coincide with the contract. Keating indicated the rules pertain to DOT. General discussion of procedure used in determining compensatory time and overtime. Tieden had had many complaints from DOT employees that "comp" "time was mandatory, rather than optional. Keating responded the contract provides the option.

5.8(2)f

Keating said the rule had been changed to use the last test score to alleviate confusion and cost to the department.

Keating, responding to Tieden's question, said certification is based on the test scores—the five highest of the top ten.

That will be changed after January 1 to six scores.

-12.11

According to Keating, the chapter had been updated to remove ambiguity and for clarification.

12.10(1)f

Clark thought the language to be unclear. Oakley's suggestion was to delete the first sentence. Keating was amenable.

In response to Tieden, Keating said collective bargaining has its own appeal and grievance process.

---ch 15

The chapter has been updated as a result of suggestions from agencies for clarification. Keating discussed process of appeal for demotion, dismissal or grievance.

ch 16 ·

Schroeder requested addition of "in excess of 68B.5" to 16.1(5). Keating and Oakley did not believe the addition to be necessary. Schroeder also questioned language in 16.1(8). Oakley advised Keating that his office was reviewing this particular set of rules and asked Keating to check with him before they are docketed.

16.1(2)

Holden opined the rule to be more restrictive than intended. Keating responded the change had been made at agency request stating it did not negate if the individual were neglecting his duty in any other way.

MERIT **EMPLOYMENT** Cont'd

In re chapter 18, Keating indicated it contained a general statement for code of conduct for a classified employee. Clark opined it was regrettable that the statement was necessary.

1.1 Keating said the rule contains definition for confidential employe In re 2.4, the rule states administrative procedure for the con-2.4

fidential employee.

- 8.4 Keating said the rule included a statement that permanent probationary employees cannot be provisional because they already The rule applies to those who have no status.
- Keating advised the Committee changes clarify certain provisions 19.1-19.5 as a result of amendments to chapter 17A. The Code.

Discussion of the testing used by Merit Employment. Tieden cited a case where an individual who took the test, obtained the highest score but did not get the job. Keating could not provide an answer and he admitted the system wasn't perfect.

ENVIRONMEN- Odell McGhee represented DEQ for review of the following rules:

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DEPARTMENT[400] TAL QUALITY

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DEPARTMENT[400]

- In re 41.2, McGhee indicated the rule was written to correct an 41.2 error in printing the telephone number.
- 19.2(12) McGhee explained 19.2(12) with respect to modification regarding grants as well as the sewer issue in Des Moines. Also, there had been three notices dealing with this area. The subrule also adresses the 5 percent reserve of the federal allotment for funding of projects in unsewered communities.

Oakley opined the process of reimbursement would be further speeded up for communities.

In a matter not officially before the Committee, Oakley discussed the governor's rescission of rules of the Professional Teaching Practices Commission with respect to corporal punishment and nonperformance.

TRANSPOR-TATION DEPT.

The following rules were before the Committee for review:

TRANSPORTATION, DEPARTMENT OF 1820] Interstate registration and operation of vehicles, [07,F] 1.3(1)"a", "d", and "e", 1.3(2), 1.3(2)"d", 1.3(3)"a", 1.3(4)"a", 1.3(4)"a"(2), 1.3(5)"a", 1.3(5)"a"(2), 1.3(5)"b"(2), 1.3(5)"c", 1.3(6), 1.4(1), 1.6, 1.7, 1.9, 1.11, 1.12, 1.16 ARC 1531.

Truck operators and contract carriers, [07,F] 3.1(5), 3.2(1), 3.2(2"b", 3.2(3)"b", 3.2(5), 3.2(6). 3.2(9), 3.3(2), 3.3(3), 3.4(9), 3.5(1) ARC 1532

Interstate motor carriers, [07, F] 5.2, 5.3 ARC 1533

TRANSPORTATION, DEPARTMENT OF[820]

 Certified carriers, [07,F] 4, 4(1, 4, 10)*a*(2), 4, 4(2), 4, 4(3), 4.5(1), 4.6(1), 4.6(3), 4.7(3)*d*, 4.9, 4.14(4)
 ARC 1553
 11/12/80

 Interstate motor vehicle fuel permits and transport carrier registration, [07,F] 7,4(2), 7,7(2)
 ARC 1554
 11/12/80

 Liquid transport carriers, [07,F] 13.3, 13.4(1, 13.5(2), 13.5(4), 13.8, 13.11(4)
 ARC 1555
 11/12/80

 Special great river road fund, [08,E] ch 2 ARC 1566 Special review - sign control

TRANSPOR-TATION DEPARTMENT Officials representing DOT were Candy Bakke, Jim Charlier, Ron Egeland, Sign Control, and Jim Grane, Right of Way. Also present were Winton Etchen, Iowa Chemical and Fertilizer, Wm. Clemen, Green Valley Chemical Corp, Creston and Paul Pellett, Atlantic.

13.8

According to Bakke, major changes in the rules were made at the request of the ARRC. In re 13.8, Bakke commented the Fertilizer Association had petitioned for rulemaking and research by DOT indicated federal law takes precedence when an operation is interstate in nature.

There was discussion of the financial impact of enforcement of the federal law requiring 275 psig for liquid transport carriers. Clemen discussed the jeopardy to his company should the state vigorously enforce the provision. There was general agreement Congressional action was needed. Schroeder suggested the interested groups write to Iowa's Senators and Congressmen.

Pellet recommended the rule not be adopted, and suggested the state could exempt it. Pellet pointed out the 1000 gallon tanks had been exempted. Bakke opined DOT could not exempt the matter.

Delay 13.8 /OTE Patchett commented the real problem was that DOT was not enforcing the rule. He suggested placing a 70-day delay to allow time for further study. After discussion, Patchett moved a 70-day delay be placed on the amendment to [07,F]13.8. Motion carried with 5 aye votes. Priebe absent and not voting.

Tieden commented that some states succeed in challenging federal rules. Etchen informed the Committee that the Fertilizer Association would have pursued the matter at the federal level, but had found out other states said they were successful in exempting themselves. Etchen said they would send a petition to the federal government.

13.3(1)

Schroeder was of the opinion the requirement for four-inch lettering was excessive. He was disturbed by the adoption of over 2000 pages of federal regulations as Iowa rules. Holden commented the matter of adoption by reference had been discussed at the National Conference on State Legislatures at Salt Lake City.

Ch 2 [08,E]

Discussion moved to chapter 2, special great river road fund, and Jim Charlier, office of project planning, discussed administration of the fund. He said certain restrictions were eliminated and obsolete language was removed.

Holden questioned the possible problem with getting into cash flow in the loan process. Charlier commented that the farm-to-market road fund balance was almost \$33 million. In the next five to ten years, counties will have more projects and the cash balance is expected to drop. Money on loan in the great river fund

TRANSPOR-TATION DEPT. would not be a significant factor in the cash balance of the farm-to-market road fund--\$970,000 is outstanding on loan and will be returned before 1989. He said they would be able to loan as much as another million dollars to counties.

Tieden was concerned about the inadequacy of the bridges around the state and wondered if any interest had been shown in using farm-to-market funds for repair or replacement of them. Charlies was unable to supply any information on the matter.

Special Review--Sign Control Egeland, responding to Schroeder, commented DOT was definitely relaxing the sign control law. Tieden cited several other states where signs existed and federal funding had not been withdrawn. Egeland indicated they were probably not "bonus states" and he pointed out Iowa had received approximately \$75 million over the last ten years.

Schroeder reported that he had received complaints from churches who had been given a 30-day deadline in which to remove noncompliance signs. He considered this an example of poor public relations. Egeland indicated that he had alerted enforcement personnel to discontinue the "deadline" letters.

In response to Holden about possible repeal of the sign law, Grane said that the program would be completed in approximately 18 months. Holden noted that junkyard encroachment was still existent along highways. Grane admitted this to be a difficult area.

Tieden was concerned about signs for parks and recreational areas and thought they should be more visible. General discussion of the background of the sign control law.

REVENUE

Carl Castelda from Revenue Department reviewed the following:

Amendments to assessor education rules were corrective. Schroeder questioned language in 124.3(441) stating the course is at least one hour (fifty minute period) in length. He was advised that was standard practice in college courses.

7.6

Castelda explained the rule was amended to allow individuals who are enrolled under CFR §10.6 for practice before Internal Revenue to represent a taxpayer before state Department of Revenue in income tax matters. In response to Royce, attorneys are allowed to appear before the Department of Revenue.

SOCIAL SERVICES

Judith Welp, Manual and Rules Specialist, reviewed the following:

SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT[770] ADC, assignment of support payments, 41.2(7)"a", "c", and "e"(3) ARC 1540	
ADC, assignment of support payments, 41.217"a", "c", and "e"(3) ARC 1540	11/12/80
Food stamp program, ch 65 ARC 1541	11/12/80
Medical assistance, hearing aids, 78.14(5) ARC 1351	
Application for evening 190 9(1) (i) of approximate ARC 1546	
Legal services, rescinds ch 159 ARC 1552	11/12/80
Legal services, rescinds on 159 ARC 1552	
* SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT[770]	
Hearing attendance, 7:14 ARC 1550	11/12/80
Hearing attendance, 174 ARC 1530 Supplementary assistance application, 50,2(2), 50 2(3) ARC 1512 Medical assistance, hearing aids, 78,14(5) ARC 1543	11/12/80
Madical environment and TV 1951 ARC 1543	11/12/80
Juvenile detention homes, 105, 1(7), 195,5(1) ARC 1544	11/12/80
Juvenile detertion nomes, 105, 117, 193,341 ARC 1344	11/12/80
Services, waiting list, 130.6(1) ARC 1545	11/19/90
Foster care services, 136.1(5), 136.1(8) ARC 1547	
Foster care payments, 137.8(4), 137.11 ARC 1548	11/12/80
Foster care services, 136.1(5), 136.1(8) ARC 1547 Foster care payments, 137.840, 137.11 ARC 1548 Adoption investigator, 139.4(10), 139.4(11), 139.13 ARC 1549	11/12/80

- welp explained that amendment to chapter 65 was a result of a proposed federal regulation, clarifying situations where a trust fund would not be counted as a resource. Oakley suggested insertion of the federal register citation. Welp was amenable.
- 78.14(5) In re 78.14(5), Welp said the Department had decided more clarification was needed on payment for hearing aids. The subrule raises
 the dispensing fee. Oakley suggested the next appropriations
 bill should address the matter.
- 8.6(1) As a result of petition by Legal Services Corporation, the rule was changed re attendance at hearings.
- 50.2(2)(3) According to Welp, the rule clarifies application process for SSI.
- 105.1(7), In re 105.1(7) and 105.5(1), Welp said the rule relaxes the staffing standards for small juvenile detention facilities. Facilities having five or fewer residents are not required to have two people on duty at all times. However, an on-call system is necessary.
- 130.6(1) Rule 130.6(1) provides a waiting list for services which are not available at the time eligibility is determined.
- 137.8(4) Welp explained that the Department had originally intended subrules 137.11 137.8(4) and 137.11 provide emergency care in shelter care facilities and they were reworded to distinguish between payment for family homes and group facilities.

General discussion of foster care rates.

ch 139 Chapter 139 amendments clarify the role of the adoption investigator and outline procedures for release of information in adoption records. The Committee discussed the fact that the law might need revision in the area of adoption information.

Schroeder asked Committee members if anyone had questions about rules for which no agency representative had been called. No questions were forthcoming re the following:

NO AGENCY REPRESEN-TATIVE Cont'd

BLI	IND, COMMISSION FOR[160] ding facilities, ch 1 ARC 1539 . Æ	11/12/80
	NSEKVATION COMMISSION[290] ber buyers, ch 49 ARC 1590	
	UCATIONAL RADIO AND TELEVISION FACILITY BOARD[340] increase eligibility, 3.5(2)"b" ARC 1562	
	ALTH DEPARTMENT[470] te bed care need, 203.1(1)"c"(2). filed emergency ARC 1574. Technical A. F. F.	
	ALTH DEPARTMENT[470] bering school instructor, 152.2(1) ARC 1571 . F.	
IOV Orga	WA FAMILY FARM DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY[523]— ranization and procedural rules, ch 1 ARC 1556	11/12/80
Elev	BOR, BUREAU OF[530] vator inspections and operating permit fees. 75.1 - 75.5 ARC 1593 #	11/26/80
Orga Gene Prob Bary 4.3	BLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD[660] canization, 1.2 - 1.4, 1.6(2) ARC 1596	11/26/80 11/26/80 11/26/80 11/26/80
Eiec Neg Imp Inte. Adn	ctions, 5.1(2)"a", "b", 5.1(4), 5.1(5), 5.2(1), 5.3, 5.4(1)"c" and "e", 5.4(2), 5.6 ARC 1600 cotistions and negotiability disputes, 6.3(2), 6.5 ARC 1601 casse procedures, 7.2, 7.3(2), 7.3(3), 7.3(5), 7.3(7), 7.4(1), 7.4(3), 7.4(6), 7.4(9), 7.5(5) - 7.5(10), 7.7(1), 7.7(4) ARC 1602. F. consider the procedures, 9.1(1), 9.2(2) - 9.2(4) ARC 1604 claratory rulings, 10.1 - 10.6 ARC 1605	11/26/80 11/26/80 11/26/80 11/26/80 11/26/80
Deci		11/20/00
REC Pure	GENTS. BOARD OF[720] thasing, 8.6(1) - 8.6(4) ARC 1538 F	11/12/80

January Meeting There was discussion of holding a special meeting sometime during the week prior to the convening of the General Assembly in January. Due to various conflicts, it was decided to postpone final decision. [January 8 and 9 were scheduled]

AGING COMMIS-SION Ronald Beane, representing Aging Commission, appeared. No questions were asked. [See page 1377 of these minutes]

Business

Chairman Schroeder reported to the Committee that he had authorized expenses for Senator Holden's attendance at the NCSL meeting in Salt Lake City. Tieden moved that the Committee concur with Schroeder's action. Members present voiced no objection.

Minutes

Disposal of the minutes for the November meeting was deferred until January.

Adjournment Committee was adjourned at 4:15 p.m. to reconvene in January.

APPROVED:

Respectfully submitted,

CHAIRMAN

Phyllis Barry, Secretary Assistance of Vivian Haag

- 1383 -

AGENDUM

The Administrative Rules Review Committee will hold a special meeting. Thursday, January 8, 1981, 9:00 a.m., and Friday. January 9, 1981, 9:00 a.m., Second Committee Room 24. This meeting is in lieu of the statutory date of January 13. The following rules will be reviewed.

<i>_</i> -			
	CONSERVATION COMMISSION(290)	Bulletin 1	
10:00	Wildlife refuges, 3.1, 3.2 ARC 1646	12/24/80	743
10:20	HEALTH DEPARTMENT[470] Nonpublic water wells, 45.1(6), 45.2, 45.3, 45.5(2), 45.5(3), 45.5(4)"b", 45.6(4), 45.6(5), 45.7(1)"a", 45.8(1)"a"(2), 45.8(1)"a"(4), 45.10 - 45.12 ARC 1663	12/24/80 12/24/80	743 745
	Chiropractors, continuing education, 141.66 ARC 1615. M	12/10/80	704 705 706
10:20	HEALTH DEPARTMENT[470] Physical therapy, continuing education, 138.2(1), 138.2(4) ARC 1638. F		:
10:30	NURSING HOME ADMINISTRATORS, BOARD OF EXAMINERS[600] Licensure, 2.2(3) ARC 1637	12/24/80	74 5
10:45	EMPLOYMENT SECURITY[370] Employer's contribution and charges, 3.8, 3.17(11), 3.41(3), 3.43(4)"a", 3.43(11)"a" and "b", 3.46(2), 3.49(1)"a", 3.55, 3.63, 3.70(12), 3.82(2)"a"(1), "g", "n"(6) ARC 1652		
	4.25(41), 4.26(8), 4.26(9), 4.26(14), 4.37(1)"e", 4.43(5), 4.50, 4.51 ARC 1653. F. IPERS, 8.5(1)"a"(31) - (33), 8.11(7), 8.12(9), 8.13(2), 8.13(6), 8.14(2), 8.19(6) ARC 1609. F. Federal social security, 9.4(1), 9.5(2), 9.5(3), 9.7(1) - 9.7(3) ARC 1610 . F. Forms, 10.4 ARC 1611. F.	. 12/10/80 . 12/10/80	725 726
11:15	TRANSPORTATION, DEPARTMENT OF[820] Vehicle registration and certificate of title, [07,D] 11.1(4) - 11.1(6), 11.7(1), 11.25, 11.35, 11.41, 11.42, 11.46, 11.46(2), 11.59 ARC 1647		_
11:15	TRANSPORTATION, DEAPRTMENT OF[820] Motor vehicle axles, [07,F] ch 9, filed emergency ARC 1606	12/10/80	720
	LUNCH		
•		g(v)	
1:30	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY[400] Air quality, emission standards for contaminants, 4.3(2)"b" ARC 1608 N	. 12/10/80	703
1:50	PHARMACY EXAMINERS, BOARD OF [620] Continuing education program attendance, 6.8(1) ARC 1643 M. Controlled substances, S.3 ARC 1644 Discipline, 10.1(10) - 10.1(12) ARC 1645 M.	10/04/00	746 746 746
2:00	PHARMACY EXAMINERS, BOARD OF[620] Licensure, 1.13(1), 1.13(3), 1.14 ARC 1640 . F. Renewal date and fee, 4.1 ARC 1641 . F. License renewal, 6.8, 6.8(2), 6.8(3), 6.8(5) - 6.8(7), 6.8(9), 6.8(10) ARC 1642 . F.	19/94/80	766
2:10	SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT[770] Child support recovery, 95.6, filed emergency after notice ARC 1626 . ARC 1627	. 12/10/80	719 719 716 717 717

AGENDUM Cont'd

	•	_	
	SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT[770]	Bulletii	•
2:20	Mental health resources, 28.2(3), 28.2(8) - 28.2(10), 28.3(1), 28.11, 28.12 ARC 1621. F	12/10/80 12/10/80	730 731
	Supplementary assistance, 54.2 ARC 1622	12/10/80	231
•	Nonassistance child support recovery program, 96.1 - 96.6 ARC 1625 .F	12/1C/8	ندر
	SOIL CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT[780]	19/94/94	. 7 50
2:40	Surface coal mining, penalties, 4.6(8) ARC 1667	12/24/80	751
2:40	SOIL CONSERVATION, DEPARTMENT OF [780]	10'0 (190	700
- • - • - •	Surface mining and soil reclamation, ch 4 ARC 1669 . F	12/24/80	782 .
	3:20-3:30 Break	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3:30	NURSING, BOARD OF[590] Nursing practice for registered nurses, licensed practical nurses, ch 6 ARC 1629	12/10/80	707
	FRIDAY		
9:00	Public Instruction Notice: School Permits ARC 1493 10/29	2/80	470
7.00	Notice. Delicor residence and	9/ OU ;	4/6
0.20	REVENUE DEPARTMENT[730] Sales and use tax, 6.1(2), 6.1(3)"i", 8.1(6)"d", 11.6(2), 12.10, 12.11, 15.6, 15.19, 16.35, 16.37, 17.1(5)"j" and "t", 17.14(1),	1	
9:30	18.6, 18.7(1)"a", 18.15, 18.18, 18.42, 26.2(6)"e", 26.21, 26.48, 30.1(1), 30.10(1), 34.3, 34.4, 34.5(6) ARC 1655. F	12/24/80	768
	Penalty and interest, 44.3 ARC 1657.	12/24/80	ウ ウミ
	Corporation tax, 52.5(2), 53.2(3), 53.8, 53.9 ARC 1658 .F. Franchise tax, 58.5(2), 59.2(1) - 59.2(3), 59.3, 59.6 - 59.9 ARC 1659 .F.	12/24/80	777
	Motor fuel, 63.8, 63.17, 63.25(2)"c", 64.3, 64.4, 64.14, 64.18, 65.6(4), 65.12, 65.15, 65.17 ARC 1631. F	12/10/80	728
	Real estate transfer tax and declaration of value, 79.2(2), 79.2(10), 79.5(4), 79.5(5), 79.6 ARC 1660 F. Cigarette tax, 81.10(1), 81.15, 82.6(5) ARC 1661 F. Gambling, 91.1, 94.2 ARC 1635 F.	12/24/80	779 738
	PEVENUE DEPARTMENT(730)	•	
10:00	Corporation tax, allocation and apportionment, 54.2(2)"b" and "e" ARC 1632 M	12/24/80\	
10:30	AUDITOR OF STATE[130] Renegotiable rate mortgages, 6.1(3), 6.2, 6.3, 6.6 ARC 1664	12/24/80	741
	HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY[495]	22,24,00	,
10:50	Assumption of mortgages, 2.10 ARC 1613.N	12/10/80	706
11 00	AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT[30] Livestock importation, 17.1, 17.2(3), 17.3(1), 17.3(2), 17.4(1) - 17.4(3), 17.5 - 17.7, 17 9 - 17.11 ARC 1630 .F	12/10/80	721
11:00	Livestock movement, 18.1(3), 18.2, 18.3(6), 18.3(8), 18.4(5), 18.5 - 18.8, 18.9(2), 18.11 ARC 1631	12/10/80	723
11:20	LABOR, BUREAU OF[530] Consultative services and training, chs 6, 8 and 9 ARC 1665	12/24/80	765
•	SPECIAL REVIEW:	10/15/8	040 0
11:30	Engineering Examiners: Notice: Plats ARC 1472		
	COMMEDCE COMMISSION(950)		
11:40	COMMERCE COMMISSION[250] Financing of energy conservation measures, 27.11(1), 27.11(8) ARC 1628	12/10/80	703
•			
	No Agency Representative Appearance		
	Requested for any of the following:		
N/R	CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION[240] Contested case hearings, 1.9(5)"a" ARC 1662 F	12/24/80	 0 7 56.
	INCLID A NOT DED A DEMENTICION	•	
N/R	Property and casualty insurance rate, 20.6 ARC 1612	12/10/5) 3
» /~	SUBSTANCE ABUSE, IOWA DEPARTMENT OF[805]	•	
N/R	SURSTANCE ABUSE, IOWA DEPARTMENT OF[805] Standards for treatment programs, 3.22(11)"b", 3.22(13) ARC 1636	12/10/80	718
	· ·		