House Study Bill 541 - Introduced

HOUS	SE FILE
ВУ	(PROPOSED COMMITTEE
	ON JUDICIARY BILL BY
	CHAIRPERSON HOLT)

A BILL FOR

- 1 An Act relating to the costs of litigation in administrative
- 2 hearings or court proceedings involving the collection of
- 3 tax penalties and interest by the department of revenue.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF IOWA:

H.F. ____

- 1 Section 1. Section 421.60, subsection 4, paragraph a, Code
- 2 2024, is amended by striking the paragraph and inserting in
- 3 lieu thereof the following:
- 4 a. (1) A prevailing taxpayer in an administrative hearing
- 5 or a court proceeding related to the determination, collection,
- 6 or refund of a tax, penalty, or interest may be awarded
- 7 reasonable litigation costs by the department or a court that
- 8 are incurred subsequent to the issuance of the notice of
- 9 assessment or denial of claim for refund in the proceeding,
- 10 based upon the following:
- 11 (a) Reasonable court costs.
- 12 (b) Reasonable prevailing market rates based upon the
- 13 services provided including but not limited to:
- 14 (i) Expert witnesses.
- 15 (ii) The cost of a study, engineering report, test,
- 16 analysis, or project that is found by the director of revenue
- 17 or court to be necessary for the preparation of the case of the
- 18 taxpayer.
- 19 (iii) Fees paid or incurred by the taxpayer for the services
- 20 of an independent attorney or accountant including fees paid or
- 21 incurred in obtaining costs under this subsection.
- 22 (2) The dollar amount of the award for reasonable litigation
- 23 costs shall be determined by the director of revenue or the
- 24 court within its discretion.
- 25 Sec. 2. Section 421.60, subsection 4, paragraph c, Code
- 26 2024, is amended to read as follows:
- 27 c. For purposes of this section, "prevailing taxpayer" means
- 28 a taxpayer who establishes that the position of the state was
- 29 not substantially justified and who has substantially prevailed
- 30 with respect to the amount in controversy or has substantially
- 31 prevailed with respect to the most significant issue or set of
- 32 issues presented. The determination of whether a taxpayer is
- 33 a prevailing taxpayer is to be determined in accordance with
- 34 chapter 17A. If the taxpayer establishes that the taxpayer
- 35 has substantially prevailed with respect to the amount in

H.F. ____

- 1 controversy or has substantially prevailed with respect to the
- 2 most significant issue set of issues presented, the burden of
- 3 proof shifts to the department of revenue to prove that the
- 4 position taken by the department was substantially justified.
- 5 If the department meets the burden by proving the position of
- 6 the department was substantially justified, the taxpayer shall
- 7 not be considered a prevailing taxpayer.
- 8 EXPLANATION
- 9 The inclusion of this explanation does not constitute agreement with 10 the explanation's substance by the members of the general assembly.
- 11 This bill relates to the costs of litigation in
- 12 administrative hearings or court proceedings involving the
- 13 collection of tax penalties and interest (dispute) by the
- 14 department of revenue (department).
- 15 The bill changes the costs allowed to be recovered in the
- 16 dispute. The costs allowed to be recovered under the bill
- 17 include reasonable court costs; reasonable prevailing market
- 18 rates for expert witnesses, studies, tests, analysis, or
- 19 special projects; and reasonable attorney or accountant fees
- 20 including fees related to the recovery of costs allowed under
- 21 the bill.
- The bill strikes the current \$25,000 cap recoverable by a
- 23 taxpayer in a dispute and provides that the dollar amount of an
- 24 award in a dispute be determined within the discretion of the
- 25 director of revenue or court, as applicable.
- 26 Under the bill, if the taxpayer substantially prevails in
- 27 the dispute relating to the amount in controversy or issues
- 28 involved, the burden of proof shifts to the department to
- 29 prove that the position of the department was substantially
- 30 justified. If the department proves the position of the
- 31 department was substantially justified, the bill prohibits
- 32 the taxpayer from recovering an award in the dispute. Under
- 33 current law, the taxpayer must prove the position of the
- 34 department was not substantially justified in addition to the
- 35 amount in controversy and issues involved.