# **Iowa Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

Dennis C. Prouty (515) 281-5279 FAX 281-8451



State Capitol Des Moines, IA 50319 August 14, 1995

### Update On Unpaid Fines, Fees & Court Costs

### <u>ISSUE</u>

The amount of uncollected fines, fees, and court costs continues to increase. Few counties have implemented the collection enhancement program authorized during the 1991 Legislative Session due to the amount of time and resources county attorneys are required to contribute for collections. The General Assembly adopted a new approach during the 1995 Legislative Session to further improve collection efforts.

### **AFFECTED AGENCIES**

County Attorney Offices, Judicial Department, Prosecuting Attorneys Training Council, Department of Inspections and Appeals, Department of Transportation, and Department of Revenue and Finance

### CODE AUTHORITY

Chapter 909.9, Code of Iowa

### BACKGROUND

During the 1991 Legislative Session, the General Assembly enacted HF 697, Collection of Delinquent Criminal Fines and Court Costs, providing the authority for county attorneys to collect unpaid fines and court costs which were at least six months delinquent. The legislation provided an incentive for county attorneys by allowing 35.0% of the amount collected, after payment of court costs, to be retained by the county. The Legislative Fiscal Committee reviewed this issue during the 1992 Interim and requested that the Judicial Department, Attorney General, and the County Attorneys Association prepare recommendations to address the problem. Recommendations were prepared by the Judicial Department and the Attorney General but were not agreed to by the County Attorneys Association.

In FY 1992 Polk County received grant funding of \$125,000 from the United States Bureau of Justice Assistance to develop a structured fine pilot project, of which \$6,000 was allocated to the Judicial Department for the Iowa Court Information System to develop a tickler application. The tickler application monitors delinquent fines and generates delinquent notices. The structured fine pilot project was not fully implemented until January 1992. Structured fines differ from most criminal fines imposed for two reasons. First, the offender's ability to pay is factored into a

computation of the fine amount, along with the severity of the offense, the offender's past criminal history, and the specifics of the incident which resulted in the arrest. Second, the offender's fine payments are closely monitored by a computer based tracking system, with various fine collection activities based on payment history.

During the 1995 Legislative Session, HF 549, Collection of Taxes and Debts Owed to the State, was enacted. The Act provides for an administrative levy to seize certain accounts of a debtor; the denial, revocation, suspension, or renewal of licenses authorized by the State; the redistribution of debts collected; and creates a driver's license indebtedness clearance pilot project program. The Centralized Debt Collection Facility of the Department of Revenue and Finance is permitted to issue a levy against checking, savings, and share accounts owned by persons who are indebted to the State and delinquent. County treasurers may refuse to renew the registration of a vehicle registered to an applicant if the county treasurer is cognizant that the applicant has a delinquent account, charge, fee, loan, taxes, or other indebtedness owed to, or being collected by the State.

As specified in HF 549, the Department of Transportation, in consultation with the Department of Revenue and Finance and other applicable agencies, is required to establish a driver's license indebtedness clearance pilot project. Counties participating in the indebtedness clearance pilot project are required to suspend and not issue or renew a driver's license if the applicant or licensee has a delinquent account, charge, fee, loan, or other indebtedness owed to or being collected by the State, unless the applicant or licensee has made arrangements for payment of the debt with the agency collecting the debt obligation. The pilot project is to commence January 1, 1996, and end January 1, 1997. The Department of Transportation is required to provide a report with findings and recommendations to the General Assembly by April 1, 1997.

### **CURRENT SITUATION**

The following initiatives are being implemented by State and local agencies to increase collections of outstanding court fines, fees, and other debt obligations owed to the State.

- County Attorneys Collection Efforts. Pursuant to HF 697, county attorneys may choose to participate in the collection of delinquent criminal fines and court costs and are permitted to retain 35.0% of the delinquent fines collected. Since county attorneys have the option to participate, the number of counties participating fluctuate every year. Some county attorneys have expressed concern that considerable time and resources are required to collect the delinquent fines, and the 35.0% retained earnings is insufficient to cover the full costs to participate. The number of counties which participated in the collection of delinquent fines in FY 1994 decreased by eight or 15.0% compared to FY 1993, down from 52 to 44. Although fewer counties participated in collecting delinquent fines in FY 1994, total fines collected exceeded FY 1993 collections by \$91,236 (28.0%), up from \$322,985 to \$414,221. Warren County represented 24.0% of the total delinquent fines collected during FY 1994. (See Attachment 1 Counties participating in delinquent court fines pursuant to HF 697)
- Structured Fine Pilot Project. During the 1995 Legislative Session, the General Assembly appropriated \$100,000 to the Criminal and Juvenile Justice Planning Division of the Department of Human Rights to further expand the structured fine pilot project to four additional counties. The pilot sites are to be located in counties with populations of 25,000 or more, or the pilot sites will serve two or more counties with combined populations totaling 25,000 or more, where current fine collection rates are below 75.0%. However, SF 416, Establishment of Structured Fine Pilot Projects, Civil Penalties and Surcharges, and Provisions for the Distribution of Fines, which specified the criteria for establishing structured

fine pilot projects and ensuring the pilot projects remain revenue neutral, was not adopted by the General Assembly during the 1995 Legislative Session. Table 1 depicts a composite of average fines assessed for the five offenses for which fines are most frequently imposed.

Offenses	% of Cases Where Fines Imposed	1992 - 1994 Structured Fines	1991 Polk County Fines	1991 Scott County Fines	
Drive Motor Vehicle with Driver's License Suspended/Revoked	39.0%	\$ 314.85	\$ 227.09	\$ 313.11	
Operate Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated - 1st Offense	21.0%	413.70	503.36	538.08	
Possession of Controlled Substance	8.0%	306.57	362.44	199.23	
Operate Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated - 2nd Offense	7.0%	650.86	759.30	796.84	
Operate Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated - 3rd Offense	7.0%	752.03	756.61	755.81	

### Table 1 Composite of Average Fine Amounts

 The five offense categories represent approximately 82.0% of all offenses for which fines are imposed, and the offense class which has the greatest number of cases where fines are imposed is driving a motor vehicle with a suspended or revoked driver's license, representing 39.0%. In 1991 the Criminal and Juvenile Justice Planning Division reviewed the average fines assessed by counties for each offense class. Before the structured fine pilot project was implemented in Polk County, the average fine for all five offense classes was comparable to the average fines assessed in Scott County, which has a successful nonstructured fine collection program. After implementation, the average fines for several Polk County offense classes decreased below the 1991 averages because only those individuals who had the means to pay the fines were pursued by the Clerks of the District Court offices. Table 2 includes the number of fines and structured civil penalties imposed by the court, but does not include surcharges and court costs.

Description	Polk Co. Pilot Site 1993	Polk Co. Pilot Site 1992	Polk Co. Pilot Site 1991	Scott Co. 1991	Warren Co. 1991
Number of Fines Imposed By Courts *	1,805	1,382	2,866	1,588	489
Collection Rate For All Fines Imposed *	71.3%	66.0%	33.6%	71.6%	67.7%
Percent of All Cases Paying Fines In Full *	65.3%	60.5%	31.3%	72.1%	63.6%
Percent of All Cases Paying \$0.00 of Fine *	19.7%	21.7%	55.3%	23.8%	18.9%
Percent of All Fine Cases Resentenced By Court to Another Sanction	17.1%	16.3%	0.9%	7.8%	1.0%
Collection Rate for Fine Cases Not Resentenced by Court *	81.5%	76.7%	33.8%	74.5%	68.2%
Percent of Cases Not Resentenced by Court Paying Fine in Full*	78.9%	72.2%	31.5%	78.2%	64.3%

## Table 2 Structured Fines Pilot Project Comparative Results

\*NOTE: All court resentencing and fine collection data are as of December 31 of the year following the fine assessment date.

- The fine collection data relating to the pilot project were compared to fine collection data compiled from Polk County the year preceding the start of the pilot project. The percentage of cases in which the fine was paid in full more than doubled from 31.5% to 72.2% for the 1992 pilot project cases, and increased further to 78.9% for structured fines imposed in 1993. In addition, the collection rate for fine cases not resentenced by courts more than doubled, increasing from 33.8% to 76.7% for the 1992 structured fine cases, and increasing to 81.5% in 1993. The number of fines imposed by Polk County decreased by 1,484 (52.0%) when comparing 1991 to 1992. Consequently, collection rates were expected to increase since the number of fines imposed was influenced by each individual's ability to pay.
- Income Tax Refund Offset. The Income Tax Refund Offset procedure provides the authority for the Clerk of the District Court to notify the Department of Revenue and Finance of delinquent court fines and fees. Once the Department is notified, the offset against the delinquent individual's tax returns is implemented. The Department of Revenue and Finance began implementing the procedure in April 1994. A total of \$742,917 has been collected through the use of the Income Tax Refund Offset procedure. This procedure has been implemented in 28 counties. For more information on collection amounts, please contact the Legislative Fiscal Bureau.
- Payment by Credit Card. The Judicial Department is working with other agencies to provide the Clerks of the District Court the necessary resources to accept payments by credit card. The Department intends to implement this effort in Polk, Scott, and Woodbury counties. Polk County began using the credit card option in July 1995. Norwest Bank of Des Moines has agreed to serve as a liaison for the participating credit card companies and the State to ensure all payment procedures are implemented properly. Norwest Bank's fee for service is to be withheld from the amount of fines and fees collected.

### BUDGET IMPACT

The General Assembly addressed the issue of collecting delinquent court fines and fees by expanding the existing capacity of the Judicial Department's Iowa Court Information System. Between FY 1988 and FY 1996, a total of \$26.3 million has been appropriated from the General Fund to the Judicial Department for the development and continuous maintenance of the Iowa Court Information System. By December 31, 1995, the System will be operating in 55 counties, representing approximately 87.0% of Iowa's total population.

The System's application was recently enhanced to generate delinquent notices, informing defendants of outstanding debt obligations. An example of the effectiveness of the System would be the recent efforts implemented by the Pottawattamie County Clerks of the District Court office. The Office uses the System to generate a letter to a defendant if payment of a traffic fine has not been received within 15 days of the court date. Failure to appear results in an additional letter plus an arrest warrant. The System generates a listing of persons with delinquent fines, fees, surcharges, and other court costs for use by the county treasurer to stop renewal of vehicle registrations and the Iowa Department of Transportation to initiate suspension of individual motor vehicle licenses.

The lowa Court Information System transfers court information to other State agencies. Further expansion and development of the System will provide a more uniformed collection policy statewide for collecting fines, fees, and other court costs, thus, increasing the opportunity for the State to collect debt obligations which are collectable. According to the Judicial Department's annual report of uncollectable court debt obligations, a total of \$17.1 million (\$9.3 million in fines, \$4.8 million in court costs, and \$3.0 million in surcharges) was outstanding as of December 31, 1994. The total uncollectable court debt obligations increased by \$2.2 million (14.7%) compared to the total amount reported by the Department on December 31, 1993. (See Attachment 2 - Judicial Department's annual report's annual reports of total fines, penalties, and forfeitures not paid, remitted, canceled, or otherwise satisfied for calendar year 1994)

STAFF CONTACT: Leroy McGarity (Ext. 17942)

LFB:IR7LAMA.DOC/08/14/95 11:30 AM/A Update on Unpaid Fines, Fees & Court Costs

COUNTY ALLAMAKEE BLACK HAWK BUCHANAN CHICKASAW CLAYTON DELAWARE GRUNDY HOWARD D1 TOTAL	DIS. D1 D1 D1 D1 D1 D1 D1 D1 D1 D1	YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1992 \$ 2,060.10 2,505.82 445.00 405.25 0.00 0.00 4,099.67 0.00 9,515.84	YEAR ENDING JUNE 30,1993 \$ 438.43 50,700.65 850.00 1,004.00 605.28 40.00 10,019.46 130.00 63,787.82	YEAR ENDING JUNE 30,1994 \$ 2,749.26 20,746.38 74.00 1,982.75 1,163.00 5,337.65 11,300.51 285.00 43,638.55	1994 Col. vs. 1993 Col. \$ 2,310.83 -29,954.27 -776.00 978.75 557.72 5,297.65 1,281.05 155.00 -20,149.27
BOONE BREMER CERRO GORDO FRANKLIN GREENE HARDIN HUMBOLDT POCAHONTAS SAC STORY WRIGHT D2 TOTAL	D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 1.205.97\\ 2.820.18\\ 6.203.76\\ 2.275.36\\ 0.00\\ 7.865.90\\ 0.00\\ 2.650.24\\ 23.021.41 \end{array}$	7,547.52 $2,259.10$ $2,963.14$ $1,042.54$ $125.00$ $4,374.08$ $4,297.98$ $706.04$ $3,663.66$ $5,368.10$ $7,685.43$ $40,032.59$	6,697.15 1,246.53 1,784.35 0.00 0.00 1,646.10 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 11,374.13	-850.37 -1,012.57 -1,178.79 -1,042.54 -125.00 -4,374.08 -2,651.88 -706.04 -3,663.66 -5,368.10 -7,685.43 -28,658.46
BUENA VISTA CLAY CRAWFORD DICKINSON EMMET IDA LYON OSCEOLA PALO ALTO PLYMOUTH SIOUX D3 TOTAL	D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3 D3	6,941.50 5,141.35 0.00 595.00 0.00 2,137.70 0.00 60.00 0.00 3,062.50 17,938.05	13,267.78 6,481.32 1,770.76 2,094.90 500.00 700.00 5,638.37 2,565.00 307.54 50.00 6,365.00 39,740.67	9,043.79 9,750.68 2,054.24 4,220.00 8,411.06 8,950.00 5,703.24 5,875.07 656.87 13,550.82 4,340.00 72,555.77	-4,223.99 3,269.36 283.48 2,125.10 7,911.06 8,250.00 64.87 3,310.07 349.33 13,500.82 -2,025.00 32,815.10
AUDUBON CASS POTTAWATTAME D4 TOTAL	D4 D4 D4	210.00 3,189.72 25.00 3,424.72	120.00 4,265.33 0.00 4,385.33	0.00 16,632.37 	-120.00 12,367.04 \$ 0.00 12,247.04

#### COUNTIES PARTICIPATING IN DELINQUENT COURT FINES COLLECTION PURSUANT TO H.F. 697

DELCOL92.XLS

LFB: 6/29/95

COUNTY	DIS.	FINES	COURT COSTS	SUR- CHARGES	SUBTOTAL	ATTORNEY FEES	VICTIM RESTITUTION	OTHER	GRAND TOTAL
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
ADAIR	D5	16,230.75	6,768.51	4,639.36	27,638.62	7,373.97	1,794.83	0.00	36,807.42
ADAMS	D5				0.00				0.00
ALLAMAKEE	D1	26,547.60	6,157.18	8,233.00	40,937.78	21,179.62	459,262.35	4,688.83	526,068.58
APPANOOSE	D8	72,135.52	30,426.97	27,188.02	129,750.51	46,719.79	106,334.79	0.00	282,805.09
AUDUBON	D4	7,134.49	5,161.24	2,671.05	14,966.78	7,369.74	0.00	0.00	22,336.52
BENTON	D6	72,011.85	20,996.62	20,243.72	113,252.19	18,414.79	12,932.58	1,087.08	145,686.64
BLACK HAWK	D1	929,367.86	820,823.76	100,720.99	1,850,912.61	1,007,124.68	905,266.89	288,647.40	4,051,951.58
BOONE	D2	82,742.50	11,589.52	27,925.00	122,257.02	27,412.72	11,059.72	0.00	160,729.46
BREMER	D2	30,291.49	6,812.36	9,612.00	46,715.85	8,048.22	3,200.00	0.00	57,964.07
BUCHANAN	D1	88,935.19	32,764.57	30,371.98	152,071.74	24,885.52	51,450.66	0.00	228,407.92
BUENA VISTA	D3	96,311.61	37,478.97	26,002.23	159,792.81	35,349.07	45,751.79	475.50	241,369.17
BUTLER	D2	19,423.09	6,334.86	13,461.29	39,219.24	8,097.42	20,709.53	0.00	68,026.19
CALHOUN	D2	10,824.00	1,154.99	3,505.00	15,483.99	1,018.75	950.46	0.00	17,453.20
CARROLL	D2	12,355.00	6,409.91	3,926.00	22,690.91	2,857.00	2,126.82	0.00	27,674.73
CASS	D4	50,224.87	25,804.31	15,716.44	91,745.62	9,882.09	13,610.46	1,224.66	116,462.83
CEDAR	D7	75,924.02	29,531.85	25,920.28	131,376.15	31,925.89	151,017.46	335.10	314,654.60
CERRO GOR	D2				0.00				0.00
CHEROKEE	D3	31,223.63	5,455.38	8,154.00	44,833.01	9,918.00	14,607.76	0.00	69,358.77
CHICKASAW	D1	15,327.14	12,572.04	5,961.15	33,860.33	27,971.65	6,750.50	574.21	69,156.69
CLARKE	D5	21,631.52	8,390.62	6,467.46	36,489.60	15,213.42	9,088.04	0.00	60,791.06
CLAY	D3	34,575.94	10,845.80	11,390.49	56,812.23	13,444.39	49,409.41	0.00	119,666.03
CLAYTON	D1	7,815.88	4,590.70	2,374.77	14,781.35	0.00	1,700.88	0.00	16,482.23
CLINTON	D7	119,679.29	38,967.66	41,503.69	200,150.64	73,580.99	17,044.73	6,507.23	297,283.59

COUNTY	DIS.	FINES	COURT COSTS	SUR CHARGES	SUBTOTAL	ATTORNEY FEES	VICTIM RESTITUTION	OTHER	GRAND TOTAL
		42.004.40	45 540 70	17.000.00	04 700 00		2 052 07	0.00	110 041 47
CRAWFORD	D3	48,931.19	15,519.76	17,269.38	81,720.33	23,463.87	7,057.27	0.00	112,241.47
DALLAS	D5	123,431.00	23,173.00	40,670.00	187,274.00	15,710.00	33,883.00	0.00	236,867.00
DAVIS	D8	11,760.15	3,458.96	3,785.00	19,004.11	5,351.61	1,771.23	527.94	26,654.89
DECATUR	D5	13,890.00	6,719.04	3,095.00	23,704.04	30,541.93	8,939.50	0.00	63,185.47
DELAWARE	D1	30,625.62	10,216.72	10,012.70	50,855.04	6,261.53	10,573.49	322.19	68,012.25
DES MOINES	D8	183,372.65	68,167.24	74,377.10	325,916.99	38,263.60	232,636.79	0.00	596,817.38
DICKINSON	D3	121,793.38	67,788.97	38,389.49	227,971.84	65,037.82	49,281.82	0.00	342,291.48
DUBUQUE	D1	0.00	468,132.98	0.00	468,132.98	26,545.42	147,992.94	0.00	642,671.34
EMMET	D3	22,777.90	. 12,239.22	7,274.00	42,291.12	25,235.65	13,098.67	0.00	80,625.44
FAYETTE	D1	43,419.95	12,959.45	14,789.00	71,168.40	28,974.25	20,513.25	0.00	120,655.90
FLOYD	D2	50,514.06	22,088.71	18,721.36	91,324.13	3,725.50	11,365.15	0.00	106,414.78
FRANKLIN	D2	42,178.50	8,611.85	15,125.90	65,916.25	2,691.14	2,384.61	15.77	71,007.77
FREMONT	D4	7,060.00	1,766.40	2,040.00	10,866.40	5,221.55	0.00	0.00	16,087.95
GREENE	D2	19,811.39	8,648.13	5,928.42	34,387.94	18,517.65	10,647.98	0.00	63,553.57
GRUNDY	D1	39,704.10	9,118.04	9,330.78	58,152.92	14,577.61	5,696.89	0.00	78,427.42
GUTHRIE	D5	14,464.35	2,864.45	5,004.00	22,332.80	13,685.95	13,153.20	1,061.39	50,233.34
HAMILTON	D2	92,301.14	12,600.82	23,315.06	128,217.02	15,808.30	12,169.15	761.34	156,955.81
HANCOCK	D2	11,470.00	1,505.00	2,580.00	15,555.00	1,580.00	0.00	0.00	17,135.00
HARDIN	D2	34,833.64	14,149.53	12,088.01	61,071.18	15,841.62		0.00	88,245.99
HARRISON	D4	18,092.56	5,607.57	6,135.00	29,835.13	7,866.00	3,200.00	0.00	40,901.13
HENRY	D8	86,106.14	29,283.60	31,468.27	146,858.01	36,883.03	45,229.16	1,699.19	230,669.39
HOWARD	D0 D1	20,603.04	4,058.89	6,341.31	31,003.24	8,943.16	75,641.27	0.00	115,587.67
		,		•	27,441.08			0.00	•
HUMBOLDT	D2	16,132.00	5,975.58	5,333.50	27,441.08	8,487.63	14,810.63	0.00	50,739.34

			COURT	SUR-		ATTORNEY	VICTIM	0	GRAND
COUNTY	DIS.	FINES	COSTS	CHARGES	SUBTOTAL	FEES	RESTITUTION	OTHER	TOTAL
IDA	D3	25,957.62	4,178.48	8,522.88	38,658.98	3,413.50	11,696.32	190.00	53,958.80
IOWA	D6	34,173.37	18,301.57	12,073.14	64,548.08	27,202.23	47,314.14	436.86	139,501.31
JACKSON	D7	69,698.13	19,652.16	22,093.15	111,443.44	26,418.76	9,932.14	3,553.35	151,347.69
JASPER	D5	35,127.60	22,520.92	15,712.04	73,360.56	8,467.47	12,371.25	0.00	94,199.28
JEFFERSON	D8	41,782.51	15,227.64	15,734.42	72,744.57	35,027.22	17,960.35	126.07	125,858.21
JOHNSON	D6	389,816.11	131,458.96	127,701.06	648,976.13	144,425.85	0.00	0.00	793,401.98
JONES	D6	55,345.23	12,262.68	18,393.83	86,001.74	3,279.00	17,476.99	0.00	106,757.73
KEOKUK	D8	21,888.60	4,965.47	6,781.41	33,635.48	9,717.89	5,339.58	0.00	48,692.95
KOSSUTH	D3	14,757.75	9,505.02	4,394.90	28,657.67	8,374.39	9,256.18	0.00	46,288.24
LEE	D8	286,699.88	96,972.14	84,931.58	468,603.60	79,517.06	41,013.40	23,695.75	612,829.81
LINN	D6	1,010,446.24	265,935.32	291,464.65	1,567,846.21	273,507.69	310,712.30	0.00	2,152,066.20
LOUISA	D8	56,317.55	9,581.39	9,824.37	75,723.31	5,090.00	43,811.26	2,104.47	126,729.04
LUCAS	D5	36,154.28	7,254.33	11,202.50	54,611.11	13,566.13	3,218.90	0.00	71,396.14
LYON	D3	13,030.00	2,729.16	3,720.00	19,479.16	9,140.64	104.40	1,983.30	30,707.50
MADISON	D5	5,525.00	4,575.02	1,657.50	11,757.52	9,735.26	2,598.48	625.22	24,716.48
MAHASKA	D8	96,453.75	59,037.77	27,350.38	182,841.90	113,439.80	50,642.60	1,560.40	348,484.70
MARION	D5	129,189.36	15,567.38	43,530.62	188,287.36	15,157.39	30,138.33	0.00	233,583.08
MARSHALL	D2				0.00				0.00
MILLS	D4				0.00				0.00
MITCHELL	D2	15,779.71	4,701.89	5,821.50	26,303.10	316.20	959.62	0.00	27,578.92
MONONA	D3	37,365.00	9,195.71	10,849.50	57,410.21	250.00	3,625.24	0.00	61,285.45
MONROE	D8	40,845.61	16,759.28	14,263.81	71,868.70	12,623.57	6,593.09	0.00	91,085.36
MONTGOMEF	R D4	16,248.31	5,248.57	7,937.52	29,434.40	14,545.63	1,835.09	0.00	45,815.12

COUNTY	DIS.	FINES	COURT COSTS	SUR- CHARGES	SUBTOTAL	ATTORNEY FEES	VICTIM RESTITUTION	OTHER	GRAND TOTAL
MUSCATINE	D7	112,405.01	64,493.27	41,206.70	218,104.98	46,979.35	34,291.01	43,019.04	342,394.38
OSCEOLA	D3	13,677.23	2,998.50	4,747.50	21,423.23	1,670.00	1,109.48	176.45	24,379.16
O'BRIEN	D3	9,759.00	1,851.44	3,420.00	15,030.44	5,453.08	668.00	0.00	21,151.52
PAGE	D4	19,468.00	9,623.71	6,525.00	35,616.71	23,824.17	1,631.75	0.00	61,072.63
PALO ALTO	D3	22,439.60	4,979.39	7,864.50	35,283.49	14,628.29	7,304.85	0.00	57,216.63
PLYMOUTH	D3	72,684.38	40,492.90	22,936.98	136,114.26	22,598.68	22,634.97	0.00	181,347.91
POCAHONTA	D2	9,639.61	8,936.33	3,379.95	21,955.89	13,691.95	11,134.99	0.00	46,782.83
POLK	D5	909,033.00	874,961.00	326,745.00	2,110,739.00	1,189,502.00	1,415,328.00	0.00	4,715,569.00
POTTAWATT	D4	317,488.54	168,571.14	95,468.29	581,527.97	31,029.45	0.00	6,093.47	618,650.89
POWESHIEK	D8	31,981.00	5,091.77	11,303.36	48,376.13	36,888.00	4,055.49	2,113.58	91,433.20
RINGGOLD	D5	9,210.78	2,407.92	3,549.25	15,167.95	8,038.95	7,120.61	0.00	30,327.51
SAC	D2	19,150.00	6,057.00	8,912.00	34,119.00	5,095.00	329,627.51	0.00	368,841.51
SCOTT	D7	699,134.96	238,037.32	250,474.17	1,187,646.45	707,581.11	755,170.30	12,374.42	2,662,772.28
SHELBY	D4	10,700.08	9,084.94	4,038.53	23,823.55	12,586.98	0.00	0.00	36,410.53
SIOUX	D3	53,968.00	19,200.02	18,446.60	91,614.62	37,545.35	39,520.86	0.00	168,680.83
STORY	D2	131,138.48	47,833.77	54,020.84	232,993.09	66,710.10	40,728.23	11,929.97	352,361.39
TAMA	D6				0.00				0.00
TAYLOR	D5	8,788.75	9,606.19	2,864.50	21,259.44	9,869.75	5,890.22	0.00	37,019.41
UNION	D5	29,440.90	10,500.65	11,096.90	51,038.45	13,304.95	7,413.36	4,424.22	76,180.98
VAN BUREN	D8	11,765.58	5,689.87	3,522.00	20,977.45	11,221.73	58,018.70	0.00	90,217.88
WAPELLO	D8	48,813.06	24,546.24	19,348.22	92,707.52	22,700.77	8,009.00	0.00	123,417.29
WARREN	D5				0.00	-	-		0.00
WASHINGTO	D8	48,667.97	15,534.88	16,344.70	80,547.55	27,432.60	272,255.09	0.00	380,235.24

.

۰.

COUNTY	DIS.	FINES	COURT COSTS	SUR- CHARGES	SUBTOTAL	ATTORNEY FEES	VICTIM RESTITUTION	OTHER	GRAND TOTAL
WAYNE	D5	11,019.74	3,253.60	2,973.51	17,246.85	19,216.04	2,376.35	0.00	38,839.24
WEBSTER	D2	77,506.03	29,279.43	30,061.50	136,846.96	66,747.51	63,322.69	0.00	266,917.16
WINNEBAGO	D2	17,840.00	3,340.50	6,856.00	28,036.50	1,924.21	1,845.00	0.00	31,805.71
WINNESHIEK	D1	38,741.69	12,682.50	10,501.94	61,926.13	70,658.50	9,670.86	0.00	142,255.49
WOODBURY	D3	1,251,430.60	545,366.51	391,300.11	2,188,097.22	300,433.24	623,346.67	567,679.64	3,679,556.77
WORTH	D2	29,873.77	10,309.39	11,346.30	51,529.46	1,135.69	2,244.36	5,767.19	60,676.70
WRIGHT	D2	25,654.50	9,393.43	9,531.20	44,579.13	10,725.89	7,943.15	0.00	63,248.17
		9,348,007.87	4,863,446.20	2,871,807.51	17,083,261.58	5,409,411.56	6,974,710.01	995,781.23	30,463,164.38

ξi ···