

SCHOOL AID FORMULA JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE  
OF THE  
SENATE AND HOUSE COMMITTEES ON EDUCATION

Final Report to the Legislative Council  
and the First Session of the Sixty-eighth General Assembly

State of Iowa  
1979

F I N A L R E P O R T

SCHOOL AID FORMULA JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE  
OF THE  
SENATE AND HOUSE COMMITTEES ON EDUCATION

December, 1978

Pursuant to Section 32 of House File 2361 the School Aid Formula Joint Subcommittee was organized and Representative John E. Patchett and Senator Joan Orr were elected Co-chairpersons and the following individuals were appointed as members of the Subcommittee:

Senator Willard R. Hansen  
Senator C. W. Hutchins  
Senator Ray Taylor  
Senator Earl M. Willits  
Representative Horace Daggett  
Representative Wally E. Horn  
Representative Lowell E. Norland  
Representative Delwyn Stromer

The Subcommittee held two two-day meetings and a one-day meeting and at the first meeting the Subcommittee reviewed the information which resulted from the federally funded project entitled The State Equalization Project. The information presented to the Subcommittee was gathered pursuant to a \$210,270 grant from the U. S. Office of Education under the Project Director, Dr. Leland Tack and Research Consultant, Dr. David Alvord. The project developed data which was presented in summary form to the Subcommittee reflecting such areas as the enrollment trends in Iowa, the program equity provided among Iowa school districts, the expenditure equity and transportation provided school districts, a survey of school district superintendents to arrive at the administrative perception of school finance deficiencies and goals, a study reviewing the distance effects on school reorganization, and a case history of several school district reorganizations for comparison and analysis.

At the second two-day meeting the Subcommittee considered nine proposals issued by the Department of Public Instruction which are summarized as follows:

1. Fix the enrollment as the greater of the second Friday in September enrollment count in either the year prior to the base year, the base year, or the budget year. The projected cost of this recommendation is \$6.6 million or \$4.9 million in additional state aid and \$1.7 million in additional property tax.

2. Recompute the state cost per pupil to equal the average state cost per pupil and recalculate this average state cost per pupil every two years. The projected cost will be approximately \$10.9 million with a \$19.1 million increase in state aid and an \$8.2 million decrease in property tax.

3. Allow the schoolhouse fund established under provisions of Section 278.1, subsection 7, of the Iowa Code, to be levied at the discretion of the school board without voter approval. There is a cost for this item and it would depend upon the property tax levies initiated by the school boards in districts where voters had previously rejected approval of the schoolhouse fund levy.

4. The Department recommends that transportation costs should not be removed from the current funding mechanism for funding school districts. It was pointed out that in only a small number of districts is transportation a significant portion of the budget in an amount sufficient to justify separate funding and Section 442.13, Iowa Code 1977, currently provides a funding mechanism to meet these special transportation needs.

5. Provide a budget to budget plus growth funding for school districts under 300 pupils. This would allow the school sufficient funds to maintain adequate program opportunities for the children in the district if the state legislature does not attempt to encourage reorganization of smaller school districts to preserve educational program quality. It is estimated that this will cost an additional \$893,000 annually with a projected start-up cost of between \$5 and \$6 million as initial budget improvements. It should be noted that if school districts below 300 pupils are brought up to an amount equal to approximately 300 times the district cost per pupil, this cost increase will be an annual cost increase and so the initial start-up cost of between \$5 and \$6 million should properly be considered an annual cost increase over the current funding scheme for these school districts.

6. Increase the maximum guaranteed support level of eighty percent of the state cost per pupil to ninety percent of the state cost per pupil and double the annual increases in the state support level. Thus, for the '78-'79 school year the state support level will be seventy-six percent, '79-'80 school year will be seventy-eight percent, '80-'81 school year will be eighty percent, etc. This proposal would be accompanied by proportionate increases in the uniform property tax levy to maintain the proportional property tax-state aid funding of the school system.

7. Replace the current enrichment provisions of Chapter 442 with an enrichment provision levied at the discretion of the school board with a reverse referendum provision. The estimated cost of this is approximately \$9.85 million per year if all school districts elect to raise an additional ten percent of the state cost per pupil times the number of pupils. To ensure that all school districts are able to raise ten percent of the state cost per pupil times the number of pupils with relatively equal effort, this enrichment provision would be coupled with a guaranteed yield provision which would provide that each school district would, in levying their income surtax and property tax, be guaranteed a

return equal to that from an equivalent tax in a district with the average property value per pupil and average income tax paid per pupil in the state.

8. Increase the program equity among school districts.

9. Provide county school districts, county like school districts, or require minimum enrollments in each school district.

The Subcommittee considered all of these recommendations from the Department of Public Instruction on Thursday, December 21, 1978. The Subcommittee approved the following bills which are attached to this report:

I (Passed in the House)

1. Calculate the enrollment as the highest of the second Friday in September enrollment in the year prior to the base year, in the base year or the budget year.

2. Recompute the state cost per pupil to equal the average state cost per pupil and recalculate this every two years. This recommendation would provide that the recalculation will be made for the school year beginning July 1, 1980.

3. Allow the enrichment levy provided in Chapter 442 of the Code to be adopted pursuant to school board action with the provision for a reverse referendum upon petition signed by twenty percent of the number of voters in the previous school election. No district under this provision would be required to levy an amount greater than the tax efforts of the district at the average tax base with the difference between the amount that could be levied and the total enrichment amount approved paid from state funds.

4. Broaden the language in the appropriation to the School Budget Review Committee for the declining enrollment problems for the '78-'79 and '79-'80 school years. Currently this language allows allocation of funds only to school districts which have had programs terminated from the previous school year.

II (Passed in the House)

Allow the schoolhouse tax provided in Section 278.1, subsection 7 of the Code, to be levied at the discretion of the school board without voter approval, but only after 3 public hearings have been held with a provision for a reverse referendum upon petition signed by twenty percent of the number of voters in the previous school election. Expand the schoolhouse fund use to allow maintenance of buildings and the purchase of public school pupil transportation vehicles.

III (Passed in both House and Senate)

Provide an additional .1 weighting for students attending shared classes at another school district.

The Subcommittee requested that information be gathered to analyze the distribution of fine moneys and weigh station fines collected among the counties. It was pointed out that these funds often represent a substantial windfall to those counties that have weigh stations and these fines are distributed to school districts within the county. It was suggested that these fines be considered for placement in the road use tax fund.

The Subcommittee also requested that computer simulations be made of each suggested change with information to be presented to the Committees on Education in January, 1979, upon convening of the 68th General Assembly.

SENATE FILE \_\_\_\_\_

By (SCHOOL AID FORMULA JOINT  
SUBCOMMITTEE TO THE HOUSE  
STANDING COMMITTEE ON EDU-  
CATION)  
December, 1978

Passed Senate, Date \_\_\_\_\_ Passed House, Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Vote: Ayes \_\_\_\_\_ Nays \_\_\_\_\_ Vote: Ayes \_\_\_\_\_ Nays \_\_\_\_\_  
Approved \_\_\_\_\_

## A BILL FOR

1 An Act relating to school funding providing for an adjusted  
2 enrollment, recomputation of the state cost per pupil,  
3 modification of the school enrichment aid taxing provi-  
4 sions and expansion of the use of the prior appropria-  
5 tions to the school budget review committee for declin-  
6 ing enrollment.

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF IOWA:

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 Section 1. Section four hundred two point forty-four  
2 (442.4), subsection two (2), Code 1979, is amended by striking  
3 paragraph a and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

4 a. For the school year beginning July 1, 1980, and each  
5 subsequent school year, the adjusted enrollment for a school  
6 district is equal to the greater of either the basic enroll-  
7 ment for the year prior to the base year, or the basic enroll-  
8 ment for the base year, or the basic enrollment for the budget  
9 year.

10 Sec. 2. Section four hundred forty-two point eight (442.8),  
11 Code 1979, is amended to read as follows:

12 442.8 STATE COST PER PUPIL. As used in this chapter,  
13 "state cost per pupil" for the school year beginning July  
14 1, 1975, and subsequent school years means state cost per  
15 pupil in weighted enrollment. The state cost per pupil for  
16 the school year beginning July 1, 1972, is nine hundred three  
17 dollars. The state cost per pupil for the school year  
18 beginning on July 1, 1973, and for each succeeding school  
19 year is the base year's state cost per pupil plus the allowable  
20 growth for the budget year. If the state percent of growth  
21 is zero, the state cost per pupil shall be the same as the  
22 base year's state cost per pupil.

23 However, for the budget school year beginning July 1, 1980,  
24 and for each subsequent even-numbered budget school year the  
25 state cost per pupil for the budget year shall be computed  
26 by using a recomputed state cost per pupil for the base year.  
27 The recomputed state cost per pupil for the base year is equal  
28 to the amount allocated for all area education agency support  
29 costs in the state for the base year divided by the weighted  
30 enrollment in the state for the base year plus an amount for  
31 regular program costs per pupil. The regular program cost  
32 per pupil is equal to the sum of the district costs for all  
33 school districts in the state for the base year less the  
34 amount generated for all area education agency support services  
35 in the state for the base year, and less the amount generated

1 for all special education instruction in the state for the  
2 base year, from the application of the weighting factors in  
3 chapter two hundred eighty-one (281) of the Code, which sum  
4 less deductions shall be divided by the adjusted enrollment  
5 in all school districts used for the calculation of district  
6 budgets for the base year.

7 For each school year subsequent to the school year begin-  
8 ning July 1, 1975, the allowable growth added to the state  
9 cost per pupil as otherwise computed under section 442.7 shall  
10 be the basic allowable growth increased by an amount equal  
11 to the average of the amounts of allowable growth added for  
12 each school district in the state for additional special  
13 education support services needed for that year to serve newly  
14 identified children who require the services, under sections  
15 273.9, subsection 3 and 442.7, subsection 7, paragraph "d".  
16 The state comptroller shall compute the applicable amount  
17 of allowable growth to be added to the state cost per pupil  
18 for each school year.

19 Sec. 3. Section four hundred forty-two point fourteen  
20 (442.14), Code 1979, is amended to read as follows:

21 442.14 ADDITIONAL ENRICHMENT AMOUNT.

22 1. For the budget year beginning July 1, 1979, and each  
23 succeeding school year, if a school board wishes to spend  
24 more than the amount permitted under sections 442.1 to 442.13,  
25 and the school board has not attempted by resolution to raise  
26 an additional enrichment amount for that budget year, the  
27 school board may raise an additional enrichment amount not  
28 to exceed ten percent of the state cost per pupil multiplied  
29 by the adjusted enrollment in the district, as provided in  
30 this section. However, the additional enrichment amount may  
31 be used only for educational research, curriculum maintenance  
32 or development, or innovative programs.

33 2. The board shall determine the additional enrichment  
34 amount per pupil needed, within the limits of this section.  
35 The board shall publish notice in a newspaper of general

1 circulation in the school district listing the date, time  
2 and location of each of three public hearings to be held for  
3 reading and approval of the enrichment resolution. The  
4 hearings shall be at least one week apart with the third  
5 hearing to take place at least forty-five days prior to the  
6 school board election in September of the base year. If,  
7 on or before the date of the third hearing, the school board  
8 receives a petition protesting the proposed enrichment  
9 resolution and signed by not less than twenty percent of the  
10 number of persons who voted in the most recent school district  
11 election, or if by its own motion the board determines that  
12 a referendum should be held, the school board shall direct  
13 the county commissioner of elections to submit the question  
14 of whether to raise that amount under the provisions of this  
15 section and section 442.15, to the qualified electors of the  
16 school district at a regular school election held during  
17 September of the base year. If no petition is received and  
18 the board approves the enrichment resolution or if a majority  
19 of those voting favors raising the enrichment amount, the  
20 board may include the approved amount in its certified budget.

21 3. The additional enrichment amount needed shall be raised  
22 within the limits provided in this section by a combination  
23 of an enrichment property tax and a school district income  
24 surtax imposed in the proportion of a property tax of twenty-  
25 seven cents per thousand dollars of assessed valuation of  
26 taxable property in the district for each five percent of  
27 income surtax.

28 4. The additional enrichment amount for a district is  
29 limited to the amount which may be raised by a combination  
30 tax in the prescribed proportion which does not exceed a  
31 property tax of one dollar and eight cents per thousand dollars  
32 of assessed valuation and an income surtax of twenty percent.

33 5. Any additional enrichment amount of a school district,  
34 not exceeding five percent of the state cost per pupil, which  
35 was approved at a referendum prior to July 1, 1978, shall

1 remain in effect for the period for which it was approved.

2 Sec. 4. Section four hundred forty-two point fifteen  
3 (442.15), Code 1979, is amended to read as follows:

4 442.15 COMPUTATION OF ENRICHMENT AMOUNT. If a ~~majority~~  
5 ~~of-these-voting-in-an-election-approves-raising~~ the additional  
6 enrichment amount is approved under section 442.14 and this  
7 section, the board shall certify to the state comptroller  
8 that the required procedures have been carried out, and the  
9 state comptroller shall establish the amount of additional  
10 enrichment property tax to be levied and the amount of school  
11 district income surtax to be imposed for each school year  
12 for which the additional enrichment amount is authorized.  
13 The state comptroller shall determine these amounts based  
14 upon the most recent figures available for the district's  
15 valuation of taxable property, ~~individual~~ state individual  
16 income tax paid, and adjusted enrollment in the district,  
17 and shall certify to the district's county auditor the amount  
18 of enrichment property tax, and to the director of revenue  
19 the amount of school district income surtax to be imposed.

20 However, a school district with a valuation of taxable  
21 property per pupil in adjusted enrollment less than the total  
22 valuation of taxable property per pupil in adjusted enrollment  
23 in the state, or a school district with a state individual  
24 income tax paid per pupil in adjusted enrollment less than  
25 the total state individual income tax paid per pupil in  
26 adjusted enrollment in the state, is entitled to state  
27 enrichment aid to raise the approved additional enrichment  
28 amount. The state enrichment aid is equal to the difference  
29 between the approved enrichment amount and funds raised from  
30 the guaranteed enrichment yield rates imposed upon state  
31 individual income taxes paid in the district and the valuation  
32 of taxable property in the district in the ratios and under  
33 the limits provided in this section and section four hundred  
34 forty-two point fourteen (442.14) of the Code. The guaranteed  
35 enrichment yield property tax rate is the property tax rate

1 necessary to fund the portion of the enrichment amount raised  
2 by a tax on the valuation of taxable property imposed on the  
3 product of the adjusted enrollment in the district times the  
4 greater of the average valuation of taxable property per pupil  
5 in adjusted enrollment in the state or the valuation of taxable  
6 property per pupil in adjusted enrollment in the district.  
7 The guaranteed enrichment yield individual income surtax rate  
8 is the income surtax rate necessary to fund the portion of  
9 the enrichment amount raised by an individual income surtax  
10 imposed on the product of the adjusted enrollment in the  
11 district times the greater of the average state individual  
12 income taxes paid per pupil in adjusted enrollment in the  
13 state or the individual income taxes paid per pupil in adjusted  
14 enrollment in the district.

15 The school district income surtax shall be imposed on the  
16 state individual income tax for the calendar year during which  
17 the school's budget year begins, or for a taxpayer's fiscal  
18 year ending during the second half of that calendar year or  
19 the first half of the succeeding calendar year, and shall  
20 be imposed on all individuals residing in the school district  
21 on the last day of the applicable tax year. As used in this  
22 section, "state individual income tax" means the tax computed  
23 under section 422.5, less the deductions allowed in section  
24 422.12.

25 An additional enrichment amount authorized under section  
26 442.14 or a lesser amount than the amount so authorized may  
27 be continued as provided in this section for a period of five  
28 school years. If the amount authorized is less than the  
29 maximum of ten percent of the state cost per pupil and the  
30 board wishes to increase the amount, it shall re-establish  
31 its authority to do so in the manner provided in section  
32 442.14. If the board wishes to continue any additional  
33 enrichment amount beyond the five-year period, it shall re-  
34 establish its authority to do so in the manner provided in  
35 section 442.14 within the twelve-month period prior to

1 termination of the five-year period.

2 Sec. 5. Acts of the Sixty-seventh General Assembly, 1978  
3 Session, chapter one thousand ninety-nine (1099), section  
4 thirty-one (31), is amended to read as follows:

5 SEC. 31. To meet the special problems that result from  
6 budget reductions due to declining enrollments prior to the  
7 modifications in the adjustments for declining enrollments  
8 to take effect commencing with the school year beginning July  
9 1, 1979, there is appropriated from the general fund of the  
10 state for the fiscal years beginning July 1, 1978 and ending  
11 June 30, 1980, to the school budget review committee the sum  
12 of two million five hundred thousand (2,500,000) dollars,  
13 or so much thereof as necessary to be used to minimize the  
14 impact of the factor listed in paragraph two (2) of this  
15 section. The school budget review committee may also establish  
16 a modified allowable growth for the school district by  
17 increasing the allowable growth for the school district to  
18 provide additional funds to assist the school district with  
19 hardships which result from the impact on the school district's  
20 budget resulting from declining enrollment.

21 To assess whether a district has hardships resulting from  
22 reduced funds because of declining enrollment, the school  
23 budget review committee shall consider whether the school  
24 district will be or has been forced to terminate an existing  
25 educational program because of insufficient funds and thus  
26 diminish the overall quality of the school program for the  
27 budget year from that provided in the base year or prior  
28 school years.

29 Sec. 6. Section 5 of this Act, being deemed of immediate  
30 importance, shall take effect from and after its publication  
31 in The Des Moines Register, a newspaper published in Des  
32 Moines, Iowa, and in the Iowa City Press-Citizen, a newspaper  
33 published in Iowa City, Iowa.

34 EXPLANATION

35 This bill establishes the adjusted enrollment in the

1 district to equal the greatest basic enrollment count in the  
2 current year or the prior two years. It provides for the  
3 recalculation of the state cost per pupil for the school year  
4 beginning July 1, 1980. The school board of directors is  
5 allowed to levy the local enrichment levy, with provisions  
6 for referendum upon petition of twenty percent of the number  
7 of electors who voted in the preceding school election, and  
8 provides for equalizing the levy. This bill expands the use  
9 of the funds appropriated during the 1978 legislative session  
10 to address the individual problems of school districts  
11 experiencing declining enrollments and provides for publication  
12 to provide immediate effect following adoption of section  
13 5 of this Act.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

SENATE FILE \_\_\_\_\_

By (PROPOSED EDUCATION COMMITTEE  
BILL by the School Aid Formula  
Subcommittee. December, 1978)

Passed Senate, Date \_\_\_\_\_ Passed House, Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Vote: Ayes \_\_\_\_\_ Nays \_\_\_\_\_ Vote: Ayes \_\_\_\_\_ Nays \_\_\_\_\_  
Approved \_\_\_\_\_

## A BILL FOR

1 An Act relating to the schoolhouse tax.

2 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF IOWA:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Section 1. Section two hundred seventy-four point thirty-  
2 seven (274.37), unnumbered paragraph two (2), Code 1979, is  
3 amended to read as follows:

4 The boards in the respective districts, the boundaries  
5 of which have been changed under this section, complete in  
6 all respects, except for the passage of time prior to the  
7 effective date of the change and when all right of appeal  
8 of the change has expired, may enter into joint contracts  
9 for the construction of buildings for the benefit of the  
10 corporations whose boundaries have been changed, using funds  
11 accumulated under section ~~278-17-subsection-7~~ four (4) of  
12 this Act. The district in which the building is to be located  
13 may use any funds authorized in accordance with chapter 75.  
14 Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit the  
15 changed districts to expend any funds jointly which they are  
16 not entitled to expend acting individually.

17 Sec. 2. Section two hundred seventy-eight point one  
18 (278.1), Code 1979, is amended by striking subsection seven  
19 (7).

20 Sec. 3. Section two hundred seventy-nine point twenty-  
21 six (279.26), Code 1979, is amended to read as follows:

22 279.26 LEASE ARRANGEMENTS. The board of directors of  
23 a local school district for which a schoolhouse tax has been  
24 voted approved pursuant to section ~~278-17-subsection-7~~ four  
25 (4) of this Act, may enter into a rental or lease arrangement,  
26 consistent with the purposes for which the schoolhouse tax  
27 has been voted approved, for a period not exceeding ten years  
28 and not exceeding the period for which the schoolhouse tax  
29 has been ~~authorized-by-the-voters~~ approved.

30 Sec. 4. Chapter two hundred ninety-seven (297), Code 1979,  
31 is amended by adding the following new section:

32 NEW SECTION. SCHOOLHOUSE TAX. The board of directors  
33 of a school district may vote a schoolhouse tax, not exceeding  
34 sixty-seven and one-half cents per thousand dollars of as-  
35 sessed value in a year for the purchase of grounds, construc-

1 tion of schoolhouses or buildings, the payment of debts con-  
2 tracted for the erection or construction of schoolhouses or  
3 buildings, not including interest on bonds, for procuring  
4 or acquisition of libraries, for opening roads to schoolhouses  
5 or buildings, for the purchase of buildings or equipment for  
6 buildings or schoolhouses, for the purpose of maintaining,  
7 repairing, remodeling, reconstructing, improving or expanding  
8 the schoolhouses or buildings for the school district, for  
9 the purpose of landscaping, paving, or improving the school-  
10 house or building grounds, for the purpose of purchasing vehi-  
11 cles for transporting pupils, or for the rental of facilities  
12 pursuant to chapter twenty-eight E (28E) of the Code. The  
13 board shall publish notice in a newspaper of general circula-  
14 tion in the school district listing the date, time, and lo-  
15 cation of each of three public hearings to be held for read-  
16 ing and approval of the levy of the schoolhouse tax. The  
17 hearings shall be at least one week apart with the third  
18 hearing to take place at least forty-five days prior to the  
19 date of the regular school election. If, on or before the  
20 date of the third hearing, the board receives a petition  
21 signed by not less than twenty percent of the number of persons  
22 voting at the previous school election protesting the levying  
23 of the schoolhouse tax, or if by its own motion the board  
24 determines that a referendum should be held, the board of  
25 directors shall direct the county commissioner of elections  
26 to submit the question of whether to levy the schoolhouse  
27 tax to the qualified electors of the school district at the  
28 next regular school election. If a majority of those voting  
29 on the proposition approves the levying of the schoolhouse  
30 tax, or if no petition is filed, the board shall certify an  
31 amount not exceeding sixty-seven and one-half cents per thou-  
32 sand dollars of assessed value to the board of supervisors,  
33 who shall levy the amount certified, and the amount raised  
34 by the tax shall be placed in the schoolhouse fund. Interest  
35 earned from investments of monies in the schoolhouse fund

1 may be used for the purposes voted. The power to levy the  
2 tax, when voted, continues for the period of time authorized  
3 by the voters and is not affected by a change in the boundaries  
4 of the school district, in whatever manner effected, unless  
5 the school district is reorganized pursuant to sections two  
6 hundred seventy-five point twelve (275.12) through two hundred  
7 seventy-five point twenty-two (275.22) of the Code. Authorized  
8 levies for the period of time approved are not affected as  
9 a result of a failure of a proposition proposed to expand  
10 the purposes for which the funds may be expended.

11 As used in this section, "repairing" means restoring the  
12 existing structure or thing to its original condition, as  
13 near as may be, after decay, waste, injury, or partial  
14 destruction; and "reconstructing" means rebuilding or to  
15 restoring again as an entity the thing which was lost or de-  
16 stroyed.

17 EXPLANATION

18 This bill allows the schoolhouse tax to be levied at the  
19 discretion of the school board without voter approval, but  
20 only after three public hearings have been held. It pro-  
21 vides for a referendum election if a petition has been filed  
22 protesting the imposition of the tax. The uses of the  
23 schoolhouse fund have been expanded to allow maintenance of  
24 buildings and for the purchase of public school pupil trans-  
25 portation vehicles.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

HOUSE FILE \_\_\_\_\_

By (PROPOSED EDUCATION  
COMMITTEE BILL by the  
School Aid Formula  
Subcommittee  
December 1978)

Passed House, Date \_\_\_\_\_ Passed Senate, Date \_\_\_\_\_

Vote: Ayes \_\_\_\_\_ Nays \_\_\_\_\_ Vote: Ayes \_\_\_\_\_ Nays \_\_\_\_\_

Approved \_\_\_\_\_

## A BILL FOR

1 An Act relating to the computation of weighted enrollment  
2 under the school foundation plan.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF IOWA:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Section 1. Section four hundred forty-two point four  
2 (442.4), subsection three (3), Code 1979, is amended to read  
3 as follows:

4 3. Weighted enrollment is the adjusted enrollment as  
5 modified by application of the special education weighting  
6 plan in section 281.9 and the weighting plan in section two  
7 (2) of this Act.

8 Sec. 2. Chapter four hundred forty-two (442), Code 1979,  
9 is amended by adding the following new section:

10 NEW SECTION. WEIGHTING PLAN. In order to provide  
11 additional funds for school districts which send their resident  
12 pupils to another school district for classes, which jointly  
13 employ and share the services of teachers under section two  
14 hundred eighty point fifteen (280.15) of the Code, or which  
15 use the services of a teacher employed by another school  
16 district, a special weighting plan for determining enrollment  
17 is adopted as follows:

18 1. Pupils in a regular curriculum attending all their  
19 classes in the district in which they reside and taught by  
20 teachers employed by that district, are assigned a weighting  
21 of one.

22 2. Pupils attending classes in another school district,  
23 attending classes taught by a teacher who is employed jointly  
24 under section two hundred eighty point fifteen (280.15) of  
25 the Code, or attending classes taught by a teacher who is  
26 employed by another school district, are assigned a weighting  
27 of one plus one-tenth times the percent of the pupil's school  
28 day during which the pupil attends classes in another district,  
29 attends classes taught by a teacher who is jointly employed  
30 under section two hundred eighty point fifteen (280.15) of  
31 the Code, or attends classes taught by a teacher who is  
32 employed by another school district.

33 3. A pupil eligible for the weighting plan provided in  
34 section two hundred eighty-one point nine (281.9) of the Code  
35 is not eligible for the weighting plan provided in this

1 section.

2

EXPLANATION

3 This bill provides additional weighting of up to one-tenth  
4 for pupils attending classes in another school district,  
5 pupils taught by a teacher jointly employed, and pupils taught  
6 by a teacher employed by another school district.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35