Iowa Legislative Fiscal Bureau

Dennis Prouty (515) 281-5279 FAX 281-8451



State Capitol Des Moines, IA 50319 November 8, 2000

Indigent Patient Care Program at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics

ISSUE

This *Issue Review* provides background and reviews certain issues regarding the Indigent Patient Care Program at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics (SUIHC). This Program is sometimes referred to as the State Papers Program.

AFFECTED AGENCIES

University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics Department of Human Services

CODE AUTHORITY

Chapter 255, <u>Code of Iowa</u> Section 262.28, <u>Code of Iowa</u>

BACKGROUND

R

The Indigent Patient Care Program at SUIHC provides medical care to indigent persons not eligible for the Medical Assistance (Medicaid) or Medicare Programs, and to relieve the financial burden of county and local governments for providing medical care to these persons. The Program also benefits the SUIHC by providing patients for education of medical students.

Much of the background information and many of the issues related to the Program can be categorized as follows:

- County Patient Quotas
- Medical Services and Charges
- Travel and Local Provision of Medical Services
- Funding Mechanism Related to Medicaid/Medicare (Disproportionate Share)

County Patient Quotas

Section 255.16, <u>Code of Iowa</u>, provides a patient quota formula for the Indigent Patient Care Program that is based on population according to the most recent census. However, the quota limit does not apply to obstetrical or orthopedic patients. Each county has a general assistance director that is responsible for determining which patients will be served under the quota system. **Attachment A** illustrates the quota for each county and utilization for FY 2000.

Medical Services and Charges

The SUIHC provides medical services to patients under the Indigent Patient Care Program and receives a State appropriation for these services, which equals \$33.0 million for FY 2001. Section 262.28, <u>Code of Iowa</u>, allows one-twelfth of the State appropriation to be transferred to the SUIHC each month, regardless of patient charges. The SUIHC has consistently maintained that the cost to provide services to indigent patients far exceeds the State appropriation. However, the SUIHC's practice when calculating the total cost of medical services provided to indigent patients is to include the full cost of services, including physician fees, even though the physicians are salaried employees. This practice neglects to recognize that in the health care industry, typically the standard reimbursement rate paid by insurance providers is 70.0% to 75.0% of actual charges. The Medical Assistance Program reimbursement rate is typically 60.0% to 70.0%.

Travel and Local Provision of Medical Services

Currently, all indigent patient care is provided at the SUIHC in Iowa City, except for some limited telemedicine applications. The SUIHC, through the State appropriation for the Indigent Patient Care Program, provides transportation from the patient's home to Iowa City. These transportation costs were approximately \$418,000 in FY 1998 and \$384,000 in FY 1999.

Cost to the State is not the only concern of providing indigent patient care only in lowa City. Family and friends that wish to visit patients must also travel to lowa City. Sending all indigent patients to lowa City also ignores the capacity for medical care that exists in local hospitals and medical facilities.

During 1998, a Legislative Interim Committee was charged with examining the Indigent Patient Care Program, with an emphasis on the possibility of providing indigent patient care at alternative locations throughout the State. While the issue of providing medical care locally was considered by the Committee, no specific recommendations were made.

The SUIHC is resistant to decentralization of care for a number of reasons, some of which include:

- Effect on local providers. The SUIHC implies there would be a minimal impact to local hospitals because most cannot provide the level of care provided at lowa City.
- Endorsement of the Program. All of the entities with the most involvement with the Program, such as the lowa Medical Society and the Association of Iowa Hospitals and Health System, have endorsed having services provided at Iowa City.
- Cost of decentralization. Decentralization may increase administrative costs or result in loss of federal
 matching Medicaid funds. Increased costs could shift to local property taxes. Currently counties have
 control over referral of patients without cost concerns.
- Health education programs at the SUIHC may be disrupted if the patient base is decreased.
- Continuity of care would be disrupted if patients are initially treated locally and then transferred to lowa City.

• Liability for operation of the Program currently resides solely with the SUIHC. If the Program were decentralized the liable party would be uncertain.

The General Assembly continues to have a concern about decentralizing indigent patient care. As a result, HF 2549 (FY 2001 Education Appropriations Act) and SF 464 (FY 2000 Education Appropriations Act) contained intent language requiring the SUIHC to use technology (telemedicine) to provide care to indigent patients in a manner that reduces travel to lowa City. The SUIHC has attempted to increase use of telemedicine whenever possible.

Funding Mechanism Related to Medicaid/Medicare (Disproportionate Share)

There are two areas within the Medical Assistance budget where federal Medicaid funds are being directed to the State General Fund rather than being used to pay for services in the Medicaid Program that are tied to the indigent patient appropriation at the SUIHC. These are:

- Supplemental Disproportionate Share \$4.4 million. In 1983, Congress passed legislation requiring state Medicaid programs to make additional payments to hospitals serving "a disproportionate share of low income patients." States were required to develop formulas for determining the amount of the additional payment. Iowa's formula allows hospitals that have 25.0% of gross billing days provided to low income patients to qualify for the supplemental payments. Iowa's allotment, which must be matched by State funds, is \$8.0 million. Iowa's formula provides for distribution of approximately \$3.6 million in federal funds and \$2.1 million of State matching funds to 15 hospitals. The remaining \$4.4 million is referred to as "supplemental disproportionate share" and is certified by the SUIHC and historically has passed through to the State General Fund. However, in FY 2001, the funds will pass through directly to the Department of Human Services due to a language change in HF 2549 (FY 2001 Education Appropriations Act).
- Supplemental Indirect Medical Education \$15.1 million. The Medicaid Program reimburses teaching hospitals for a portion of expenses associated with medical education. The reimbursements can be for expenses directly attributable to education of residents, such as salaries, benefits, office space, and a portion of the cost of the facility. Or the reimbursements can be for indirect costs such as compensating for the inefficiencies of training providers and caring for greater numbers of uninsured patients. The requirements for payment of indirect supplemental education reimbursements is very specific and the SUIHC is the only lowa hospital that qualifies. The federal share of this payment is \$15.1 million and is certified by the SUIHC and has historically passed through to the State General Fund. However, in FY 2001, the funds will pass through directly to the Department of Human Services due to a language change in HF 2549 (FY 2001 Education Appropriations Act).

ALTERNATIVES

In an effort to improve and reduce the cost of the Indigent Patient Care Program, the General Assembly may wish to consider:

- Researching and/or encouraging provision of more medical services locally rather than having all patients
 travel to lowa City. Local providers would receive reimbursement from the State appropriation either
 directly or through SUIHC. However, the SUIHC has indicated numerous concerns about
 decentralization of medical care under this Program. It should be noted that the SUIHC has a related
 system of clinics at which local service could be provided. Utilizing medical facilities locally has several
 advantages including:
 - Travel expenditures would be reduced so more funds would be available for patient treatment.
 - Patients could receive treatment closer to home resulting in less burden on visiting family and friends in terms of travel.
 - o It would provide more utilization of local hospitals and medical facilities.

- Implementing a "managed care" policy for indigent patient medical services. The policy would require the SUIHC to claim medical services provided at the health care industry standard reimbursement rate of 70.0% to 75.0%.
- Implementing a standard definition of the term indigent to be used by all counties. Currently each county director determines whether a patient is indigent and the qualifications vary among counties.
- Providing some oversight of the Program, perhaps through the State Auditor, to evaluate the use of the quotas and the variety of factors counties use to determine which patients are "indigent."
- Reviewing the quota system. New census data will soon be available and the county quotas will be
 revised. Perhaps more analysis should be done regarding quota utilization. Iowans may be better served
 if the county quotas were based on a combination of poverty levels and population, or on historical
 utilization.

BUDGET IMPACT AND FUNDING

The FY 2001 General Fund appropriation to the Indigent Patient Care Program at SUIHC is \$33.0 million. The SUIHC is requesting the same level of funding for FY 2002.

Historical funding for the Program is illustrated in **Table 1** below.

Table 1
Indigent Patient Care Program
General Fund Appropriations History

(Dollars in Millions)

Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Percent Change
FY 2002 Request	\$ 33.0	0.0%
FY 2001 Estimated	33.0	1.5%
FY 2000	32.5	2.2%
FY 1999	31.8	2.6%
FY 1998	31.0	3.0%
FY 1997	30.1	2.4%
FY 1996	29.4	2.4%
FY 1995	28.7	1.0%
FY 1994	28.4	0.4%
FY 1993	28.3	4.0%
FY 1992	27.2	-5.6%
FY 1991	28.8	3.2%
FY 1990	27.9	4.1%

STAFF CONTACT: Mary Shipman (Ext. 14617)

LFB:IR10MASA.Doc/11/27/00/10:30 am/all Indigent Patient Care Program at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics

REGULAR QUOTA, EXCESS QUOTA AND NON-QUOTA UTILIZATION July 1, 1999 to June 30, 2000

			Q	uota Utilizatio	n		Non - Q	uota Utilization	(1) I	TOTAL
		Utiliza	ation of Curre			Prior		-		
		D. 0.5	BASE	REGULAR	% of	Years'				
	County	BASE QUOTA	QUOTA PLUS 10%	QUOTA	Quota	Allocations		NEWBORN	007710	TOT41
1	Adair	14	15	7	Utilized 46.7%	Utilized	OBSTETRICS	<u>NEWBORN</u>	ORTHO	TOTAL 8
	Adams	8	9	7	77.8%	-	-		<u> </u>	o 7
3.		23	25	10	40.0%	_	-	-	2	12
4.	Appanoose	23	25	21	84.0%	4	_		2	27
5.	Audubon	12	13	7	53.8%	_	-	-	1	8
6.	Benton	37	41	27	65.9%	2	-	-	3	32
7.		206	227	182	80.2%	20	-	-	40	242
8.	Boone	42	46	43	93.5%	2	-	-	7	52
9.	Bremer	38	42	26	61.9%	5	-	=	2	33
	Buchanan	35	38	28	73.7%	6	-	-	3	37
	Buena Vista Butler	33 26	37	20	54.1%	6	-	•	2	28
	Calhoun	26 19	29 21	15 8	51.7% 38.1%	1 3	-	-	6	22
	Carroll	36	39	21	53.8%	3 7	-	-	2 3	13
	Cass	25	28	17	60.7%	1	-	-	ა 2	31 20
	Cedar	29	32	24	75.0%	1	-	_	6	31
	Cerro Gordo	78	86	45	52,3%	2	_	_	2	49
	Cherokee	23	26	14	53.8%	1		_	_	15
19.	Chickasaw	22	24	22	91.7%	-	-		7	29
	Clarke	14	15	11	73.3%	3	-	-	2	16
	Clay	29	32	21	65.6%	-	-	-	3	24
	Clayton	32	35	. 30	85.7%	3	-	-	7	40
	Clinton	85	94	74	78.7%	27	-	-	12	113
	Crawford	28	31	17	54.8%	-	-	-	6	23
	Dallas	50	54	51	94.4%	1	-	-	7	59
	Davis	14	15	10	66.7%	-	-	-	3	13
	Decatur Delaware	14 30	15 33	8 33	53.3%	3 5	-	-	4	15
	Des Moines	71	78	73	100.0% 93.6%	3 8	1	1	1 20	39 403
	Dickinson	25	27	13	48.1%	2	<u>'</u>	· ·	4	103 19
	Dubuque	144	158	99	62.7%	25	2	1	24	151
	Emmet	19	21	20	95.2%		-	-	6	26
33.	Fayette	36	40	7	17.5%	1	_	_	4	12
34.	Floyd	28	31	27	87.1%	-	-	-	9	36
35.	Franklin	19	21	7	33.3%	2	-	-	1	10
	Fremont	14	15	3	20.0%	(2)	-	•	-	1
	Greene	17	18	13	72.2%	1	-	-	3	17
	Grundy	20	22	17	77.3%	2	-	-	3	22
	Guthrie Hamilton	18 27	20 29	19	95.0%	(1)	-	- .	6	24
	Hancock	21	29 23	28 11	96.6% 47.8%	1	-	-	8	37
	Hardin	32	23 35	29	47.6% 82.9%	1 1	-	•	2 2	14
	Harrison	24	27	29	81.5%	1	-	-	3	32 26
	Henry	32	35	22	62.9%	13	_	-	3	38
	Howard	16	18	13	72.2%	1	_	_	-	14 .
	Humboldt	18	20	8	40.0%	2	-	-	_	10
47.	lda	14	15	6	40.0%	-	-	-	2	8
48.	lowa	24	27	23	85.2%	5	-	-	7	35
	Jackson	33	37	22	59.5%	(3)	-	-	10	29
	Jasper	58	64	46	71.9%	12	-	-	8	66
	Jefferson	27	30	15	50.0%	1	-	=	1	17
	Johnson	160	176	114	64.8%	50	-	-	34	198
	Jones	32	36	15	41.7%	6	-	-	8	29
	Keokuk Kossuth	19 31	21	12 31	57.1% 91.2%	2	1	1	6	22
	Lee	31 64	34 71	31 70	91.2% 98.6%	4 2	-	-	4	39
	Linn	281	309	282	98.6% 91.3%	2 17	2	- 1	30 54	102
	Louisa	19	21	19	90.5%	4	- -	l :	54 5	356 28
	Lucas	15	17	7	41.2%	2	-	-	-	20 9
	Lyon	20	22	10	45.5%	1	-	_	-	11
61.	Madison	21	23	17	73.9%	1	-	_	8	26
	Mahaska	36	39	33	84.6%	2	-	_	15	50
	Marion	50	55	32	58.2%	5	-	•	5	42
64.	Marshall	64	70	57	81.4%	5	-	-	8	70

⁽¹⁾ Includes all prior years' non-quota utilization recorded in the 1999-00 fiscal year of 6 Obstetrics, 2 Newborn, 74 Orthopaedic and 42 State Institution patients.

University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics

REGULAR QUOTA, EXCESS QUOTA AND NON-QUOTA UTILIZATION July 1, 1999 to June 30, 2000

ation % of Quota Utilized 29.2% 65.0% 61.1% 66.7% 72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2% 27.3%	Prior Years' Allocations Utilized	OBSTETRICS 4	NEWBORN (1)	ORTHO 2 5 1 39 - 1 1 1 14 3 2	TOTAL 15 16 16 17 18 18 11 19 20 12 13 14 328 111
Quota Utilized 29.2% 65.0% 61.1% 66.7% 72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	Allocations Utilized 1 2 (1) - 4 2 58 (1) 13		(1)	2 - 5 1 39 - - 1 1 - 1 14 3	15 16 18 18 113 19 2 36 14 13 14 328
Utilized 29.2% 65.0% 61.1% 66.7% 72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	Utilized		(1)	2 - 5 1 39 - - 1 1 - 1 14 3	15 16 18 18 113 19 2 36 14 13 14 328
65.0% 61.1% 66.7% 72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	1 2 (1) - 4 - - 2 58 (1) 13		- (1) - - - - -	2 - 5 1 39 - - 1 1 1 - 1 4 3	15 17 18 18 113 19 2 36 14 13 328
65.0% 61.1% 66.7% 72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	1 2 (1) - 4 - - 2 58 (1) 13		- (1) - - - - -	2 - 5 1 39 - - 1 1 1 - 1 4 3	15 17 18 18 113 19 2 36 14 13 328
61.1% 66.7% 72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	1 2 (1) - 4 - - 2 58 (1) 13		- (1) - - - - -	5 1 39 - 1 1 1 1 14 3	11 18 18 113 19 2 36 14 13 14 328
66.7% 72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	2 (1) - 4 - - 2 58 (1) 13		- (1) - - - - -	5 1 39 - - 1 1 1 - 1 14 3	15 18 113 15 2 36 14 13 14 328
72.7% 94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	2 (1) - 4 - - 2 58 (1) 13		(1) - - - - - -	1 39 - - 1 1 - 1 14 3	18 113 19 2 36 14 13 14 328
94.5% 71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 42.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	2 (1) - 4 - - 2 58 (1) 13	4	- - - -	39 - 1 1 1 - 1 14 3	113 19 2 36 14 13 14 328
71.4% 30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 42.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	(1) - 4 - - 2 58 (1) 13 -	- - - - - - -	- - - -	1 1 1 1 14 3	19 2 36 14 13 14 328
30.8% 100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	4 - - 2 58 (1) 13	- - - - - -	- - - -	1 1 - 1 14 3	36 14 13 14 328
100.0% 65.0% 30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	2 58 (1) 13	- - - - -	-	1 1 14 3	36 14 13 14 328
30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	2 58 (1) 13 -	- - - - -	-	1 1 14 3	14 13 14 328
30.2% 61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	2 58 (1) 13 -	- - - - -	-	1 14 3	15 14 328
61.1% 42.7% 72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	58 (1) 13 -	- - - -	-	1 14 3	14 328
72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	(1) 13 -	-	- - -	3	328
72.2% 42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	(1) 13 -	- - -	- - -	3	
42.9% 70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	13 - -	- -	-		
70.0% 73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	-	-	_	_	30
73.9% 53.8% 54.2%	-	-		_	30
53.8% 54.2%		_		_	
54.2%	00	1	-		17
	141	1	-	35	250
41.370	(1)	-	-	2	12
0 4 4 0 /	-	-	•	2	17
94.1%	9	-	-	4	14
65.6%	4	•	-	3	28
69.2%	-	-	•	1	10
		-	-	6	28
			-	-	7
		1	1		94
		-	-		36
		2	1	7	25
		-	-	2	14
	3	-	-	19	63
	1	-	-	-	Ş
44.7%	-	-	-	2	19
	-	- '	-		20
	-	•	-		13
		-	-	2	
63.5%	452	14 (1)	5 (1)	_	14
	95.7% 35.7% 80.0% 43.9% 30.6% 69.2% 55.4% 36.4% 44.7% 10.6% 73.3% 50.0%	95.7% - 35.7% 2 80.0% 5 43.9% 3 30.6% 4 69.2% 3 55.4% 3 36.4% 1 44.7% - 10.6% - 73.3% -	95.7%	95.7%	95.7% - - - 6 35.7% 2 - - - 80.0% 5 1 1 35 43.9% 3 - - 4 30.6% 4 2 1 7 69.2% 3 - - 2 55.4% 3 - - 19 36.4% 1 - - - 44.7% - - 2 10.6% - - 1

⁽¹⁾ Includes all prior years' non-quota utilization recorded in the 1999-00 fiscal year of 6 Obstetrics, 2 Newborn, 74 Orthopaedic and 42 State Institution patients.